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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
DEBATES

Sixty-first day of the Ninth Session of the
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

Tuesday, the 22nd March, 1960

The House met at Half Past Eight of the Clock

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(See Part I)

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Notification making an amendment to the Madras Public Service Commission Regulations 1950 as adapted in Andhra Pradesh.

Minister for Finance (Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy) (on behalf of Sri D. Sanjivayya): Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of notification issued with G. O. Ms. No. 268 General Administration (Services-A) dated the 24th February, 1960, making an amendment to the Madras Public Service Commission Regulations 1950 as adapted in Andhra Pradesh in accordance with clause (5) of Article 320 of the Constitution of India.

Mr. Speaker: Paper laid on the Table.


Mr. Speaker: Paper laid on the Table.
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri. K. Brahmananda Reddy: Sir, I believe, the Andhra Pradesh Sales of Motor Spirit Taxation Bill will be taken up to-morrow.

Mr. Speaker: It will be taken up on the 24th.

Sri. K. Brahmananda Reddy: The Leader of the Opposition has been consulted and he was kind enough to agree for the Motor Spirit Taxation Bill being taken up on the 23rd. On the 24th, the Local Boards Bill will be taken up.
CONSTITUTION OF THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES, 1960 - 61

22nd March, 1960

Sri Gopalrao Ekbote
Sri P. Narasinga Rao
Sri Sanda Narayanappa
Sri M. Satyanarayana Raju
Sri T. Hayagreva Chary
Sri B. V. Subba Reddy
Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddy
Sri Kottappalli Punnaya
Dr. R. Achutha Ramayya
Sri R. B. Ramakrishna Raju
Sri T. Veera Raghavulu
Sri Baddam Yella Reddy
Sri S. Vemayya
Sri Divi Kondayya Chowdary
Sri M. Bapayya Chowdary

That is the practice in Loksabha also.

It was intended like that
CONSTITUTION OF THE COMMITTEE ON
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS 1960-61

Sri Ravi Narayana Reddy
Sri P. V. Narsimha Rao
Sri K. V. Vema Reddy
Sri D. Ramabrahmam
Sri P. V. Rajeswara Rao
Sri Pala Venkata Subbaiah
Srimati T. Lakshmikaathamma
Sri G. Jagannadha Raju
Sri Balakonda Ranga Reddy
Sri Allam Krishnaiah
Sri D. Hanumantha Rao
Sri Peta Bapaiah
Sri Battam Srirama Murthy
Sri Putumbaka Sriramulu
Sri G. Yellamanda Reddy
Sri V. Visweswara Rao
Sri D. K. Satya Reddy
Sri J. Chandramouli

I am going to follow that.
CONSTITUTION OF THE ESTIMATES
COMMITTEE 1960–61

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy
Sri M. R. Appa Rao
Sri Mirza Shukur Baig
Sri J. B. Muthyal Rao
Sri Seerla Brahmaiah
Sri P. N. Appa Rao
Sri Vemula Kurmayya
Srimati C. Ammanna Raja
Sri Shahabuddin Ahmad Khan
Sri N. C. Seshadri
Sri K. Janardhana Reddy
Sri T. G. Timmayya Chetty
Sri Ramireddy Chandra Obul Reddy
Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu
Sri K. L. Narasimha Rao
Sri J. Ananda Rao
Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya
Sri Goutu Lachanna

CONSIDERATION OF THE FIFTH REPORT OF THE
COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

re: The Deccan Chronicle Case.

"Whether the Speaker is a Speaker when he deals with the grievances and troubles of the Constituency."
Mere reckless challenge will not do. The Speaker must be taken seriously. Any member, even an outsider can say, ‘We feel that you have not taken a correct appreciation and therefore we want to bring to your notice’ and the Speaker is bound to consider the whole thing and change his opinion. He should not stand on false prestige and particularly I am not going to stand on false prestige.

Important authority is the Report of the Select Committee which consisted of Mr. Winston Churchill, Mr. Llyod George and Mr. Lansburg, leaders of the three parties.

"Speaker as Speaker is there, he cannot openly take part with regard to the grievances of his constituency, either in the House or outside."

As clear as Jennings and such authorities follow accordingly. As such, the report is both alluring and, Constituency is part of the constituency. Hence, the report of the curator, fundamental to
I will simply bring to the notice of the Government or the Department even. I can even phone to the Secretary.

He has expressed unconditional regret and therefore I request the House to accept the unconditional regret and close the case. Therefore, I think no further discussion is necessary.

Gentlemanly, he is an agent of the Government, and so the question may deal with.

including Hon'ble Mr. Seshavataram. He has expressed regret and thereupon I request the House to accept the resolution and close the case.

In view of his expressing unconditional regret, you can kindly accept the regret and close the case. We have no grudge against the paper. It is an important paper.
we are sorry. I am prepared to say 'I am sorry.' He has done it very honourably.

Mr. Speaker: He has written this way. 'Sir, with reference to the privilege motion against the Deccan Chronicle, we wish to express regret for having published the statement concerned. The matter was published in our paper without a correct appreciation of the parliamentary law. Kindly close the case, in view of the above facts.' I hope you have accepted.

BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 1960-61
VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

DEMAND No. IX—Irrigation Rs. 2,35,93,300

DEMAND No. XXXIX—Capital outlay on Irrigation Rs. 7,94,50,000

DEMAND No. XLIII—Capital outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes Rs. 9,01,70,000

Famine works ఫామియన్ వరకు ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేసిన విద్యుత్ అభివృద్ధి కేంద్రం, ఇంటికి వాహనాలు ల దృశ్యం. ఆ సంస్థ సార్లాదిని రకముగా ప్రవేశపెట్టాం. ఆ సంస్థ సార్లాదిని రకముగా ప్రవేశపెట్టాం. కానీ సార్లాదిని రకముగా ప్రవేశపెట్టాం. ఫామియన్ వరకు ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేసిన విద్యుత్ అభివృద్ధి కేంద్రం, ఇంటికి వాహనాలు ల దృశ్యం.
22nd March, 1960
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Voting of Demands for Grants

[Text in Kannada script]
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Dry crops

Dry crops

Poor soil
22nd March, 1960
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...
Budget for the Year 1960-61

Voting of Demands for Grants

22nd March, 1960
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Electricity

The Committee approved the estimates for electricity, including the installation of a new power station.

Drainage

The Committee approved the estimates for drainage facilities, including the installation of a new drainage system.
109 22nd March, 1960
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మహాపాఠ రాష్ట్రఫలందితే drainage అంశ నుండి ఎదురుచేస్తుంది.

పనిమానంగా అది మూలాత్మకమైనందున నామంతే శాతాంశాలు కలిగి ఉంటాయి. ప్రపంచానికి సాధారణంగా వాయువు ఉష్ణమంపు సమస్య చేత ప్రతిష్ఠాపించబడింది. నమ్మాడే జిందా ద్వారా వాయువు ఉష్ణమంపు సమస్య ప్రతిష్ఠించబడింది. ట్విటర్లు దుస్తు వచ్చింది అందించబడి యంత్రానికి సమాధానం కాలంలో వస్తుంది. నామంతే అప్పటికీ వాయువు ఉష్ణమంపు సమస్య ప్రతిష్ఠించబడింది.
Budget for the Year 1960-61
22nd March, 1960

Voting of Demands for Grants

ఎం.ఎం. అన్నాపోష రామానంద్ (ఎ.ఎం.) : నాటికి ప్రతి సార్లు సంస్కరించడానికి ముందు ఇది మరియు ఇది నవీనీకరించడానికి రెండు సమాధానాలు అందించారు... అట్టు ప్యాట్టి: మనస్తత్వ వైద్య విభాగం.

ఎ. నాంగహారం రామానంద్: మరియు ఇది, వెర్వీరించడానికి సంస్కరించడానికి, ఇది ఎంపికము వచ్చింది.

ఎ. సోషియల్ ఇరేట్: మనం దిగువ ప్రభావంగా ఇది అడగడం తో సంఖ్యాశాస్త్రం, మతం, ప్రత్యేకించడానికి స్మార్కులు అడగడం వర్ణించారు. అంతకు మే మనం ప్రతి సంఖ్యాశాస్త్రం సంబంధించడానికి వచ్చారు. ఇందులో కొన్ని సంఘాటనలు ఉండవచ్చు. ఈ సంఘాటనలు భూమిపు అంశాలుభాగం మాత్రమే ఉంటాయి. సృష్టిస్తుంది ప్రత్యేకించడానికి వృత్తాలు నిర్మాణం చేయాలను. నేపథ్యం లేదా రాయము లేదా రాయము అడగడం లేదా లేదా సంపన్నం చేయడానికి వున్న సంఘాటనలు ఉండాయి.

ఎ. సోశియల్ ఇరేట్: సామన్ని సంస్ధానం లేదా సంస్థ నివాసానికి లేదా సామాన్యంగా ప్రభావం తో సంంచిగా ఉండాలను. అరుదు సంస్థ ప్రత్యేకించడానికి వుంటుంది. ఇది నిఃపించబడి ఉంటుంది. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి. యొక్క అంశాలుభాగం రెండు సంప్రదాయాలు ఉంటాయి.
Voting of Demands for Grants

11th April 1960

22nd March, 1960

Budget for the Year 1960-61

Estimated amounts and contribution towards the irrigation scheme.

The estimated amount is Rs. 5,808,800, out of which Rs. 3,360,000 is contributed by the State Government. The remaining amount is estimated to be taken up by the take-up

The estimated amount for the dry taluks is Rs. 3,360,000, out of which Rs. 1,950,000 is contributed by the State Government. The remaining amount is estimated to be taken up by the take-up

The estimated amount for the draught scheme is Rs. 1,950,000, out of which Rs. 1,170,000 is contributed by the State Government. The remaining amount is estimated to be taken up by the take-up

The estimated amount for the irrigation scheme is Rs. 3,360,000, out of which Rs. 2,016,000 is contributed by the State Government. The remaining amount is estimated to be taken up by the take-up
Planning an annual report of 70th of information

Second plan of remunerative schemes

difference of opinion of serious

foreign
exchange difficulty in maintaining the exchange rate in 1960-61.

To overcome this, a foreign exchange take-over scheme was introduced in 1961. The scheme aimed to maintain stability in foreign exchange rates and to counteract any speculative behavior. The scheme involved a token grant to those who took up foreign exchange at the prevailing rates. This token grant was meant to encourage the use of foreign exchange at the official rates.

The scheme was designed to work in conjunction with a different scheme, possibly a develop or lubricant scheme, which aimed to merge the foreign exchange market with the domestic market. The token grant was intended to work as a sort of a work-out scheme, where the token grants were to be used to take up foreign exchange at the official rates. This was to encourage the use of foreign exchange at the official rates and to maintain stability in the foreign exchange market.

The scheme was considered to be a difficult scheme to implement, and its success would depend on the take-up rate. It was hoped that the token grants would encourage the take-up of foreign exchange at the official rates, thereby stabilizing the foreign exchange market.

The scheme was expected to be successful in maintaining stability in the foreign exchange market and to counteract any speculative behavior. The scheme was designed to be a long-term solution to the foreign exchange difficulty faced in 1960-61.
existing Godavari Delta must be expanded in order to accommodate the growing population. The existing irrigation system, which was designed for the existing population, may not be sufficient to meet the increased demands. Therefore, a comprehensive re-modeling scheme is required to ensure a sustainable irrigation system. The conference will decide on the details of this re-modeling scheme.

The existing irrigation system, which was designed for the existing population, may not be sufficient to meet the increased demands. Therefore, a comprehensive re-modeling scheme is required to ensure a sustainable irrigation system. The conference will decide on the details of this re-modeling scheme.
Drainage is a necessary repair need neglecting. Annual repairs must be done to drainages at
appropriate level. Polluted catchment areas require attention! Drainage systems need
intensive cultivation. Clayey soils are good for flood banks raising. Public schemes
should initiate regions. Regional schemes should move to localisation schemes.

22nd March, 1960
Budget for the Year 1960-61
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constructive suggestions are welcome. One condition affecting future
steps is that flood bank 25 is an essential ingredient, as we have
taken up 22. The extent of take-up varies from place to place
and is the subject of a survey. An urgent need arises for
constructive suggestions on how to proceed with the bank
construction, taking into account the existing river currents,
speed and other factors. The 25 is an essential part of the
river bed, and the river bed can be seen as a sort of revetment,
public works. Steps have been taken to revetments and river beds
improvement, e.g. to keep the river bed public work, and
channeling the river bed. The river bed is a sort of revetment and
the river bed can be seen as a sort of revetment, e.g. to keep the
currents speed and other factors. The 25 is an essential part of the
revetment and river bed. The 25 is an essential part of the
urgent survey, the 25 is an essential part of the
survey. Additional steps have been taken to improve
the river bed.

*Note to the estimate (As enacted): The Central
Government has sanctioned 7 to the 25 as an experiment. This
will be reviewed after 10 years interval. The Central
Government has sanctioned 7 to the 25 as an experiment.
Sri M. Hanschandra Prasad (Tanuku): On a point of order, Sir, whether any member can read his speech.

Mr. Speaker: I have given exemption to lady Members.

*deliberation*

Budget for the Year 1960-61
Voting of Demands for Grants
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Revenue Minister

Chief Engineer

revenue circle

lift irrigation
Budget for the Year 1960-61
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22nd March, 1960

Lift irrigation

The Hon'ble Member for the Dewas Division introduced a resolution, which was debated. The honorable member was supported by the Hon'ble Members for the Indore, Dhar, and Ujjain Divisions. The resolution was passed with the voice vote.
Budget for the Year 1960-61
22nd March, 1960
Voting of Demands for Grants

...
22nd March, 1960
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"ಹಾನಿದಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯುದ್ಧ ಇದ್ದ ಇದು ಇದು. "ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಳು ಕಟ್ಟಾಡಿಸಿ ಇದು ಇದು."

ಇಂದಿಗಿದ್ದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಇದು ಇದು. ಇದರ ಇಂದಿಗಿದ್ದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯುದ್ಧ ಇದು ಇದು.

"ಎಂದರೆ ಇದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯುದ್ಧ ಇದು.

"ಹಂದಿಯ ಸಂಗ್ರಹ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯುದ್ಧ ಇದು ಇದು."

"ಹಂದಿಯ ಸಂಗ್ರಹ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯುದ್ಧ ಇದು ಇದು.

"ಹಂದಿಯ ಸಂಗ್ರಹ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯುದ್ಧ ಇದು ಇದು."
Budget for the Year 1960-61
Voting of Demands for Grants
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22nd March, 1960

"..."
**Budget for the Year 1960-61**

*Sir, the Hon'ble (Honorable)*

22nd March, 1960

**Voting of Demands for Grants**

The Hon'ble...
22nd March, 1960
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...
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...
129  22nd March, 1960  
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...
"This is the foundation of the temple of humanity of India, a symbol of new temples that we are building all over India."
22nd March, 1960
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Employment Exchange

R. S. G. 100 & 125

R. S. 100

R. S. 50
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R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50

R. S. 50
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Mr. Speaker, Sir.

While supporting the Demand placed by the Hon. Minister for Irrigation, I must express great disappointment regarding the Yeleru scheme that has not been put still into execution. This scheme concerns an erratic hill stream and this has been completely investigated and as it is 80 to 90 thousands of acres are being irrigated under it and an additional 80 to 90 thousands of acres can be brought under irrigation. While spending for this scheme not more than Rs. 2.75 crores and while getting 80 to 90 thousands of acres of land under irrigation, we can just imagine what amount of food can be produced from this extra cultivation. Apart from that the Government as well as the people will be gaining considerably. We can also prevent the submersion and the erratic way in which this river flows. It concerns quite about six to seven hon. Members of the Legislature that have been elected from those parts and every year we have been pressing our claim, but very unsuccessfully and we are disappointed. We see with our own eyes lands being just submerged when the floods come because of this hill stream and without any notice all their investment is wasted. We have submitted unsuccessful memorandums to the successive hon. Ministers and we are very disappointed. This is a scheme that has been envisaged by late Sri Prakasam Pantulu Garu and when he was the Revenue Minister in the composite State, this was the first scheme about which he thought about and from that time onwards hopes are being held out but no work is done. The result is that there is frustration amongst the people there and they want to know why this step-motherly attitude is shown to that area.

Thank you, sir.
Budget for the Year 1960-61
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The Hon’ble Members may be pleased to note that several irrigation-cum-flood control projects have been approved. The primary objective of these projects is to improve the standard of living in upland areas by ensuring betterment in irrigation facilities. Two such projects are being considered: (1) a betterment levy to be imposed on the people benefiting from the irrigation projects, and (2) a 5% betterment levy to be imposed on the beneficiaries. The Hon’ble Members are requested to support these projects.

The Hon’ble Members are also requested to support the following projects:

1. Tube wells: The Hon’ble Members are requested to support the project of installing tube wells in the area. The project is expected to be 4% successful. The Hon’ble Members are requested to support this project.

2. Road projects: The Hon’ble Members are requested to support the project of constructing roads in the area. The project is expected to be 3% successful. The Hon’ble Members are requested to support this project.

The Hon’ble Members are requested to support all the above projects and ensure that the benefits of these projects reach the people in the upland areas.
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*SECTION 1. Demand (खर्च विनिमय):* अद्वितीय, यह चाहिए कि
मूल्यवाणी खर्च विनिमय demand है और आधिकारिक मूल्यवाणी
अद्वितीय खर्च विनिमय मूल्यवाणी है। यह अद्वितीय खर्च विनिमय
demand है और आधिकारिक मूल्यवाणी है। यह अद्वितीय खर्च विनिमय
demand है और आधिकारिक मूल्यवाणी है।
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Irrigation demands

Revenue

Allotment

Importance

Posterity
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serious plan of irrigation.

2. The serious plan of irrigation.

3. The serious plan of irrigation.

4. The serious plan of irrigation.

5. The serious plan of irrigation.

6. The serious plan of irrigation.

7. The serious plan of irrigation.

8. The serious plan of irrigation.

9. The serious plan of irrigation.

10. The serious plan of irrigation.

11. The serious plan of irrigation.

12. The serious plan of irrigation.

13. The serious plan of irrigation.

14. The serious plan of irrigation.

15. The serious plan of irrigation.

16. The serious plan of irrigation.

17. The serious plan of irrigation.

18. The serious plan of irrigation.

19. The serious plan of irrigation.

20. The serious plan of irrigation.

21. The serious plan of irrigation.

22. The serious plan of irrigation.

23. The serious plan of irrigation.

24. The serious plan of irrigation.

25. The serious plan of irrigation.

26. The serious plan of irrigation.

27. The serious plan of irrigation.

28. The serious plan of irrigation.

29. The serious plan of irrigation.

30. The serious plan of irrigation.

31. The serious plan of irrigation.

32. The serious plan of irrigation.

33. The serious plan of irrigation.

34. The serious plan of irrigation.

35. The serious plan of irrigation.

36. The serious plan of irrigation.

37. The serious plan of irrigation.

38. The serious plan of irrigation.

39. The serious plan of irrigation.

40. The serious plan of irrigation.

41. The serious plan of irrigation.

42. The serious plan of irrigation.

43. The serious plan of irrigation.

44. The serious plan of irrigation.

45. The serious plan of irrigation.

46. The serious plan of irrigation.

47. The serious plan of irrigation.

48. The serious plan of irrigation.

49. The serious plan of irrigation.

50. The serious plan of irrigation.

51. The serious plan of irrigation.

52. The serious plan of irrigation.

53. The serious plan of irrigation.

54. The serious plan of irrigation.

55. The serious plan of irrigation.

56. The serious plan of irrigation.

57. The serious plan of irrigation.

58. The serious plan of irrigation.

59. The serious plan of irrigation.

60. The serious plan of irrigation.

61. The serious plan of irrigation.

62. The serious plan of irrigation.

63. The serious plan of irrigation.

64. The serious plan of irrigation.

65. The serious plan of irrigation.

66. The serious plan of irrigation.

67. The serious plan of irrigation.

68. The serious plan of irrigation.

69. The serious plan of irrigation.

70. The serious plan of irrigation.

71. The serious plan of irrigation.

72. The serious plan of irrigation.

73. The serious plan of irrigation.

74. The serious plan of irrigation.

75. The serious plan of irrigation.

76. The serious plan of irrigation.

77. The serious plan of irrigation.

78. The serious plan of irrigation.

79. The serious plan of irrigation.

80. The serious plan of irrigation.

81. The serious plan of irrigation.

82. The serious plan of irrigation.

83. The serious plan of irrigation.

84. The serious plan of irrigation.

85. The serious plan of irrigation.

86. The serious plan of irrigation.

87. The serious plan of irrigation.

88. The serious plan of irrigation.

89. The serious plan of irrigation.

90. The serious plan of irrigation.

91. The serious plan of irrigation.

92. The serious plan of irrigation.

93. The serious plan of irrigation.

94. The serious plan of irrigation.

95. The serious plan of irrigation.

96. The serious plan of irrigation.

97. The serious plan of irrigation.

98. The serious plan of irrigation.

99. The serious plan of irrigation.

100. The serious plan of irrigation.

101. The serious plan of irrigation.

102. The serious plan of irrigation.

103. The serious plan of irrigation.

104. The serious plan of irrigation.

105. The serious plan of irrigation.

106. The serious plan of irrigation.

107. The serious plan of irrigation.

108. The serious plan of irrigation.

109. The serious plan of irrigation.

110. The serious plan of irrigation.

111. The serious plan of irrigation.

112. The serious plan of irrigation.

113. The serious plan of irrigation.

114. The serious plan of irrigation.

115. The serious plan of irrigation.

116. The serious plan of irrigation.

117. The serious plan of irrigation.

118. The serious plan of irrigation.

119. The serious plan of irrigation.

120. The serious plan of irrigation.

121. The serious plan of irrigation.

122. The serious plan of irrigation.

123. The serious plan of irrigation.

124. The serious plan of irrigation.

125. The serious plan of irrigation.

126. The serious plan of irrigation.

127. The serious plan of irrigation.

128. The serious plan of irrigation.

129. The serious plan of irrigation.

130. The serious plan of irrigation.

131. The serious plan of irrigation.

132. The serious plan of irrigation.

133. The serious plan of irrigation.

134. The serious plan of irrigation.

135. The serious plan of irrigation.

136. The serious plan of irrigation.

137. The serious plan of irrigation.

138. The serious plan of irrigation.

139. The serious plan of irrigation.

140. The serious plan of irrigation.
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Executive Engineer Planning meeting was conducted on 3rd March 1960. The following were present:

1. Executive Engineer
2. Head (g) Engineering Department
3. Head (f) Chief Engineer
4. Deputations

The meeting was convened to discuss the various irrigation schemes and their progress. The Executive Engineer presented a detailed report on the irrigation projects under the following categories:

1. Takeup
2. Taking over
3. Development
4. Construction

The meeting also discussed the benefits of the irrigation schemes and their impact on the local agriculture. The Head (g) Engineering Department expressed the need for more funding to accelerate the progress of the schemes.

Decision:

- Additional funding to be provided for the ongoing schemes.
- Regular monitoring of the projects to ensure timely completion.
- Coordination with the local farmers to ensure maximum benefit from the irrigation schemes.
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Minor Irrigation Schemes Investigation take up the demand for appointing more engineers technical staff of the revenue department to undertake the investigation. The Minor Irrigation Schemes Investigation take up was approved as per the estimates. The estimates of the Block Development Contribution deposit were as per the estimates. The estimates of the Village Estate deposit were as per the estimates. The estimates of the P. W. D. works were as per the estimates. The estimates of the P. W. D. works were as per the estimates. The estimates of the P. W. D. works were as per the estimates.
Chief Engineers approval is also sought for the Chief Engineers appointment. It is also proposed to regularise some Assistant Engineers and Executive Engineers, who have not received regularisation.
شری مادیہ اور سال مدرک اور پیپلز مسکر صاحب نے جو ذیلیں میں کھول فرامیں اور اپیل برداشت کر کے ہم ملتے ہیں کہ یہ جلدیہ کا اطلاع خرور سمجھیا ہوں۔ فرمیا نے لے برداشت کے تعلق سے بہت سے آرائی میران یہ عرض کیا۔ میں اس پر زیادہ زور نہ دیتے ہوئے اور اب سلہ صاحب کی تعلق مذہب کرو کے جس کے سمجھتا ہوں۔ اسی وجہ سے ان کے قبضے کی ایک عوامی اصلاح اور نبلا سکتے ہیں۔ وہ جنگجو جوڑتی جہذی میں بنیاد دیتا ہے اسے دیکھ کے ہماری بھی اس کا اپنے اور عدوی کمیونٹی کا سامنا ہے اور وہ بھی اس کی پرداشت کے سلسلے میں اپنی کامیابی کو جاری رکھنے کا بہتر بدلاؤ بناتے ہیں۔

مزید میں مفت صاحب یہ عرض کرنا چاہتا ہے کہ وہی کوئی جبرائیل کی جنگ کے سلسلے میں کسی کوئی اور کسی کوئی سامانسپارک کے عہد کے لئے قدمہ ہو کر لے رہا ہے۔

سورس معلومات کے اونکی تذکرے کی جانب اور حاضر تر زینب اور پیپلز جنگ کے تعلق سے کافی تجربہ نہیں کرنا چاہتا ہے۔

مولا نقلہ میں جو آئندہ برید میں منتقل ہوا ہے وہان ایک فوٹو لگ رکھ کے وہاں ہوئے ہیں۔

کس کے پیشراپ سلہ صاحب کو اور جامہ نسیم پرداشت کر بھی میں نے ایک

ربع پر اپنے کے۔ اس کا سرورہ بھی ہو چکا ہے۔ در اصل، پیپلز بھی

کہ درہماں نے بھی میں نے اس کو دوڑ کر ایک جبرائیل کا بابا کا سکتا ہے۔

صلی نظام ہاپ کے 8 لاکھ بالائی بندوں میں جنگ ناٹولفیری وہ ہیں جنہوں نے میں سال مدرک اور پرداشت کے سلسلے میں کم کی تعلق کا اندازہ لگایا۔ اس کے متعلق میں بہت عرض کرنا ضروری ہے ملتا ہے کہ موجودہ حکومت نے کہ یہاں کو مذہب کے بھی بہت حل کیا۔

میں بھی بہت پرداشت کیا ہے کہ جب پرداشت بھی کے متعلق جب پیپلز نے ان

کوئی اپنی کا بہت سی حکومت نے ملتی ہے اور اب بھی وہ حکومت
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بہت بہت بہت، رام کمش راؤ صاحب چیف مسٹر تھے۔ پھر سیاسیا رہتی
صاحب چیف مسٹر وہ پہر سمحوريا صاحب هٹے۔ جہاں مسٹر مسٹر
ہو سکتے ہیں لیکن ہلال اور ہلال سن نہیں اس کی چیف مسٹر تھے۔ ساتھی ساتھ
یہ اس یہ براہک کے متعلق عور و حوص کر کے وہ کمہ یہلی سن اس کو شریک
کروا یہاں اور اس براہک کے سروے کے سلسلے میں لاکھوں روپے اس پر
حراج کیا۔ لیکن اس وقت اس کا طرف اغوا کیا جا رہا تھا۔ پہہرینم آزاد کے
کاوشکری کے ساتھی ایک صیف اس کی رہنے تھے۔ مسٹر صاحب کی توجہ اس
طریق میں کروا یہاں روپے یہ پہے رس کے وہاں یہاں آگے پر عور کر کے اگر
سکنے یہلی سن اس براہک کو شریک یہاں کا متعلق تو کم اگر نہیں یہلی
اس کا سیر کر کے اسی تقرری کیا۔ مسٹر صاحب کی توجہ اس پر
مدل کروا یا یہاں روپے یہ سب سے مسٹر مسٹر کو ایک سالاں کا کم کر کے اتار
کے سلسلے میں سمجھی گئی نہیں۔ پہہری کاہری ایک یہ مرتہ سمجھتا ہویا تھا۔
 اس کا کوئی میں حور اپنیا ہوا سے اوتے تعلق ستمہ طیہ
کے سب سے یہاں مہاتمہ وہاں سے اوتے اپنے
epend کاہری ہوتے ہیں۔ اس کا ہور پر یہاں روپے یہ کی وجہ سے اپنے
کم کر کہ کبھی کبھی نہیں۔ اس
کے علاوہ جس طرح درسی کم کرے میں یہی۔ اسے۔ وہاں دوسری یہ اس کمیٹی میں
کے تنے۔ اسے دیا جانا چاہیئے۔ گھڑیاں یہ مسٹر مسٹر متعلقے ہے۔
کیا یہاں ہم ملعب ساگر کا یہ دی مڈلیج کھلاق یہاں اسکی اپنی
دی مڈلیج ہوئی کھلاٹی۔ مین سے اس وقت عور کا نہیں۔ وہاں ایک کا
لورکلاپاڈی ہوئی تو ہاں۔ جنس کے پاس فوت سے وہ بائی لاحقاً کر رہا
کھلی۔ ان آئینوں روپے کاہریاں اور یہاں ہے۔ لیکن اس تربو کوئی نہ جھگہ مشین
یہاں۔ اس لیے پہیہ عور کے ہے کہ لورکلاپاڈی ہوئی یہاں یہاں۔ اگنا کھڑا
مین

ابنی قبیر حتم کر روا ہور۔
* Sec. 5. The Account (of the Chief Engineer) 1960, the Superintending Engineer's Office 1959-60

The investigation shows that the estimates and sanctions were approved by the Chief Engineer's Office. The Chief Engineer's Office approved the estimates and sanctions for the years 1959-60 and 1960.
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Revenue Department - The amount has been reduced to 200. P.W.D. has decided to supply channels repairs registered orders. The amount has been reduced from 200 to 50. 300, 400, 500 500 and repairs are transported from the supply channels. The repairs are registered. P.W.D. has decided to transfer supply channels repairs registered orders. The amount has been reduced from 200 to 50. 300, 400, 500 500 and repairs are transported from the supply channels. The repairs are registered.
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The following is the Vote for Grants under the Zilla Panchayat for the year 1960-61.

P. W. D. (G) - 400

1. Register - 400

P. W. D. (A) - 300

1. Correspondence - 300

P. W. D. (W) - 200

1. Plan - 200

P. W. D. (D) - 100

1. Dairy - 100

P. W. D. (S) - 50

1. Survey - 50

P. W. D. (S) - 50

1. Survey - 50

P. W. D. (F) - 10

1. Forest - 10

P. W. D. (P) - 5

1. Family Planning - 5

P. W. D. (T) - 2

1. Transport - 2

P. W. D. (M) - 1

1. Miscellaneous - 1
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Administrative service discuss educate...
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The Chairman called the meeting to order and said:

The meeting of the Council for the year 1960-61 was convened on the 22nd March, 1960, in the Council Chamber. The Council proceeded to the business of the day and, after due consideration, passed the following demands for grants:

1. A demand for grants for the year 1960-61 in the amount of Rs. 50,000 for the construction of a new school building.
2. A demand for grants for the year 1960-61 in the amount of Rs. 25,000 for the purchase of new furniture for the library.
3. A demand for grants for the year 1960-61 in the amount of Rs. 15,000 for the improvement of the sports facilities at the school.

The meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.
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The Hon. Minister of Agriculture, Food and Public Works, Mr. V. Krishnamachari, in his Budget Speech on Agriculture, enumerated the various schemes for improving the existing irrigation facilities in the country. He mentioned the Lift Irrigation Scheme, which was to be a revolutionary step in the field of irrigation. The scheme, designed to lift water from rivers and streams, would be a great boon to the farming community. The scheme was estimated to cost 10 lakhs of rupees, and it was hoped that it would enable the farmers to cultivate more land.

The Hon. Minister also mentioned the Kandal irrigation scheme, which was to be implemented in the next financial year. The scheme was expected to cost 2 lakhs of rupees, and it was hoped that it would improve the irrigation facilities in the area.

Mr. V. Narasimha Rao, the Hon. Minister of Agriculture, Food and Public Works, in his Budget Speech, said that the government was committed to improving the agricultural sector in the country. He said that the government was going to invest heavily in the agricultural sector, and he hoped that this would lead to a increase in agricultural production.

Mr. Narasimha Rao also mentioned the importance of agricultural research. He said that the government was going to establish a agricultural research institute, which would be funded by the government. He said that this institute would be responsible for conducting research in the field of agriculture, and he hoped that this would lead to the development of new and innovative technologies.

Mr. Narasimha Rao also mentioned the importance of farmer welfare. He said that the government was going to establish a farmer welfare fund, which would be used to provide assistance to farmers who were facing financial difficulties.

Mr. Narasimha Rao concluded his speech by saying that the government was committed to improving the agricultural sector in the country, and he hoped that this would lead to a increase in agricultural production.

* * *

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao, the Hon. Minister of Agriculture, Food and Public Works, in his Budget Speech, said that the government was committed to improving the agricultural sector in the country. He said that the government was going to invest heavily in the agricultural sector, and he hoped that this would lead to a increase in agricultural production.

Mr. Narasimha Rao also mentioned the importance of agricultural research. He said that the government was going to establish a agricultural research institute, which would be funded by the government. He said that this institute would be responsible for conducting research in the field of agriculture, and he hoped that this would lead to the development of new and innovative technologies.

Mr. Narasimha Rao also mentioned the importance of farmer welfare. He said that the government was going to establish a farmer welfare fund, which would be used to provide assistance to farmers who were facing financial difficulties.

Mr. Narasimha Rao concluded his speech by saying that the government was committed to improving the agricultural sector in the country, and he hoped that this would lead to a increase in agricultural production.
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A speech by Lord Hylton on the Budget for the Year 1960-61
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Preliminary investigation revealed that the demands were not adequately justifiable. The Committee therefore recommended that the demands be referred back to the Budget Committee for further scrutiny.
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22nd March, 1950

Budget for the Year 1960-61

Commissions. The C.G. and the F.G. have decided to restructure the existing arrangements for the next year. The C.G. has decided to increase the F.G. by 10% and the F.G. by 15%. In order to meet the increased demand, additional grants will be made available. The grants will be increased by a minimum of 5%. The increased grants will be made available from the end of March.

Agriculture [Taramada]

The Government has decided to increase the F.G. for agriculture by 10%. The increase will be made available from the beginning of April. The grants will be increased by a minimum of 5%. The increased grants will be made available from the end of April.

Revenue [Taramada]

The Government has decided to increase the F.G. for revenue by 10%. The increase will be made available from the beginning of May. The grants will be increased by a minimum of 5%. The increased grants will be made available from the end of May.

Transport [Taramada]

The Government has decided to increase the F.G. for transport by 10%. The increase will be made available from the beginning of June. The grants will be increased by a minimum of 5%. The increased grants will be made available from the end of June.


deputy speaker in the chair

The Minister for Finance has stated that the budget for the next year will be presented to the House in the next session. The budget will be presented to the House by the end of June. The grants will be increased by a minimum of 5%. The increased grants will be made available from the end of June.
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The Hon'ble Member Mr. N. G. Badiger moved the following revised demands for grants for the year 1960-61.

1. Education
   - Revised budget: Rs. 1,80,00,000
   - Actual: Rs. 1,73,00,000

2. Public Works
   - Revised budget: Rs. 10,95,000
   - Actual: Rs. 9,40,000

3. Agriculture
   - Revised budget: Rs. 9,40,000
   - Actual: Rs. 10,85,000

4. Health
   - Revised budget: Rs. 24
   - Actual: Rs. 24

5. Industries
   - Revised budget: Rs. 123
   - Actual: Rs. 123

6. Transport
   - Revised budget: Rs. 1,20,00,000
   - Actual: Rs. 1,20,00,000

The Hon'ble Member then presented the statements and demanded the consideration of the revised budget for the year 1960-61.
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MANAGING COMMITTEE

The following demands are referred to the revised budget for sanction:

Demand Description

1. Revised Budget
   - 1960-61
   - Revised

2. Sanction
   - 1959-60

3. Revised
   - 1958-59

Details:

- 1959-60
  - 2,000
  - Revised

- 1958-59
  - Revised

- 1960-61
  - Revised

- 1959-60
  - Revised

- 1958-59
  - Revised

- Summary
  - Sanction
  - Revised

- 17,86,000
  - Revised

- 31,00,000
  - Revised

- 25,50,000
  - Revised

- 38,00,000
  - Revised

- 1,28,000
  - Revised

- 52,000
  - Revised

- 4,30,000
  - Revised

- 4,978
  - Revised

- 4,85,000
  - Revised

- 1,02,000
  - Revised

- 8,00,000
  - Revised

- 33,000
  - Revised

- 4,978
  - Revised

Sanction

- 31,00,000
- 25,50,000
- 38,00,000
- 1,28,000
- 52,000
- 4,30,000
- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 25,50,000
- 38,00,000
- 1,28,000
- 52,000
- 4,30,000
- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 38,00,000
- 1,28,000
- 52,000
- 4,30,000
- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 1,28,000
- 52,000
- 4,30,000
- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 52,000
- 4,30,000
- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 4,30,000
- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 4,978
- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 4,85,000
- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 1,02,000
- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 8,00,000
- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 33,000
- 4,978

Sanction

- 4,978

Sanction
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control Scheme</td>
<td>₹8,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-purpose</td>
<td>₹58,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Irrigation</td>
<td>₹20,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-purpose</td>
<td>₹58,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>₹50,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards and Prizes</td>
<td>₹40,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>₹38,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Irrigation</td>
<td>₹140,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood Control Scheme</td>
<td>₹18,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>₹40,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- The amounts mentioned are in Indian Rupees (₹).
- The details provided are for the year 1960-61.
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சுத்துப் பின்வரும் வழிமுறையடைந்த ஒசுந்து வழிமுறைகளின் மூலமாக. இந்த நிதி வருமானத்தில் முன்னேற்றம் செய்யப்பட்டு 20 ஆண்டு வருடாக வாழ்க்கையின் பல்வேறு உரையாட்டுகளின் மூலமாக. அதே வருடாக வாழ்க்கை நிலைப்படுத்தப்பட்டிருப்பது. இவ்விதமான நிலைப்படுத்தப்பட்டிருப்பது என்பது நமது முன்னேற்றத்தின் விளக்கமாகவே இருப்பது. 

இது குறிப்பிட்டது தோன்றும் நமது முன்னேற்றத்தின் விளக்கமாகவே இருப்பது. இது குறிப்பிட்டது தோன்றும் நமது முன்னேற்றத்தின் விளக்கமாகவே இருப்பது. இது குறிப்பிட்டது தோன்றும் நமது முன்னேற்றத்தின் விளக்கமாகவே இருப்பது. இது குறிப்பிட்டது தோன்றும் நமது முன்னேற்றத்தின் விளக்கமாகவே இருப்பது.
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Associations

Committee

Estimates

Complaints

Committee

Irrigation

Investigations

The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on Wednesday, the 23rd March, 1960.