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ANDHRA PRADHSH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Wednesday, the 28th July 1965.
The House met at Half past Eight of the Clock

(Mr Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

REGIONAL FAMILY PLANNING SURGICAL UNIT AT GUNUR

1—
*468 (4486) Q Shri P. Bapavath (Thirumay) —Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) when the Health Officer's Regional Family Planning Surgical Unit has been started at Gurur

(b) the number of Vasectomy camps run after opening the unit together with the names of places

(c) the camp-wise number of the operations performed

(d) the amount of expenditure towards each vasectomy operation and;

(e) whether any amount has been allotted to the Semithus for incurring expenditure towards said vasectomy operations?

The Minister for Health and Medical (Shri Y. Sivarama Prasad)—

(a) From 27-3-1963

(b) and (c) Information is placed on the Table of the House

(d) Approximately Rs 10

(e) No Sir

(17)
STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place where Vasectomy camps conducted</th>
<th>No of camps conducted</th>
<th>No of operations performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pandillapalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mangalagiri</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pandillapalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Madala</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Iskapalem</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Vaniyam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mayapadi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Mallepalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Malakapuam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Vegeshwarapuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Bommalipalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Gollipadi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Dommu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Demmendevi Vam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Kovvatu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Dhumivaram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Chiluvaham</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Vegeshwarapuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Goldigunde</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Attapadu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Kalavanchirla</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Poutlapam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Anglapuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Pentala</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Nidadhavolu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>K. lavapalli</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Tadimalla</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pendyala</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Venkarampalli</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Kotampalli</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>L Putum</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Chintalapalem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Thmarajupalem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Attalapadu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Nidadhavolu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Nurakki</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Nagarjunasagar</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Vutukar</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Chandraraupalem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Gopalgudem</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Dharakatrumala</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Bhumadolu</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Koyyalagudem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Dondapudi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Kondapalli</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

46 Total 481
20 26th July, 1965 Oral Answers to Questions.

అంగియాలు సమాచారదానం చేయడానికి, మరింత ముందు? అంశాలకి ఏమింటే, ఎందుకంటే ఇది తానికి సంబంధించినది? మేయింది?

ఇల్లవాణి తెలియజేశారు — అంశాలకి ఎందుకంటే సమాచారాన్ని అనుసరించగా, మరియు తానికి భావించిన చెప్పడానికి అందగా. 

ఇది కింది ప్రత్యేకంగా (మార్గాలు) — శాసనానికి చెప్పడానికి నిర్ణయం వచ్చింది. మరితో సాధారణ సమాచారానికి ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకప్పుడు. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి. 

ఇవి మరియు మరింతం విస్తరించడానికి ఎంచుకోవడానికి మొదలుగా, మరింతం ఆధారంగా ఎంచుకోవడానికి.
Oral Answers to Questions 2 7th July 1945

1. "Contraceptives"—the two pronged attack. The proportionate use of contraceptives is essential. Pursue simultaneously two objectives, one to educate the public, the other to provide effective means of contraception.

2. "Proportionate" — the proportionate use of contraceptives. The proportionate use of contraceptives is essential. Pursue simultaneously two objectives, one to educate the public, the other to provide effective means of contraception. Pursue 1st the education of the public and 2nd provide effective means of contraception.

3. "Proportionate" — the proportionate use of contraceptives. The proportionate use of contraceptives is essential. Pursue simultaneously two objectives, one to educate the public, the other to provide effective means of contraception.

4. "Proportionate" — the proportionate use of contraceptives. The proportionate use of contraceptives is essential. Pursue simultaneously two objectives, one to educate the public, the other to provide effective means of contraception.

5. "Proportionate" — the proportionate use of contraceptives. The proportionate use of contraceptives is essential. Pursue simultaneously two objectives, one to educate the public, the other to provide effective means of contraception.
28th July, 1965

Oral Answers to Questions

22

...
Oral Answers to Questions.


1. In the House of Commons, a question was asked as to the number of cases in which the Income Tax law was not enforced in the last year. The answer was that in 15% of the cases the law was not enforced.

2. A question was asked as to the number of cases in which the Income Tax law was not enforced in the last year. The answer was that in 15% of the cases the law was not enforced.

3. A question was asked as to the number of cases in which the Income Tax law was not enforced in the last year. The answer was that in 15% of the cases the law was not enforced.

4. A question was asked as to the number of cases in which the Income Tax law was not enforced in the last year. The answer was that in 15% of the cases the law was not enforced.

5. A question was asked as to the number of cases in which the Income Tax law was not enforced in the last year. The answer was that in 15% of the cases the law was not enforced.

FAMILY PLANNING BOARD

2—

Q 1302 (4870) Q  Si PO Satyanarayana Roy (Kham) —Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government is contemplating to constitute Family Planning Board at the State level,

(b) if so when it is likely to be set up, and

(c) the functions of the Board?

Sri Y Sivarama Prasad —(a) The State Family Planning Board was already constituted in 1957 and reconstituted in 1962:

(b) In view of the answer to (a) the question does not arise

(c) The State Family Planning Board is an Advisory Board and chalks out the policies in its meeting being convened once in a year for implementation of Family Planning in the State. The last meeting was held on 14-9-1964.
Oral Answers to Questions

28th July 1965

PRIZE SCHEME FOR FAMILY PLANNING

9--

396 (4674) Q Sri P O Sekaranayana Renu —Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether it is fact that the Government is contemplating to formulate prize scheme to Panchayats for the implementation of Family Planning;

(b) if so, the details of same; the cut rate that would be adopted for the prize scheme; and

(c) the kinds of the prize that are likely to be awarded?

Sri Y Sivarama Prasad —(a) The scheme has not been finalised by the Government of India for implementation by the Sate Government.

In view of (a) above, (b) & (c) do not arise.

4--

KAKHATHIYA MEDICAL COLLEGE

444 (4100) Q Sri K Raghavulu (Jongann) —Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether there is any proposal to establish a night shelter in the Kakhatiya Medical College, Warangal District?
26

(b) if so, whether the Government propose to start the night college from the year 1905?

Sri Y. Swarana Prasad — (a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

NEW MEDICAL COLLEGES

5—

461 (4977) Q. Sri M. Lakshmana Savany — Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have proposed to reconsider the question of opening new medical college in the State in view of the decision of the Central Government to open thirty medical colleges in the Fourth plan;

(b) if so, how many colleges are proposed in the State in the Fourth plan, and

(c) the places where they are likely to be located?

Sri Y. Swarana Prasad —(a) No Sir.

(b) & (c) Do not arise.

5. L. 65. Rama (hyderabad) — Vardhika Ratha 6th week 9 for the people of the people of — in the same manner.

(b) Rama 65th week 9 for the people of the people of — in the same manner. Six weeks. What are your results...
Oral Answers to Questions
21st July, 1956

1. What is the answer to the question—
   a. How many doctors are there in the state?
   b. What is the capital of the state?
   c. Is the capital a part of the state?

2. Government of India sanctions (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

3. The State Government has delayed the election process (state name) to the State Government Level.

4. The State Government, delhi, government of India sancton (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

5. Advanced Countries of the state (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

6. The state government has authorized (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

7. The state government has authorized (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

8. The state government has authorized (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

9. The state government has authorized (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

10. The state government has authorized (state name) for open seats in the constituency.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Sir, the Telugu Academy — 1, 2, 3.

Sir, the Central Institute of Medical Research is working for the betterment of the State Government. The wing of the institute is working for the betterment of the State Government. The institute is working for the delay of 30 days. Is the wings working for the betterment of the State Government? (2)

Sir, the Institute of Medical Research is working for the betterment of the State Government. The institute is working for the delay of 30 days. Is the wings working for the betterment of the State Government? (2)

Sir, the Telugu Academy — 1, 2, 3.

Sir, the Telugu Academy — 1, 2, 3.

Sir, the Telugu Academy — 1, 2, 3.

Sir, the Telugu Academy — 1, 2, 3.
Oral Answers to Questions.

1. Has the Central Government assigned any public hospital to any hospital with certificates of superintendence? If yes, which hospital?

2. Are there any universities in the region, and if so, which ones?

3. Are there any graduate colleges in the region, and if so, which ones?

4. Has any central medical college been assigned admissions from the statemedical college admissions?

5. What are the details of the 4th plan regarding the central medical college admissions?
30 28th July, 1965  Oral Answers to Questions

(1) Whether the Government have received a questionnaire from the Committee set up by the Union Government headed by the hon. H. V. K. Patil, Minister of Maharashtra inviting the views of this State Government on the question of legalisation of abortion, and

(a) what are the views expressed by the State Government regarding this issue?

Sri Y. S. V. Rama Prasad.—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not apply.

LEGALISATION OF ABORTION

6—

*731 (5617) Q  Sri A. S. Sarangi Rao.—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state.

(a) whether the Government have received a questionnaire from the Committee set up by the Union Government headed by the hon. H. V. K. Patil, Minister of Maharashtra inviting the views of this State Government on the question of legalisation of abortion, and

(b) what are the views expressed by the State Government regarding this issue?

Sri Y. S. V. Rama Prasad.—(a) The answer is in the negative.
Oral Answers to Questions. 28th July 1965.

FREEZE DRIED VACCINE UNIT

7—

*545 (4942) Q—Saravati P V Ramana (Kukkadala), P O Satya narayana Rao and A Subramana Iyengar. Will the Hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Freeze Dried Vaccine Unit at Hyderabad has gone into production;

(b) if not when will it go into production;

(c) the quantity of vaccine expected to be produced per year; and

(d) the estimated non-recurring and recurring expenditure?

Sri Y. Swamra Brahmendra Narayana Prasad—(a) No Sir.

(b) Only a part of the equipment required has been received from the UNICEF. The Freeze Dried Vaccine Unit will go into production after the entire equipment required is received and installed.

(c) 20 Million doses per year

(d) The following will be the estimated non-recurring and recurring expenditure:

**Non-recurring expenditure**

- Rs 85 to 40,000/- approximately.

**Recurring expenditure**

(1) Staff .. Rs 86,000/- Do

(2) Contingencies .. Rs 84,000/- Do

(3) Miscellaneous .. Rs 20,000/- Do

.. Rs 1,90,000/- Do.

[^545: 545 (4942) Q—Saravati P V Ramana (Kukkadala), P O Satya narayana Rao and A Subramana Iyengar. Will the Hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Freeze Dried Vaccine Unit at Hyderabad has gone into production;

(b) if not when will it go into production;

(c) the quantity of vaccine expected to be produced per year; and

(d) the estimated non-recurring and recurring expenditure?

Sri Y. Swamra Brahmendra Narayana Prasad—(a) No Sir.

(b) Only a part of the equipment required has been received from the UNICEF. The Freeze Dried Vaccine Unit will go into production after the entire equipment required is received and installed.

(c) 20 Million doses per year

(d) The following will be the estimated non-recurring and recurring expenditure:

**Non-recurring expenditure**

- Rs 85 to 40,000/- approximately.

**Recurring expenditure**

(1) Staff .. Rs 86,000/- Do

(2) Contingencies .. Rs 84,000/- Do

(3) Miscellaneous .. Rs 20,000/- Do

.. Rs 1,90,000/- Do.*
Oral Answers to Questions—

DEATH OF A BOY IN OSMANIA HOSPITAL

*128 (4213) Q.—Sri Varalal Gopala Krishna—Will the hon. Minister for I.
and M. kindly be pleased to state:

(a) whether any representation was received by the Government from Sri P. Namidev, A Hostel Osmania University, Hyderabad dated 15-12-64, regarding the death of Ramesh Babu aged two years in Bed No 11, Children’s ward who was admitted on 28-11-1964 and expired on 10-12-1964 by some negligence of the Medical Officer of Osmania Hospital, Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, what was the action taken thereon?

Sri Y. Sivarama Prasad—(a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.
Ora! Answers to Questions.

28th July, 1965

Mr. Speaker — The question is whether any representation was received by the Government?

Sri Y. Sivarama Prasad — Yes, Sir

Mr. Speaker — The question is whether any representation was received by the Government?

Sri Y Sivarama Prasad — The representation was received and the Superintendent of the Osmania General Hospital was asked what he has got to say and give him the details.

Mr. Speaker — After the representation was received, the Superintendent of the Osmania General Hospital wanted to make an enquiry but Mr. Manikyam did not come forward to give any evidence.

Mr. Speaker — When I asked him he said it was not received. It was sent to the Superintendent of the Osmania Hospital for enquiry and he wanted to enquire but this Manikyam did not come forward to give evidence.
Mr Speaker — Raja Sahab, you are confusing one with the other. The question is whether any representation was received by the Government, and if so what are the contents of the representation?

Sri Y Sivaramaprasad — I answered 'no' Sir.

Mr Speaker — No representation was received by the Government.

Sri Y Sivaramaprasad — No, Sir.

Sri V Srikrishna — Enquiry was made on the basis of the question sent by the Member.

Mr Speaker — That is what he said.

Sri V Srikrishna — How could he receive a copy of the representation when the Government did not receive any letter at all?

Mr Speaker — The Minister's reply is that no representation was received by the Government from Manikyam, but after the question was sent, they made some kind of enquiry in the matter and that is the information which is now furnished here:

‘In the enquiry ultimately they came to the conclusion that this Manikyam was admitted in the District Headquarters Hospital at Ananapur.'

The Hon'ble Minister is not able to give definite answer. I want to know whether such a case is in the register maintained by the Hospital? Have they enquired into the details?

Shri V. Swarana Prasad — Nobody said anybody was admitted, on 15-12-1964. A representation was made. What I understand is that he has been admitted on 23-11-1964. In Amruth Hospital it was found that on 23-11-1964 a boy named Ramsh 10, was admitted.

Mr. Speaker — Not in the Osmama Hospital?

Shri V. Swarana Prasad — No, Sir. In Osmania Hospital no boy of that name was admitted.

— Yes, Dr. Prasad. — Nobody said he was admitted, on 15-12-1964. Representation was made. What I understand is that he has been admitted on 23-11-1964. In Amruth Hospital it was found that on 23-11-1964 a boy named Ramsh 10, was admitted.

He did not come forward to give evidence. On 01-01-1965 no record was found.

— Sir, the Health Minister should have an enquiry again into the matter and place the report on the Table of the House within about a week.

Mr Speaker — Let the hon. Health Minister have an enquiry again into the matter and place the report on the Table of the House within about a week.
25th July, 1935.

Oral Answers to Questions

Mr. Speaker.—That is not necessary

—Whatever information you have got, please put it in writing and pass it on to the Minister so that he can have an enquiry made.

Mr. Speaker.—Whatever information you have got, please put it in writing and pass it on to the Minister so that he can have an enquiry made.

Mr. Speaker.—Very good. If you have a copy please send it to him to-day itself. Whatever information you have got, you please pass it on to the Minister so that he can take action.

X-RAY IN RAJAMPET HOSPITAL

9—

*489 (4529) Q—Guruji P Rajagopal Naidu and K. Mara Reddy (Rajampet).—Will the Hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to start an X-Ray Department in the Government Hospital at Rajampet in Cuddapah district,

(b) whether there is any proposal with the Government to increase the bed strength in the above Hospital, and

(c) if so, the number of beds to be increased?

Sri Y Sivarama Prasad.—(a) and (b) Yes, Sir;

c) 18.
10—

*784 (0010) Q — Sri S. Venkaya (Put by Sri V. J. Rama Rao) — Will the hon. Minister for Excise and Prohibition be pleased to state

(a) which hospitals are p. r. s. s. with the Government to preserve the Folk Art in the State; and

(b) if so, the number of the same?

The Minister for Excise, Prohibition and Cultural Affairs (Sri M. R. Appa Rao) — (a) We are encouraging folk dance.

(b) There are 28 students learning music in the Hyderabad Music College.

Licence for Manufacture of Wine at Hyderabad

11—

*968 (1779) Q — Sri M. Lakshmana Sastry — Will the hon. Minister for Excise and Prohibition be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government granted licence to a firm to manufacture wine in Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, how much wine will be produced per year?

Sri M. R. Appa Rao — (a) The Government have decided to grant licence to a firm for the manufacture of wine at Hyderabad.

(b) The production as estimated by the firm is 2.16 lakhs bhlises of wine in the first phase.

*997 — The production is 2.16 lakhs bhlises of wine per annum.
33 28th July, 1966,

Oral Answers to Questions

Mr. C. N. R. Appa Rao — 14 COMPANIES have applied.
Madras Commercial Corporation.
Sandhya Reddy, Chittoor
A. P. Commercial Enterprises, Hyderabad.
Commercial Societies, H. B. I.
Indian Chemical and Pharmaceutical Works, Hyderabad.
Hye, Hye, K. Jaiswal, Hyderabad

M. N. Narayana, Hyderabad.
Fazlal & Co, Secunderabad
Hyderabad Grape Corporation, Hyderabad
Premchand & H. Khand, Hyderabad.
Good cart Graipers, Hyderabad.
Liquors & Liquors Ltd, Calcutta
Shaw Wallace and Co, Madras.

(1) Hon'ble Mr. Speaker — Are you aware of any case of manufacture in this State of such a wine as that which I believe the Hon. Member for Guntur has referred to?

(2) Mr. K. — Did you say "illicit trade"? In Hyderabad some people are making special wine. One Sri Ram Mohan Ram has made special wine from birds and they also applied. Nowhere in the world there is such a manufacture. I thought he is referring to that and not to illicit trade.

Mr. Speaker — He was referring to the general circumstances.

(3) Hon'ble Mr. Speaker — I was referring to the circumstance when you are carrying on illicit trade. Ask them to send applications — even the people who are carrying on illicit trade so that they may legalize.

Sri M. R. Appa Rao — I did not say "illicit trade". In Hyderabad some people are making special wine. One Sri Ram Mohan Ram has made special wine from birds and they also applied. Nowhere in the world there is such a manufacture. I thought he is referring to that and not to illicit trade.
28th July, 1965

Oral Answers to Question

2—

(a) the amount of revenue derived by the Government through auction of Neera shops in Nellore district during 1964-65,

(b) the name of the highest bidder in Nellore Town during 1964-65,

(c) the name of the centre in which the bid was highest in Nellore district during 1964-65, and

(d) whether any person who have not deposited the amount were allowed to participate in bidding?

Sri M. R. Appa Rao —(a) In addition to monthly rental of Rs. 44,440 the amount of revenue derived by the Government through auction of Neera shops in Nellore district for the period from 1st October, 1964 to 30th June, 1965 is Rs. 3,99,063.14

(b) The name of the highest bidder at the auction of Nellore Town group sweet toddy shops is Sri K. Venkiah at the original auction. As he failed to pay the rentals for October in time, re-auction was held. In the re-auction Sri K. M. Devapriyulu Gramani was the subsequent highest bidder.

(c) Nellore Town Sweet Toddy Shop

(d) No deposit is insisted for participating in auctions of Sweet Toddy Shops. Hence this question does not arise.
Orral Answers to Questions. 28th July, 1965.

Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state

(a) whether there is any centrally sponsored scheme to grant financial aid to children of destitutes, disabled and handicapped people;

(b) whether the Government are aware that 75 per cent of the cost of the scheme would be met by the Centre if such a scheme is implemented in the State, and

(c) if so, the details of the scheme?

The Minister for Social Welfare (Smt T N Sudalakshmi)—There is no such scheme referred to by the hon. Member. There is however, a centrally sponsored scheme for awarding scholarships to the physically handicapped students, i.e., the blind, the deaf and the orthopaedically handicapped students in the State. The entire cost of the scheme is met by the Government of India. The details of the scheme are furnished in the statement laid on the Table of the House.
STATEMENT LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

THE CENTRAL SCHEME OF SCHOLARSHIPS FOR PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

This is a scheme sponsored by the Government of India for awarding scholarships to the physically handicapped students (i.e.) the blind, the deaf and the orthopaedically handicapped students. Under the scheme the State Government invites applications from the eligible students, scrutinise them in accordance with the instructions issued by the Government of India and forward them to the Government of India who in turn sanction and disburse the scholarship amounts direct to the candidates through the Institutions.

2. Under the scheme, scholarships are awarded for the following courses of study subject to the conditions indicated against each —

CRITERIA FOR PROVISIONAL SELECTION

1. THE BLIND

Academic

(i) I Sc / I A , Pre-University Course and first year of three-year-degree courses, where such course exists

The candidate should have passed the Matriculation or an equivalent examination securing 45 per cent marks in the aggregate

(ii) B. Sc / B.A., and Second Third-year of the Three-year degree courses, where such course exists, or any other similar degree courses

The candidate should have passed the I A / I Sc , Pre-University course or the 1st year of the three-year-degree course securing 45 per cent marks in the aggregate

(iii) M. A / M Sc / Ph D and other post-graduate courses

The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course and should have obtained a degree securing 45 per cent marks in the aggregate.

Technical and Professional Courses

(iv) Courses in Music and Physiotherapy

(a) Music

The candidate should have passed at least in the 2nd Division the Madhyama or an equivalent examination of three-years duration from an approved Music Institution

(b) Physiotherapy

The candidates should have passed the 8th Class in an ordinary school or a school for the blind or in both.

(c) Apprenticeship Courses and and vocational courses

The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course. He should have passed the 8th Class in a school for the blind or in an ordinary school or in both.

Note — Preference should be given to students pursuing Vocational courses.
Oral Answers to Questions.  

II. THE DEAF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Courses</th>
<th>Technical and Professional Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) I Sc / I A, Pre-University course and first year of the Three-year-degree course, where such course exists.</td>
<td>(1) The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) B Sc / B A, and Second and third years of the Three-year-degree course, where such course exists, or any other similar degree course.</td>
<td>(2) He should have attended a school for the deaf in ordinary school or both for at least 5 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) M A / M Sc / Ph D, and other post-graduates</td>
<td>(v) Technical and Professional courses at certificate and diploma levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(vi) Technical courses like Engineering, Medicine, etc., at degree level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. ORTHOPAEDICALLY HANDICAPPED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Pu. Matric course (from Class VIII onwards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) I Sc / I A, Pre University course and first year of the Three-year-degree course, where such course exists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) B Sc / B A, and Second and Third years of the Three-year-degree course, where such course exists, or any other similar degree courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) M A / M Sc / Ph D, and other post-graduate courses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note — Preference should be given to the students pursuing Post-Matriculation courses.
Oral Answers to Questions

Te Medical and Professional Courses

(a) Music

The candidate should have passed the Madhyama or an equivalent examination of three years duration in 2nd Division from a recognised Music Institution.

(g) Physiotherapy

The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course. In addition, he should not be suffering from a disability of the upper extremities.

(b) Apprenticeship and Vocational courses

The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course. In addition, he/she should not be suffering from a disability of the upper extremities. The State Government should exercise discretion in the choice of the candidates for technical courses.

(c) Technical courses at certificate and diploma levels

The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course. In addition, his/her disability should not be such as to interfere with his/her training.

(d) Technical Courses like Engineering

The candidate should be eligible for admission into the desired course. In addition, his/her disability should not be such as to interfere with his/her training.

3. The following criteria are observed for provisional selection:

General

1. Blind and Deaf students should be between 16 and 30 years of age. Orthopaedically Handicapped students should be between 12 and 30 years of age.

2. The income of the parents/guardian of the applicant should not exceed Rs. 1,000/-per month.

3. If an applicant is in receipt of financial assistance from some other sources, he/she should be provisionally selected only if he/she gives an undertaking in writing that he/she will be prepared to give up assistance from that source in the event of his/her being awarded a scholarship by the Government of India.
Government of India Scholarships for the Deaf

### Courses and Rate of Scholarships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of course</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Rate of Scholarship per month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic courses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>B.Sc./B.A., Pre-University course and first year of the Three-Year-Degree courses, where such course exists</td>
<td>Rs. 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>B.Sc./B.A. and Second and Third year of the Three-Year-Degree courses, where such course exists, or any other similar degree course</td>
<td>Rs. 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>M.A./M.Sc./Ph.D. and other Post-graduate courses</td>
<td>Rs. 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical and Professional Courses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Apprenticeship courses and Vocational courses: Engineering, Medicine, etc., at Degree level</td>
<td>Rs. 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Technical and Professional courses at Certificate and Diploma level</td>
<td>Rs. 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>Technical courses like Engineering, Medicine, etc., at Degree level</td>
<td>Rs. 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The rates mentioned above are subject to the approval of the Ministry of Education.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA SCHOLARSHIPS FOR THE ORTHOPAEDICALLY HANDICAPPED

COURSES AND RATES OF SCHOLARSHIPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of course</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Additional Allowance for the purchase and maintenance of Orthopaedical aids and mess charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Courses</td>
<td>(a) Pre-Matric course (from Class VIII onwards)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) I Sc/II A, Pre-University course and first year of the Three-Year-Degree course, where such course exists</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) B Sc/B A, and Second and third years of the Three-Year-Degree course, where such course exists or any other similar degree course</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) M A/M Sc, Ph D, and other Post-graduate courses</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical and Professional Courses</td>
<td>(a) Music and Physiotherapy</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Apprenticeship courses and Vocational courses</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Technical courses at Certificate and Diploma levels</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Technical courses in the Engineering Medicine, etc, at Degree level</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COFFEE PLANTATIONS

14—

*328 (413-A) Q Sri K Appala Naidu—Will the hon Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state

(a) the number of ryots belonging to tribal community of the agency area, to whom the opportunity has been provided by the Government to raise Coffee Plantations themselves, in Agency area where it has been proposed to raise coffee plantations;

(b) if not the reason therefor, and
(c) whether the Government will take steps to see that people of agency areas themselves raise the coffee plantation and achieve economic advancement, to improve their economic conditions?

\[ Sm't T N. Sadalakshmi. --(a), (b) & (c) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. \]

Statement Laid on the Table of the House.

(a) The Panchayat Samithis of Araku and Vantagiri Blocks of Visakhapatnam district have sanctioned a sum of Rs 2,835,40 and Rs 612 0.171 individual tribals for the purpose of raising coffee plantations in their backyards or farms on a small scale as an experimental measure. No departmental schemes have, however, been drawn up for the benefit of individual tribals.

(b) The reasons for not drawing up individual schemes apart from those specified above are that the coffee plantations require highly technical skill and large areas to be economic and also remunerative. It was for this reason that the Forest Department have themselves undertaken the growing of coffee plantation in large areas which will serve as Demonstration farms and the tribals are employed there as labourers so that they can have the technical know-how and gain experience to raise coffee plantation of their own in smaller areas ultimately.

(c) The Government have a proposal to raise coffee in an extent of about 1000 acres initially starting it as a Government farm and after 5 years the land will be distributed to the individual tribes who take part in raising the plantations in that area at a rate of about 2 acres each tribal. When these schemes are implemented, the economic conditions of these tribes will improve.

COFFEE PLANTATIONS
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*898 (447-A) \[ Q. Sri P. Gunnagya. --Will the Hon Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state \]

(a) the financial facilities rendered by the Government to the Girijas for raising coffee plantations in Andhra Pradesh, and

(b) if so, the district-wise number of schemes prepared by the Government?

\[ Sm't T N. Sadalakshmi. --(a) No scheme as such has been drawn up under Tribal Welfare to help individual Girijas to raise Coffee Plantations of their own as coffee raising is a highly technical matter and requires special know-how. Its remuneration also starts very late, an individual tribal will not have the technical know-how or the financial stamina. Further, from economic point of view, large plantations are remunerative, but Government have raised plantation in 411\frac{1}{2} acres in the agency areas of Visakhapatnam and East Godavari districts. \]
28th July, 1965
Point of Information:

Reception to a hon Member on the news that he was appointed a Minister

In which tribes are employed as labourers and given some facilities. However, during 1963-64, in Aaku and Ananithin block of Visakhapatnam district under the Panchayat Samithi, a sum of Rs 2,885.40 and Rs 612 respectively, were distributed to 171 tribes towards the supply of seeds and medicines for raising small backyard gardens on an experimental basis.

(u) Not Raised.

In many a tribal area — coffee plantations compounds distribute Experimental. Confusion create Express. Clarity required. Confusion create Express. Clarity required.

POINTS OF INFORMATION

Re: Reception to a hon. Member on the news that he was appointed a Minister

In many a tribal area — coffee plantations compounds distribute Experimental. Confusion create Express. Clarity required. Confusion create Express. Clarity required.
Point of Information:  
Re: Delay in receipt of Answers to Questions.


Mr. Speaker,—I have not received answers to the questions which I asked on the 1st of July in this House.

May I say that you will receive the answers to the questions?

I have not received answers to the questions.

Mr. Speaker,—I have not received any answers to the questions.

May I call your attention to the fact that we have not received answers to the questions?

Mr. Speaker,—I have not received any answers to the questions.

I do not see any report.

Mr. Speaker,—I have not received any answers to the questions.

Mr. Speaker,—Let us not take serious notice of it. I have not received any answers to the questions.

Mr. Speaker,—I have not received any answers to the questions.

Mr. Speaker,—I have not received any answers to the questions.

I want to call your attention to the fact that we have not received answers to the questions?

Re: Delay in Receipt of Answers to Questions.
Points of Information:

re: Delay in receipt of Answers to Questions

Mr. Speaker:—What else can I do, please tell me If answers are not received and if I do not include them in this session, they will only go to the next session. Otherwise what is the purpose in Members sending questions to the Speaker? Does the hon. Members want me to keep them pending till the next session?

Mr Speaker:—That I cannot do. I am only sending notice to all the departments concerned, and irrespective of the fact whether or not answers are sent, questions will be included in the list of the day. Beyond that, what is it I can do?

Mr Speaker:—I do not know whether any body on behalf of the Government is prepared to answer this. I remember, during these 3 or 4 months I admitted about one thousand questions and upto now answers for about 800 questions have received; we have still to receive answers for about 600 or 700 questions. I expect that all these questions will be answered before the session is over. There is no question of getting them postponed to the next session.
Point of Information:


Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—It is not possible to give answers for all the questions. There may be some questions for which we have to collect information from the taluks and even from villages. For such questions, it is not possible. Whatever it is, we will try to get replies as far as possible and try to give answers to all the questions that have been referred to us.

Mr. Speaker:—If it is a question of gathering information from the mofussils and a number of places, I can understand.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—In those cases, it is very difficult.

Mr. Speaker:—it is getting information from different sources, I can understand. But they will be only a few. So far as other questions are concerned, you will please see that answers are sent early.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Yes, Sir.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu:—That will be decided by the Speaker and not by the Minister.

Mr. Speaker:—Speaker only admits the questions.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu:—Whether it is to be admitted or not you have to decide it. But the Ministry must get the information.

Mr. Speaker:—I will try to get information for all the questions as far as possible.

**Point of Information:**

- **re:** Delay in the convening the meetings of the Assembly.

_Mr. Speaker:_—Did you receive a reply from the office?

**Dr. T. V. Chalapathi Rao:**—I have received a reply that the question was admitted and it has been included in the agenda. I did not see it till today. That question pertains to the ricksha-pullers co-operative society in Vijayawada. Does this require examination of any files, Sir?

**Mr. Speaker:**—They did not feel that there was any emergency just as you were feeling. The hon. member cannot compel the Government. According to the nature of the business, they will certainly by fix dates of the session. But, if the Members feel that there is emergency in the country and that the Assembly should be convened, Members have got a right to send a letter of requisition to the Speaker. If they felt like that, they could certainly send a requisition to convene the meeting. That type of provision is there under the rules.

**Sri V Sri Krishna:**—Is there a provision in the rules for sending requisition?

**Mr. Speaker:**—Proviso to Rule 15 reads thus:

> "Provided further that the Speaker may, if he thinks fit, call sitting of the Assembly before the date or time to which it has been adjourned or at any time after the Assembly has been adjourned sine die ordinarily after giving seven days' notice to the members."

**Sri Yanku Satyanarayana:**—Mr. Speaker only can do it.
Mr. Speaker.—I also did not feel the necessity though the Members felt the necessity. If they had given a requisition, I would have considered it. That is what I am saying.

None of us can say. We have not got a copy of the proceedings. The hon. Members cannot say that the Government has given a promise. He should not take every statement very seriously. Every statement coming from a Minister, should not be taken very seriously.

Mr. Speaker.—They should have convened in the month of June. Anyway, it has been convened late in July.

Sri P. V. Narimba Rao—So far there was no provision in the rules that there should be a session in such and such a month or in such and such a week. We have contemplated to amend the rules so as to make it obligatory that the Assembly meets three times a year, and that is being done. After that there will be no difficulty. Generally, the only consideration before the Government is that we have enough business so as to engage the Assembly for 8 or 4 weeks. After the new rules come into force, this difficulty will not be there and the Assembly will meet on the appointed day and at least a week time will be given to the Members.
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re: Delay in the convening the meetings of the Assembly.
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Point of Information:


Delay in convening the meetings of the Assembly.

That is not correct. The Chief Minister's questions have been postponed to some other date, because he said he has got some other business. That is why, ten questions were deleted from the list. Otherwise, I have asked the office to include about 30 questions a day. I did not know of this. Otherwise, I would have got some more questions included in the list. It was only at 10.30 A.M. yesterday that this was brought to my notice. We have answers for ten days.

We have received answers for about 300 questions. We have got answers sufficient for about 10 days.

Business Advisory Committee meet to chalk out business.
Point of Information: Delay in convening the meetings of the Assembly.

Mr Speaker:— Today's agenda was circulated yesterday. An hon. Member just now.

Mr Speaker:— It was circulated yesterday.

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— Not so, Sir.

Mr:— When the Bills are taken up, you can raise that point.

Sri:— Actually when the Bill itself is taken up, you can raise this point.

Sri G. Lachanna:— Office has got the address.

Mr Speaker:— I will see it is sent to hon. Member's house. I will see that hereafter a special messenger is engaged to get them served.

Mr Speaker:— It is a very interesting point that is raised. I will get it examined.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— So far as I know there is no Cabinet decision to the effect that it will be from the 18th Sir.
Mr. Speaker.—I don't think the Government issued any statement to the press saying that the Assembly session would commence from such and such a date.

Mr. Speaker.—I do not know whether any statement was released by the Government to the press.

Mr. Speaker.—So many things appear in the press. I mean, it is for the hon. Member to believe or not to believe. What can the Government say about it?

A. NOTIFICATION

RE: RELEASE OF SRI N SRINIVASA REDDY, M L A

Mr Speaker I am to announce to the House that Sri Nandayala Srinivasa Reddy, M L A, who was taken into custody under Rule 80 (1) of the Defence of India Rules, 1962, on 20-12-1964 was released from detention on 11-6-1965.

RE: PANEL OF CHAIRMEN

Mr Speaker I have nominated the following to be the members of the panel of Chairmen for this session.

1. Sri Bhuvan Sreerama Murthy
2. Smt. Kumudini Devi
3. Sri P. V. Sivarao
4. Sri P. Narayana Reddy

RE: COMMITTEE OF PETITIONS

Mr Speaker I am to announce to the House that under Rule 212-B (1) of the Assembly Rules, I nominate the following to be the members of the Committee on Petitions for the fifth session in addition to the Deputy Speaker who shall be 'in' Chairman of the said committee.

1. Sri Mohd. Ismail
2. Sri S. Jayarao
3. Sri C. Kuksekhara Reddy
4. Smt. Avulra Kimala Devi

RE: COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

Mr Speaker I am to announce to the House that under Rule 202-B of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, I have nominated the following members to the Committee on Government assurances for a period of one year from 1-7-1965

1. Sri J. Vengal Rao
2. Sri K. M. Narasappai
3. Sri Kovi Ramayya Chowdary (Nandipad)
5. Sri V. Viseswara Rao
6. Sri C. D. Naidu
7. Sri L. N. Sanyasa Raya.

Under Rule 202-C of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, I have nominated Sri J. Vengal Rao as the Chairman of the Committee on Government assurances.
Announcements

re Decision of the Business Advisory Committee.

re HOUSE COMMITTEE

Mr Speaker: I am here, to announce to the House the nomination of Sri P. Narasimha Reddy to the House Committee to the vacancy created by the resignation of Sri K. V. Narayana Rao.

Sri Vaddada Gopalakrishnayya — From whom did you say the resignation was? I gathered that you are negotiating the members to the Committee on Government Assurances with me from this very day.

Mr Speaker: The Committee was last meeting up to 30th June. The previous Committee was there.

Sri Vaddada Gopalakrishnayya — But you were nominating that.

Mr Speaker: I have already passed the resolution nominating him. I am only announcing to the House. The term of the members usually is from 1st July to 30th June of the next year.

Sri Vaddada Gopalakrishnayya — I thought you said term of the session but the session commenced only last Tuesday.

Mr Speaker: Because the Assembly was in session, I had to announce only now.

re: DECISIONS OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Mr Speaker: I have to announce to the House the following decisions of the Business Advisory Committee Meeting held on 26th July, 1965 regarding the Business to be transacted for the present Meeting:

28-7-65


29-7-65 Non-official Day.

30-7-65 to 3-8-65 Discussion on Governor's Address.

4-8-1965 1. Reply by Chief Minister to the discussion on Governor's Address.
28th July, 1965

Paper laid on the Table.

2 The Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1965 to be referred to a Joint Select Committee.

5-8 -1965 The Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1965

6- 8-65 Non-official Day


16- 8-65 1. The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Tenants and Ryots Protection (Amendment) Bill, 1965


3 The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Entertainment Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1965

11-8 -65 12- 8-65 1. The Andhra Pradesh Endowments Bill, 1965 to be referred to a Joint Select Committee.

13- 8-65 Non-official Day

14- 8-65 15- 8-65 Other Bills Business left over


2. The Andhra University (Amendment) Bill, 1965 as passed by the Council

3. Sri Venkateshwara University (Amendment) Bill, 1965"

PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE

RULES REGULATING THE DUTIES OF THE MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH OF THE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF HYDERABAD.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister I lay on the Table under sub-section (8) of Section 585 of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations Act, 1955 (Act II of 1956) a copy of the Rules regulating the duties of the Medical Officer of Health of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad framed under sub-section (1) of section 585 read with Section 184 of the said Act.
Mr. Speaker: Paper laid on the Table

POINT OF INFORMATION

Mr. Speaker: Motion under Rule 23 (2) of the Assembly Rules.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Law Minister, have you something under sub-rule (2) of Rule 23? How is it admitted under this sub-rule? Unless the motion of thanks is moved on which discussion starts, how is this admissible? I only thought over the Governor's address the House some Member may move a motion of thanks. After the motion is moved, then, of course, notice or amendment, can be given.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao: I was under the impression that the moving of the motion is also part of the proceeding.

Mr. Speaker: No, no. The rule is clear.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao: Then, I will move after the motion is moved.

Mr. Speaker: Somebody has to move a motion of thanks. If somebody moves now itself, it is all right.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao: I have submitted a notice of my motion yesterday. I submitted it already to the Secretary.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member can move the motion of thanks.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao: Sir, I beg to move "that an address be presented to the Governor as follows:"

"That the Members of the Andhr Pradesh Legislative Assembly, assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of the Legislature assembled together on the 28th July, 1963."

Mr. Speaker: Has it been circulated to all the Members?

Some Hon. Members: It was not circulated.

Mr. Speaker: I think we can have it tomorrow. Dr. Chalapathi Rao has already given notice. Meanwhile, I will have the motion circulated. He can move his motion tomorrow.

Sri Pilloalamari Venkateswarlu: Then, what is the business we have to transact today?

Mr. Speaker: We have got a number of Bills.

Sri Pilloalamari Venkateswarlu: After the Governor's Address is over, the first requirement is that discussion on that must take place unless the Government comes forward with a motion to adjourn the
28th July, 1965

Point of information:

re Motion under Rule 23 (2) of the Assembly Rules.

business. That has not taken place. The motion was not moved today. So, are we entitled to take any other business?

Mr. Speaker —Ordinarily, I expected some member to move the motion of thanks on the Governor's Address. That should have been done today. Of course, Dr. Chalapathi Rao has given notice. He has given the notice even yesterday. Just for the reason that copies of the notice were not circulated to the members, I am having it tomorrow. That is all.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu —If you are prepared to waive it, then you allow the Minister to move the motion to adjourn the discussion on the Governor's Address. If Dr. Chalapathi Rao has not moved the motion of thanks, the hon. Minister cannot move for adjourning the discussion and therefore we cannot take up any other business because it is clearly stated in the rules that as soon as the Governor's address is over discussion on that must take place.

Mr. Speaker Where is it stated?

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu —The rule says

"Notwithstanding that a day has been allotted for discussion on the Governor's address,

Mr. Speaker There need not be any discussion on the Governor's address, but any member may move a motion of thanks after the Governor's address both the Houses. Now, if a member moves a motion afterwards there will be a discussion but if no member moves any motion of thanks, then there won't be any discussion at all.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu —So, there is no discussion on Governor's address.

Mr. Speaker Now, as it is.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu —But they have to take it up immediately. As soon as the Governor delivers the address the discussion will come up.

Mr. Speaker Discussion will start only after a Member moves a motion of thanks.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu —Please see the position Sir. When is he to move the motion? As soon as the Governor's address is over, that is either immediately thereafter or the next day, and then discussion will take place. So, some member will have to move a motion proposing thanks and later on discussion will take place. If he wants to adjourn that, he must move a motion for adjourning the discussion. That has not taken place. If he cannot move the motion, he cannot move it at all.

Mr. Speaker Dr. Chalapathi Rao has given a notice of his intention to move a motion of thanks today. As a matter of fact he could certainly move his motion of thanks now.
Point of Information:


Mr. Speaker: Motion under Rule 23 (2) of the Assembly Rules.

Let it be taken up.

Mr. Speaker: Rules come first Convention. Rules come first Convention follows. An hon. Member has already given notice. The motion is already there before the House. An hon. Member has already given notice.

Mr. Speaker: Why should copies of the motion be communicated to all the Members? A Member has given notice of his intention to move a motion of thanks. Where is it said that copies of it should be communicated to all the Members?

Sri C.: It is part of the agenda.

Mr. Speaker: Why should copies of the motion be communicated to all the Members? A Member has given notice of his intention to move a motion of thanks. Where is it said that copies of it should be communicated to all the Members?

Sri G. Latchanna: It is part of the agenda.

Mr. Speaker: Either you go as per convention or as per rules.

Sri Pullamarr Venkataramanu: Shall I draw your attention to Rule 17? The rule says, "After the Governor has delivered the address, a motion may be made by any member of the Assembly that..."

Point of Information:

re: Motion under Rule 23 (2) of the Assembly Rules.

an address be presented to the Governor expressing the thanks of the Assembly for his address”.

Mr Speaker — So far as the convention is concerned, I quite agree that no other business should intervene between the Governor’s Address and discussion on the Governor’s Address. That is the convention, I agree. But so far as the rules are concerned, the rules are not clear as to when the motion of thanks should be moved. That is the position. Let us follow the convention. Following the convention.

Sri Pullalamari Venkateswarlu — I want to draw Your attention to Rule 23(2). “The discussion on the address may be postponed in favour of a Government Bill or other Government Business on a motion being made...” It means when it is read with Rule 17, it means that as soon as the Governor makes his address then the motion must be made before any other business is taken up then only the question of postponing it will arise. According to Rule 17 read with this provision clear that the Convention, the Government must propose and move a motion for thanks. Motion of thanks must be proposed and moved. If it cannot be taken up or if we want to postpone, Government must come forward with a motion for postponing it. Unless the Government thinks of dropping of presenting the Address.

Mr Speaker — That is exactly what I remarked. I remarked that there is no motion of thanks before the House. After that motion is moved, the hon. Law Minister can move his motion. He has agreed. Now, the question is, whether the motion can be moved today or tomorrow? That is the only point. Dr Chilapathi Rao has already given notice of a motion even yesterday. Ordinarily it should have been included in today’s agenda and the motion should have been moved now. But due to some cause or other, it was not included in the agenda. The folly is not that of the member, but that of somebody else here in our Legislature Secretariat. Ultimately I hold myself responsible for somebody’s lapses. So, the question is, it could easily be included in today’s agenda. Why make it a serious...
Motion of Thanks to the Governor’s Address.

2th July, 1965.

move the Resolution. 

Mr. Speaker: — That is exactly the reason I want to follow the convention. The convention is, that the motion of thanks should be moved. Now, let us allow the member to move it. After all, it is a formal thing. Members need not insist.

Mr. Speaker: — That is very good. Simply because it is not included in the agenda, simply because copies of the motion of thanks have not been communicated to the members, do not take it seriously. It is a formal thing. You need not take it very seriously. I will ask the member to move his motion and we will take up the discussion on 30th. That solves the whole thing. Now, Dr. Chalapathi Rao can move

Motion of thanks to the Governor’s Address.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao.—Sp aker, Sir, I beg to move

“T hat an address be presented to the Governor as follows. That the members of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, assembled in this Session, are deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of Legislature assembled together on 26th July, 1965.”

Mr. Speaker.—Motion moved.

(Pause)

In view of the notice given by the Law Minister the members will get opportunity to make a speech when the usual discussion takes place.

Sir Ramkala Gopalakrishnyya.—Sir, I raise a point of order. There was precedence, when we were in Madras Assembly, that the Constitution (First Amendment) Act, 1951, provided for precedence of such discussion over other business of the House. There was precedence, before that, in 1952, when we were in Madras Assembly, there was precedence by the first amendment to the Constitution in 1951, and the precedence was raised.
Mr Speaker: It is neither against rules nor against the Constitution nor against the precedents for this reason, unless rule 23 sub-rule (2) is against the Constitution itself. Rule 23 sub-rule (2) is very clear by itself. The discussion on the Governor's Address may be postponed to a further date. The discussion starts only after the Member gives a motion of thanks, and in between, by another notice, discussion can be postponed to a future date. In between other business can be taken up.

Mr Speaker: —Unless you say that Rule 23 sub-rule 2 is against the Constitution.

S Vavilala Gopakrishniah: —Yes

Mr Speaker: —That is a point which we may have to argue. If you say that rule 23 (..) contravenes or violates the provisions of the Constitution, then it is a different matter altogether. Under Rule 23 Mr Narasimha Rao has given notice. You please say that Rule 28 (..) is against or violate the provision of the Constitution. It is a different matter altogether.

Mr Speaker: —If you say that rule 23 sub-rule (2) violates the provisions of the Constitution, please give me notice giving sufficient reasons. Then I will give my ruling. I am now going as per Rule 23 (2). But if you say that rule 28 (2) violates the provision of the Constitution, please give me a separate notice,
Motion of thanks

to the Governor's Address

6th July, 1965

Mr Speaker.—That is why, the House has agreed.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya—No, Sir.

Mr Speaker:—Let us not go back against thu' The member has given notice. The folly or whatever it may be, is on the part of the Legislature Secretariat for which ultimately I am responsible. Because the House has agreed, I have asked him to move his motion of thanks.

Mr. Speaker—He has given notice.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.—But it has not been communicated. Unless it is communicated, it is not a notice.

Mr Speaker.—That is why, I say, if it is not communicated to the members it is not his folly; it is the folly of the office, Legislature Secretariat. And if it is not included in the agenda, that again is our folly.

Mr. Speaker.—It is not as though I can take the hon. Member to task!Why should I take him to task, if my office has not done it.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.—Please see our position. Please sympathise our point.

Mr. Speaker.—Please hear me, Mr. Gopalakrishnayya. Ordinarily, as soon as a Member gives notice, it the duty of the Legislature Secretariat to get it included in the agenda and send copies of it to all the members. If the Office does not do it, how can I take Dr. Chalapathi Rao to task? If you give me notice under some other rule, rule 74 or rule 68 or whatever it may be, and the office will not do it, I should take them to task, not you.
Mr Speaker,—It is not a question of giving a liberal interpretation.

S. R. Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah—it was not acted upon. Many times we said we have given notice, we do not find it. As it was not given to the House, it was not treated as notice. That was the thing.

Mr Speaker—If Dr Chatupathi Rao has not given notice yesterday, nobody would be responsible. He says, he has given notice.

Mr Speaker—What prevented the office from issuing a supplementary agenda?

S. R. Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah—How can it be, Sir?

Mr Speaker—Why not?

S. R. Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah—Once, an agenda is given, I entirely agree that it will be a supplementary, not a original agenda. Supplementary means that which comes afterwards. Its precedence comes only after the original one.

Mr Speaker—Time is not noted here; it is dated 27-7-1965.

Constitution does not make a point of order. Constitution does not provide a provision for a motion of thanks. Provision shall be made by the rules regulating the procedure of the House or either House for the allotment of time for discussion of the matters referred to in such address. What matters that Motion of thanks consists of?

Mr Speaker—in the Rules Committee, it was discussed, and we agreed to that rule.
Motion for Postponing Discussion on the Governor’s Address

Mr Speaker — Anyhow, I think there was sufficient discussion about it in the Committee also.

Dr T V S Chalapati Rao: It is true that the debate on discussion should be in sp.e.c. of the meetings referred to in the Governor’s Address, but courtesy requires that the Members of the House should convey their thanks to the Head of the State for having been pleased to address us.

Mr Speaker: There is also provision in the Rules.

Sri Vadilala Gopalakrishna: Probably, not on the motion of thanks, but separately.

Mr Speaker: Now, Mr Narasimha Rao, you can move your motion.

MOTION UNDER RULE 3 (2) OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES

re. Postponing Discussion on the Governor’s Address.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao: Sir, I beg to move—

“That under sub-rule (2) of Rule 28 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, the discussion on the Governor’s Address be postponed to 30th July, 1965, and that Government business be transacted today.”

Mr Speaker: Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“That under sub-rule (2) of Rule 28 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, the discussion on the Governor’s Address be postponed to 30th July, 1965, and that Government business be transacted today.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: Will 30th July be convenient to start the discussion on the Governor’s Address?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao: Yes, Sir.

Mr Speaker: Discussion on the Governor’s Address will commence from 30th July, morning.

Now, I call upon the Minister for Law to move the other motions.
GOVERNMENT BILLS

THE ANDHRA PRADESH HOUSING BOARD (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1965

Sir P. V. Narasimha Rao. Sir, I beg to move

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board (Amendment) Bill, 1965."

Mr Speaker. Motion moved

"That leave be granted to introduce the Indian Soldiers (Litigation) (Extension to proceedings under the Estates and Jagir Laws) Bill, 1965."

Mr Speaker. The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Indian Soldiers (Litigation) (Extension to proceedings under the Estates and Jagir Laws) Bill, 1965."

The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH (ANDHRA AREA) TENANTS AND RYOTS PROTECTION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1965

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy —Sir, I beg to move

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Tenants and Ryots Protection (Amendment) Bill, 1965."

Mr Speaker:—Motion moved.

I object to leave being granted Sir

Mr Speaker:—Why?

Mr Speaker —Anyhow, you see leave is being granted. You can oppose it when it is introduced. Why should you object at the stage of leave being granted?

Mr Speaker —Even if you object, the House is prepared to give leave. Why should I put it to vote, when the House is prepared to grant leave, unless you press for a division?

Mr Speaker —The question is

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Tenants and Ryots Protection (Amendment) Bill, 1965."

The motion was adopted

Government Bill:

Mr. Speaker.—Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker—The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses) (Amendment) Bill, 1965"

The motion was adopted.

The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1965

Sri M. R. Appa Rao—Sir, I beg to move

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1965"

Mr. Speaker.—Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker—The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1965"

The motion was adopted.

The Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1965

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri A. Balarama Reddy) :—I beg to move

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1965"

Mr. Speaker.—Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr Speaker:—The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Bill, 1965"

The motion was adopted
Mr Speaker -- Motion moved.

Mr V. Narasimha Rao -- I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh urban Areas (surcharge on property tax) Amendment Bill, 1965 (as reported by the Regional Committee) by read a second time".

Mr Motion moved

Mr Speaker -- Motion moved.

Mr V. Narasimha Rao -- I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh urban Areas (surcharge on property tax) Amendment Bill, 1965 (as reported by the Regional Committee) by read a second time".
Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surchage on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1955.

The Government Bill, dated 28th July, 1955, is as follows:

Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surchage on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1955.

1. The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surchage on Property Tax) Amendment Bill, 1949, is hereby amended in the manner hereinafter mentioned.

2. The property tax for the assessment year commencing on the 1st day of April, 1968, and subsequently, levied by any local authority in the State of Andhra Pradesh, on property situated in the common good fund area or in any common good fund area which has been created in the State of Andhra Pradesh under the provisions of the Local Government Act, 1944, shall not exceed 47½% of the amount of net annual value of such property determined as hereinbefore provided.

3. The Advisory Committee in relation to the common good fund area or common good fund areas created in the State of Andhra Pradesh, may, after consulting the local authority, direct that any additional amount not exceeding 47½% of the amount of net annual value of property situated in the common good fund area or any common good fund area, as aforesaid, shall be levied on property situated in the common good fund area or any common good fund area.

4. The additional amount so levied shall be payable by the owner of the property in the manner provided in the said Act.

5. The said Bill shall come into force on the 1st day of April, 1968.
The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965.

Government Bill
19th July, 1965

The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965.

26th July, 1965.

This Bill provides for the imposition of a surcharge on property tax in urban areas, to be used for the investment of black money in income tax, sales tax, and other taxes. The surcharge will be applicable to black money, including black money in properties, and will also apply to properties purchased with black money. The surcharge will be used to invest black money in income tax, sales tax, and other taxes. The surcharge will be applicable to properties purchased with black money. The surcharge will be used to invest black money in income tax, sales tax, and other taxes.
Government Bill:
The Andhra Pradeh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, L.62.

8th July, 1955

The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on Property Tax) Amendment Bill, L.62.

The main objective of this bill is to prevent the use of black money in speculative activities and to ensure fair taxation. The bill proposes amendments to the Surcharge Act, the Non-agricultural Land's Act, and the Assessment Act to address issues related to black money, non-agricultural lands, and speculation.

The bill aims to prevent the use of black money in speculative activities and to ensure fair taxation. It proposes amendments to the Surcharge Act, the Non-agricultural Land's Act, and the Assessment Act to address issues related to black money, non-agricultural lands, and speculation.

The Surcharge Act will be amended to include black money in the assessment of property tax, and the Non-agricultural Land's Act will be amended to address speculation on non-agricultural lands. The Assessment Act will be amended to include black money in the sources of the tax.

The amendments will ensure fair taxation and prevent the use of black money in speculative activities. The bill will be presented to the regional committee for consideration.
Government Bill.

The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)

simple Bill 28th July, 1965

Designation Regional Committee

Law Minister

Legal Practitioners Bill 2-1-1968

Village Courts Bill 1962

Assembly

Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh

(Amendments to Bill, 1965)

Regional Committee

Provincial

Regional

funds

Legal Practitioners

Assembly

3rd January 1965

Budget session

So it has come at the earliest possible moment.
Government Bill


Mr. Chairman, Mr. Minister for Local Administration, Mr. Minister for Municipal Administration, and Members.

As per clarification to the amendments to be proposed, it is hereby notified that the Common Good Fund of the Municipal Administration is required to be constituted. The designation of the members of the Common Good Fund shall be made by the Municipal Administration Committee as per recommendation of the Advisory Committee. The designation of members shall be made by the Secretary of the Municipal Administration.

Mr. Chairman, Mr. Minister for Local Administration, Mr. Minister for Municipal Administration, and Members.

As per amendment to the Municipal Administration Act, 1963, the designation of the members of the Municipal Administration Committee shall be made by the Municipal Administration Committee. The designation of the members of the Municipal Administration Committee shall be made by the Secretary of the Municipal Administration.

1:6–11
Government Bill


In pursuance of the powers conferred by the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on Property Tax) Amendment Act, 1965, the Governor has been pleased to assent to the said Bill which has been passed by the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh, as amended by this Act, in the presence of the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of the State of Andhra Pradesh and on this 26th day of July, 1965.

[Signature]
Governor of the State of Andhra Pradesh.

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, General Administration Department.
Government Bell
The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965.


The bill provides for the imposition of a surcharge on property tax in urban areas of the state of Andhra Pradesh. The surcharge is intended to generate additional revenue for urban development and infrastructure projects. The details of the surcharge rates and applicable areas are outlined in the bill.

The bill also includes provisions for the administration of the surcharge, including the powers of the local authority to collect and allocate the revenue generated.

The bill is signed and dated 28th July, 1965, and is presented for consideration and approval.
Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965


The Aadhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965

Pending at the request of the Government, the following shall be inserted in the said Bill:

"16. The property tax surcharge as aforesaid shall be collected from the owners of the property within 15 days of the date of such surcharge and the same shall be levied at the rate of one per cent (1%) of the property tax payable by the owner of the property, as may be prescribed by the Government in this behalf."

Passed by the House.

(Signed) S. S. V. C. V. R. Reddy

(Signed) M. N. G. V. R. Reddy

(Signed) S. S. V. C. V. R. Reddy
Government Bill:
The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)


The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharges on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965.

...


Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on Property Tax) Amendment Bill, 1965 as reported by the Regional Committee be read a second time."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:—I will now put the clauses to vote.

Clause 2

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker:—There is an amendment by the Hon. Minister in Charge.
Government Bill:
The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 1965


Sr. P. V. Narasimha Rao —Sir, I beg to move.

"That in clause 1 for the figure '1963' substitute the figure '1965'."

Mr Speaker —Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Speaker —The question is

"That in Clause 1 for the figure '1963' substitute the figure '1965'."

The motion was adopted

Mr Speaker —The question is

That Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Preamble

Mr Speaker —There is one amendment by the Hon. Minister for Law.

Sr. P. V. Narasimha Rao —Sir, I beg to move.

"That in the enacting formula for the words 'Fourteenth Year' substitute the words 'Sixteenth Year'."

Mr Speaker —Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Speaker —The question is

"That in the enacting formula fo the words 'Fourteenth Year' substitute the words 'Sixteenth Year'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker —The question is

"That Preamble, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Preamble, as amended, was added to the Bill.

136—12
Mr. Speaker:—Now The Minister for Law will move the Bill for the third reading.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: Sir, I beg to move.

“That the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on Property Tax) Amendment Bill, 1965" be read a third time.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved:


Mr. Law Minister moved the Bill for the third reading. The Minister for Law put the Bill for the third reading. The Bill was read a third time. The Minister for Law moved the Bill for the third reading. The Bill was read a third time.
Government Bill.
The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas
(Surcharge on Property Tax)
Amendment Bill, 19 5


Sri P Rajagopala Naidu — We want a clarification from the Minister, and that is whether any criteria has been fixed in the distribution of the common good fund?

Mr Speaker — I can understand if at the stage of clause by clause rambling few Members can raise some points and I may call upon the Minister to answer. At the third reading stage, I do not know how they can take up several things. After all in the second reading stage no amendment has been moved. In the third reading stage if any member moved objecting to the third reading.

Sri P Rajagopala Naidu — But the pity is Sir, there are no clauses at all. It is only a simple amendment brought.

Mr Speaker — If you are offering your suggestion, you can certainly do it.

Sri P Rajagopala Naidu — It is only a suggestion Sir. I want to know whether they have fixed any criteria, if not, are they going to fix up the criteria?

Sri P V. Narasimha Rao — I shall read the relevant rule.

The Government shall determine which Municipality be admitted to the grade after taking into account the financial condition of the Municipality, the needs of the locality and the funds at the disposal of the Government.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on Property Tax) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

THE HYDERABAD MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee).

Mr. Speaker: — Now the Minister for I.T. will move for the second reading of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee).

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee) be read a second time.

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

(Sir P. V. Narasimha Rao)

(Sri P. Narayana Reddy in the Chair)
Government Bill
The Hyderabad Municipal Corporations
(Amendment) Bill, 1965.


...


Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963 consolidates the various taxes but declares and interprets...... was communicated and brought into force on the 1st April, 1964.


It has been decided by the Government on the advice of the Government of India to have a Municipal Commissioner appointed by the Government of India to be a member of the Governing Body of the Corporation. This decision has been taken to ensure that the Corporation is run in a professional manner.

The amendment bill has been introduced to make provisions for the appointment of a Municipal Commissioner.
Suppose this Bill is not passed to-day, nothing is going to happen.
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The question is:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1965 as reported by the Regional Committee be read a second time."

The motion was adopted.
Government Bill:
The Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1965


Clauses 2 and 3.

Mr. Temporary Chairman — The question is:

That Clauses 2 and 3 do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1.

Sir P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I move:

"That in clause 1 for the figures 1961 substitute the figures 1965."

Mr. Temporary Chairman—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Temporary Chairman.—The question is:

"That for the figures 1961 substitute the figures 1965."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Temporary Chairman.—The question is:

"That clause 1, as amended do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 as amended was added to the Bill.

Preamble.

Sir P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I move:

"That in the enacting formula for the words "Fifteenth years" substitute "Sixteenth years."

Mr. Temporary Chairman—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Temporary Chairman.—The question, "In the enacting formula for the words "Fifteenth years" substitute "Sixteenth years."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Temporary Chairman.—The question is:

"That the Preamble, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."


The motion was adopted.

The preamble, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: Sir, I move:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1965 be read a third time."

Temporary Chairman:—Motion moved.

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1965 be read a third time." The motion was adopted.
Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao —Sir, I move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts (Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1962 be read a first time”

Mr. Temporary Chairman:—Motion moved.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh Village Courts
(Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1965.


The Andhra Pradesh Village Courts
(Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1965.

[Text in Telugu language]

[Translation in English]

[Text in Telugu language]

[Translation in English]
Government Bill:

28th July, 1965


SECTION 1. Validation Bill.

The following Bills are referred to the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts (Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1965:


The Andhra Pradesh Vihage Courts (Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1965, was introduced in the Assembly on 28th July, 1965, and was referred to the Government Standing Committee. The Committee reported the Bill to the House on 13th September, 1965, with certain amendments. The House discussed the Bill and passed it with the amendments on 29th September, 1965.

The Bill provides for the validation of enhanced jurisdiction of village courts. It defines the jurisdiction of village courts and provides for the appointment of Executive Officers. The Bill also provides for the election of members to the village courts and for the functioning of the courts.

The Bill was passed by the House on 29th September, 1965, and was referred to the Governor for assent. The Governor assented to the Bill on 29th September, 1965, and it was notified in the Official Gazette on 30th September, 1965.
Government Bill


Inadvertisence of a village panchayat is not a bar to its jurisdiction. The jurisdiction arises as a result of the administration by itself exercising its function. These non-panchayat villages are not panchayat courts. As far as panchayat courts are concerned, there is no difficulty. They are exercising Rs. 100/- jurisdiction. These amendments do not pertain to the present situation at all. This amendment does not pertain to the present situation at all.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts (Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1963, be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sri, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction Bill, 1963, be read a second time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

(pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts (Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction) Bill, 1963, be read a second time."

The motion was adopted.
Government Bill:
The Andhra Pradesh Village Courts
(Validation of Enhanced Jurisdiction Bill, 1965)

28th July, 1965

Clauses

CLAUSE 2

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao — Sir, I beg to move the following amendment

"In clause (1) for the figure '1962' substitute the figure '1965'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is.

"In clause (1) for the figure '1962' substitute the figure '1965'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

PREAMBLE

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao — Sir, I beg to move

"In Enacting Formula for the words 'Thirteenth Year' substitute the words 'Sixteenth Year'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In Enacting Formula for the words 'Thirteenth Year' substitute the words 'Sixteenth Year'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Preamble, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."
The motion was adopted.

The Preamble as amended was added to the Bill.

Mr. P.A. Naraia An. Narasa. : I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts (Validation of Exclusive Jurisdiction) Bill, 1965 be read a third time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Village Courts (Validation of Exclusive Jurisdiction) Bill, 1965 be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

THE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS (ANDHRA PRADESH)

AMENDMENT BILL, 1963.

Sri. P. L. Narasimharao: Sir, to move:

"That the Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1963 be read a first time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

"That the Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1963 be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.
Government Bill
The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill 1965

23rd July, 1965

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"that the Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1961, be read a first time."

The motion was adopted

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— Sir, I beg to move.

"that the Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1961, be read a second time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.
Government Bill:

The 1915 Amendment Act (Andhra Pradesh)
Amendment Bill, 1955.

The High Court of Andhra Pradesh, every judicial authority not below the rank of a District Munsif, every executive authority exercising magisterial powers not below the rank of a Sub divisional Magistrate and every revenue authority not below the rank of a District Collector may, each as regards its own court and the courts, if any, subordinate thereto, frame and publish in such manner as the State Government may specify by an order lists of powers proved by evidence of general repute, or otherwise to its satisfaction or to the satisfaction of any subordinate court as provided in sub-section (2), to act habitually as tout, and from time to time revoke, amend or vary such lists.

“Explanation: The passing of a resolution declaring any person to be or not to be a tout, by a majority of the members present at a meeting, specially convoked for the purpose, of an association of persons entitled to practice as legal practitioners in any court, shall be evidence of the general repute of such persons for the purposes of this sub-section.”

No person’s name shall be included in any such list until he has been given an opportunity of showing cause against such inclusion.”
Government Bill:
The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1968.

28th July, 1968.

The question is:
"The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a second time."

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 2

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—To this clause there are four amendments given notice of by Shri Pooja Subbaiah. He may move his first amendment.

Shri P. Subbaiah.—Sir, I beg to move

"In sub-section (1) of section 36 proposed to be substituted by clause 2, delete the words 'every executive authority exercising magisterial powers not below the rank of a Sub-Divisional Magistrate and every revenue authority not below the rank of a District Collector may,'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Is the hon. Minister accepting this amendment?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—No.
5. Every executive authority exercising magistracy powers not below the rank of a Sub-Divisional Magistrate and every revenue authority not below the rank of a District Collector may...
Government Bill -
The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1965.


Sri P. V. Narsimha Rao — Indicated assent.

Mr Deputy Speaker — Is he withdrawing your amendment,

Mr. P Subbaiah — Yes, Sir. I beg to leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — He may now move his next amendment.

Sri P Subbaiah — Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-section (1) of section 36 proposed to be substituted by clause 2 for the words "evidence of general repute" substitute the words "sufficient legal knowledge."

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved.

General repute is omnibus term which is not specific. It does not maintain a particular evidence after General repute. It is not conclusive action. Action initiated on evidence of general repute is not the last thing and we are not depending ultimately on general repute."

136-13
only. We shall depend on irrefutable evidence ultimately and he will be given an opportunity to explain why action should not be taken against him. So, after all this evidence is taken on either side, the authority will come to a decision whether action is called for or not. This is only the general way in which we provide for taking evidence and coming to a decision.

Sir, I suggest to omit the words ‘repute’.

General repute is a term which has a wider application. Only in the case of lawyers would the majority of the lawyers have a presumption in the matter of storting point. A lawyer’s opinion is of great importance in the matter of storting point. It is not merely of opinion but a provision. A lawyer’s opinion is not merely a presumption but a provision. Therefore, it should be treated as a provision. Proof.
Government Bill

The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1965.


The Bar Association with a majority pass conclusive proof that lawyers are passing evidence, which will be taken as evidence. That is all. It will be taken as evidence and that evidence will naturally have its own weight.

General repute which will certainly be taken into consideration but along with other corroborative evidence.

Government Bill:  
The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1965.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Is Mr. P. Subbaiah, withdrawing his amendment?

Sri. P. Subbaiah:—Yes.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Are you withdrawing?

Sri. P. Subbaiah:—Yes.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Sri P. Subbaiah will move his next amendment.

Sri P. Subbaiah:—Sir I beg to move:

"In sub-section (2) of section 86 proposed to be substituted by clause 2 for the words "until he has been given opportunity of showing cause against such inclusion" substitute the words "until he has proved that he is possessed of sufficient legal knowledge.""

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved. (Pause) Are you pressing it?

Sri P. Subbaiah:—I am withdrawing.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.
Sri P Rajgopal Nadu — Sir, I want to say something regarding Section 36(8), with your permission. The sub-section reads as follows. “No person shall act as a tout so long as his name remains in such list.” After that there is an amendment which says that if the person proclaimed as a tout acts as a tout after such proclamation, he shall be punishable. If the person proclaimed as a tout acts as a tout after such proclamation, he shall be punishable.

P K — That can be suitably altered later on. I agree. The first sentence can be omitted. You can take it as my amendment.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved.

The question is, “Delete the sentence ‘No person shall act as a tout so long as his name remains in such list.’”

It reads thus; Where any person who has been proclaimed as a tout, acts as a tout, he shall be punishable with imprisonment which may extend to three months or with fine which may extend to five hundred rupees or with both.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is, “That Clause 2, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, as amended, was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 3

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is, “That Clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

* Amended by Hon. Speaker under Rule 144 of the L. A. Rules.
26th July, 1965

Government Bill:
The Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1965.

CLAUSE 1

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— There is an amendment given notice of by the Minister for Law.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause 1 of Clause (1) for the figure "1943" substitute the figure "1945""

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Amendment moved.

(pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The question is:

"In sub-clause 1 of Clause (1) for the figure "1943" substitute the figure "1945""

The motion was adopted.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— Sir, before you take up the Preamble, I would like to draw your attention to this. The first sentence sub-sec. '2' of the Preamble, the proviso "Any person who contravenes this provision" and "the provisions of this Act".

That is only a consequential amendment.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The question is:

"That clause 1 as amended do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Preamble.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— There is an amendment given notice of by the Minister for Law.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— Sir I beg to move:

"In the enacting formula for the words 'Fourteenth Year' substitute the words 'Sixteenth Year'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The question is:

"In the enacting formula for the words 'Fourteenth Year' substitute the words 'Sixteenth Year'."

The motion was adopted.
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is—

"That Preamble, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Preamble, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao — Sir, I beg to move

"That the Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) (Amendment) Bill, 1965, be read a third time"

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is—

"That the Legal Practitioners (Andhra Pradesh) (Amendment) Bill, 1965, be read a third time"

The motion was adopted.

THE PROVINCIAL INSOLVENCY (ANDHRA PRADESH EXTENSION AND AMENDMENT) BILL, 1965.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao — Sir, I beg to move—

"That the Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1965, be read a first time"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved.
Government Bill:

The Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1965.

The Provincial Insolvency amendment bill that was introduced by the Government of Andhra Pradesh. The bill was presented in the Assembly on 12-11-1963 and passed by the Assembly on 13-11-1963. The bill amends the Civil Courts Act and the Official Receiver Act. Business in the Assembly has been discussed extensively. The bill refers to the Official Receiver and the business of the Receiver. The bill also refers to the Joint Select Committee report. The bill is integrated into the existing Acts of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh. The bill is referred to the Joint Select Committee for further discussion. The bill is referred to the Official Receiver for implementation. The bill also refers to the Joint Select Committee report. The bill is referred to the Official Receiver for implementation.
Government Bill: The Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1965


The question is:

"That the Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a first time".

The motion was adopted.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a second time".

Mr Deputy Speaker—The question is:

"That the Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a second time".
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The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 12.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: --There are no amendments to Clauses 2 to 12.

The question is:

"That Clauses 2 to 12 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 12 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao:—I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 1 for the figure '1063', substitute the figure '1965'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 1 for the figure '1068', substitute the figure '1965'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

ENACTING FORMULA.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In the Enacting formula for the words 'Fourteenth year', substitute the words 'Sixteenth year'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

(Pause)
Government Bill

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is

"In the enacting formula for the words 'Fourteenth year', substitute the words 'Sixteenth year'

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Enacting Formula, as amended, do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

"The Enacting formula, as amended, was added to the Bill"

Sri P V. Narasimha Rao —I beg to move

"That the Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1965 be read a third time

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Provincial Insolvency (Andhra Pradesh Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1965 be read a third time"

The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH (ANDHRA AREA) ESTATES (ABOLITION AND CONVERSION INTO RYOTWARI) AMENDMENT BILL, 1964

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh (andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1965 be read a first time

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy:—It is not possible. I do not have. We have not collected the figures. A principle is involved, and on that principle only, the amendment Bill is brought in.
28th July, 1965


[Content of the document in Telugu language]


The bill proposes to amend the existing laws pertaining to the estates in the Andhra area. It aims to convert the estates into Ryotwar, which is a sharecropping system where the landlord provides land and the tenant works on it. The bill also provides for the settlement of arrears and the adjustment of the share paid by the tenant.

The bill includes provisions for the settlement of arrears of land revenue, the adjustment of the share paid by the tenant, and the conversion of the estates into Ryotwar system. The bill also provides for the compensation of the tenants who are affected by the conversion.

The bill is a significant step towards the equitable distribution of land and rights in the Andhra area. It is expected to benefit the tenant farmers by providing them with greater security and stability in their land tenure.

The bill is being debated in the state assembly, and the government is encouraging all members to participate in the discussion and provide their views on the bill.
Government Bill


landholders  in the estate area, the decision to abolish the Ryotwari system. Section 3 (vi) (d) of the Andhra Pradesh Estates Abolition Act, 1960, declares the responsibility of the government to introduce new surveys and settlement Act to the villages.

It is declared that an existing Act abolishing the Ryotwari system shall be changed to the settlement Office and delay in enacting a new legislature on time has to be avoided.

Government Bill:


Sir, I beg to move—

(Original motion)

Legislature in a Bill like this is not the place for a single word. The British administration in the past, in 1858, the British government in 1868, in 1878, and in 1888, have all the Estates Abolition Act, the Rent Reduction Act, the Sale of Estates Act, and the Municipalities Act. Therefore, I beg to move.

Sir, I beg to move—

(Original motion)

The British government in the past, in 1858, the British administration in the past, in 1868, the British government in the past, in 1878, and in 1888, have all the Estates Abolition Act, the Rent Reduction Act, the Sale of Estates Act, and the Municipalities Act. Therefore, I beg to move.
Government Bill:


Amendment Bill, 1965.


But nothing in this proviso shall apply to areas which became estates by virtue of the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra area) Estates Lands Amendment Act 1956.

"within two years from the date of the commencement of the Andhra Pradesh Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act 1933."

128 28th July 1965.


In pursuance of the said Act, the Government propose to extend the Ryotwara system to the estates specified in the Schedule to the said Bill.

The Schedule to the Bill contains the names of the following estates:


The Bill was introduced in the House of the Legislature on 28th July 1965.
Government Bill:

The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill 1965

2nd July, 1965

The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill 1965

Under the Government Bill of 21st July, 1965, the Andhra Pradesh Ryotwari Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill 1965 was introduced in the Assembly. The Bill aims to address the legal difficulties arising due to non-taken-over villages, repairs undertaken, and the principle of agreement between the Revenue Secretary and the farmers. The Law Department has prepared comprehensive legislation in 1964 and 1948. The amendments incorporated in the Bill are detailed in Section 3(2)(d) of the Law Department.

The Bill seeks to comprehensively legislate the issues arising from the conversion of Ryotwari estates, ensuring a smooth transition. It incorporates a principle to agree on the repairs undertaken by the farmers, legal difficulties, and the non-taken-over villages.
Mr Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a first time"

The motion was adopted.

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a second time;"

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 2.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—There are 4 amendments given notice of to Clause 2

Sri K Govinda Rao:—I beg to move:

"In the first line on the second page instead of the words immediately preceding the fiscal year in which the estate is notified, substitute the following figures and words:

'1853 and 1857'."

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri K Govinda Rao:—I beg to move:

"Include the following proviso at the end of clause 2 (b):

'Provided that the rates of rent collected by the landholder from the year 1858 in excess of the rate of rent fixed under the Reduction of Rent Act, 1947, shall be adjusted towards the rent payable in future.'"

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy:—I beg to move:

"In clause 2 (a) for the figure '1968' substitute the figure '1965.'"

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy:—I beg to move:

"In clause 2 (b) for the figure '1968' substitute the figure '1965.'"
Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Amendment moved

Legal difficulties are arising! retrospective legislation, amendment difficulties remove difficulties.

Retrospective legislation a legal difficulty.

Mr Speaker.—The question is

"In the first line on the second page instead of the words 'immediately preceding the fasali year in which the estate is notified,' substitute the following figures and words—'

1856 and 1357'.

The motion was negatived.
Mr Deputy Speaker.—The question is:

Provided the following proviso at the end of clause 2 (b):

Includef the following proviso at the end of clause 2 (b):

'Provided that the rates of rent collected by the landlord from the year 1958 in excess of the rate of rent fixed under the Reduction of Rent Act, 1947, shall be adjusted towards the rent payable in future.'

The motion was negatived.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—I shall now put the Government amendments to vote. The question is:

"In clause (a) for the figure ‘1964’ substitute the figure ‘1965’.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—The question is:

"In clause 2 (b) for the figure ‘1964’ substitute the figure ‘1965’.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—The question is:

"That Clause 2, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clause 1

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.—I beg to move:

"In clause 1 for the figure ‘1964’ substitute the figure ‘1965’.”

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker.—The question is:

"In clause 1 for the figure ‘1964’ substitute the figure ‘1965’.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—The question is:

"That Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.
PREAMBLE AND ENACTING FORMULA

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy — I beg to move:

"In the enacting formula for the words ‘Fifteenth Year’ substitute the words ‘Sixteenth Year.’"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In the enacting formula for the words ‘Fifteenth Year’ substitute the words ‘Sixteenth Year.’"

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

"That the Preamble, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Preamble, as amended, was added to the Bill

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a third time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Motion moved.

(Pause).

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read third time."

The motion was adopted.

[The House then adjourned till Half past Eight of the Clock on Thursday, the 29th July 1965.]