ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Monday, the 24th March 1968
The House met at Half Past Eight of the Clock.

[ Mr. Speaker in the Chair ]

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(See Part I)

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

It is a question relating to one individual.

The House adjourned at 8.35 p.m.
Statement of Supplementary Demands for Grants 1957-58.

Dr. B. Gopala Reddy: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present the Statement showing Supplementary Demands for Grants in respect of the Budget for 1957-58.

70 Famine Relief Item
12 Irrigation K. C. Canal improvement
Mr. Speaker, Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,41,89,800 under Demand No. XXVIII—Civil Works."

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,74,41,400 under Demand No. XLV—Capital Outlay on Civil Works."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.
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Maintenance costs in sugar-cane areas are Rs 20 and Rs 40 per acre. The cost of construction and maintenance varies according to the rainfall conditions and the extent of maintenance required. The average rainfall in sugar-cane areas is about 82 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall is 76 inches per annum. Average rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 84 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 70 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 64 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 56 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 48 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 40 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 32 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 24 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 16 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 8 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 4 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 2 inches per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 1 inch per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 0.5 inch per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 0.25 inch per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 0.125 inch per annum. The average annual rainfall in the area under sugar-cane is 0.0625 inch per annum.

Strategic importance of sugar-cane areas is high. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country. The importance of sugar-cane areas is due to the fact that they are the main source of sugar in the country.
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The House adjourned until 2.00 p.m. for the lunch hour.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Aurobindo Society, Madras, have been contributing towards the welfare of the deaf and dumb from time to time. The Society has always been in the forefront of welfare activities for the deaf and dumb. It has been active in promoting educational and social welfare for the deaf and dumb. The Society has been instrumental in establishing several schools and institutions for the deaf and dumb. It has also been instrumental in establishing several training centres for the deaf and dumb. The Society has been a strong advocate for the rights of the deaf and dumb and has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Society has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been active in promoting the welfare of the deaf and dumb. The Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.

Mr. C. R. Dhanapal: The Minor Ports Committee has been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of India. The Committee has also been successful in obtaining several grants from the Government of Madras.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 641,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To request the Government to impress upon the Government of India, Railway Board to constitute a Railway Zone for Andhra Pradesh State.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the policy of Government on communications.

*Sri G. Suryanarayana (Gajapathinagaram-Reserved):* Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the neglect of the Government about construction of roads in Gajapathinagaram constituency.

*Sri Baswa Maniah:* Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To criticise the action of the Government in allotting the houses constructed for Government employees in city to the Andhra employees exclusively and charging the expenditure from the budget of Telangana.

*Sri B. Narasimha Reddy (Suryapeta):* Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Electricity by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the Scheme for connecting Suryapet with Warangal – Khammam road and also the working of the Scheme for development of rural communications in Suryapet taluq.

*Sri Krishnamachary (Sangareddy-General):* Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To criticise the policy of the Government.
To discuss the delay in respect of construction of a road from Sangareddy (Dt. headquarters town) to the Headquarters of Narasapuram taluq via Ismail Khan peta.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to construct so far a bridge over the canal known as Basawan wagu on the road between Chantkur village (Andol taluq) and Jogipeta.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to construct so far a road to Kondapur (Sangareddy taluq) a place of historical importance.

Sri B. Dharmabhiksham (Nakrekal): Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to give the enhancement of Rs. 6/- to Highways employees and also the reasons for removal of temporary maistries without payment of gratuity.

Sri G. Ramulu (Huzurabad–Reserved): Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to pay the enhancement of salary sanctioned to the coolies working on Highways roads.

To discuss the failure of the Government to repair the pathway for which permission and licences for private bus routes have been given.
Sri B. Sivaramamurthy: Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to construct a bridge across the Gosthani river in Srungavarapukottu, Vizianagaram.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to provincialise Srungavarapukottu—Thamarapatti Road.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to improve the State of the District roads.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To criticise the Government's failure to eradicate corruption, wastage, and mismanagement and also to criticise the Government's failure to abolish the contract system in favour of workers co-operatives.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the Government's failure to lay a road from Guna (K.) Valasambel to Kotagandole to Garekki, in Vizianagaram taluk (Vizianagaram District) to be estimated at Rs. 1,00,000.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to lay a road from Lakavarapukota (via Khasapeta, Kotyada to Alamba in Srngavarapukota taluk (Vizag district).

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the Government's failure to form a road from Komatipalli to Garbham in Bobbili Cheepurupalli taluk of Srikakulam district and also the Government's failure to repair Salur-Duddigam road from Mamidipalli in Srikakulam district.

Sri B. Dharma Bhuksham. Sir, I beg to move:-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100

To discuss the failure of the Government to prohibit Yetti (forced labour) obtained by the P. W. D. Officers from Highways gang workers.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100

To discuss the failure of the Government to keep a proper check upon the Highways Officers contractors who are entering into mutual partnership agreements to deprive the Government of their funds property and materials.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100

To discuss the failure of the Government to proceed with the construction of roads taken up last year in Nalgonda District and to take up the construction of roads on the basis of the needs.
Demand No. XLV. Capital Outlay on Civil Works—
Rs. 3,74,41,400

Sri P. Sundarayya: Sir, I beg to move:-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,74,41,400 for capital outlay on civil works by Rs. 100

The Government continuously, inspite of repeated reminders on the floor of the Assembly, put the items of expenditure on Governor and his paraphernalia, as charged items though they exceed the allotted amount as found in the President's order and deprives the right of the Assembly to vote such items and as a matter of economy.

Sri Baswa Maniah: Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,74,41,400 for capital outlay on civil works by Rs. 100

To denounce the policy of the Government in raising the allotment of Rs. 14,45,900 for Police in 1957-58 by 31,69,100 in 1958-59 and thus increase it by 100%.

Mr. Speaker: All these cut motions are now before the House.
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An hon. Member: It has gone to Bombay State.
Second Five Year Plan area famine areas. Famine area cover up roads. Dust proof Macks over.

Second Five Year Plan area famine areas. Famine area cover up roads. Dust proof Macks over.
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The Governor of this State, in his Budget Speech, has placed before the House the demand for Rs. 183 crores for the year ending March 31, 1959. This demand includes an amount of Rs. 128 crores for the various works of development and Rs. 55 crores for the Central Roads Aid Fund. The Governor has also mentioned that the demand includes an amount of Rs. 100 crores for the various works of development and Rs. 55 crores for the Central Roads Aid Fund. The Governor has also mentioned that the demand includes an amount of Rs. 100 crores for the various works of development and Rs. 55 crores for the Central Roads Aid Fund.
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The Government (Finance Department): The Finance Department has estimated the following demands for Grants for the year 1958-59:

- Education
- Health
- Public Works
- Agriculture
- Irrigation
- Industries
- Welfare
- Housing
- Research
- Other

The estimated demands are as follows:

- Education: Rs. 30,000
- Health: Rs. 20,000
- Public Works: Rs. 25,000
- Agriculture: Rs. 15,000
- Irrigation: Rs. 10,000
- Industries: Rs. 5,000
- Welfare: Rs. 10,000
- Housing: Rs. 15,000
- Research: Rs. 5,000
- Other: Rs. 5,000

Total estimated demands: Rs. 100,000

The Finance Department has recommended that these demands be fulfilled to ensure the development of the State.

Chowdary, Treasurer
Budget-Demands for Grants  
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The following requirements are submitted for the consideration of the Committee:

1. In order to meet the needs of the railway system, an amount of Rs. 3 crores is required for the acquisition of additional land. Rs. 1 crore has been allocated for this purpose. The remaining Rs. 2 crores will be required for the acquisition of land in the future.

2. The application for the repayment of existing loans is for Rs. 18 crores. The Committee may consider the request for an additional amount to repay the loans.

3. The demand for the purchase of new locomotives is for Rs. 5 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased purchase.

4. The demand for the maintenance of existing railway property is for Rs. 10 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased maintenance.

5. The demand for the construction of new railway lines is for Rs. 15 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased construction.

6. The demand for the purchase of new rolling stock is for Rs. 7 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased purchase.

7. The demand for the improvement of existing railway property is for Rs. 8 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased improvement.

8. The demand for the provision of new railway property is for Rs. 3 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased provision.

9. The demand for the construction of new railway lines is for Rs. 12 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased construction.

10. The demand for the purchase of new rolling stock is for Rs. 5 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased purchase.

The total demand for the railway system is Rs. 70 crores. The Committee may consider the recommendation for an increased demand.
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The demands of the following departments/estates are submitted for your consideration:

1. Railways
2. Police
3. Telecommunications
4. Education
5. Health

The rail staff have made demands for travel allowance and the rail authorities have justified their demands. The railway staff have also been given permission to travel by train.

The police have requested an increase in their budget for the maintenance of law and order. The police authorities have provided adequate justification for their demands.

The telecommunications department has requested an increase in their budget for the expansion of the communication network. The department has highlighted the importance of modernizing the communication system.

The education department has requested an increase in their budget for the expansion of educational facilities. The department has provided detailed justification for their demands.

The health department has requested an increase in their budget for the improvement of health care facilities. The department has highlighted the importance of providing quality health care to the public.

The demands presented by the various departments are justifiable and necessary for the development of the state. It is recommended that these demands be considered and approved accordingly.

R. D. O.
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...
maintain staff (in the sense of expenses on staff) in the budget should be carefully considered. 0.2% of the total budget should be allocated for this purpose. This is a long-standing practice and should continue. The term "staff side, staff core" is used. Staff is sanctioned to do research and other work. A small staff is maintained to do research and other work. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The budget should be allocated for this purpose. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The budget should be allocated for this purpose. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work. The budget should be allocated for this purpose. The staff should be sanctioned to do research and other work.
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The Finance Minister, Mr. S.R. Vali, presented the following demands for grants to the House:

1. Construction of a railway line from A to B, estimated to cost Rs. 110 lakhs. The project is expected to be completed within a year.

2. Additional funds for town planning and building designing.

3. Special funds for the maintenance of existing railway lines.

The Finance Minister also highlighted the importance of infrastructure development and infrastructure maintenance.

Estimates to be finalised and approved.
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...buildings repair work... Minor Irrigation Department... Department... buildings... repairs...

...civil works... demand... demand... works... road communication... communications... programme... communications... State Road Development...
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National Highways and school buildings were the main demands of the District Board, Special Officer and Collector for the financial year 1958. School buildings, including school staff, were a major demand. Special Officer and Collector, District Board, requested the Inspecting Staff to inspect and report on the condition of the roads and buildings. The roads and buildings were in a poor condition. School buildings were also in a poor condition, as the inspects report. Special Officer and Collector 11 asked the Road Fund to allot funds for the construction of roads and buildings. Road communications, water communications, and land acquisitions were also demanded. 12, 15 Road communications were allotted funds, but the District Board requested more funds for the construction of roads. Major district roads were also demanded. Bus stands were also requested. Manganese was also demanded. 10, 15 manganese was also demanded, but manganese was not allocated funds. Manganese was also demanded. manganese was also demanded.
Deputy Chief Minister said that the existing budget, which has been prepared taking into account the requirements of various departments, is only an estimate. The actual expenditure may vary depending on the needs. The Finance Minister said that the budget is based on estimates of various departments and the amount has been allocated accordingly. The Budget Committee has discussed the various proposals and has recommended that the budget be presented to the state assembly for approval.

The budget includes provisions for the development of various sectors such as education, health, roads, etc. The Government has also made provisions for the maintenance of public buildings and the provision of essential services. The budget includes a provision of 150 workers for the maintenance of public buildings and the provision of essential services. The Government has also made provisions for the welfare of the workers and the staff of the Government Service Rules, allowances and other benefits. The budget has been presented for the year 1958.
कम्प्युटर्स स के तहत रेखे भी आता है। बांध प्रदेश कायम होने के बाद हम बांध प्रदेश के किये अन्य रेखे होते थे। रेखाएँ वह वह तक कायम नहीं हुआ मे आपने बतलाता है नार्य इसवीं धार्मिक के लिये एक अन्य जोन है। उसक हरिया १५३० मुख्या मंडल है। उस में कई बांध प्रदेश का एरिया २८६० सुरवा भी है। यह उसे भी बांध लोग पर भी यहाँ जोन नहीं बनाया गया था। तो मृत्यु हमारे हुसूमत तेजस्वी पत्रमेंट को वर्ण फोर्म नहीं करती कि आध्यात्मक के लिये अन्य जोन बनाया जाये। मैं हुसूमत से चाहता हूं कि वह इस तरह खास व्याख्या दे।

लुप्तिवर्ती नदी पर हृदयारी के पास एक पुल बनाया जा रहा है जो नेशनल हाइवे में बुरार किया जाता है। इस पर गर्मियों खास व्याख्या दे। मैं नहीं कि यह नेशनल हाइवे पर है। और एक स्टेट को हृदयारी स्टेट से मिलाने का रास्ता है। इस पर खास व्याख्या देने को जरूरत है।

इसी तरह गोरखारी नदी पर एक पुल बनाया जा रहा है। उसका काम बहुत ही मुश्किल से ही रहा है। मैं कहूँगा कि १९५८-५९ का बजेट खास होने तक उस काम को पूरा कराने की कोशिश करें।

गुजरात बजेट मेंत मे मानवीय मंत्री के एरावत से मुख्य-दिया यान उद्योग कम्प्युटर्स का मतलब यह है कि जनता को किसी तरह से खाने के लिये हर तरह की उपलब्ध हो। हमारे बांध प्रदेश में विस्तारशील कामयाब बहुत बड़ी बढ़ताहु है। वहाँ से हृदयारी तथा बैलेंसर एक पर लाइन काम करने के लिये बांध प्रदेश की हुसूमत सेंट्रल गर्मियों में पुरा जोर अपना करें। मैं व्याख्या करता हूँ कि इस लाइन को जल्द ही आरोपी कराया जाया।

अब रुद्रा तेलंगाना और बांध का मलता। बसलवत बांध के तेलंगाना मे भी बांध, की रोड़ बहुत कम है। हुसूमत इस तरह कम तबल्जोड़ देती है। मानवीय बांधी जो मैं तेलंगाना के एक मंत्री है। मैं उसका चाहता हूँ कि वह तेलंगाने की तरफ तबल्जोड़ देकर यहाँ रोड़ ज्यादा बनाने की कोशिश करें। बिहार ओवरड व झारखंड ने कया तहत व्रत भी रोड़ है। वह बिलुकु जल हार्ट और अधिक हुसूमत है।
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The demand for grants for a particular purpose is a matter of national importance. The demand is submitted to the government by various departments and organizations. The government then has to decide whether to grant funds to these organizations or not.

(Smt. T. Laxmi Kantamma in the Chair)
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forming a by-pass at Dhone. Revised estimate made at 100 ordinary items amounting to 15 crores. Revised estimate made at 100 ordinary items amounting to 15 crores.
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The demands for the year 1958-59 are placed before the House. These demands are for the following purposes:

1. Development of Social Welfare Sectors
2. Education
3. Public Health
4. Agriculture
5. Irrigation
6. Power
7. Transport
8. Housing and Town Planning
9. Industrial Development
10. Tourism

The total amount required for the above purposes is Rs. 1,200 lakhs. The state government has already allocated Rs. 800 lakhs for the development works. The remaining amount will be raised from other sources.

I am confident that the House will approve these demands and provide the necessary funds for the development of the state.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Minister for Finance
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Mr. Speaker in the Chair,

A point was taken up by the Hon. Minister for Agriculture in regard to the expenditure on the building of houses for the agricultural workers. 

The Minister for Agriculture replied that the expenditure on the building of houses for agricultural workers was a very small item in the budget. The total expenditure on this item in the budget for the year was only Rs. 5,000. 

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]
Budget Demands for Grants

24th March, 1958

* P. W. D. is a department of the Government of India responsible for the construction and maintenance of public works like roads, bridges, and buildings. It is also responsible for the development of infrastructure and urban planning. The department plays a crucial role in the economic development of the country. The budget demands for the P. W. D. department are an important aspect of the national budget, as they determine the allocation of funds for construction projects. These funds are used to improve the quality of life for citizens by providing better infrastructure. The budget demands reflect the priorities of the government and the needs of the country. The department is committed to delivering projects that are cost-effective, efficient, and sustainable. Through careful planning and execution, the P. W. D. department ensures that the projects are completed on time and within budget. The department is also focused on ensuring that the projects meet the highest standards of quality and safety. The budget demands for the P. W. D. department are an indication of the government's commitment to infrastructure development and public works. The department is dedicated to delivering projects that will benefit the citizens of the country.
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The Opposition party — which oppose this measure — are committed to

Building Societies and have always opposed the granting of Building

Societies grants. They have consistently argued that Building Societies

should be left to look after their own affairs, and have not been

supportive of any increase in aid to these institutions. The Opposition

party has consistently opposed the granting of grants to Building

Societies, believing that such a move would undermine the autonomy

of these institutions.

The Opposition party has also been critical of the allocation of

grants to the Building Societies, arguing that the funds have been

misused and that the Building Societies have not been able to

achieve their objectives with the aid that has been given to them.

The Opposition party has therefore been opposed to any further

grant aid to the Building Societies, and has called for a more

conservative approach to financial aid to these institutions.
24th March, 1958
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in 1957. The population was found to be 5,620. A famine followed in the same year.

The situation was catastrophic. The government took immediate action and declared a famine in May 1957. The situation was so critical that the government had to seek international aid. The government, therefore, decided to raise funds through a special assessment.

The demand for grants was made in consultation with all the stakeholders. The P.W.D. department was asked to prepare a detailed report on the current status of the department. The department was asked to identify the areas that required immediate attention and to prioritize the schemes for implementation.

The department was also asked to prepare a comprehensive plan for the next five years. The plan was to be submitted to the government by the end of June.

The government was also asked to ensure that the funds raised through the special assessment were used effectively. The government was asked to ensure that the funds were used for the intended purposes and that the projects were completed on time.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were environmentally friendly. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.

The government was also asked to ensure that the projects were of high quality. The government was asked to ensure that the projects were designed and executed in consultation with the stakeholders.
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Sir,

I am glad to say that since the last Budget we have been working on the demands for grants and we have been able to prepare a comprehensive list of demands. The list consists of two parts:

1. Head-Office Charges
2. Charged Items

Head-Office Charges

- Rent
- Salaries
- Utilities

Charged Items

- Appliances
- Furniture
- Stationery

I would like to request you to consider these demands and provide the necessary grants to support our operations.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

State Head
24th March, 1958
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The State Head of the Ministry of Roads, Transport and Public Works has submitted a comprehensive report on the state of the roads in the state. The report highlights the importance of state roads and their role in the economic development of the state.

The report states that there are approximately 13,600 state roads of economic and inter-state importance. These roads are spread across the state and are essential for the smooth functioning of the economy.

The report also mentions that there are approximately 5,650 closed roads in the state. These roads are not in use and are considered redundant.

The State Head of the Ministry of Roads, Transport and Public Works has recommended the closure of these closed roads to save on maintenance costs.

The report concludes by stating that the closure of these roads will not affect the overall economic development of the state.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Minister of Roads, Transport and Public Works
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Village roads & State Highways road, Building...

18 months巨星服务

misunderstanding 24th March, 1958
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...charged item. It is charged item, over which we cannot vote. It is charged item, over which we cannot vote. It is not recurring expenditure for every year.

Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddy: Last year we could not spend it.

...charged item. It is charged item, over which we cannot vote. It is not recurring expenditure for every year.

...charged item. It is not recurring expenditure for every year.

...charged item. It is not recurring expenditure for every year.

...charged item. It is not recurring expenditure for every year.
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Planning Demand

Village roads, co-operative scheme. Village roads do not belong to the Government. Highway

Department

Grants to some body else.

District Board roads

1958-59

15

Additional

Annual

Maintenance

District Boards.
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The policy roads and other roads maintenance are district boards and roads maintenance. As the maintenance of primary schools is one of the prime tasks of the education department, the Education Department Budget under proposals for the 1958-59 financial year included an increase of 25% over the previous year's estimate. The estimates for primary schools were increased, and for secondary schools, a proposal was made to increase the number of district boards. Public contributions were increased, and the estimates for public schools were increased to provide funds for the maintenance of secondary schools. In addition, the estimates for education were increased, and the Education Department was allocated a substantial budget. The Constitution provides that the salaries and allowances of the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker and the Chairman and Deputy Chairman come under charged items. So also, expenditure in respect of the salaries and allowances of Judges of the High Court.

According to the Constitution, Article 202, the Governor, Speaker, and Judges are entitled to office allowances. The salaries and allowances of the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker and the Chairman and Deputy Chairman come under charged items. So also, expenditure in respect of the salaries and allowances of Judges of the High Court.

The emoluments and allowances of the Governor, and other expenditure made on his office. The salaries and allowances of the Governor, and other expenditure made on his office. The salaries and allowances of the Governor, and other expenditure made on his office. The salaries and allowances of the Governor, and other expenditure made on his office.
The amount allotted for these works is Rs. 218 lakhs in Telengana in which proper distribution has been made to all Telengana Districts in the Second Five-Year Plan as follows:

- Medak: Rs. 40,68,000
- Mahbubnagar: Rs. 28,35,000
- Hyderabad: Rs. 20,43,000
- Nizamabad: Rs. 7,15,000
- Warangal: Rs. 8,63,000
- Khammam: Rs. 30,95,000
- Karimnagar: Rs. 19,98,000
- Adilabad: Rs. 12,55,000
- Nalgonda: Rs. 35,14,000

**TOTAL Rs. 2,03,86,000**

Survey Divisions Rs. 8,70,000
230 24th March, 1958  Budget-Demands for Grants

The committee has considered the amounts requested by the various departments for development work and has recommended an increase in certain of these amounts. The increase is largely in the departments of Education, Agriculture, and Health.

The Education Department has requested an increase of Rs. 50 lakhs for the development of rural education. The committee has recommended an increase of Rs. 20 lakhs in this amount.

The Agriculture Department has requested an increase of Rs. 30 lakhs for the development of agricultural research. The committee has recommended an increase of Rs. 10 lakhs in this amount.

The Health Department has requested an increase of Rs. 40 lakhs for the development of rural health services. The committee has recommended an increase of Rs. 20 lakhs in this amount.

The committee has also recommended an increase of Rs. 10 lakhs in the amount requested by the Department of Commerce for the development of marketing and commerce in rural areas.

The total increase recommended by the committee is Rs. 90 lakhs.
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On a point of information, Sir, the sugar-cane cess fund serves as a "sugar factory" andHighways. Heavy traffic is a problem. Unfortunately, sugar cane cess fund is not being collected adequately. An estimate of Rs 20 crore., was Rs 10 crore. Fortunately, some sugar cane factories are being developed in the areas. It is hoped that this will improve the situation. Any other suggestions?
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Avenue trees (aum) are costly, famine is harrowing. Famine relief is too costly. Aare expensive, too. Avenue trees are maintenance costly. Labour co-operatives organise. Minimum tender rates are usual. Avenue gangmen are maintenance costly. Highways are provincialised provincially. No confirm.
Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion.

The cut motion, was with leave of the House, withdrawn

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil Works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the policy of Government on communications.

The motion was negatived.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil Works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil Works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil Works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil Works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Sri B. Dharma Bhiksham: I demand a division Sir.

The House then divided.
Ayes: 39; Noes: 88;

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-
To discuss the failure of the Government to construct a bridge across the Gosthami river in Srungavarapukota, Vizianagaram.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100/-
To discuss the failure of the Government to provincialise Srungavarapukota—Thamarapatti road.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-
To discuss the failure of the Government to provincialise Srungavarapukota—Thamarapatti Road.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-
To discuss the Government's failure to improve the State of the District roads.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-
To criticise the Government's failure to eradicate corruption, wastage and mismanagement and also to criticise the Government's failure to abolish the contract system in favour of workers co-operatives.

The motion was negatived.
Sri B. Srinivamurthy: I demand a division, Sir.

The House then divided.

Ayes: 39; Noes: 89.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the Government’s failure to lay a road from Gurla (Via) Kalavacherla and Kotagandredu to Garikivalasa, in Vizianagaram taluq (Vizag District).

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the failure of the Government to lay a road from Lakkavarapukota (via, Khasapeta, Kotyada to Alamananda in Sruangavarapukota taluk (Vizag district).

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

To discuss the Government’s failure to form a road from Komatipallli to Garbham in Bobbili Cheepurupalli taluq of Srikakulam district and also the Government’s failure to repair Salur-Duddigam road from Mamidipalli in Srikakulam district.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800/- for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,41,89,800 for Civil works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Demand No. XLV—Capital Out-lay on Civil Works

Rs. 3,74,41,400

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,74,41,400 for Capital Outlay on Civil works by Rs. 3,74,41,400

The Govt. continuously, inspite of repeated reminders on the floor of the Assembly, put the items of expenditure on Governor and his paraphernalia, as charged items though they exceed the allotted amount as found in the President’s order and deprives the right of the Assembly to vote such items and as a matter of economy.

The motion was negatived.

Sri P. Sundarayya: I demand a division Sir,

The House then divided.

Ayes 39; Noes: 90:

The motion was negatived.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,74,41,400 for Capital Outlay on Civil Works by Rs. 100/-

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

That Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,41,89,800 under Demand No. XXVIII-Civil Works.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

That Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,74,41,400 under Demand No. XLV - Capital Outlay on Civil Works.

The motion was adopted.

The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on Tuesday, the 25th March 1958.