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* 1285 (6464) Q.—Sri M. Ramgopal Reddy (Mudaram) :—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the village officers of Rayalaseema have sent the Resignation letters; and

(b) if so, what are the demands and what are the steps taken to meet them?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy).—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Association alleged that Government have not taken any action either to amend the Act consequent on the Supreme Court's Judgement holding the hereditary system of village Officers as ultra vires of the constitution or to decide their future set up. The Association therefore resolved to quit offices with effect from 30th April 1965. Government have already taken a decision in regard to the recommendations of the Umththan committee in consultation with the representatives of the Village Officers Associations including the Rayalaseema V.O. Association. The necessary amendments to the Act and Rules, keeping...
Sri N Ramachandra Reddy: It is a matter for consideration. The question will have to be considered afresh.

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy: —It depends on the circumstances.

Sri K Govinda Rao (Anakapalli):— There appears to be an element of pressure and coercion against them. Is it a fact?

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy: —Certainly not.

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy: —The individuals are responsible for their respective acts. It is not correct to say that all the village officers are members of the Association. We cannot say that all the Village Officers are members of the association. Some individuals might have submitted resignations. It is but necessary, if we want to take an apology, it becomes necessary in those cases also.

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy: —Village Officers are part-time Government servants. They are not labourers, they cannot be treated on the same footing as part-time Government servants. There are some duties and responsibilities also assigned to them. They discharge their responsibilities as laid down. They have violated and some people have misguided them. That is the unfortunate thing. When they were misguided, it was proper on their part at least to approach the concerned authorities at the District level or in the alternative, they should have come to the Government. But they submitted resignations; they did not care for their duties; they abstained from Jamabandi work; they did not care to come to Government. In every case, they could approach the Government with some representations for the redress of their grievances; but in this case, they simply kept quiet; they simply were misguided. I do not say that they were solely responsible, but some of them were misguided and they resorted to this strike.

1. Coercion, pressure, and conditions.
   - Coercion, pressure, and conditions. What action will the Government take?

2. Coercion, pressure, and conditions.
   - Coercion, pressure, and conditions. Will there be a raise in conditions?

3. Coercion, pressure, and conditions.
   - Coercion, pressure, and conditions. Will there be a raise in conditions?

4. Coercion, pressure, and conditions.
   - Coercion, pressure, and conditions. Certainly the Government.

5. Association collective or individual.
   - Association collective or individual. How will the Government deal with association members?

6. Association collective or individual.
   - Association collective or individual. It is a uniform decision concerning all.

7. Association collective or individual.
   - Association collective or individual. Jasabandi was at a standstill. They promised to do jasabandi work and they resumed work. Subsequently, Government agreed to treat this period as on duty and pay the emoluments.

8. Association collective or individual.
   - Association collective or individual. Collections pending are complete. Pending work complete 100% of work due.

9. Association collective or individual.
   - Association collective or individual. Slackness of collection?

10. Association collective or individual.
    - Association collective or individual. Why is the slackness of collection continuing?
That is a matter which hon. Member Sri Viswanatham has already raised. It needs examination afresh.

Some members have allowed to join without tendering any apology.

I will look into the matter.

Mr Speaker—Some members have been allowed to join without tendering any apology.

I will look into the matter.

The previous history, The Times Assortiation when they appeared before me Cabinet Sub-Committee, are prescribed and the members either accepted or rejected. So this aspect has to be examined. Otherwise in every matter, when an agreement is reached, if there is no clarity, it is very difficult to work.
Oval Answers to Questions. 17th November, 1902.

N. M. Rama Chandra Reddy:—The exact figure I do not remember. The resignations were submitted individually to me directly, even though the appointing authority sent the Revenue Divisional Office, they were sent direct to me and no communication was taken by the Government. A presentment was made to the effect that they may submit their resignation, if they wish to do so, to the concerned authority.

N. M. Rama Chandra Reddy:—There would be no revision in scales of pay till 1905; at that date there should be no reform. There might not be re-grouping of villages, that is, as possible major or minor of the holders, if fully qualified, could be preferred for appointment. 1906 would be a great day for re-grouping. The present moment may be called the last moment to amend the Act or to decide the fate of all up in light of the judgment of the Supreme Court or to avert the ruin of the minor grievances. Only two Staff Committees at the R.D. O’s level are there. If there is any dismissal, whether it is formal or informal, they could have appealed. There are appellate stages. They have not resorted to the remedy of appeal or resignation at the Government. That is why it is an unfortunate situation has developed.

M. N. Rama Chandra Reddy:—And we are very much pleased with the whole of the news. It is a very good news for the War. The War is going on very well. The news is also very good for the same. It seems to be better now.
Oral Answers to Questions.

There is a background for this. The Unmthan Committee report was there under consideration. We cannot forget the Unmthan Committee Report. When the Unmthan Committee Report was under consideration, the Village Officers' representatives appeared before the Cabinet Sub-Committee. That background also should not be forgotten when discussing this question. There are so many other points involved. When we take up for consideration the Unmthan Committee report, the main problem is the regrouping of villages. When we take up regrouping of villages, certainly there will be retrenchment. Village Officers' Associations were not prepared for any retrenchment. Taking all these things into consideration, decisions were arrived at and it was stated clearly that till 1968 there will be no revision of pay scales; there will be no retrenchment and there will be no regrouping.

Sri T. K. B. Sarma:—Where is the violation? They have not asked for any of these things; nor did they violate any of the conditions laid down or agreed.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—They are all inter-related. One condition agreed is that there should be no revision of scales of pay till 1968. What does it mean? It indirectly means that if any change takes place there will be a revision of scales of pay. We cannot say that the scales of pay will be there and at the same time there should be revision. It is something contrary. That is why, up to 1968, things stand as per agreement.

Mr Speaker:—Whether it would be necessary to insist upon every officer who joins duty to give an apology?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—I have already said that it has to be considered afresh.
Mr. Speaker:—Government can be more magnanimous and need not insist upon apology.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—The newly elected President is Mr. Chinnappa Reddy, M.I.A. They agreed to that. They agreed to the conditions laid down by the Secretary and the First Member. They could as well have resisted and said .

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu.—Is it the President that has agreed?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—The Association, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—They are not regular Government servants.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—It will be considered afresh.

**Removal of Village Officers from Government Service**
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* 1171 (5610) Q.—Sri P. Gunagga:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) the district-wise number of village officers (Munsiffs and Kamams) removed by the Government from service from January 1964 to January 1965, in Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam districts.

(b) the reasons therefor?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—(a) The districtwise number of village Officers removed from service by the Government from January 1964 to January 1965 in Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam districts are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Karnams</th>
<th>Village Munsiffs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 38

(b) They were removed mostly for misappropriation of Government money. Other reasons are slack collection; tampering of Government record etc.

I have got the details. I have got the whole list. I can say which Kamam, and which Munsif was removed and for what reason. I can give that.
SPLITTINO OF JOINT PATTAS

(a) when is the splitting of Joint Pattas going to take place in the State; and

(b) is the machinery ready for this?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—

(a) and (b) The rules indicating the procedure of the enquiry to be conducted by the Revenue Officers before splitting up joint pattas is taken up and the manner of effecting the changes in revenue records according to the orders passed by the Tehsildar and Revenue Divisional Officer under the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of the Joint Pattas Act, 1963, have since been issued for guidance of the officers and the Pattadars. The Board's proposals for the employment of the staff required for effecting the splitting up of Joint holdings are under active consideration of the Government and orders will be issued shortly for the employment of staff. Soon after the staff is appointed, the work will be commenced.

N. B.—It is a very big scheme. Assistant Directors, Inspectors of Survey, Assistant Inspectors of Survey, Surveyors, Deputy Surveyors, Draftsmen, U.D. Clerks, L.D. Clerks, Typists, peons, chairmen.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—It is a very big scheme. Assistant Directors, Inspectors of Survey, Assistant Inspectors of Survey, Surveyors, Deputy Surveyors, Draftsmen, U.D. Clerks, L.D. Clerks, Typists, peons, chairmen.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—The proposals are before the Cabinet. As Rs. 2 crores expenditure is involved, the matter is before the Government. It has been referred to the Government. Soon after it is received, it will be placed before the Cabinet and a decision will be taken and orders will be issued.

As early as possible.

About 28 lakhs of pattas are there. We have to divide about 28 lakhs of pattas. It is a big affair. The other day, rules have been placed on the Table of the House. Action will be taken as early as possible.

Mr. Ramachandra Reddy (Srikakulam)—Now it is not possible.

Mr. Ramachandra Reddy:—It is for the aggrieved party to go in appeal, if there is any wrong rectification. Rectification parties have already been set up previously and according to this rectification they must have applied to the concerned authorities. After rectification takes place, sub-division and splitting up of joint pattas will be taken up.

Sri Ramachandra Reddy.—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one village by name Matchilesam, a hamlet of Sreekuram in Srikakulam taluk, in Srikakulam district, was gutted on 11-5-1965;

(b) if so, how many houses were destroyed and what is the estimated loss;

(c) what is the relief granted by the State Government;

FIRE ACCIDENT IN MATCHILESAM VILLAGE

* 1277 (6411) Q. —Sri S. Jagannadharm [Put by Sri P. Rajasepala Naidu]:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one village by name Matchilesam, a hamlet of Sreekuram in Srikakulam taluk, in Srikakulam district, was gutted on 11-5-1965;

(b) if so, how many houses were destroyed and what is the estimated loss;

(c) what is the relief granted by the State Government;

Oral Answers to Questions.

(d) whether any representation have been received by the Government from the villagers to give financial aid for the purchase of fishing nets, and

(e) if so, what is the action taken in this respect?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—(a) It is a fact that a fire accident occurred in Matchlesam h/o Sreekurram village on 11-5-1965.

(b) 158, thatched houses were destroyed. The loss of property is estimated at Rs. 35,088.

(c) Rs. 7,210.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

HOUSE-SITES TO HARIJANS IN CHUKKALUR VILLAGE
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* 1117 (5298) Q.—Sri S. Vemugya:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the house-site patta have not been issued to the Harijans of Chukkalur village, Tatiparti taluk, Anantapur district though the sites have been acquired two years back; and

(b) if so, the reasons for the delay?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—(a) Yes.

(b) Action is being taken to assign the site to Harijans.

The matter is under active consideration and as early as possible sites will be allotted. It is in the final state.

SUPPLY OF DRINKING WATER IN THE TWIN CITIES

496—

* 749 (5736) Q.—Sri B. Dharma Bhiksham (Nalgonda):—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad drinking water could not be supplied to the citizens during the months of March and April, 1965; and

(b) if so, the reasons for non-availability and the steps taken to improve the position?

The Minister for Public Works (Sri A C. Subba Reddy) — (a) No, Sir. The supply was on the other hand increased during March and April, 1965 when compared to the previous months.

(b) In view of (a) above, does not arise.

Sri B. RamDev:—To augment the water supply to the Hyderabad and Secunderabad cities, it was announced in the Assembly that the Manjera water supply scheme would be commissioned at the end of 1965. Has it been commissioned? If not, the reasons therefor, and when it will be commissioned?

Yes, Sir. It is under test.

CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TANKS IN UDAYAGIRI TALUK, NELLORE DISTRICT
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* 512 (4785) Q.—Sri K Rammanna Chowdary (Nandipad)—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) whether estimates have been prepared for the construction of any new tanks near Papampalli and Ravipadu villages in Udayagiri Taluk, Nellore District;

(b) if so, the estimated expenditure for the same;

(c) the stage at which the matter stands at present; and

(d) the extent of the land that will be brought into cultivation under the said schemes?

Sri A.C. Subba Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The estimate for the tank across Nerellavagu near Papampalli village is Rs. 1,82,800 and the estimated cost for the tank near Ravipadu village is Rs. 1,75,479 including direct and indirect charges.

(c) The tank across Nerellavagu near Papampalli is under consideration of the Government. The scheme for construction of the tank near Ravipadu has been sanctioned.

(d) 185 acres and 285 acres in each case.

‡ Q. N. *497 [435 (443)] not put and answered in the House. Hence the Question and answer are included in the proceedings under ‘Written Answers to Questions’. 
GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL BUILDINGS, NELLORE.

*519 (4757) Q Sri Vavulala Gopalakrishnaiah:— Will the hon. Minister for Public works be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the foundation and construction of the buildings of Nellore Government Hospital was changed from stone to bricks and whether it was not agreed by the then Superintending Engineer and he was transferred and finally allowed by the Chief Engineer, P. W. D; and

(b) if so, what was the reason for these changes and observations and what is the latest position of matters?

Sri A C. Subba Reddy.—The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

ANSWER PLACED ON THE TABLE
Vide L. A. Q. No. 499 *519(4757)

In the sanctioned estimate for the construction of 200 bedded Headquarters Hospital at Nellore, provision was made for foundations and basement of the building with coursed rubble masonry in cement mortar. It was reported by the then Superintending Engineer that during execution, the quarry specified in the leads statements of the materials, did not yield the required quantity of stone for the work. As such, the Superintending Engineer suggested to the Chief Engineer (Buildings) to change the coursed rubble masonry work to brick work in cement mortar 1:6, as good quality of bricks were available at Nellore. The suggestion made by the Superintending Engineer was not approved pending Chief Engineer (Bldg's) inspection of the work. Meanwhile, the Superintending Engineer who submitted the proposals was transferred, as his services were required elsewhere.

Subsequently, the Chief Engineer inspected the quarry and found that the report of the Superintending Engineer was correct and, in view of the importance of execution of work, the proposals of the Superintending Engineer were approved by the Chief Engineer.

It is not correct to say that the Superintending Engineer disagreed to the change and was consequently transferred because of that. His transfer was a normal administrative transfer.
Oral Answers to Questions. 17th August, 1937.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: — On the other hand, it is the Superintending Engineer that has proposed this and not the Chief Engineer or any other body. The Superintending Engineer was transferred from that place not on account of this. He was transferred as he was wanted at a more important place like Srisailam project. That was why he was transferred, not on account of this. It is the same Superintending Engineer that proposed this.

Mr. Speaker: — He said that the Engineer was not obliging the contractor and so he was transferred. Now he says he is not prepared to agree with this information.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishana — He may not agree.

Mr. Speaker: — He says it is not correct. He says, the other one is a cock and bull story.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: — I do not know.
CONSTRUCTION OF REST HOUSE AT MELPALI, KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT

* 506 (9128) Q—Sri Vignaraja Rao (Melpalii) :— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a proposal to construct a "Rest House" at Melpalii town in Karimnagar District; and

(b) if so, at what stage does it stand ?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy :—Orders have been issued for the construction of an Inspection Bungalow at Melpalii town, Karimnagar District at an estimated cost of Rs 44,000

Sri Vignaraja Rao :—When was it sanctioned ?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy :—It was sanctioned. It would be started soon.

ELECTIONS TO THE TEACHERS' GUILD AT VJAYAWADA.

* 161 (5299) Q.—Sri S Varma :—Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that some teachers were manhandled on 5-4-1965 in connection with the Election to the Teachers' Guild at Vijayawada ; and

(b) if so, whether any action, was taken in the matter by the police and the result thereof ?

The Minister for Home (Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan) :—

(a) No such man-handling took place.

(b) Does not arise.

RIOTING IN HASANAPARTHI VILLAGE, WARANGAL DISTRICT.—

* 251 (6110) Q.—Sri S Varma :—Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) the number of deaths and the number of persons who sustained injuries as a result of the rioting on 2-4-65 in Hasanaparti village, Warangal District, and

(b) the action taken by the police in this matter ?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—(a) One person died and 16 persons sustained injuries.

(b) All the 19 accused persons have been arrested and remanded. Armed Reserve Party has been stationed in the village. Charge sheet has been laid in Additional Munsiff Magistrate's Court and the case is pending trial.

Q. No. 503 [*218 (5807)]

Inadequate Police Force at Vijayawada.
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* 80 (4717) Q—Sir P. Bapuiah (Tiruvar) —Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the police force at Vijayawada at present are not found adequate in view of the growing population of the town;

(b) whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to increase the Police Strength in Vijayawada in the near future;

(c) whether any instructions have been issued to the police authorities to regulate the traffic control effectively in consultation with the Municipality of Vijayawada; and

(d) whether the Government have also issued instruction to the Police to observe the road safety week every month in addition to the day-to-day traffic control?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) The Standing Instructions enjoin upon the Superintendent of Police to observe the "Road Safety Week" every quarter.
Sri V. Viswanadara Rao:—In view of the road accidents, will the Government take steps to increase police bandobast. The hon. Minister has already stated that the answer to question (a) is in the affirmative. In view of that and in view also of the recent­ly accidents at Vijnayawada, will the Government take steps to increase police bandobast?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—It is under consideration as to how much police has to be increased.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—In view of the fact that the hon. Minister is pleased to admit that the police force in Vijnayawada is inadequate, may I know in what way Government is contemplating to improve the situation, namely, inadequacy of police force and insufficient number of police stations.

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—This question of increasing the police force at Vijnayawada is pending in fact for so many years. But due to weak ways and means position, it was deferred. But again, the Inspector-General of Police has come forward and renewed his proposals for increasing the police force. That is under consideration. For the present, as the hon. Member has said, the present police force is trying to meet the situation and requirements.

Sri T. K. R. Sarma:—Cannot the Home Guards be used for the purpose of controlling the traffic near places like Vijnayawada?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—There is a separate organization, there is separate staff.

Sri T. K. R. Sarma:—My question is ‘are the Home Guards being used for traffic-controlling’?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—In cases of emergency, services of Home-Guards are used, but not always.

Sri A. Saraswatharao:—Will the hon. Minister be pleased to strengthen the police force immediately at Vijnayawada in view of the fact that two big people, one Mr. Khuddus and the other, Mr. Raju, have taken out and preparing themselves for a showdown?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—The whole question is under consideration which is being expedited.

Sri Tenali Viswanatham:—What is it that is under consideration?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—The question of increasing the police force is under consideration.

Sri Pillalamari Venkatwarlu:—Is the hon. Minister going to suggest to give some protection to both those people?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan:—It has nothing to do with the main question.
Post of City Coroner

*202 (6287) Q. Sri B Ramadev (High Court) — Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the post of City Coroner was abolished;

(b) if so, when and the reasons therefor, and

(c) the Officer who is discharging the duties of the Coroner now?

Sri Mt Ahmed Ali Khan — (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) It was abolished on 24-1-1961 consequent on the repealing of the Hyderabad City Coroner's Act, 1880 with effect from 24-1-1961.

As similar provisions contained in the said Act are provided in sections 174 to 176 Cr. P. C., which are applicable to the whole State and as there is no special advantage in having special law of Coroner for Hyderabad City in addition to the general law in the said sections of Cr. P. C., the Hyderabad City Coroner's Act, 1880 with repealing by the Hyderabad City Coroner's (Repealing) Act, 1961

(c) The Revenue Divisional Officer appointed for magisterial work in the twin Cities is discharging the functions under sections 174 to 176 Cr. P.C in the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad.

Satyawada and Khandavalli Village Panchayats, Tanuku Taluk

506 —

*94 (4810) Q. — Sarsrri V. Satyaworayana and P. Shyamasundra Rao (Achanta):— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the annual budget of the Village Panchayats of Satyawada and Khandavalli in Tanuku Taluk have not been passed so far with the result that the entire Panchayat administration came to a stand still though under the Panchayats Act, the members have no option but to approve the budget with such modifications as it may suggest; and

(b) What action has the Government taken in the matter in view of the non-approval of the budget proposed by the Sarpanchas?

Dr. M. N. Lukshminarasia (The Minister for Panchayat Raj): — The Gram Panchayat, Satyawada has not sanctioned the Budget Estimate for the year 1965-66 legally. The District Collector, West Godavari is taking necessary action to get the Budget Estimate sanctioned by the Panchayat legally.
The Gram Panchayat, Khandavalli did not pass the Budget Estimate for the year 1965-66. The Divisional Panchayat Officer, Kovur who was appointed as a Special Officer by the District Collector, West Godavari under Section 40 (2) of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964 has sanctioned the Budget Estimate on 10-4-1965.

As the District Collectors are authorised to take necessary action in the matter, the question of interference by the Government in this regard, does not arise.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasayya.—Only for that purpose.

Mr. Speaker.—Only for that purpose.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know.

Collector supersede Collector Special officer to pass budget.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayya —Not for running the Panchayat. The Collector has authorised the Divisional Panchayat Officer to pass budget.

Sri Venkata Gopalakrishnayya: Under which section?

Collector: Section 49 (v) & (d)

Sri Venkata Gopalakrishnayya:Supersede Collector for unanimous purpose?

Collector: Ratna sa

A. P. Singh: Agricultural Farm at Noonipali Village, Nandyal Taluk, Kurnool District.
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104 (4872) Q. S. Venagayya: Will the Hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government propose to acquire an extent of Ac. 18.76 at Noonipali Village, Nandyal Taluk, Kurnool District for the purpose of Agricultural Farm;

(b) if so whether there are no lands at the disposal of the Government for the purpose; and

(c) what is the cost of the land;

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayya.—(a) Yes, Sir. The land is required for the Agricultural Farm attached to Gramin Vikas Training Centre, Nandyal

(b) Government lands are not available;

(c) As per the preliminary valuation statement the cost of the land is Rs. 57,470.10 nP.

Dr. A. P. Singh: the cost of dry land, wet land.

18 Acre dry. It is not 35 acres.
PANCHAYAT SAMITHI OFFICE VEMULAVADA
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* 234 (5918) Q.—Sarvesam C. D. Naidu (Chittoor) P. Rojag pal Naidu and K. Narasimha Reddy (Thambhaliapall): --Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one Sri Sudda Reddy who was working as a clerk in Panchayat Samithi Office, Vemulavada, Karimnagar District absconded with more than Rs. 9,000 belonging to the above Samithi in August, 1963; and

(b) if so, whether he was found and any action taken against him?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah: (a) Yes, Sir in the month of July, 1963.

(b) No, Sir he was not found. But action is being pursued to recover the amount from immovable properties, if any, possessed by the absconding clerk.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah: —Immovable property prosecute; cash endorse?

No. —Immovable property prosecute.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah: —Teachers cheque school endorsement. Cash endorse?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah: —Block Development Officer towards the salaries of teachers and Extension Officer endorsement cash. There were several cheques amounting to Rs. 9,990.

Air. They may issue cheques for large sums.

Mr. Speaker:—They may issue cheques for large sums.

Sri P. Rajagopala Naidu.—They can issue small cheques also to the Headmasters.

They may issue cheques for large sums.

Mr. Speaker:—They may issue cheques for large sums.

Sri P. Rajagopala Naidu.—They can issue small cheques also to the Headmasters.

Teachers' S. Jaries & school go school.

Do not arise.

GRANTS TO PANCHAYATS FOR DHOB KHANAS

(a) whether the Government propose to provide grants to the Panchayats to develop Dhobi KHANAS, and

(b) if not, what are the schemes contemplated to develop and help the Rajakas?

Dr. M.N. Laxminarasiah.—(a) Government are prepared to consider applications of Gram Panchayats if any, for the sanction of "Matching Grants" for the construction of Dhobi KHANAS, on merits along with other applications.

(b) Does not arise.

ELECTIONS TO VILLAGE PANCHAYATS OF CHINTAPALLI PANCHAYAT SAMITHI, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT

(a) whether the Government propose to provide grants to the Panchayats to develop Dhobi KHANAS, and

(b) if not, what are the schemes contemplated to develop and help the Rajakas?

Dr. M.N. Laxminarasiah.—(a) Government are prepared to consider applications of Gram Panchayats if any, for the sanction of "Matching Grants" for the construction of Dhobi KHANAS, on merits along with other applications.

(b) Does not arise.

(a) whether it is a fact that the elections to the 13 village Panchayats of the Chintapalli Panchayat Samithi in Visakhapatnam district have been stopped according to the stay orders issued by the High Court and the said stay orders have also been cancelled by the High Court on 27-11-1964; and

(b) if so, the reason why elections for the said village Panchayats have not been conducted so far?

Dr M. N. Lakhminarasi — (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Due to administrative reasons elections could not be conducted till May, 1965. But now elections have been conducted for all the Gram Panchayats in question.

Dr A. — Agency area & High Court & Administrative grounds decided. There are 58 panchayats in Chintapalli and Paderu Samithies in Agency Area.
SEIZURE OF RICE BY THE POLICE IN ALLUR VILLAGE, KOVUR TALUK, NELLORE DISTRICT

511—

* 1082 (4882) Q.—Sri S. Venayya.—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the police seized rice worth about Rs One lakh on 28-2-1935 at Allur Village, Kovur Taluk, Nellore District:

(b) the quantity and quality of rice that has been seized;

(c) the names of persons arrested if any, in this connection;

(d) whether any cases have been filed in the court of law, and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri A. Balarama Reddy)—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 1613 quintals of Molagolukulu paddy and 881 quintals of Molagolukulu single polished rice

(c) (1) Sri P. Puchah Radhia Krishna Rice Mill, Allur.

(2) Sri B. Narasimham

(3) Sri M. Venkata Subbaiah Standard Rice Mill Allur.

(4) Sri Ch. Venkata Subbareddy

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

PESTS TO TURMERIC CROPS IN THE STATE

512—

* 1284 (4459) Q.—Sri M. Ramagopal Reddy.—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

The various steps that have been taken by the Government to control the pests that attack the turmeric crops in the State and introduce improved varieties of seed and aid, provide the c...
of an assured market, in view of the commercial importance and foreign exchange earning capacity of the crop?

Sri A. Balaram Reddy.—Answer is placed on the Table of the House.

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE (vide answer to L. A. Q. No. 512 [1964])

Turmeric Crop normally suffers more from diseases than from insect pests. "Rhizome Rot" and "Leaf-spot" are two important diseases that attack the turmeric crop. Every year the staff of the Agricultural Department is treating the crop for the above diseases wherever they are prevalent.

2. By the studies made at the Turmeric Research Station, Peddapalem, three promising varieties of turmeric have been found suitable for spread in the Godavari Delta, Cuddapah and Guntur Zones. These varieties are now under District trials. A comprehensive breeding programme has to be taken up for bringing about further improved varieties in turmeric. “Scheme for physiological investigations and intensive breeding for prolific strains in turmeric” has therefore been included in the Fourth Plan to evolve prolific, high yielding and disease resistant turmeric strains with high curcumin content.

3. The turmeric growers are being given loans at the rate of Rs. 70 per acre up to the maximum limit of Rs. 750 for individual under Intensive Manuring Scheme.

4. The trend of prices of turmeric in Andhra Pradesh depends on the prices in other important trade centres of the country i.e., Sanyal in Maharashtra State, Erode in Madras State, Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh and Delhi. No minimum prices have been fixed by the Government for this commodity. There are market yards at Duggirala (Guntur District) and Nizamabad, which are central places for important turmeric growing areas. Action is also being taken for establishing one market yard at Cuddapah also. The issue of export of turmeric to foreign countries is under the active consideration by the Spices Export promotion Council, Earnakulam.
BULLDOZERS AND TRACTORS TO DEVELOP AYACUT UNDER 
NAGARJUNASAGAR PROJECT

582—

1282 (6452) Q — Sri M. Ramgopal Reddy — Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any offer from any foreign Country or Indian Company to supply a fleet of bulldozers and tractors for use to develop the ayacut under Nagarjunasagar Project on deferred payment basis without any immediate involvement of foreign exchange; and

(b) if so, at what stage the matter stands?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy — (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The matter is under consideration of the Government


Supply on deferred payment, U.S.S.R. and 300 units work in future.


Deferred payment. Deferred payment.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(1) 10. Mr. Harinath:—The Minister of State for Industry must take steps to expedite the supply of machinery for the Government of India's foreign exchange imports. A letter dated 8th June 1966 from the company, Mr. J. L. Johnson, U.S.A., has been received, but no notification of orders or delivery dates has been received.

(2) Mr. C. V. Raghavacharya:—Whether the Government have revised the prices of paddy in the month of May?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapati Rao (Vijayawada South).—Whether the Government have revised the prices of paddy in the month of May?

Mr. C. V. Raghavacharya:—The Ordinance factory have offered to supply tractors on deferred payment to the Indian Company. But Messrs. India Builders, Khairatabad, Hyderabad, have been approached to consider deferred payment for caterpillar tractors. The Indian Company have offered to supply caterpillar tractors to the Ordinance factory on deferred payment.

Mr. G. K. V. G. Naidu:—The Ordinance factory have offered to supply caterpillar tractors to the Indian Company on deferred payment.

Mr. T. R. Vishwanath:—The Ministry for Agriculture will be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have revised the prices of paddy in the month of May; and

Prices of Paddy

*1988 (6470) Q.—Sarasiri A. Venkateswar Rao (Narasampeta) S. Venkayya and M. Lakshmana Swamy Kankipadu: Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have revised the prices of paddy in the month of May; and
Oral Answers to Questions


(b) If so, the considerations under which they have been revised?

Sri A. Balaram Reddy — (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise

---

INTEGRATED STUDIES IN SOIL STRUCTURE

515—

* 1211 (5934)Q.—Sri S. Venayya.—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state —

(a) Whether there are proposals with the Government to take up integrated studies in soil structure and soil water relationships in the State; and

(b) If so, the details of the scheme?

Sri A. Balaram Reddy:—

(a) There are no proposals with the Government for taking up integrated studies in soil structure and soil water relationships in the State.

(b) Does not arise.

TOURIST HOTEL IN HYDERABAD

516—

* 264 (6580)Q.—Sri P. G. Saiyanarayanam Raju (Kosgi) Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Tourist Hotel Corporation of is likely to set a Tourist Hotel at Hyderabad.

(b) If so, the stage at which the matter stands; and
(e) when is the hotel likely to be started?

The Minister for Labour and Transport (Sri B. V. Gurumurthy):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government of Andhra Pradesh have communicated its willingness to collaborate with the Indie Tourism Hotel Corporation. The Managing Director of the Corporation is expected to visit Hyderabad to finalise the proposal in consultation with the State Government Officers.

(c) It will be started during the 4th Five Year Plan period.

Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Rao: What will be the capacity of that hotel, Sir?

Sri B. V. Gurumurthy: It may range from 100 beds to 175 beds.

EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE AT KHOTAGudem

517—

* 286 (6965) Q.—Sri A. Ramakrishna Reddy: Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether any representation has been received by the Government for the opening of an Employment Exchange at Khotagudem, Khammam district;

(b) if so, whether the same has been examined, and

(c) the nature of decision arrived at?

Sri B. V. Gurumurthy:— (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The opening of Employment Exchange at Khotagudem was considered not necessary.

TRAVEL AS YOU LIKE TICKETS' BY R.T.C. IN THE TWIN CITIES

518—

* 118 (4908) Q.—Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande (Narayankhed): Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Road Transport Corporation is still issuing "Travel as you like tickets" in the twin cities; and

(b) if so, what are the details of the scheme?

Sri B.V. Gurumurthy:—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) "Travel as you like tickets" are sold on all Sundays and Public Holidays in the twin cities. They are priced at Re. 1 per adult
Oral Answer to Questions.  17th August, 1965.  699

and Rs. 0.75 Paise per child. The ticket entitles the holder to travel
on any route in the city from the first to the last trip on the day of
issue. These tickets are sold at important traffic points on the city
routes and have been quite popular with the travelling public.

Plyining of Lorries without Licenses

519—

* 101 (5619) Q.—Sri Bh. Nagabrahman Rao (Warangal) —Will the
Hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to State:

(a) whether the lorries whose licences have been cancelled on
account of charges levelled against them in a town are allowed to
plying at another place by obtaining fresh licence,

(b) if so, whether any such lorries belonging to Warangal are
being plying in Hyderabad, and;

(c) if the answer to clause (a) is in the negative, whether it has
come to notice of Government that lorries belonging to Warangal,
whose licence has been cancelled are running in Hyderabad and if
so, the action taken against them?

Sri B.V. Gurumurthy.—(a) No, Sir

(b & c)—It was recently discovered by the Transport
Authorities while inspecting a goods vehicle bearing Reg. No. A.P.T.
1396 of Hyderabad that it has a close resemblance to lorry No. A.P.S.
477 of the Warangal district, the permit for which was suspended by
the Regional Transport Authority, Warangal for a period of 6 months
from 24-7-64 to 26-2-65 for certain irregularities. The vehicle was
seized by the Regional Transport Officer, Warangal and handed over
to the S.H.O., Interzagang on 1-1-65 for further investigation and
prosecution and the matter is pending with the Police Department.

Special Fund for Rehabilitation of Ex-Servicemen

520—

* 245 (6014) Q.—Sri S. Vinaya—Will the Hon. Minister for
Labour and Transport be pleased to State:

(a) whether there is any Special Fund for the rehabilitation of
Ex-Servicemen in the State,

(b) if so, whether any amount has been spent out of that Fund
during 1964-65 and 1965-66, and

(c) the amount spent and the items on which it was spent?

Sri B.V. Gurumurthy.—(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.
WORKS ALLOCATED TO B.S.S.

641—

* 752 (6762) Q.—Saravari V. Vinsornava Rao S. Vemaya A. Saravarnava Rao.—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) the number of works allotted to B.S.S. in the State by the Public Works Department and other departments during 1963-64 and 1964-65

(b) what is the value of the works, and

(c) whether the B.S.S workers are working at the work spot or are they engaging contract on contract basis?

Sri A C. Subba Reddy —

1963-64
1964-65
(a) 31
18
(b) Rs. 10,49,510
Rs. 7,10,326.

(c) B.S.S workers are not working on the work spot. The Bharati Savak Sanju either engages labourers as other contractors do on piecework basis, or carries out the works by engaging local labour.

642—

* 72 (464) Q.—Sri P Papiha.—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

TRAINING TO PANCHAYAT SECRETARIES.
Oral Answer to Questions. 17th August, 1905.

(a) The number of Panchayat Secretaries who have undergone training under the Centrally Sponsored Scheme in our State during 1962-63: 1963-64 respectively.

(b) The places where the said persons at present are working, and

(c) Whether the Government propose to constitute the Panchayats with low-income groups of Panchayats and appoint one trained Secretary to each of the said groups?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasarah:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) A list is placed on the Table of the House.

Yes, Sir.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE

Statement showing the names of Executive Officers of Gram Panchayats trained during the year 1962-63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Present Station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sri G. B. Jyothi</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D. Subrata Jyothi</td>
<td>Revision Officer in West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y. Muni</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>N. Ramachandra Rao</td>
<td>Chellur East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>K. Veeraju</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N. Subba Ramachandra Rao</td>
<td>Pileri, Chittoor District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B. Subba Ramachandra Rao</td>
<td>Vissamadugu, Visakhapatnam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>K. Sri Ramachandra Rao</td>
<td>On leave, Vizag District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>B. Palan</td>
<td>Revision Officer, in Cuddapah District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M. Sri Ramachandra Rao</td>
<td>Pipplura, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M. Appa Rao</td>
<td>Dissmissed from Service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>K. Konduru Rao</td>
<td>Tendered resignation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>P. Viswanath Choudhary</td>
<td>Holygonda, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>B. Ramachandra Rao</td>
<td>Lunkalakrundu, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>G. Venkatarani Reddy</td>
<td>Alligadda, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M. Narayana Reddy</td>
<td>Alur, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Y. Konduru</td>
<td>Revision Officer, in Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>P. Sitaram Reddy</td>
<td>Pattikonda, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

211—5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Present Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M. Jayasundara Reddy</td>
<td>3rd P. I. C. to Akkampet, West Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>P. Subba Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer, Nellore District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>K. Ramnath</td>
<td>Podapalli, Nellore District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>P. Ramalinga Reddy</td>
<td>Pappily, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>S. Ramaiah Reddy</td>
<td>Nandyipet, Nellore District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>B. V. Seshayya</td>
<td>Muddur, Cuddapah District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>R. Parasthasri</td>
<td>Undoor, East Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>P. Narayya</td>
<td>Patur, Chittoor District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M. Tappayyananda</td>
<td>Kurnool, Anantapur Districts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>P. Ramasahab Reddy</td>
<td>Veeravalli, Krishna District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>K. Lekhipathi Rao</td>
<td>Kolamuru, West Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>K. Sivapuri Chowdru</td>
<td>Almakur, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>B. C. Anumma</td>
<td>Rudavaruru, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>K. V. Narsa Reddy</td>
<td>Additional Division Officer for Panchayat Samithi, Alur, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>P. Ramuaya</td>
<td>Venkatagiri, Nellore District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>P. Ramasahab Reddy</td>
<td>Kungiri, Nellore District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>P. Ganga Reddy</td>
<td>Khajipet, Gudavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>L. Kohlingam</td>
<td>Kulluru, Krishna District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>G. Venkateswara Prasad</td>
<td>Executive Officer in Krishna District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>K. Solomon Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>M. V. N. Reddy</td>
<td>Addali, West Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>G. V. S. Ramappa Reddy</td>
<td>Mulchikar, Guntur District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>E. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Pedapalli, Guntur District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>D. Mallidi Reddy</td>
<td>Panyam, Kurnool District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>K. Madhusudhana Reddy</td>
<td>Chilupalli, Krishna District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>M. S. Simayayala</td>
<td>Drakakuru, East Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>K. Sivapalli Rao</td>
<td>Pedabrahmavaram, East Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>V. V. Ramana</td>
<td>Chilupalli, East Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>T. James</td>
<td>Kollar, Guntur District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Y. Suryanarayana</td>
<td>Nizamabad, East Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>J. Goudumbaranaplaya</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Visakhapatnam District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>G. S. Babu Chetty</td>
<td>Paramasalla, Gudavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl No</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Present Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Smt K. Komati Rao</td>
<td>Prunu, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>B. Narsimha</td>
<td>Sty vda, Chilpooor District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>R. Venkata Rao</td>
<td>Kusummg, Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>B. Prasad Rao</td>
<td>J-group, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>B. Kotamra Reddy</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>I. V. S. Reddy</td>
<td>Nandamuru, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>A. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Uppaluru, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>M. Ella Reddy</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>M. P. Obi Reddy</td>
<td>Vengalagadda, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>K. Subbaya Rao</td>
<td>Mathikur, Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>N. L. Narasimhulu</td>
<td>Gullaramath, Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>P. Chandra Reddy</td>
<td>Kalyavur, Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>B. Krishna Rao</td>
<td>Jurnoor, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>R. V. S. Krishna Rao</td>
<td>Gannavaram, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>D. V. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Vengalagadda, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>B. Pedacka Rao</td>
<td>Kothamallu, Vizag District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>M. Venkata Rao</td>
<td>Korukonda, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Y. Navaiah Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>R. Pratap Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>D. Appalakonda</td>
<td>Vrighatam, Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>O. Srinuuddu</td>
<td>Siddaraj, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>D. Hanumant Reddy</td>
<td>Podakurapadu, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>M. Krishna Reddy</td>
<td>Gezira, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>K. Venkata Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>S. S. Thammini Mary</td>
<td>Jampati, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>P. L. Shama Mohan</td>
<td>Revision Officer in West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>K. Narasimha Murthy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>B. Radhakrishna</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>S. Satyanarayana Reddy</td>
<td>Pedupadu, W. Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>P. Nagendra Rao</td>
<td>Addakiki, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>J. Subba Reddy</td>
<td>Garaudi, Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>P. Shanti Reddy</td>
<td>Kcr.mekchedu, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>P. S. Rama Reddy</td>
<td>Under suspension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Present Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>S R D Jagannadha</td>
<td>Kondapalem, Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 86     | KN Mullswada Rao         | Executive Officer in Krishna Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 87     | M Ramaiah                | Vempalli, Cuddapah District.     |
| 88     | T Subba Reddy            | Rajampet, Cuddapah District.     |
| 89     | M Ramamurthy             | Revision Officer, in Chittoor Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 90     | K Ramreddy               | Revision Officer, in Chittoor Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 91     | V Devanjan               | Kothakota Chittoor District.     |
| 92     | N S K H Bhagwanraju      | Resigned.                        |
| 93     | T Rama Rao               | Revision Officer in Srikakulam Di-
|        |                          | strict.                          |
| 94     | G Narasimha Rao          | Bhogole, Nellore District.       |
| 95     | K Chakrapani             | Revision Officer in Nellore Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 96     | E Abdul Kareem           | Revision Officer in Chittoor Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 97     | P Appa Rao               | Revision Officer in Vizag District.|
| 98     | K R Bhushanarao          | Ponduru, Srikakulam District.    |
| 99     | K V S S Brahmadu         | Munam dvaram East Godavari Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 100    | M Bhushanam              | Pedavadhlapudi Guntur District.  |
| 101    | P Esvarayya              | Jammalamadugu, Cuddapah District.|
| 102    | V Gopalakumudu           | Nagalaparam, Cuddapah District.  |
| 103    | H Jagannadhara           | Revision Officer in Srikakulam Di-
|        |                          | strict.                           |
| 104    | Md Jaffar Hussain        | Goo-y, Anantapur District.       |
| 105    | R Janardhan Rao          | Jagareddygudem West Godavari Di-
|        |                          | strict.                           |
| 106    | G Jagannadharm           | Kavalam, West Godavari District.  |
| 107    | H Kaja Hussain           | Kowthalam Kurnool District.      |
| 108    | N Krishna Reddy          | Removed from service.            |
| 109    | P M Krishna Reddy        | Revision Officer in Anantapur Dis-
|        |                          | trict.                           |
| 110    | V V Krishnam Raju        | Revision Officer in West Goda-
|        |                          | varai District.                  |
| 111    | S Narayana Reddy         | Pulivendlam Cuddapah District.   |
| 112    | K Narayana Rao           | Revision Officer in East Goda-
|        |                          | varai District.                  |
| 113    | R Prakaladu              | Revision Officer in West Goda-
<p>|        |                          | varai District.                  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Present Station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114.</td>
<td>E S. Pittasara Rao</td>
<td>Chodavaram, Vizag District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.</td>
<td>Y Prasad Rao</td>
<td>Duggirala, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.</td>
<td>R Ramakrishna Raju</td>
<td>Velpur, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117.</td>
<td>P Ramakrishna Reddy</td>
<td>Kapileswarapuram, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.</td>
<td>Sri K Ramachanra Reddy</td>
<td>Uravakonda, Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119.</td>
<td>P Aviga Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Kurnool Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.</td>
<td>N. Radhakrishna Redd</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121.</td>
<td>R. Rajagopula naidu</td>
<td>On leave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.</td>
<td>A. Ramasaiah</td>
<td>Kavali, Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.</td>
<td>B. Suryarao</td>
<td>Velangi, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124.</td>
<td>K. Satyanarayana</td>
<td>Dowleswaram, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125.</td>
<td>T. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Edlapalli, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.</td>
<td>K. Seshagirirao</td>
<td>Sompeta, Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.</td>
<td>N. Srinivas Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.</td>
<td>G. Sthapathi Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Vizag Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.</td>
<td>K. Veerareddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.</td>
<td>K. Venkatamareddy</td>
<td>On leave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.</td>
<td>P. Venkateswara Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in West Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.</td>
<td>P. Veikateswarlu</td>
<td>Dornal in Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133.</td>
<td>S. Dattatrayasarma</td>
<td>Amadalavalasa, Srikakulam Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.</td>
<td>D. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Z Ringampet, East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.</td>
<td>M. Suryanarayana</td>
<td>Achanta, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136.</td>
<td>P. Venkatareddy</td>
<td>Badvel, Cuddapah District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.</td>
<td>V. Krishnamma</td>
<td>Kota, Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138.</td>
<td>G. Krishna Rao</td>
<td>Kukikalamarra, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.</td>
<td>G. R. Ajaie Gupta</td>
<td>Stands posted to Macheri, Guntur District not yet poned duty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.</td>
<td>Ch. Kotayya</td>
<td>Kankatapalem, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.</td>
<td>K.S.R.K.V. Prasada Rao</td>
<td>Denduluru, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142.</td>
<td>S. Purushotham</td>
<td>Meduru, Krishna District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143.</td>
<td>M. Ramreddy</td>
<td>Yerraguntla, Cuddapah District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144.</td>
<td>V. Veeraraghavulu</td>
<td>Jagapathinagaram, East Godavari District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145.</td>
<td>S. Veikataragam</td>
<td>Karvetinagaram, Chittoor District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146.</td>
<td>Sri D.V.N V. Viswanath</td>
<td>Vellatur, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. No</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Present Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Sri D. Ramayya</td>
<td>Petera, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>P. Guravayya</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>B. Varadaraaju</td>
<td>Kondakacherla, Krishna District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>S. G. Krishna murti</td>
<td>Perupalem, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Ch. Topomurthy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur Dist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>B. Narayana Reddy</td>
<td>Yeluru, Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>S. Shahrani</td>
<td>Ramalukkada, Krishna District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>G. G. R. R. R.</td>
<td>Kolliva, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>K. Surya R.</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>P. Venkata Rama</td>
<td>Valluru, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>S. S. Rama ratna</td>
<td>Veeravaram, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>P. Venkata Rama</td>
<td>Patan, Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>S. R. Swamy Rama</td>
<td>Aravadi, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>G. S. Surya Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Gadwalpah District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>P. Dhanaji Reddy</td>
<td>Allur, Nellore District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>J. Rajal Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>B. Sadasiva Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Y. Rama krishna</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur Dist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>U. Narayana</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore Dist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>S. Rama krishna</td>
<td>S. L. Nellore [District]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>K. Rama</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>G. Ch. Balavi Reddy</td>
<td>Badarpur, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>P. Muvudhur</td>
<td>Badamaleru, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>G. Madhu Madhu</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore Dist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>P. Muvudhur Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Kurnool Dist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>S. Tammudu Reddy</td>
<td>Kollukunta, Kurnool District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>A. Badarayana</td>
<td>Atmashur, Anantapur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>E. Ramadeva Reddy</td>
<td>Pasumarru, Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>M. Chronappa</td>
<td>Absconding without leave application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>U. Venkataswarlu Raju</td>
<td>Gundugolamu, West Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>T. Pola Reddy</td>
<td>Navasampet, Srikakulam District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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178 „, A Rang Rao Revision Officer in Guntur District

Note — (1) The information furnished in Column 3 in respect of the Executive Officers working in Krishna District is based on the reports received from the Collector, Krishna in March, 1965.

(2) The information furnished in Column 3 in respect of other Districts is based on the reports received from the Collectors in June and July, 1965

Statement showing the names of Executive Officers of Gram Panchayats trained during the year 1965—66

1. Sri M. Narsimha Chetty On leave in Cuddapah District
2. G Chinnakannu Reverted to Dwaravaram Municipal
3. P Prabhakara Rao Elumanchili Kaspa, West Godavari District
4. M Gopala Rao Kovvali, West Godavari District
5. K Krishna Rao On leave
6. P Suryanarayana Polavaram West Godavari District
7. V.V.S Narsanekarla Revision Officer in West Godavari District
8. D Munnayya Sastry Revision Officer in Vizag District
9. M Suryakala Bha Maity Mallavolu Krishna District
10. Y Venkata Reddy Vetrupunda Krishna District
11. P V Narasimha Rao Tiruvuru, Krishna District
13. T Huvamatha Reddy Kanumolu, Krishna District
14. A Venkata Sibbati Velapalem, Guntur District
15. N Venkata Ram Reddy Atmakur, Nellore District
16. S Balaram Reddy Extension Officer in Nellore District
17. Syed Amin V. Illambaram, Guntur District
18. M K Suryaprakas Rao Kupindi Guntur District
19. K Muktswar Rao Revision Officer in Guntur District
### Oral Answers to Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sri J. Narasayya</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>P. Maatan Rao</td>
<td>Adavuladivi Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>D. Kasiviswanath Rao</td>
<td>Uppugundur Guntur District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>R. Satyanarayana</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Vizag District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>C V. Krishna Rao</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>S. Tilak Reddy</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>T. Venkatadas</td>
<td>Under suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M. Narasimha Rao</td>
<td>Tatapaka East Godavari District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Nawab Pashaw</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>P. Varada Reddy</td>
<td>Vavalpad, Chittoor district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>P. Devasaahayam</td>
<td>Gudur, Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>M D. Dastagiri</td>
<td>Banaganapalli, Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>P. Krishna Murthy</td>
<td>D. Gannavaram, East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>S. Ellambhotlu</td>
<td>Cumbum, Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>D. Babaiah</td>
<td>Dhone, Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>N. Narayana Chetty</td>
<td>Rayachoti, Cuddapah district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>M V. Gopalaswamy</td>
<td>Tekkali, Srikakulam district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>N. Subbuswamy</td>
<td>On leave in Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>H. Narayana Murthy</td>
<td>Kowgi, Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>C. Ramalinga Reddy</td>
<td>Chagalamarri, Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>M D. Ganapathi Rao</td>
<td>Undi, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>A. Rama Rao</td>
<td>Penugonda, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>G. Venkatanarayana</td>
<td>Pulla, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Ch. Srinivasa Rao</td>
<td>Duvva, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>K C. Sanyasandudu</td>
<td>Mogalthur, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>K. Krishnamurthy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>M. Venkataramaiah</td>
<td>Podili, Nellore district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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47. Sri P. Sambasivaram .. Kollipara, Guntur district.
48. V. Satyanarayana . Anantavaram, Guntur district
49. Shaik John Ahmed . Revision Officer in Guntur district.
52. N. Subrahmanyam . Cheyyeru, East Godavari district.
53. K. Narasimhaswamy Someswaram, East Godavari district.
54. S. Subrahmanyam .. Executive Officer in Krishna district.
57. K. Jayadeva Varma Executive Officer, in Krishna district.
58. T. Seetharamanah .. Revision Officer in Nellore district.
59. G. Umakantaiah .. Avangadda, Krishna district.
60. K. Nageswara Rao On leave.
61. T. Devaraja Sudhi .. Gollapudi, Krishna district.
63. S. Parthasaradlu Extension Officer for Panchayats, Anantapur, Vizag district.
64. V. Narasimhnyya Devanakonda, Kurnool district
65. Ch. Konda Rao .. Revision Officer, in Srikakulam district.
66. P. Paidital .. Revision Officer in Srikakulam district.
67. P. Sreevaramurthy .. Mandasa, Srikakulam district
68. Bh. Satyanarayana .. Reverted as Clerk.
69. Ch. Prakasha Rao .. Revision Officer, in Srikakulam district.

211—6
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Present Station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>K Sreeramchandra Adavikolamu</td>
<td>West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>N. Venkata Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Vizag district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>V. Satyanarayana</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Vizag district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>N. Parvatcesam</td>
<td>Sakhinetipalli, East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>T. Siva Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>P Subba Rao</td>
<td>Uppalaguptham, East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>G. Vedanta Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>M Sreeramamurty</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>L Bhaskararamamurthy</td>
<td>Pentapadu, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>H Chutiraju</td>
<td>Rayalu, East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>M. Pullam Raju</td>
<td>Tanuku, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>D. Amruta Rao</td>
<td>Bhimadole, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>N. Veeranjaneyulu</td>
<td>Koduru, Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>K. Appa Rao</td>
<td>On leave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>M Mahaboob</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Ch. Koteswara Rao</td>
<td>Gampalagudem, Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Sri V Nageswara Rao</td>
<td>Amrutarul, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>P. Sitaramamurty</td>
<td>Kolakalur, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>G Ch Subba Rao</td>
<td>Thullur, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>L. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Intur, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>G Ramakrishna Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Abdul 'Aleem</td>
<td>Chavali, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>T Venkata Rao</td>
<td>Kovur, Nellore district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>T. M. Venkatrayahu</td>
<td>Panganoor, Chittoor district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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01. S. P. Sreeramulu Nager, Chittoor district
05. K. Krishnappah Reverted as Clerk at request.
06. E. Ram Reddy. Revision Officer in Anantapur district
08. K. Ghose Mohiddin. Revision Officer in Anantapur district
09. V. Krishnan. Revision Officer in Chittoor district
10. G. Gopala Naidu Reverted as Village Level Worker at request
11. K. Venkata Gowd Reverted as Clerk at request
12. V. Ramanaiah Stands posted at Nellore district
14. C. Sreeramulu Kothacheru, Anantapur district
15. K. Eswaranah, Nandikottu, Kurnool district.
16. P. Kalyana Ramayya Polur, Kurnool district
17. M. Venkateswarlu Kodavalu; Nellore district
18. B. Nagabushnam Mulapalem, Guntur district.
20. S. Chandrasekhar Reddy Ichapura, Srikakulam district
22. M. Krishna Rao Revision Officer in Srikakulam district.
23. R. V. Krishna Rao Reverted as Clerk.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>S. T. R. Krishna Reddy</td>
<td>Under Suspension</td>
<td>Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>J. Venkayya</td>
<td>Revision Officer</td>
<td>East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>B. Srinivasa Murthy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Machavaram, East Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>I. Krishna Murthy</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Md. Tazuddin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamavarapukota, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>I. Krishna Murthy</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Md. Tazuddin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kamavarapukota, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>M. Subbaraju</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mahadevapatanam, West Godavari district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>A. J. Rama Rao</td>
<td></td>
<td>Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>K. J. Sagar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>G. V. Raghava Rao</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kukundu, Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>A. J. Rama Rao</td>
<td></td>
<td>Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>K. J. Sagar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>G. V. Raghava Rao</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nuzvidu, Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>M. Subrahmantham</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kothavaram, Krishna district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>N. Gopala Rao</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pedakam, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Ch. Venkateswara Rao</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dhandupudi, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>A. S. Gopala Krishna Murthy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thovagunta, Guntur district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>S. Venkatachalam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sagarayakonda, Nellore district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>B. V. Ramachandran</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kandukur, Nellore district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>G. Veerakosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ravigunta, Chittoor district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>P. Krishna Naidu</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bommassaram, Chittoor district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>G. Mummattam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pakala, Chittoor district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>M. Bhuskara Reddy</td>
<td>Revision Officer</td>
<td>Chittoor district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>P. Narayana Chetty</td>
<td>Venkatagiri, Chittoor district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>T. D. Prasad Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer</td>
<td>Kurnool district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl. No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Present Station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Sri S. Lakshmikanta Rao</td>
<td>Kodumuru, Kurnool district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>P. Krishnaiah</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Cuddapah district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Y. Munuswamy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Cuddapah district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>S. A. Murrepura</td>
<td>Reverted as Group Assistant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>P. Khuder Rawood Khan</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Cuddapah district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>J. Nagablushanam</td>
<td>Baruva, Srikakulam district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>K. Krishna Murty</td>
<td>Lakshmanagaramswaram, West Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Syed R. Hum Moluddin</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Nellore district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>S. Krishna Murty</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>T. Ramakrishna Rao</td>
<td>Chagallu, West Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>V. Athukuram-yya</td>
<td>Malkapuram, Vizag district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Khasum Sheriff</td>
<td>Pedaparm, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>V. Narayana Rao</td>
<td>Pothumuru, West Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Y. Bhanuraja</td>
<td>Resigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>S. Satyanarayana</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>M. V. Lakshmipathi</td>
<td>Reverted as Clerk. Rao.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>V. Narasimhamurty</td>
<td>Malkapuram, East Godavari district.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>R. Gopalakrishnamurthy</td>
<td>Revision Officer in East Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>D. Venkateswar Rao</td>
<td>Executive Officer in East Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>S. V. Ramaiah</td>
<td>Narsipatnam, Vizag district.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>V. Satyanarayana</td>
<td>Absconding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>T. Mallikarjuna Rao</td>
<td>On leave in West Godavari district.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>K. Dalayya</td>
<td>Dommeru, West Godavari district.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Designation</td>
<td>District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Sri M Saijeva Reddy</td>
<td>Sirvel, Kurnool district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>A. Krishna Rao</td>
<td>Peemunta, West Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Md Azeezulla</td>
<td>Executive Officer in West Godavari district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>P. V. Raghavaiah</td>
<td>Chiranjeevi, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>M Koteswara Rao</td>
<td>Revision Officer in Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Sk. Mohammed Ali</td>
<td>Nandivelugu, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>G. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Reverted to Zilla Parishad, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Y. Satyanarayana Rao</td>
<td>Executive Officer in Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>K V. Raghavaiah</td>
<td>Churukuru, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>N Sreeramulu</td>
<td>Chiluvuru, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>V. Venkateswarlu</td>
<td>Agiripalli, Krishna district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>K. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Pedanandipadu, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>P. Pitchi Reddy</td>
<td>Executive Officer in Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Syed Mazeabulla</td>
<td>Jam, Vizag district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>B. Subba Rao</td>
<td>Kavur, Guntur district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.**—(1) The information furnished in Column 8 in respect of the Executive Officers working in Krishna district is based on the reports received from the Collector, Krishna in March 1985.

(2) The information furnished in Column 8 in respect of other Districts is based on the reports received from the Collectors in June, and July, 1985.
Short-notice Questions and Answers. 17th August, 1965.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — Sir, the answer to S. N Q No. 520 A that has been supplied to me is not satisfactory. I would request the Hon. Speaker to post this question to-day after tomorrow.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

CHANGE OF PLACE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF BARRAGE FROM DOWLESWARAM

S N. 529-B.

S N Q No. 143 F Q. — Sri P. Seshavataram (Narasapur) — Will the Hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) Whether there is any proposal to change the place for construction of Barrage from Dowleswaram;

(b) Whether any Engineering Department is formed to investigate the above matter?

(c) Whether the Government proposed another barrage at Polavaram; and

(d) Is there any pumping station to supply water to the steel plant at Vizagapatam without a barrage at Polavaram?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy — (a) The question of changing the place for the construction of a barrage from Dowleswaram does not arise, since the site where a barrage should be constructed has not yet been decided.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) No proposal is under investigation.
17th August, 1966. Short-notice Questions and Answers

1. What is the present flow of water in Godavari river and why is it not sufficient to the 13 lakhs and odd acre's in the existing delta?

2. The present flow of water in Godavari river is not sufficient to the 13 lakhs and odd acre's in the existing delta.

3. Fourth Plan 5th has provision for Godavari.

4. Fourth Plan 5th has not provided.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy. This was a national project. If some thing happens to this arsent it will be national loss. Government of India must come to our aid and we have to take it up as a non-plan project.
Mr. Speaker—National loss.

Mr. Speaker—National Project.

Mr. Speaker—It is a loss for Centre more than to our State.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy.—For the present, the water supply to Steel Plant at Visakhapatnam has nothing to do with this barrage or other barrage, Sir.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy—This question is not in the interests of our State, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—What he says is that the question is not in the interests of the province. To disclose the information is not proper.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy—If the Press is not going to publish, I have no objection to say.
Mr. Speaker:—If the Members are interested, to disclose such information . . .

First of all this dam has over lived. It is 110 years old. I think in a month or two we will get the final report.

They wanted some facts and figures. The Special Division is doing it. Whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the entire village of Yeleswaram, upstream side of Nagajunasaagar Dam, was under submersion from about 18th July onwards for about six days?

Unless there is storage it is very difficult.

Submission of Yeleswaram Village.

S. N 520—C.

S. N. Q. No 1482—W. Sri Tenenti Viswanath:—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the entire village of Yeleswaram, upstream side of Nagajunasaagar Dam, was under submersion from about 18th July onwards for about six days?

(b) Whether those in charge of the Dam took any steps to keep down the level of the Reservoir so as not to submerge the village, until all the villagers are removed to other safer places;
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(c) What, with the rainfall, the extent of the loss of houses, crops, and the extent of the loss of livestock and animals.

(d) Whether the Government are aware that even in 1964, when the flow in the river was unprecedently high, the village was not submerged, and

(e) The steps taken by the Government to give the village immediate relief and what are the long term measures?

Sri A C. Subba Reddy — (a) According to the programme of construction of the dams, Yashwantpur village will get submerged and a Nagarjunasagar Reservoir during the monsoon season of 1965

(b) The villagers have vacated the village in the 2nd fortnight of June 1965 itself, constructing huts at higher places.

(c) Does not arise in view of (b) above.

(d) The Village was not submerged, but there was water all around.

(e) Does not arise in view of (b) and (c).

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy — My information is that no house was damaged.

Sri J. R. — The information is that 60-70 houses have been damaged.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy — Anybody can come

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — Sir, they have come to me. I have called the Special Collector, Nagarjunasagar also. I spoke to him. They felt satisfied and went away, Sir.

Sri J. R. — They are certainly satisfied when the Chief Minister speaks, because he speaks in a satisfactory way.

Sri  A.  C.  Subba  Reddy,  Naga  Junasagar.
ATTACK ON THE SARPAECH OF GAGANPAHAD.

820-D

S. N. Q No. 1483—Z Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi (Pathargatti)—
Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to State:

(a) whether it is a fact that one Sri V. Tulsiram, Sarpanch of Gaganpahad, Hyderabad West was brutally attacked by hooligans with knives and sticks with the object of murdering him in the premises of Sivarampally Ashram and burnt up his motor cycle on 1st August, 1965 in broad day-light; and

(b) if so, what action is taken by the Police to apprehend the culprits and to safeguard the life of Sri V. Tulsiram, whose life is in danger?

Sri Mir Ahmad Ali Khan:— (a) Sri V. Tulsiram was not brutally attacked by hooligans in the premises of Sivarampally Ashram. On the other hand, Sri Tulsiram had assaulted Sri Srim Reddy and two others on 81st July, 1965 and his motor cycle was burnt by the angry crowd.

(b) Prompt action has been taken by the Police to apprehend the culprits concerned and to save Sri V. Tulsiram.

Mr Speaker:—I am not allowing any supplementary because the case is still subjudice. He is expressing an opinion as to who committed the offence; that is subjudice.

Sri V Ramachandra Rao—We are not going to speak about the facts of the case.

Mr. Speaker—No, he is saying 'contra whether it is a fact or some thing like that.' All that is under investigation.

Sri V Ramachandra Rao—I will just put a question. Is it not a fact that a petition was submitted to the DIG of Police by Mr. Tulsi-rum about the partial attitude adopted by the Circle Inspector of Police? Is it not also a fact that previously two such assaults have been made on Tulsi-rum by the same gang and reports have been submitted to the Police in this regard, but no action has been taken.

Mr Speaker—The question is whether any petition has been submitted to the DIG and what was the action taken?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan—Information about any complaint having been made to the DIG or not is not before me, but there is faction in that village—so many acts and counter-acts are going on from a long time.

Sri V. Ramachandra Rao—If there exists a faction, under section 107, why not parties be bound over.

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan—In different cases suitable action was taken and the cases are pending.

Sri V Ramachandra Rao—What action has been taken till now? Suitable action might be taken or is being taken or is contemplated to be taken, that is not a satisfactory answer. But what action has been taken till now? In spite of the fact that a representation has been made that assaults are being made, that the life of a particular individual is in danger, what action has been taken? If you say there are factions what action has been taken to bind over the parties?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan—Mr. Tulsiram's group cut down the vines...

Mr. Speaker—What Mr. Ramachandra Rao is asking is if there is an active faction, a very bitter faction in the village what steps have been taken so far to maintain law and order in the village. Was any action and security proceedings started against the parties? Has any police been posted there?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan—Police has been posted in the village and in different cases different action has been taken and people were arrested, all those things have been done.

Sri T. K. R. Sarma—The question here is that the Inspector of Police has been acting a little in a partisan way. Will the Government think in terms of having the whole matter investigated by some other Officer than the present incumbent (Inspector)?

Mr. Speaker—The question is whether it is a fact that one Sri Tulsiram, Sarpanch of Ganganpahad was brutally attacked; he says that it is not correct. The question is whether a complaint was sent...
against the Inspector of Police to the superior authority that he was not fair in the matter of investigation and all that. On the other hand the question is whether it is a fact that so and so was attacked; he says it is not correct and he has given the information.

**Sri T K R. Sarma** - True, Sir; during the supplementarys it was revealed that certain things are there against the Inspector of Police.

**Mr Speaker** - When Mr. Vundematharam Ramachandra Rao asked that question, the Minister said "I don't have any such information before me".

**Sri T K R Sarma** - If there is anything like that, I want to know whether the whole matter can be investigated through somebody else. Can it be done by the Government?

**Mr. Speaker** - It is being investigated; in the case of every petition, they will take up investigation.

Mr. Speaker - The Police are always fair in these things; they do not spare either the complainant or the accused; they try to satisfy the selves from both sides; that way they are very fair.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswara —Is there any petition against the Circle Inspector that he was partial towards a particular section?

Sri Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan —There is nothing like that.

Sri T.K.R Sarma —The hon Minister was saying that there was a complaint against Tulsiram. Did Tulsiram also make a complaint? If so, which complaint was earlier and what action was taken on either of them?

Mr. Speaker —So far as the question put by Mr Owaisi is concerned, the answer is that there is no such complaint at all. On the other hand, there was another complaint from the other side that his motor cycle was burnt etc.

Sri Mr Ahmed Ali Khan —My answer is that Tulsiram attacked Srirama Reddy and two others and the case is pending, and an angry crowd of the village numbering about 500 gathered and burnt the motor cycle of Tulsiram who had hidden himself in the room. One case was against the burning of the motor cycle and another is against Tulsiram about beating.

Sri V Ramachandra Rao —I don’t want to go into details of the case. I have brought to his notice and a petition was also submitted in this regard that the Circle Inspector is taking a partisan attitude. Will it not be proper even now after such a notice has been given to him that the investigating authority should be changed and the entire investigation be handed over to somebody against whom there is no allegation of partisan attitude?

Mr. Speaker —There is no suspicion of any partisan attitude. Therefore there is no need to change the investigation.

Sri V Ramachandra Rao —Do you mean to say that Ministers of the Legislature who are alleging that he has been taking a partisan attitude are speaking a lie? And you want to rely on the officers who say that they have not been adopting a partisan attitude?

Mr Speaker —The Minister also must be satisfied that the Legislators are also not taking a partisan attitude.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy —May I substant, Sir it is a small matter happening in a small village. Law and order will take its own course. The investigation will be done and the persons will be booked. Why should this Assembly give so much importance to that?
Mr. Speaker — That is true; their only complaint is that the officer who is in charge of the investigation is not fair in investigating into the case. The Government might consider that. If they really feel he is unfair they can entrust it to somebody. But if they are convinced that he is fair, it is not a very serious matter.

Mr. S. R. Konar (Badaw) — That is true; their only complaint is that the special officer who is in charge of the investigation is not fair in investigating into the case. The Government might consider that. If they really feel he is unfair they can entrust it to somebody. But if they are convinced that he is fair, it is not a very serious matter.

Mr. Speaker :— Please pass it on to the Minister.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

SAIGILERU PROJECT IN SIDDAVATAM TALUK

497—

* 485 (4432) Q.—Shri N. Subba Reddy (Badaw) :—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the stage at which the Saigileru project (Nandipalle scheme) which covers eleven Panchayats in Siddavatam taluk stands at present; and

(b) when it will be taken up?

A —

(a) The scheme has been finalised as a Minor Irrigation Scheme. The opinion of the Collector, Cuddapah on the financial and administrative aspects of the scheme is awaited.

(b) It will be taken up for execution soon after the estimate is sanctioned by the competent authority.

AMBULANCE OF THE FIRE SERVICE DEPARTMENT

508—

* 218 (5007) Q.—Shri B. Sri Ramamurthy (Vijayanagaram) :—Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:
MESSAGE FROM THE COUNCIL

re:—JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE ANDHRA PRADESH (AGRICULTURAL PRODUCE AND LIVESTOCK) MARKETS BILL, 1905.

Mr. Speaker,—I have to inform the House that I have received the following message from the hon. Chairman of the Council:—

"To

The hon. Speaker,
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly,
Hyderabad

Sir,

I transmit a copy of the following motion passed by the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council on 14th August 1905 concurring with the Assembly in setting up a Joint Select Committee to consider the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1905.

211—§
Adjournment Motion


Motion.

That this House concurs in setting up a Joint Select Committee of the two Houses consisting of 24 members (18 members from the Assembly and 6 members from the Council) to consider the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1963 and that the following members of the Council be nominated to the Joint Select Committee:

2. Sri N.V. Ganga Raju.
3. Smt Subbamma.
5. Sri V. P. Raghavendra.
6. Sri Vijaya Rama Raju.

Yours faithfully,
G. BRAHMAVYAYA,
Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION


Mr Speaker: Now there is one adjournment motion to which Sri Lakshmanaswamy has given notice; it is regarding the serious situation which has developed due to fast unto death by Sri Nirikshana Rao from 13-8-65 and Sri Subrahmaniam from 11-8-65 before the Assembly Hall.

Mr Speaker:—This morning when I was coming I looked that side, they have removed everything.

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy: Not removed, they are being taken to the hospital for treatment. Now, Sir, there is no thing; he has broken his fast. I gave him butter milk. He was kind enough to agree with me to break his fast, it was kind of him.

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy:—Buttermilk assurance, didn’t you?

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy: No assurances, Sir.

Mr Speaker:—He seems to have given up his hunger strike.

What is the significance of the Fast unto death by Sri Nirikshana Rao and Sri Y. Subrahmaniam?
Adjournment Motion.

17th August, 1965

Mr. Fast unto death by Sri Nirmulane Rao from 3-8-1965, and Sri Y Subrahmanyan from 11-8-1965.

Mr. Speaker:— That is not correct

Sri K. Bhaknamani Reddy:— No, he was not arrested. When he was there on the 11th evening, doctor came and they were taken to the hospital.

Mr. Speaker:— Perhaps he was taken in a Police van!

Sri. Nirmulane Rao:— Please ask the question.

Mr. Speaker:— I am not allowing any discussion. The Member has given notice of the motion. I will ask the Member to say what he has got to say.

Mr. T V S Chalapathy Rao:— Anyhow, the House is pleased that the fast is broken. Will the hon. Chief Minister tell us why the fast was undertaken and under what circumstances the fast was given up?

Mr. Speaker:— I am not allowing any discussion. The Member has given notice of the motion. I will ask the Member to say what he has got to say.
17th August, 1965. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.

re: Infiltration of Pakistani Army Personnel in Mufti and the law and order situation in the State.

Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

re: Infiltration of Pakistani Army Personnel in Mufti, and the law and order situation in the State.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

re Infiltration of Pakistani Army Personnel in multi and the law and order situation in the State

The attention of the legislature is invited to a matter of urgent public importance relating to the infiltration of Pakistani Army Personnel in the territory of the State and the law and order situation in the State.

In recent months, there has been an increase in the number of infiltrations by Pakistani Army Personnel. Several incidents have been reported where such personnel have crossed the border and caused trouble in various parts of the State.

This situation has raised serious concerns about the security of the State and the well-being of its citizens. The government has been working tirelessly to address these issues and ensure peace and stability.

It is imperative that effective measures are taken to prevent such infiltrations and restore law and order in the State.

In conclusion, the matter of infiltration and law and order is of utmost importance and requires immediate attention. The government is committed to take all necessary steps to safeguard the interests of the State and its people.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
[Name]
[Position]
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.

Infiltration of Pakistani Army Personnel in multif and the law and order situation in the State.

Pakistan and Pro-Peking are responsible for these things. The first post 1947 activities in twin cities and 1962 Pro-Peking are charges that are insignificant. Convict of insignificant and convicts of twin cities are charges that are insignificant.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

re: Infiltration of Pakistani Army Personnel in mufti and the law and order situation in the State.

Sri Ramachandra Rao D’shpande — I have nothing to add, Sir

Christian converts Muslim converts

West Pakistan East Pakistan

activity in East Pakistan against

the infiltration of Pakistani Army personnel in mufti and the law and order situation in the State.
672 17th August, 1935. Call my attention to matters of urgent public importance.

re: Amendment to Sub-clause (a) of Article 270 (4) of the Constitution of India (Corporation Tax).

Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande:—I would like to say only a single point which both of them have not mentioned. That is that there are a number of Pakistani people and Pakistani agents who are coming over here without any permits and visas and like wise going from this place to Pakistan. If it is so, what action the Government is taking or contemplates to take in view of all those things. How many of them have come and how many are going?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Sir, the motion is something different. In any case I have heard the hon. Members and the information that they wanted to convey to the Government. I can only say on this occasion, all effective steps will be taken by the Government in regard to the subverters or any other such persons.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—The only thing is that there were some slogans like ‘Pakistan Zindabad’ opposite to Osmania Hospital. If it has not been brought to your notice at least find out whether there are any such elements.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—All that is in our notice, Sir.

Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande:—I do not want to put any more questions Sir. The only thing is that the Chief Minister was pleased to say that this has been taken notice of but what is the line of action they have contemplated to take, at least to have a faint idea.

An hon. Member :—Line of action should not be given, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No discussion on this now.

re: Amendment to Sub-clause (a) of Art. 270 (4) of the Constitution of India (Corporation Tax)

(Translation)

The Commission is understood to have recommended that the States' share of the divisional pool of income tax should be raised from 26-2/3 per cent to 75 per cent. If they are accepted and given effect to, the States will get about Rs. crores of Rupees during the entire fourth plan period. As regards the demand of some States, they should have a share of corporation tax also, the Commission is understood to have stated that this was beyond its purview.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

re: Amendment to Sub-clause (a) of Article 270 (4) of the Constitution of India (Corporation Tax)

In this Article (4) "Taxes on income does not include the Corporation Tax". The Taxes on income other than agricultural income shall be levied and collected by the Government of India and distributed between the Union and the States in the manner provided in Clause (2). The demand of some States that they should have a share of the Corporation Tax, the Commission is understood to have said that this was beyond its purview. "Tax on income does not include a Corporation Tax."

Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.

re: Amendment to Sub-clause (a) of Article 270 (4) of the Constitution of India (Corporation Tax).

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy: Mr. Speaker, Sir, this is a very important point in relation to the financial relationship between the Central and State Government and has been receiving very active consideration or at any rate, is very actively represented by the States.

I would with your permission, Sir, make a very detailed statement so that the whole position can be clarified.

Under Article 270 of the Constitution of India,

"270 (1) Taxes on income other than agricultural income shall be levied and collected by the Government of India and distributed between the Union and the States in the manner provided in clause (2).

(2) Such percentage, as may be prescribed, of the net proceeds of any financial year of any such tax, except in so far as these proceeds represent proceeds attributable to Union Territories or taxes payable in respect of Union emoluments, shall form part of the Consolidated Fund of India, but shall be assigned to the States in which that tax is leviable in that year, and shall be distributed among the States in such manner and from such time as may be prescribed.

(3) For the purpose of clause (2) in each financial year such percentage as may be prescribed of so much of the net proceeds of taxes on income as does not represent the net proceeds of taxes payable in respect of Union emoluments shall be deemed to represent proceeds attributable to Union Territories.

(4) In this Article-

(a) "taxes on income" does not include a corporation tax;
(b) "prescribed" means-

(i) Until a Finance Commission has been constituted, prescribed by the President by order, and

(ii) after a Finance Commission has been constituted prescribed by the President by order after considering the recommendations of the Finance Commission;

(c) "Union emoluments" includes all emoluments and pensions payable out of the Consolidated Fund of India in respect of which income tax is chargeable".
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.

Amendment to Sub-clause (a) of Article 270 (i) of the Constitution of India (Corporation Tax).

In Article 270 of the Constitution of India, Corporation Tax is defined as follows:

333 In this constitution unless the context otherwise requires, the following expressions have the meanings hereby respectively assigned to them, that is to say—

(6) "Corporation Tax" means any tax on income, so far as that tax is payable by companies and is a tax in the case of which the following conditions are fulfilled

(a) that it is not chargeable in respect of agricultural income;

(b) that no deduction in respect of the tax paid by companies is, by any enactment which may apply to the tax, authorised to be made from dividends payable by the companies to individuals;

(c) that no provision exists for taking the tax so paid into account in computing for the purpose of Indian income-tax the total income of individuals receiving such dividends, or in computing the Indian income-tax payable by or refundable to such individuals

It may perhaps be relevant to indicate the growth of receipts under Income Tax and Corporation Tax from 1956-57 to 1964-65. These figures are indicated below for purpose of comparison:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Income-tax receipts (Rs. Crores)</th>
<th>Corporation Tax Receipts (Rs. Crores)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1956-57</td>
<td>151.74</td>
<td>51.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957-58</td>
<td>163.70</td>
<td>56.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958-59</td>
<td>172.01</td>
<td>54.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959-60</td>
<td>148.85</td>
<td>106.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Like this, for 1965-66, the figures are:

1965-66 294.00 830.00

It will be seen that the percentage increase under income tax receipts (which are divisible to the states) is 83.7% as against the percentage increase of 85% under the Corporation Tax receipts over a period of 9 years (including budget estimate 1965-66). Actually from 1965-66 onwards the receipts under Corporation Tax have exceeded the receipts under income tax. This is probably due to the changes in the company tax structure brought about by the Government of India in 1960-61. Thus the entire benefit of the phenomenal increase is accruing to the Government of India only.
17th August, 1965. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.

Amendment to Sub-clause (a) of Article 270 (4) of the Constitution of India (Corporation Tax).

The state Government had represented to the Second Finance Commission that the states may also be allowed to have a share in the Corporation Tax and surcharge. To this the Second Finance Commission in para 102 of the Report have stated as follows:

"Some states have suggested the inclusion of Corporation Tax, the tax on Union emoluments and the surcharge on income-tax levied for Union purpose in the divisible pool. We are unable to consider these suggestions as they are against the provisions of the Constitution."

At the time of the submission of the Memorandum to the Third Finance Commission, this state Government and also some other state Governments had actually suggested that the Constitutional provision relating to the Corporation Tax should be amended to enable devolution of Revenue under that tax also to all the states. The Third Finance Commission, however, observed that they would make their recommendations in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and the terms of reference only. As such the Commission did not agree to the suggestion to include Corporation Tax in the divisible pool.

In December 1964 the Government of India were addressed indicating the approach of the State Government to the question and suggesting amendment to relevant provisions of the Constitution and a copy of it was also endorsed to the Finance Commission.

Government of India have intimated us in January 1965 as follows:

"In order to provide an equitable distribution of the resources between the Centre and the States and to suggest suitable adjustments which the changed circumstances might justify from time to time the Constitution provides for the periodical examination of the problem by an independent high-powered body, namely, the Finance Commission. Further, the scheme of devolution suggested by the successive Finance Commissions is an integrated one and among other things they take into account the changes in the Central Tax structure. The Third Finance Commission had in making their recommendations duly taken into account the shrinkage in the divisible pool of income-tax consequent on the change brought about by the Finance Act, 1959 in the classification of income-tax paid by the Companies under Corporation Tax. In the circumstances the question of amending the provisions of the Constitution as suggested by the State Government does not arise."

The Government of India's reply does not fully meet the point raised by this State Government and is not very helpful inasmuch as even admitting that the previous Finance Commissions have taken into consideration the shrinkage in the divisible pool of income-tax proceeds, the States have not been benefited in any substantial manner. The growth of receipts under the Corporation Tax (which goes entirely to the Central Government) during the last 9 years has
Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance.

re: Road obstruction (on Tembur to Pathapatnam road) by South Eastern Railway.

been as much as 654% as compared to 94% under incometax receipts which are divisible to the States.

The State Government have submitted to the Fourth Finance Commission as follows:

"The Centre is retaining the entire proceeds of Corporation Tax and also the surcharge on income-tax. The last two Finance Commissions expressed their inability to recommend sharing the proceeds from these two taxes in view of the constitutional provisions. A substantial portion of the present receipts now accruing to the Centre under Corporation tax should normally have been reflected under the incometax had the Central Government not brought about a change in the taxation of company profits and the State would have got their legitimate share in the increased revenues of the Centre. In view of this consideration and the constitutional embargo on the sharing of receipts from Corporation tax and surcharge on incometax, the Andhra Pradesh Government feels that it is reasonable that the Fourth Finance Commission recommends the sharing of the entire net proceeds from income tax by the States." The question was also specifically discussed, with the Finance Commission during the meeting held by the Commission with the officials of the State Government at Delhi on 23rd and 24th of April, 1965. It has been reported in the press that the Fourth Finance Commission has submitted its recommendations to the President on 18-8-1965 and that the Government of India is likely to take a decision on the recommendation of the Commission shortly. The State Government is awaiting the report of the Fourth Finance Commission.

76: ROAD OBSTRUCTION (ON THEMBUR TO PATHAPATNAM ROAD) BY SOUTH-EASTERN RAILWAY.
678 17th August, 1965. Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance

Road obstruction (on Thembur to Pathapatnam road) by South Eastern Railway.

Dr M.N Lakshminarasiah: The road from Pathapatnam to Thembur otherwise called as Pathapatnam Tekkah road (SM-6F) was originally formed by the then Maharajah of Parkimith and it runs along the railway line from Nowapada to Guipur which was also once the property of the Maharajah. The Railway line with its accessories was transferred to the Railway Department. The bit of road from Tekkah to Thembur was transferred to Highways Department (now Roads and Buildings Department) and the other bit of the road from Thembur to Pathapatnam is now vested in the Zilla Parishad. In pursuance of the instructions of Government the Zilla Parishad has taken up repairs to this road at a total estimated cost of Rs 92,000 from 10 paise grant.

The road runs parallel to the Railway line, and at the Thembur railway halt station it passes between the passenger shed and the Railway line forming more or less a plat-form. The Railway authorities did not object to the work repairs of the road at other places but only when the work started on a bit of 80 feet in front of the Thembur station they obstructed the work and erected barricades at either end of the station limits. The Divisional Superintendent (Engineering) South-Eastern Railway, Waltair requested the Chairman, Zilla Parishad Srikakulam to divert the alignment of the road claiming that portion of the road in front of Thembur railway station as railway land. The Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Srikakulam inspected the site on 17-6-1965 and informed the Divisional Superintendent (Engineering) South Eastern Railway, that the road in front of the Railway Station is an existing one and not a newly formed road as stated by them. A dispute over the ownership of the land covered by the road is now being examined by the Collector, Srikakulam.

The Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Srikakulam also suggested two alternative devisor to the road to avoid passing through the railway line and the passenger shed one to the East of the Railway
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.


re. Fall in price of turmeric

line and the other on the Western side behind the passenger shed. The former diversion i.e., the one to the Eastern side requires two level crossing to be provided. He requested the Divisional Engineer (Engineering) South-Eastern Railway to arrange for a joint inspection with him. But so far no reply has been received by the Executive Engineer from the Divisional Engineer, South-Eastern Railway. In the course of discussion however, the question of shifting the passenger shed to the other side of the railway line may also be negotiated with the Railway authorities.

Due to the objection raised by the Railway authorities and the erection of barricades on the road portion in front of the Thimber Railway Station, the repairs work was stopped at the disputed spot. The matter is thus under negotiation with the railway authorities and also under examination by the District Revenue authorities as regards the ownership of the bit of land.

re: Fall in price of Turmeric.

Rs. 1.00 (Rupees One) — 0.50 (Rupees Half), between 1955-56 to 1956-57, 500 (Rupees Five Hundred), after that, 100 (Rupees One Hundred).

Fluctuations in the price of turmeric affected the calculation of the price. The price of turmeric was subject to fluctuations at the time of purchase. The cost of turmeric was Rs. 175 per quintal, but it increased to Rs. 350 per quintal. The export quintals were 175 per quintal. The price of turmeric was Rs. 175 per quintal. The Government of India Spices Export Promotion Council approved the price of turmeric.

re. Bank....
Rules issued under section 217 (3) of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964.

6. GO Ms No 216, Panchayat Raj Department dated 4-8-1965.
7. GO Ms No 217, Panchayat Raj Department dated 4-8-1965.
8. GO Ms No 237, Panchayat Raj Department dated 8-3-1965.
9. GO Ms No 282, Panchayat Raj Department dated 12-3-1965.
10. GO Ms No 293, Panchayat Raj Department dated 15-3-1965.
11. GO Ms No 294, Panchayat Raj Department dated 15-3-1965.
12. GO Ms No 410, Panchayat Raj Department dated 1-4-1965.

Dr M N Lakshminarasiah—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1965 a copy in each of the following Rules which have been published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette through the Government Orders mentioned below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No</th>
<th>No of Government Order an D.</th>
<th>Details of the Gazette in which the rules have been published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>G. O. Ms No. 216, dated 1-4-1965</td>
<td>-do- dated 1-4-1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>G. O. Ms. No. 217, dated 4-8-1965</td>
<td>-do- dated 4-8-1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>G. O. Ms. No 217, dated 5-8-1965</td>
<td>-do- dated 5-8-1965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid on the Table.

211—10
Sirs Ramachandra Rao Deshpande:—Because the gazette itselfs are not placed on the Table of the House, the gazette numbers...
should be given. There are ordinary gazettes and extraordinary gazettes.

Dr M. V. Lakshminarayana — Date to be given. All right, Sir.

Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande — One more point of submission. Sir, the hon. Member was pleased to say that there is no great delay. But my point is there is a statutory obligation placed upon the Government that it should be necessary and must only be placed on the Table of the House when the Assembly is in session immediately after the rule is made. Is it not a legal deviation from the particular statutory obligation, Sir, and if so, is he not unjustified in saying that it is not a great delay?

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Two months from now it is regularized.

Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande — Even that it is not desirable I hope the Department will necessarily take precaution and place them on the Table accordingly instead of saying there is no delay.

GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill 1965

"Even when appreciable variations take place, it would not be right to raise or reduce the demand proportionately for the significant factor in the determination of the demand, of land revenue demand is the change in the net income or net produce or profit to the cultivator and not the value of his gross produce. The practicable method of allowing for changes in costs of production which..."
would normally occur in the same direction as changes in prices.

would be to make adjustments only up to a moderate proportion in
price changes.'

Government Bill.


To the Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965.

...
Government Bill

A sad commentary on the land revenue assessment Bill of 1965. The most astounding aspect of the settlement principle is its irrationality. Settlement notification aspects study land revenue per capita figures. Additional Assessment Act is opposed. 1953 Taxation Committee report. 1962 Bill drop.
Government Bill.

The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop
Land and Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965.


10. The Select Committee reports:

(a) A sugarcane crop may be exempted from an assessment, Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land and Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965.

(b) The Select Committee recommends:


The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965

688 17th August, 1965:

Government Bill.

The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965

...
Government Bill.  
The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965

The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965, seeks to make the assessment of sugarcane equalization of rates uniform and equitable. The Bill introduces a number of reforms to improve the assessment process. It provides for the establishment of a Sugar Cane Equalization Board, which will consist of representative farmers, sugar manufacturers, and government officials. The Board will have the power to determine the fair assessment rate for sugarcane crops. The Bill also includes provisions for the appointment of a Select Committee to review the Bill and make recommendations. The Select Committee's report will be considered before the Bill is enacted. The Bill aims to provide a fair and equitable assessment system for sugarcane crops, ensuring that farmers are not unduly burdened with high assessment rates.
690 17th August, 1065, Government BiH,

The Andhra Pradesh Singareni Iron Ore Development Board,

requesting the issue of sources

Penalty 10 per cent of the cost (50%如有必要)

Area 900 acres

Revenue Secretary
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Challenges 3030, 3031, 10, 11. 5372

and engaged in challenge
The Andhra Pradesh Sugar and Cane Land Revenue Assessment Bill 1965

1. The Government of the State of Andhra Pradesh hereby make an assessment of the land revenue payable on the land belonging to the estates, and the assessment shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue Act, 1965 and the Rules made thereunder.

2. The assessment shall be made by following the procedure laid down in the Land Revenue Act, 1965 and the Rules made thereunder.

3. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

4. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

5. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

6. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

7. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

8. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

9. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

10. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

11. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

12. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

13. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

14. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

15. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

16. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

17. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

18. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

19. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

20. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

21. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

22. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

23. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

24. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

25. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

26. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

27. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

28. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

29. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

30. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

31. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

32. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

33. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

34. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

35. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

36. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

37. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

38. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

39. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

40. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

41. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

42. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

43. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

44. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

45. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

46. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

47. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

48. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

49. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

50. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

51. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

52. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

53. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

54. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

55. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

56. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

57. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

58. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

59. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

60. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

61. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

62. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

63. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

64. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

65. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

66. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

67. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

68. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

69. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

70. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

71. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

72. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

73. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

74. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

75. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

76. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

77. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

78. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

79. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

80. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

81. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

82. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

83. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

84. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.

85. The assessment shall be made in the manner prescribed by the Collector in accordance with the provisions of the Land Revenue Act, 1965.
The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop
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Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop
Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965

...
Announcement.


Announcement.

Re: Calling off the Strike by the Students of the Osmania University

Mr. Deputy Speaker—What has happened and negotiated, between the University and the students, if you are going to read, you may read.

Sri K Brahmamadda Reddy—Shall I read this thing, Sir? As you know, Sir, the main thing is about the detention. Here, it is agreed that those boys who are being detained under the present system will have an examination in September and...
14th August, 1965

Announcement

Calling off the Str by the Students of the Osmania University.

...and if they pass, they will be allowed to continue from September onward, along with the second year boys. That is the main thing, Sir. The University is happy that the students at the has been called off. The University is agreeable to the main representation of the students, i.e., that such students as would qualify for promotion in the September examination, 1965 will be admitted to the second year class. That means, Sir, such of those as fail, they fail. There is no change in that. But they will be allowed an opportunity to sit in September. If they pass, they will be allowed to continue to sit along with the second year boys, and will be admitted into the second year classes and allowed to appear for the University examination at the end of that year along with regular batch of students. There are other items, Sir. That is ...

1. (a) All the detained students of the first year of the new year degree courses (B.A., B.Sc., and B.Com) who qualify for promotion in the supplementary examination, i.e., by not failing in more than two subjects, shall be promoted to the second year classes.

(b) There will be a special session from October to April for the above-mentioned students in every constituent and Government college, irrespective of the strength of any such class.

(c) The examinations for the special session of the second year classes shall be held, at the latest, by the last week of April, and the results thereof announced in time to enable them to continue their studies along with the regular candidates in the third year of the degree courses.

(d) This arrangement shall continue as long as the present pattern of examinations for the three year degree courses is in force.

2. The University agrees in principle to conduct a supplementary session for the engineering degree courses, if such as shall, equipment and accommodation are available.

3. In principle, there shall be no discrimination in respect of the examination rules between the second and third year degree courses in engineering and two year integrated engineering courses.

4. The syllabi for the University courses shall be made available in the first term of the academic year and arrangements made to distribute them in all colleges.

5. The classes for all regular courses in the University shall commence not later than 31st July.

6. Now that the payment of fee for the memoranda of marks, has been made compulsory (it has been made compulsory this year, Sir) the amount of fee shall be reduced from five rupees to two rupees.

7. The examination fees for the new B.A. degree courses shall be ten rupees for two subjects, rupees twenty for three, four and five...
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sub-jects and one per twenty five for all the subjects in lieu of the present ranks of fees. The Chairman have written to the new B Sc. and B Com courses and the work will be advertised later.

No student who has participated in the Osmania University strike shall be penalised or victimised by the University (or the Government). All the cases will be ten against the students shall be withdrawn forthwith.

Of course, we are not going to punish any boy though he is misguided and I am sure my happiness and pleasure at the conclusion of this agreement, Sir.

Thank you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker -- Do you feel that you should have any discussion on that? It is a happy statement that has been made.

Sir Venkata Gopalakrishnaya -- We are happy that it has ended, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker -- If a number of hon. Members feel that some more explanation...

Sir Venkata Gopalakrishnaya -- No, Sir, we thought that it's...

Mr. Venkata Gopalakrishnaya -- No, Sir, we thought that...

Mr. Venkata Gopalakrishnaya -- No, Sir, we thought that...

Mr. Venkata Gopalakrishnaya -- No, Sir, we thought that...

Government Bill.


GOVERNMENT BILL

THE ANDHRA PRADESH SUGARCANE CROP LAND REVENUE ASSESSMENT BILL, 1965

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)

(For full details see page)
Government Bill.

The ANDRA Pradesh Sugarane Cop
Land Revenue Assess ment Bill, 1905.

17th August, 1905.

The 1200 acres of land of Sugarcane Cop in BiH, is being managed by the best person—Mr Sarat Chandra who has spent his whole life in cane cultivation. They are making a profit of Rs. 600 per year. The following are the details:

- Rent: 2000 rupees at 17% per annum.
- Rent: 1500 rupees at 15% per annum.
- Rent: 1000 rupees at 10% per annum.
- Rent: 8000 rupees at 6% per annum.
- Rent: 8800 rupees at 5% per annum.

The total rent collected is 25,500 rupees per annum. The average price of sugar is 2.5 rupees per lb. The amount collected is 1200 rupees per annum. The total amount paid to the Government is 800 rupees per annum.

Government Bill


Only 1/3 is being consumed in the sugar factories, the rest of 2/3 is being consumed for jaggery and other purposes. Hence it is being processed into jaggery. The rest of the jaggery is being processed into other products. This is a goose that is laying golden eggs. Please don't kill it.

Statement of the facts.

There is a statement that the sugarcane is being consumed for jaggery and other purposes. The rest of the jaggery is being processed into other products. This is a goose that is laying golden eggs. Please don't kill it.
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The Anhara Pr-desh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965, provides the groundwork for a phased programme of revenue assessment to be implemented. The purpose of this programme is to ensure a balanced supply of sugarcane, as the demand for sugar is expected to increase due to the rise in livestock production. The Bill mandates the Government to procure sugarcane at fixed rates to ensure a steady supply of raw materials for the sugar industry. This is to be achieved by setting up a Sugar Board to regulate the supply of sugarcane and ensure a steady supply of raw materials.

Mr. D. G. Reddy, Mr. Deputy Speaker, I rise to support this Bill. My hon. Members who spoke before me were very critical and they were very critical in their criticism against the announcement of his tax. The main object of this Bill as explained by the Honourable Minister for Revenue is to bring about uniformity between the rates existing in Andhra and the rates existing in Telangana areas and in so doing it is proposed to enhance the rates of Andhra sugarcane.

17th August, 1965. 701

lands to the level of the rates obtaining in Telangana lands specially under Nizamsagar Project. Now, Sir, as has been pointed out by our Minister the total area under sugarcane cultivation in the whole state is about 3 lakhs of acres. Out of that about 2,02,000 acres is in Andhra area and about 97,000 acres in Telangana area and mostly in Nizamsagar Project area.

By the enhancement we are likely to get about 40 to 50 lakhs of rupees because this additional tax may go up to about Rs. 20 to Rs. 25 per acre. The main criticism was about the cost of production and that is the cost of production in Andhra area is more than the cost of production in the Telangana area and the yield per acre also is less in Andhra area than in Telangana and they have directed that there is no case for enhancement. I will come to this point later. Shri Ramagopal Reddy spoke just now very venomously in opposing this Bill. I do not think his speech was very reply because his statements are based on completely incorrect data. I do not know he had done it intentionally because he himself is a cultivator of a very big farm. I would put him a single question when he says that the margin of profit for the Adivasi crop in Nizamsagar is only about Rs. 500 or Rs. 400, to lease out his land. He has about 60 to 70 acres of sugarcane farm. Let him lease it out at that rate. I am prepared to take it. Before I go to these facts about the per acre yield and the margin of profit, I would like to submit that the amount of lease in Nizamsagar is more than Rs. 800 per acre and in deltas also, i.e., in the Andhra districts, it is about Rs. 800 to Rs. 900 and that in the average it is some times Rs. 1,200 or Rs. 1,500 per acre depending on the fertility of the soil. What they say that there is no margin of profit then there is no reason why they should get so much of revenue on leasing out lands! Coming to the yield per acre, I submit that the figures given by the Honourable Member Shri Ramagopal Reddy are quite misleading, because he said in Maharashtra State where the tax per acre is more Rs. 120 to Rs. 130, the yield is about 60 tons. It is not a fact Sir. The yield is only about 32 tons while the average yield in our State is 31 tons per acre. However, when we compare this yield per acre in Telangana and Andhra, we come to the conclusion that in Andhra area—

I know that in Srikakulam and Vishakapatnam Districts—the lands are poor and the yield is less but at the same time the cost of cultivation is also very in the less. But on an average, I may tell you, in Andhra area the yield per acre is about 31 tons while in Telangana that is in Nizamsagar, it is only 20 tons. The Honourable Members have stated something about the very low. I will come to that question later. But the main thing is why this yield per acre is low when compared to Andhra is because our lands are very poor. We have to put a lot of nitrogenous fertilizers. We apply about 250 tons per acre, while they apply only 150 tons per acre.

With regard to the cost of cultivation, there also I submit that the delta districts are quite beneficial when compared with our Nizamsagar District. The cost of cultivation in the delta area comes to about Rs. 900 per acre while in Telangana it comes to about Rs. 950. When we calculate the margin of profit, the average margin of profit—I am not talking of the very high fertile lands in Andhra area—is about

the August 1963 went in Telangana it is only 660. It is a very low margin. But there are lands which fetch Rs. 1500 or even more. I very much appreciate the figures given by our Honourable friend Sri K. Govinda Rao and those figures are almost correct. They show a very different picture and contradict his own argument because he says in marginal lands in Vizag district, the average margin of profit is about Rs. 600. That is correct. When he gets even in marginal lands Rs. 600, I think, the cultivator should not feel that it is a great burden to pay Rs. 25 per acre. In this connection, I also submit that the figures given by the Honourable Member Sri Krishnamurty Babu, who is an expert on the economics of sugar cane cultivation are quite misleading. He says that the margin of profit in deltas is nearly Rs. 200 or Rs. 300. That is entirely wrong. I do not know why he made such a statement because all these facts are known to us and nothing is new.

As regards general taxation, Sri Govinda Rao was very correct. He opposed this Bill because it affects the cultivators. But I am surprised at the way in which our communist friends mostly opposed the Bill.

Sri K. Govinda Rao: On a point of personal explanation, Sir, it is well known that the margin of profit derived from the sugar-cane is considered higher than the margin of profit available in the case of other crops.
Government Bill
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(Contd.)

(Sri P. Narayana Reddy in the Chair)

""
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In the House of Assembly.

Sir,

The word 'uniform' should be included in the definition of 'commercial crops' as also the definition of 'inveritable administration'.

Yours faithfully,

(Taxation Department).

Government Bill.

Andhra Pradesh has a large area under sugarcane which is cultivated mainly by small farmers. The sugarcane areas are located in large blocks and are distributed throughout the state. The per capita income of the state is lower than the national average. Agriculture is the main source of income for the people, and agriculture is exempt from income tax. A agricultural income tax cannot be levied on the farmers without their consent. If the people of the area are exempt from income tax, then the farmers will not be taxable. The per capita income of the state is lower than the national average.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy—Why discussion, Sir. It is difficult to proceed in this manner.
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Volumetric assessment is the basic principle. Volumetric assessment is in line with volumetric measurement. In addition, volumetric assessment is also used for additional assessment. Sluices have a significant impact on the amount of water used for irrigation. The yield of sugarcane can be calculated using the yield assessment formula. The yield assessment formula can be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Yield} = \frac{\text{Sugarcane yield}}{\text{Sluices}} \]

... (formula)

... (additional taxation)

... (agricultural income tax)

... (public opinion)

... (additional taxation)

... (agricultural income tax)
... (distribution)

... (sugarcane crush)

... (sugarcane yield)

... (13% to 14% rate)

... (120% rate)

... (80-90% rate)

... (120% rate)
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(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. J. P. Reddy : Hon'ble Members, Departmental Committee on 10-16-64 felt, after hearing the views of the Hon'ble Members, that the Andhra area should apply the payment of tax. The Hon'ble Member for the Andhra area also agreed to it. The Minister stated that some people were not satisfied with the decision. He asked the Hon'ble Members to withdraw their opinions, as they were not convinced. The Hon'ble Members expressed their agreement and withdrew their opinions. The Hon'ble Members thanked the Hon'ble Member. The Hon'ble Member for the Andhra area also thanked the Hon'ble Members and withdrew his views. The Hon'ble Member thanked the Hon'ble Members.

Mr. K. N. Reddy : Hon'ble Members, after a discussion on 10-16-64, the Andhra area decided to apply the rates. The rates are to be applied in the Andhra area. The Hon'ble Members expressed their agreement.

Mr. K. N. Reddy : Hon'ble Members, after a discussion on 10-16-64, the Andhra area decided to apply the rates. The rates are to be applied in the Andhra area. The Hon'ble Members expressed their agreement.

17th August, 1965


Statement of objects and reasons

It is well known that the margin of profit derived from sugarcane crops is considerably higher than the margin of profit available in the case of other crops. So in the interests of the finances of the State, the tax in respect of sugarcane in the Andhra area is proposed to be raised to the level of the rate prevailing in the Telangana area. The present Bill is introduced for raising the rates of tax and recasting the assessment.

More and more, efforts are submitted to the 4th Finance Commission. While it is quite true that the assessment rates generally were not too high, it is also true that the estimates of the 4th Finance Commission were not too optimistic. It is true that the 4th Finance Commission has directed higher estimates than the 3rd Finance Commission. However, the 3rd Finance Commission has taken into consideration the general nature of the economic conditions of the country in its assessment. The 4th Finance Commission has taken into consideration the general nature of the economic conditions of the country in its assessment. However, the 4th Finance Commission has taken into consideration the general nature of the economic conditions of the country in its assessment.

From the above analysis, it will be observed that the State has already reached a situation where in most of these cases, and there is really very little margin for fresh taxation left in the state field. Taxes in Andhra Pradesh appear to be the highest in the country as a whole. There is a need for the introduction of Commercial Assessment. Additional Assessment may be introduced to 

Commercial Crops and taxes on commercial crops have already been increased because of the increased taxation. Commercial crops have already been increased because of the increased taxation. Commercial crops have already been increased because of the increased taxation.
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Land Revenue surcharge on rice
Sales tax on other
Electricity tax on rice
Motor vehicles tax on rice
tax on alcoholic
drinks
Session 9
margin of profit
Excise duty on rice
Excise duty on
cane
State Excise duty on rice
State Excise duty
on cane
State Government
State Government

On every ton of sugar cane, the Central Government is getting nearly Rs 29/- towards Excise duty. Moreover, 80 rupees are charged as 29 0 826 tax on every ton of sugar cane. The 826 tax is divided as 8 30 80 rupees. State Government is getting 80 rupees towards Excise duty on sugar cane. State Government is also getting 200 rupees from State Excise duties on rice. The 200 rupees are towards 130 rupees.
Government Bill.

Assessment of 1965 stood at Rs. 109.50 crores against the previous year's assessment of Rs. 820 crores. The assessment has been increased by an additional Rs. 200 crores. The increase is based on the estimated increase in the sugar production from the previous year. The rate of increase is Rs. 1.421 per capita. The estimated assessment for 1966 is Rs. 1,900 crores.

According to the Bureau of Economics and Statistics, the per capita income in the sugar belt is Rs. 300 per annum. The average per capita income is Rs. 320 per annum. The estimated per capita income for 1966 is Rs. 350 per annum.

In addition to the assessment, the government has made provisions for the distribution of 2.7 kilos of Amonia sulphate per hectare. The distribution is expected to increase the yield by 10 kilos. The government has also provided 2.433 kilos of di-ammonium phosphate per hectare, which is expected to increase the yield by 7.0 kilos. The overall increase in the yield is expected to be 3.05 kilos per hectare.
Government Bu.
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Sugar cane variety is to be determined by the State Advisory Committee.

Sugar cane variety to be determined by the State Advisory Committee.
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Sugar cane variety to be determined by the State Advisory Committee.
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The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on
Wednesday, the 18th August, 1965.