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ANDHRA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Wednesday, the 29th February 1958.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Kurnool, at half past eight of the clock, Mr. Speaker (The Hon. Sri R. Lakshminarasimham Dora) in the Chair.

I. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS.

Conduction of All-India Mango Show in Andhra.

374—

* 373 Q.—Sri P. PARTHASARATHY : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have forwarded to Indian Council of Agricultural Research the request of this State Horticultural Association that the next All-India Mango Show be conducted in Andhra in May 1956 along with the State Exhibition at Waltair: and

(b) if so, the reply received from them?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:

(a) No such request has been made to the Government by the State Horticultural Association. The Director of Agriculture is however pursuing this proposal with the Indian Council of Agricultural Research.

(b) No reply has yet been received by the Director of Agriculture.

Localization Scheme in the Godavari Canal Delta.

375—

* 346 Q.—Sri A. VENKATRAMA RAJU : Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government propose to revise the existing localization scheme in the Godavari Central Delta; and

(b) if so, when?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI :

(a) Yes.

(b) As soon as the technical personnel position eases a little.

Sri B. RATHNASABHAPATHI:— అప్పుడు, అంచనాసంఖ్య అంచనాసంఖ్య వంటి రైతువైద్యం నిషేధించే కాడు చేసినది?

ప్రథమ వ్యాపారం మార్క్స్ దాని ప్రత్యేకపడుతూ యాదుంది?

ప్రథమ పాథ మార్క్స్ దాని ప్రత్యేకపడుతూ యాదుంది?

ప్రథమ వ్యాపారం మార్క్స్ దాని ప్రత్యేకపడుతూ యాదుంది?
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

29th February 1956

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Please explain the Revenue Department, P. W. Department and its role.

Sri B. RATHNASABHAPATHI:—The Agricultural Department works on agricultural localization schemes. The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Agricultural Department and its role in localization schemes work. Please explain. The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Agricultural Department and its role in localization schemes work. Second crop localization scheme. Second crop localization scheme. Agricultural Department and its role in the Co-operative department.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—Whether the Government have published a book called ‘Hand Book of Andhra Statistics’; if so, whether it will be placed on the Table of the House and a copy given to each member; and the cost of printing it and where it was printed?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

(a) Yes.

(b) Copies of the book have already been distributed to all M. L. As.

(c) One thousand copies of ‘The Hand Book’ have been printed at Solar Works, Madras at a cost of Rs. 660.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—Whether the Government press or the State Government press has published the book?

377—
* 321 Q.—Sri P. KODANDARAMAIAH : Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government propose to set up a separate department for the Ayurvedic medicine;

(b) if not, why; and

(c) whether the Government propose to constitute a special Central Board of Indigenous Medicine for Andhra and if so, when?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :—

ANSWER

(a) and (b) The report of the Expert Committee for Ayurvedic System of medicine is under examination.

The Government will lay down their policy regarding this system of medicine only after the examination of the report of the Expert Committee is completed.

(c) It is one of the recommendations made by the Expert Committee of the Ayurvedic system of medicine to constitute a separate Board of Indigenous Medicine for the State. The matter
29th February 1955

will be considered along with the examination of the other recommendations.

Sri P. KODANDA RAMAYYA:—Will the recommendations made by the Committee be considered in accordance with the other recommendations?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—Yes. The recommendations will be considered in accordance with the other recommendations.

Sri T. JIYAR DAS:—Is it possible to include the recommendations of the Special Officer in the final recommendations?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—Yes. The recommendations of the Special Officer will be included in the final recommendations.

An. Hon. MEMBER:—We refer to the recommendations of the Special Officer and include the recommendations of the Special Board.

Sri P. VENKATASUBBAYYA:—Is it possible to include the recommendations of the Special Officer in the final recommendations?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—Yes. The recommendations of the Special Officer will be included in the final recommendations.

Sri P. KODANDARAMAYYA:—Is it possible to include the recommendations of the Special Officer in the final recommendations?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—Yes. The recommendations of the Special Officer will be included in the final recommendations.
Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:— ఎగుమనుడు, హోమ్ మంత్రి సాంస్కృతిక శాసనాధ్యక్షుడు పరిస్థితి సర్వసాధారణంలో "నా చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం, ముఖ్యమంత్రి సర్వసాధారణం" అనుసరించి "నా చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం, ముఖ్యమంత్రి సర్వసాధారణం" అనుసరించి "నా చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం, ముఖ్యమంత్రి సర్వసాధారణం" అనుసరించి "నా చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం, ముఖ్యమంత్రి సర్వసాధారణం"

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:— ఏమి చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం మాత్రమే, కర్నూలు శాసనసభ పదవులు అప్పట అంశం.

Sri P. VENKATASUBBABAYYA:— నా చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం ముఖ్యమంత్రి సర్వసాధారణం, అంశం తెలిపడానికి ఎందుకు?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:— నా చెపుతున్న అనుగుణం మాత్రమే, కర్నూలు శాసనసభ పదవులు అప్పట అంశం.

Sri T. JIJYAR DAS:— ఎగుమనుడు, సర్వసాధారణం సంస్థ పరిస్థితి సర్వసాధారణం "మనుముగుణం మనుముగుణం అంటే శాసనమంత్రి సర్వసాధారణం?" ఎంచుకోవచ్చాను, ఏమి లేదు ఎంచుకోవచ్చాను, ఎంచుకోవచ్చాను, ఎంచుకోవచ్చాను, ఎంచుకోవచ్చాను?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:— ఎగుమనుడు మాత్రమే, కర్నూలు శాసనసభ పదవులు అప్పట అంశం.

378—

* 487—Sri N. C. SESHADRI:—Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the State Fodder and Grazing Committee is functioning in the State now; and

(b) if so, the names of members serving on the Board?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

(a) A standing Fodder and Grazing Committee has been constituted in January, 1956.

(b) The following are the official and non-official members of the Committee:—

1. Minister for Agriculture. Chairman.
2. Member of the Board of Revenue in charge of Estate and Private Forests. Vice-Chairman.
3. The Chief Conservator of Forests, Andhra.
4. The Chief Engineer (Irrigation), Andhra.
5. The Director of Agriculture & Fisheries, Andhra.
29th February 1956]

(6) The State Silvicultur and one (is) Senior Forest Officer (to be nominated by the Chief Conservator).

(7) Sri V. Kodanda Rama Reddi, M. L. A., Nellore District.

(8) Sri C. J. Dasa Rao, Reader in the Technological Department, University College, Waltair.

(9) The Director of Animal Husbandry, Andhra.

(10) The Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue, Andhra.

Sri N. C. SESHADRI:— "The committee is also to advise the Government as to the best agency for the management of lands-selected for fodder and grazing purposes and to indicate the lines along which investigations are needed and improvements since management are required.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— "I move the adjournment of the House because the Government has not informed us the list of officials and non-officials to take part in the investigation of forest lands."

Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDI:— "The Government should conduct a fodder survey in the province and should investigate the selected forest lands."

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— "I second the Resolution."

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:— "The interests of the officials and non-officials are not the same."

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— "The committee is also to advise the Government as to the best agency for the management of lands-selected for fodder and grazing purposes and to indicate the lines along which investigations are needed and improvements since management are required."

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:— "The committee is also to advise the Government as to the best agency for the management of lands-selected for fodder and grazing purposes and to indicate the lines along which investigations are needed and improvements since management are required."
The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— சிறப்பு என்பதற்கு
மூலம், முதலில் இந்த விளக்கம் பின்புறே வருவாகும். முடியும் நூற்று
தோன்றும் renew விளக்கங்களையும். அது மட்டும் என்ற எண்ணாற்றின் விளக்கம்.

Sri G. YELLAMANDAREDDY:— ஆனால் நேரடி
றவியில் தொடங்க உள்ளது குறுக்கு 3 மலை முன்னான function விளக்கத்தில்; குறுக்கு
ச் செய்து விளக்கம் விளக்கத்தில் தொடங்கிய விளக்கம். குறுக்கு காலவரையானது
செய்யும் வழக்கம் மற்றும் குறுக்கு முன்னான non-officials முன்னான
செய்திகள் 3 மலை முன்னான function விளக்கத்தில் தொடங்கிய consolidated
work விளக்கம் முன்னான வர்ணங்கள் விளக்கம்.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— மருத்துவ தளபதி
நடத்தி நேரடி விளக்கத்தில். ஆனால் இந்த தளபதி விளக்கில், அந்த
முன்னானின் விளக்கம் நேரடி விளக்கம், சிறந்த சிறந்த விளக்கம்.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—முக்கியமாக?
முக்கியமாகவோ விளக்கம்?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— சிறந்த விளக்கம். குறிப்பிட்டு
விளக்கம் சிறந்த விளக்கம்.

Estimates, etc., of Kusavati Project in Rayachoti taluk.
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* 364-c. Q.—Sri Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY: Will the
Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the investigation and plans and estimates of
Kusavati Project in Rayachoti taluk have been made ready; and

(b) if so, when the Government wish to take up the project
for execution?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

(a) The investigation of the Scheme is reported to have been
completed by the local officers and the plans and estimates are
waited by the Government.

(b) This will be examined after the detailed plans and esti-
mates are received.

Sri Y. ADINARAYANA REDDY:— ஆகத்து இறுதி நடத்த
என்று அறிக்கை வந்த குறுக்கு செய்முறை மற்றும் அந்த பரந்த செய்முறை.
செய்முறையின் செய்முறையில் இந்த குறுக்கு நூற்றுக்கு நேரடி நேரடி விளக்கம் வேண்டும்?
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

29th February 1956

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—The Government have received petitions from the ryots of Parvathipuram and Bobbili taluks of Srikakulam district regarding the damage of their sugarcane crop on account of heavy rains on the 10th and 11th September 1955; and necessary instructions have been given to the Taluk Officers to do intensive azmoish and to grant seasonal remissions wherever necessary. To help the deserving ryots, loans are also being granted on a liberal scale both by the Agricultural and Revenue Departments.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The Government have received petitions from the ryots of Parvathipuram and Bobbili taluks of Srikakulam district regarding the damage of their sugarcane crop on account of heavy rains on the 10th and 11th September 1955; and necessary instructions have been given to the Taluk Officers to do intensive azmoish and to grant seasonal remissions wherever necessary. To help the deserving ryots, loans are also being granted on a liberal scale both by the Agricultural and Revenue Departments.

Sri P. GUNNAYYA:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received any petitions from the ryots of Parvathipuram and Bobbili taluks of Srikakulam district regarding the damage of their sugarcane crop on account of heavy rains on the 10th and 11th September 1955; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) Necessary instructions have been given to the Taluk Officers to do intensive azmoish and to grant seasonal remissions wherever necessary. To help the deserving ryots, loans are also being granted on a liberal scale both by the Agricultural and Revenue Departments.

Damage of sugarcane crop in Parvathipuram and Bobbili taluks.

380—

* 672 Q.—Sri P. GUNNAYYA:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received any petitions from the ryots of Parvathipuram and Bobbili taluks of Srikakulam district regarding the damage of their sugarcane crop on account of heavy rains on the 10th and 11th September 1955; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) Necessary instructions have been given to the Taluk Officers to do intensive azmoish and to grant seasonal remissions wherever necessary. To help the deserving ryots, loans are also being granted on a liberal scale both by the Agricultural and Revenue Departments.
130 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

[29th February 1956]

Mr. SPEAKER:—

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:— Mr. Speaker, Sir Sugarcane growers are in distress. At present, the sugar mill in my constituency is working on a very limited scale. I appeal to the Collector to consider the request of the sugarcane growers. Will the Collector kindly consider loans on liberal terms?

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:— Remissions, loans should be liberal to the farmers.

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:— I second the resolution. Sri 

Sri A. YERUKU NAIDU:— I stand for the petitioners' request for liberal instructions to the sugarcane growers. I suggest loans should be extended to the sugarcane growers. Will the Collector kindly consider loans on liberal terms?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:— I stand for the petitioners' request for liberal instructions to the sugarcane growers. I suggest loans should be extended to the sugarcane growers. Will the Collector kindly consider loans on liberal terms?

Sri K. APPA RAO:— I request the Collector to grant loans at a lower rate of interest. The sugarcane growers are facing financial difficulties. Will the Collector kindly consider loans at a lower rate of interest?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:— I stand for the petitioners' request for liberal instructions to the sugarcane growers. I suggest loans should be extended to the sugarcane growers. Will the Collector kindly consider loans on liberal terms?

Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:— For the benefit of crops, remission of loans would be of great help. Will the Collector consider loans on liberal terms?

[2]
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

29th February 1956]

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:— Will the Minister for planning and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have recently taken a decision to recruit G. C. I. Ms. and L. I. Ms. to Government services;

(b) if so, whether both L. I. Ms. and G. C. I. Ms. will be treated in the same cadre in all such appointments; and

(c) whether they have fixed any scales of pay for these services?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— Will Mr. Seshadri be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have recently taken a decision to reorganize G. C. I. Ms. and L. I. Ms. to Government services;

(b) if so, whether both L. I. Ms. and G. C. I. Ms. will be treated in the same cadre in all such appointments; and

(c) whether they have fixed any scales of pay for these services?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

Sri M. NAGIREDDI:— Will Mr. Seshadri be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have recently taken a decision to reorganize G. C. I. Ms. and L. I. Ms. to Government services;

(b) if so, whether both L. I. Ms. and G. C. I. Ms. will be treated in the same cadre in all such appointments; and

(c) whether they have fixed any scales of pay for these services?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.
Sri P. KODANDA RAMAYYA :— L. I. Ms అంగులు కృతిపాలం విశేషానికి? జాతీయ జాతీయం మామతానికి సందర్శించండి? అనుభావం village నుంచి ముందు యొక్కుడు?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :— సిద్ధంగా మామతా విశేషానికి? Government Service కోసం వాయిద్యతం చేసండి. జాతీయం మామతా విశేషానికి, Community Project కోసం వాయిద్యతం చేసండి. L. I. Ms కోసం భారతీయతను పొందండి. అనుభావం హక్కులు లేదా వాయిద్యతను అనుభవిస్తారు. First-Medical Graduates, Second-Medical Licenciates, Third-G. C. I. Ms, Fourth-L. I. Ms. అంతర్భాగం ప్రత్యేకం మామతా విశేషానికి. అనుభావం జాతీయం మామతా విశేషానికి లేదా వాయిద్యత జాతీయం మామతా విశేషానికి?

Sri T. JIYYAR DAS :— అత్యంత జాతీయం మామతా విశేషానికి? అతిపెద్దవి విశేషానికి మామతా విశేషానికి సంహది విశేషానికి? అతిపెద్దవి విశేషానికి?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :— అంగులు కృతిపాలం విశేషానికి?

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA :— L. I. Ms కో G. C. I. Ms కో Government అనుభావం విశేషానికి? అనుభావం విశేషానికి?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :— అంగులు కృతిపాలం విశేషానికి?

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS :— అత్యంత విశేషానికి? అత్యంత విశేషానికి?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :— అంగులు కృతిపాలం విశేషానికి?

Sri N. K. LINGAM :— English కృతిపాలం విశేషానికి?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :— అంగులు కృతిపాలం విశేషానికి?
29th February 1956]

Sri M. NAGI REDDI:— His L.I.M. వారి కు సంబంధంగా ఆస్కటుడు గొర్రిగా గోల్డ్‌ను గోల్డ్‌గంటాలను. Government Service ఏదుకు లాంటి ఎందుకును? ఎందుకు గోల్డ్‌ను గోల్డ్‌FS Government Service ఏదుకు లాంటి ఎందుకును?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:— Government ఆనాటి కాలంలో మొదట్లో modern medicine ఉందిగా కొనసాగాము. కూడా ఆనాటి కాలంలో గోడ్ అవి నిరగించాడును.

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:— అందులో, అందులో, మంచించి తాప్యం, మంచించి తాప్యం, మంచించి తాప్యం, మంచించి తాప్యం మరియు ఆయుర్వేదం తాను సంబంధంలో కాలక్రమం పోచే చేసిన దిదికు నిరగించాలంటి?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:— అందులో సంస్థలో కూడా ప్రమాద శక్తి సంచారం కనుక ప్రామాణికం.

Indiscriminate cutting of trees on the hill stations in the State.
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* 515 Q.—Sri P. VENKATASUBBAIAH:— Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware of the indiscriminate cutting of trees on the hill stations in the State; and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government to prevent the same?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

There are no hill stations in Andhra like Ootacamund, Kodaikanal etc., and so the question does not arise.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— Hill Station అందులో సంబంధం ఇరుసుకుది మరియు కాలక్రమంలో Hill station సంహరించాయి. ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌లో మరియు సంహరించాయి. "పాటలను" అంటే ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌లో మరియు పాటలను తాప్యం. మొట్టమంట అంటే ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌లో తాప్యం?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— "Aruku" valley is not a hill station.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— అందులో ఎందుకు సాధనాలు లేక ఇది వాయాన్ని ఇది వాయాన్ని సంస్థలో సంహరించాయి. అనేక సాధనాలు లేక ఇది వాయాన్ని సంస్థలో సంహరించాయి. ప్రభుత్వం లేక ఉపసంస్థలో సంస్థలో సంహరించాయి. ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌లో hill stations తీసి technical ప్రభుత్వం లేక ఉపసంస్థలో సంస్థలో సంహరించాయి.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Hill Stations ఏదుకు మాత్రమే సంస్థలో సంహరించాయి. మాత్రమే Forest Department సంహరించాయి.
Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:— Will the Government make up the want of a hill station in this State by making Horseley Konda in Madanapalle taluk, a hill station which is about 4,000 feet above the sea level?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— We shall consider it when our financial position is quite sound and when there is water supply.

Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:— Will the private forests, Government forests, and forests of special stag be protected? Should every coup be protected?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— Co-operative Societies get priority in the marketing of their produce. The Government gives a subsidy to co-operative societies.

Sri R. B. RAMAKRISHNA RAJU:— Is it the Government's intention to have a hill station situated in the Madanapalle taluk?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— We shall consider it when our financial position is quite sound.

Sri M. NAGI REDDI:— Is it the intention of the Government to construct a hill station in the Madanapalle taluk?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— If it is possible, the Government would like to construct a hill station.
The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Sir, I want to clear the matter whether forest reserves are to be declared in a Special staff book, and unreserved areas also be treated as such. If so, the forest officers will have a Special staff book to which they can refer. Should reserve areas also be treated as such, and if so, a reserve unreserved book should be prepared?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Sir.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—Indiscriminate cutting of forests is always a problem. Special staff should be appointed by the administration. Whether the Special staff can advise the forest officers in this regard?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Yes, it should beucher.

Sri E. AYYAPU REDDI:—I wanted to know whether 20 acres can be declared as a hill station?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—It is a wrong information. The Central Government is not spending any money on it. It is met from the Central Road Cess Fund, to which we contribute money. We are laying a road to Srisailam, and Srisailam can be converted into a beautiful hill station.

Sri E AYYAPU REDDI:—Is the Srisailam Hill Station 20 acres?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Yes.

Sri P. GUNNAYYA:—Reserves and unreserves, we have to consider the requirements of the administration. Reserves should be declared so that the future of the area is secured. Whether the Reserve Department has already declared any forest reserve?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Reserves are declared in the State. So far, we have declared three reserves. We have also declared an agency land as a reserve.

Sri M. POTHU RAJU:—Sir, I would like to know on what basis agency land is declared as a reserve?
Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) the water sources in Rajampet taluk, Cuddapah district investigated so far and whether they include (1) Bhadanagadda, (2) Wagetikona and Yerraguntlakota tank water source from Gundaleru; and

(b) if so, with what result?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

(a) The following irrigation schemes have been investigated in Rajampet taluk, Cuddapah District.

1. Pullampet project.
2. Restoration of Kampasamudram tank
5. Badenagadda project.
6. Repairs and improvements to the existing anicut across the Pullanageru channel.
8. Forming a reservoir across a Vanka near Tangatur and extending the ayacut under Kotha Kalva.
9. Wagetikona project.

(b) Detailed reports in respect of each scheme together with plans and estimates are awaited from the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation).

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVAREDDI:
Sri B. RATNA SABHAPATHY SETTY:— The estimate of the scheme last time was Rs. 30 lakhs. But the estimate of the project, as last time, was abandoned. What is the estimate of the project now? Has the project been abandoned? If not, what is the estimate of the project now?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— A comprehensive scheme is being investigated. An investigation is being carried out. Detailed estimates are Rs. 24% yield. About 10,000 acres have been surveyed. Rough estimates are Rs. 1,400 per acre. Detailed estimates are Rs. 30% of the rough estimate. Rough estimates of Rs. 24% yield, detailed estimates Rs. 30% percentage of yield.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—
(a) The answer is in the affirmative.
(b) The answer is in the negative.

Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDY:— The answer is in the affirmative. Rs. 24% yield, detailed estimates Rs. 30% of the rough estimate. Rough estimates Rs. 1,400 per acre. Detailed estimates Rs. 30% of the rough estimate. Rough estimates of Rs. 24% yield, detailed estimates Rs. 30% percentage of yield. Superintending Engineer's office is finalising the original plan.

Extension of Machkund Power from Ongole to Giddalur.
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* 290 Q:— Sri P. RANGA REDDY: Will the Hon. the Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to state—
(a) whether any investigation has been made for extending the Machkund Power from Ongole to Giddalur; and
(b) whether this extension is included in the original Plan?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—
(a) The answer is in the affirmative.
(b) The answer is in the negative.

Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDY:— The answer is in the affirmative. Rs. 24% yield, detailed estimates Rs. 30% of the rough estimate. Rough estimates Rs. 1,400 per acre. Detailed estimates Rs. 30% of the rough estimate. Rough estimates of Rs. 24% yield, detailed estimates Rs. 30% percentage of yield. Superintending Engineer's office is finalising the original plan.
Sri T. JIYYAR DAS:— 138 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
[29th February 1956]
Sri N. SRINIVASA RAO:—
Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—
Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—
Sri G. BUSSANNA:—
Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDI:—
Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—
Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—
Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—
Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—
Service Commission Examinations to be answered in
Vernacular Languages.
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* 554 Q:—Sri G. BUSSANNA: Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—
[2]
(a) whether the candidates appearing for the Public Service Commission Examinations are allowed to answer their question papers in vernacular languages; and

(b) if so, what those languages are?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The information is placed on the Table of the House.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:— మీరు చెప్పాలి ఎంతి చరిత్ర ఆయామంలో నాణా రెండు దశాబ్ధాలు కాలం నిర్భాగం చేస్తే, మరియు నానాంతరంగా సామాన్య వివాదనీటిబిగితి, మరియు శనివారం సామాన్య హోమో సామాన్య వివాదనీటిబిగితి. ఆని చేసే మీరు తొమ్మిది ముడిరుకున్న పరీవాహకు జాతీయ పరిమానం. అవిచే దీనికే?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— మీరు చెప్పాలి ఎంతి చరిత్ర ఆయామంలో నాణా రెండు దశాబ్ధాలు కాలం నిర్భాగం చేస్తే, మరియు నానాంతరంగా సామాన్య వివాదనీటిబిగితి, మరియు శనివారం సామాన్య హోమో సామాన్య వివాదనీటిబిగితి. అవిచే దీనికే?

Sri H. RAMALINGA REDDI:— How many candidates have been selected from the Andhra State till now and how many have been admitted in the College if they have been selected by the Service Commission?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—I would like to have notice, Sir.
Sri S. VEMAYYA:— The current examination papers are in general knowledge papers. Some candidates prefer to answer their question papers in their regional language. Whether the candidates appearing for the Public Service Commission Examination are allowed to answer their question papers in their vernacular languages?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— The papers in general will be set in English, the candidates being given the option of answering them either in English or in one of the regional languages.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— Whether the Public Service Commission Examination papers are set in English translation?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— English shall be the language of administration for 15 years.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— India Constitution article 370 asks whether the language of administration should be English.
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

29th February 1956]

The Hon. Dr. B. COPALA REDDI — Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state whether the Government propose to sanction the post of Junior Engineer for Darsi taluk, Nellore district?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— The answer is in the negative.

For the information of the House I may say, to avoid supplementary questions, that there is a Supervisor already working in Darsi. Therefore there is no proposal now at least regarding P. W. D.

Sri B. SANKARAIAH:— The Sub-Division Engineer, Assistant Engineer are Sub-Division Engineers. The Supervision is given to the Executive Engineer. The Minor irrigation is under the Sub-Division Engineer. The Executive Engineer is under the Assistant Engineer.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to state whether the Government have received the report of the Backward Classes Commission and if so, whether it will be supplied to M. L. As.?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:— Yes Sir, as the report has not yet been officially published, no copies can be supplied to M. L. As.
Sri A. BHAGAVANTHA RAO :— 27th February 1958

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA :—

Sri S. NARAYANAPPA :— Backward Classes Commission

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA :—

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA :—

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA :—
29th February 1956]

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA :- శ్రీ ప్రాగాడ కోతాయాహ్ యునైటెడ్ క్లాస్స్ కమిషన్ లో ప్రస్తుతం ఉన్న ఉత్సర్జనపు సందర్భంలో ఆమె బాక్వారడ్డు క్లాస్స్ దళానికి సంబంధించిన సందర్భాలను సంచలన చేసాడు. అత్యంత సంధితంగా తయారీ చేసిన అయిన వివిధ సందర్భాల తెలిసి వచ్చింది.

The Hon. Sri P. SUNDARAYYA :- శ్రీ పి థూడి సంక్షిప్తంగా సిద్ధమైన అవసరాన్ని విస్తరించాడు. సంచలన చేసిన సమయానికి సంబంధించిన విషయాలు ఉపయోగించాడు. ఇందులో ఉపయోగించబడిన సందర్భాలు దృష్టిస్థలానికి సంబంధించిన అవసరాన్ని సంచలించాడు.

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH :- శ్రీ ప్రాగాడ కోతాయాహ్ యునైటెడ్ క్లాస్స్ కమిషన్ అంశంగా ప్రతిపాదించారు. అయితే వాసదిగా పనిచేసిన అంశం సంచలించాడు. సమాచారాన్ని వివిధ సందర్భాల మీద సంచలన చేసాడు. అయిదు సందర్భాల సమాచారాన్ని ప్రస్తుతం ఉన్న వివిధ సందర్భాల సంచలన చేసాడు.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA :- శ్రీ ప్రాగాడ కోతాయాహ్ యునైటెడ్ క్లాస్స్ కమిషన్ అంశంగా ప్రతిపాదించారు. అయితే వాసదిగా పనిచేసిన అంశం సంచలించాడు. సమాచారాన్ని వివిధ సందర్భాల మీద సంచలన చేసాడు. అయిదు సందర్భాల సమాచారాన్ని ప్రస్తుతం ఉన్న వివిధ సందర్భాల సంచలన చేసాడు.
The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Mr. SPEAKER:—This is hypothetical.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—Backward Classes Commission may carry out the reconciliation of tribes and backward classes. The backward classes commission may be an in-built part of the commission.

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:—

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—backward classes commission may be a separate commission. We have a backward classes commission. The backward classes commission may be in-built. The backward classes commission may be done by the commission. It may be done by Tribes, hill tribes, and the relief Department.


Mr. SPEAKER :-Order, Order please.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI :- Harijan Welfare Advisory Committee. The Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) The number of Government Arts Colleges for Women in the State; and

(b) whether there is any proposal to start one at Kurnool?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI :-

(a) One.

(b) The Government are awaiting substantial donations from the citizens of Kurnool to start a Women's College at Kurnool.

Sri N. C. SESHADRI:—Public of Kurnool & donations? Which donation? Above $1? What donations above $1? Which donations?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI :-Rotary Club. $1000 donation. $1000 donation. $1000 donation. $1000 donation.

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:—Donations? Which donation? Which donation?

Arrests of Mr. P. V. G. Raju and Mrs. Kusum Gajapathi Raju.

*696 Q.—Sri B. RATNASABHAPATHY:—Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state the circumstances under which Mr. P. V. G. Raju and Mrs. Kusum Gajapathi Raju were arrested under the Preventive Detention Act?
The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

Sri P. V. G. Raju and his party insisted upon presenting a memorandum to Prime Minister accompanied by a demonstration regarding certain problems at the dam site and at the time of the inauguration of the project by the Prime Minister not withstanding the offer of the Government to permit and provide facilities for him to present a memorandum to Prime Minister at Macherla. The Government had reason to believe that this would interfere with the solemnity of the occasion and provoke resentment amongst a large section of the gathering at the dam site resulting in a breach of peace and therefore Government had no alternative but to take Sri and Srimathi Raju and others, who were organising mass marches to the dam site, into preventive custody under section 151 Or. P. C and not under the Preventive Detention Act on 9-12-1955. They were released on 11-12-1955.

Sri B. RATNASABHAPATHY:

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Sri B. RATNASABHAPATHY:

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Sri B. RATNASABHAPATHY:—
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

29th February 1956

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— The restraint order is necessary, and the arrest is necessary.

Sri B. RATNASABHAPATHY:— I am sorry, but I do not agree.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— I am sorry Sir, He can repeat the facts but not misinterpret. Let him put any question, I do not mind.
The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— In the solemnity of the occasion, keep up the tone of the occasion, keep up the tone of the occasion, keep up the sense of directness and personal appeal.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:- Memorial to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present Memorandum to the present

Sri A. YERUKU NAIDU:— Registration of Tobacco Growers Co-operative Society.
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* 599 Q.—Sri M. NAGI REDDI:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Co-operation and Commercial Taxes be pleased to state—

(a) whether any representation has been made in 1954 for the registration of tobacco growers co-operative society;

(b) whether the Indian Central Tobacco Committee has recommended the registration; and

(c) if so, the action taken in relation to clauses (a) and (b)
The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA :—

(a) No representation was received from tobacco growers for the registration of a Co-operative Society for them but Government themselves were then considering the question of organising a Co-operative Society for flue-curing, in consultation with the Registrar of Co-operative Societies and the Indian Central Tobacco Committee.

(b) The Committee stated that it was interested in the organisation of Co-operative Societies among the flue-cured virginia tobacco growers.

(c) The Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Composite State) proposed a scheme for construction of barns by co-operative societies for flue curing of tobacco. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Andhra) considered, however, that there were already sufficient number of barns constructed by ryots. The scheme was not worthwhile pursuing. The Government accordingly dropped this part of the scheme. However, in order to afford more credit to the tobacco growers for cultivation expenses, which is now undertaken by the existing rural credit societies, the Government on the recommendation of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies addressed the Indian Central Tobacco Committee for a loan of Rs. 50 lakhs. As the Committee expressed its inability to advance such a big amount, this Government requested the Committee to advance at least Rs. 5 lakhs per year. Its reply is awaited.

*666 Q.—Sri A. VENKATRAMA RAJU :—Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware that a new kind of disease has spread among the coconut trees in Amalapuram Division of the East Godavari District and that it is a contagious disease;

(b) if so the action taken thereon; and

(c) the number of trees affected on account of the disease?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI :—

(a) :—Yes; but the disease is not a contagious one.

(b) :—Mycological and soil tests were conducted to find out the cause of the disease. Also at the request of the State Agricultural Department, the Director of the Central Coconut Research Station, Kayangulam inspected the affected areas and reported
that the disease being new one special investigation should be undertaken. Necessary action is accordingly being taken in this regard.

(c):—The extent to which the trees are affected varies from 2 to 10 per cent in certain villages.

Licences for Palm Jaggery Society.

393—

* 558 Q.—Sri A. BHAGAVANTHA RAO :—Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Prohibition be pleased to state whether the Government have received representations that licences for Palm Jaggery Societies are not issued in time, and if so, the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :—

Yes, Sir; from the Member himself stating that applications for extension of licences submitted by Palm Jaggery Co-operative Society and others are being delayed.

Action is being taken by the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Bapatla, to issue licences to the members of these societies, who were not convicted previously for prohibition offences.

[Note.—An asterisk * at the commencement of a speech denotes revision by the Member.]

II ADJOURNMENT MOTION RE:

OPEN VOTING IN THE ELECTION OF MEMBERS TO II CLASS PANCHAYATS.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Sri V. Visweswara Rao and Sri M. Nagi Reddi have given notice of an adjournment motion. It runs thus:

"..."

What is the urgency about it. We are getting the Budget discussion very shortly. You are anticipating discussion. So I rule the motion as out of order.

III MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR

AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULE IV TO THE MADRAS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1920.

Mr. SPEAKER: The following message has been received from the Governor, dated the 28th February 1956:

..."
AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULE IV TO THE MADRAS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1920.

29th February 1956] (Mr. Speaker)

"In pursuance of Article 207 Clause (3) of the Constitution of India, I, C.M. Trivedi, Governor of Andhra hereby recommend to the Andhra Legislative Assembly the consideration of the draft rules under sub-section (1) of Section 305 of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 (Madras Act V of 1920) altering schedule IV to the said Act, to provide for the appointment of Municipal Valuation Officers and for the recovery of the cost of their establishment."

IV GOVERNMENT MOTION.

AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULE IV TO THE MADRAS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1920.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Since the objection to the motion is now got over I shall put the motion to the vote of the House.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA: Is it not derogatory to the respect of the House to get the recommendation at any stage?

Mr. SPEAKER:—Before the motion is put to the vote of the House the recommendation has come.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— Is it not derogatory to the respect of the House to get the recommendation at any stage?

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—Is it not derogatory to the respect of the House to get the recommendation at any stage?

The amendment to Schedule IV to the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, provides for the appointment of Municipal Valuation Officers and for the recovery of the cost of their establishment.

Note: The amendment is a legislative action taken by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh to introduce draft rules under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, to alter Schedule IV to provide for the appointment of Municipal Valuation Officers and the recovery of the cost of their establishment.
AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULE IV TO THE MADRAS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1920.

(Sri A. B. Nageswara Rao) [29th February 1953]

[Sri A. B. Nageswara Rao] proposed to adopt the following amendment to Schedule IV to The Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920:—

Amendment:— The appellate powers of the Valuation Officer should be extended to include the powers of the regional inspector. The Valuation Officer should also have appellate powers over the regional inspector. The regional inspector should also have appellate powers over the Valuation Officer.

Mr. SPEAKER:— On a point of order, Sir. I understand that the ruling is that the Speaker cannot interfere with the President's Rules.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:— On a point of order, Sir. I understand that the ruling is that the Speaker cannot interfere with the President's Rules.

Mr. SPEAKER:— What is the point of order? what is the ruling you want?

Sri VAVILALA GOPALA KRISHNAYYA:— I propose to adopt the following amendment to Schedule IV to The Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920:—

Amendment:— The appellate powers of the Valuation Officer should be extended to include the powers of the regional inspector. The Valuation Officer should also have appellate powers over the regional inspector. The regional inspector should also have appellate powers over the Valuation Officer.

Mr. SPEAKER:— What is the point of order? what is the ruling you want?

Sri VAVILALA GOPALA KRISHNAYYA:— I propose to adopt the following amendment to Schedule IV to The Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920:—

Amendment:— The appellate powers of the Valuation Officer should be extended to include the powers of the regional inspector. The Valuation Officer should also have appellate powers over the regional inspector. The regional inspector should also have appellate powers over the Valuation Officer.

Mr. SPEAKER:— I rule your objection out of order.
AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULE IV TO THE MADRAS DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1920.

29th February 1956]

The question is:

'That the following draft of the rule proposed to be made by the Governor of Andhra, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of section 305 of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 (Madras Act V of 1920), altering schedule IV to the said Act, be approved.

DRAFT RULE.

In the said schedule:

(1) after rule 8, the following rule shall be inserted, namely:—

8-A. (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in rule 8, valuation officers shall be appointed by the State Government in the case of any municipality notified by the State Government. The valuation officers shall exercise the powers, discharge the duties and perform the functions of the executive authority under the rules in so far as they relate to the revision of the assessment books under rule 8. On the issue of such a notification, for the term "executive authority" wherever it occurs in these rules in so far as they relate to such revision and the hearing of revision petitions filed in connection therewith, the term "valuation officer" shall be deemed to have been substituted.

(2) (a) The State Government shall appoint the valuation officers and sanction to them such establishment as the State Government may deem necessary, for the purpose of enabling the said officers to carry out their duties.

(b) The State Government shall pay out of the Consolidated Fund of the State, the salaries, allowances, leave allowances, cost of training, pension and contributions, if any, towards the provident fund of the valuation officers and of their establishment.

(c) The State Government shall recover from the municipal council concerned the whole or such proportion of—

(i) the salary and allowances paid to the valuation officers and to their establishment and such contribution towards the leave allowances, pension and provident fund of the valuation officers and of their establishment; and

(ii) the cost of training the valuation officers and their establishment;

as the State Government may, by general or special order, determine.
(3) The executive authority shall, subject to the provisions of rule 27, maintain the assessment books relating to the property tax in accordance with the valuations fixed by the valuation officer.

(4) The executive authority shall, when so requested by the valuation officers, make available to the valuation officers, such staff as may be necessary for the exercise of the powers, discharge of the duties and performance of the functions of the valuation officers.

(5) The State Government shall regulate the classification, methods of recruitment, conditions of service, pay and allowances and discipline and conduct of the valuation officers and the members of their establishment, and to lay down a special course of training for the valuation officers”.

(2) In rule 43, in sub-rule (1), after clause (o), the following shall be inserted namely:

“(oo) payment of such contribution as may be fixed by the State Government towards the salary, allowances, leave allowances, cost of training, pension and contributions, if any, towards the provident fund of the valuation officers and of their establishment”.

The motion was carried.

IV ANDHRA ASSEMBLY RULES (AS APPROVED BY THE RULES COMMITTEE).

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that—

“That the rules for regulating the procedure and conduct of business of the Andhra Legislative Assembly under Article 208 (1) of the Constitution as approved by the Rules Committee be taken into consideration.”
39th February 1956] (Dr. B. Gopala Reddi)

Budget is a matter of serious consideration. The Business Advisory Committee has already discussed it. The Budget is a matter of important consideration. The Business Advisory Committee has already discussed it.

Informal

Business Advisory Committee

Opposition Members -

Adjournment motion

Select Committee

Chairman -

Government -

Budget provide

Estimates Committee -

Public Accounts Committee -

Legislature Secretariat -
Mr. SPEAKER:—Motion moved:

“That the rules for regulating the procedure and conduct of business of the Andhra Legislative Assembly under Article 208(1) of the Constitution as approved by the Rules Committee be taken into consideration.”

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—

“COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES.

201 A. FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE:—Subject to the provisions of these rules, a Committee on Government Assurances may be constituted to scrutinise the assurances, promises and undertakings given by the Ministers from time to time, on the floor of the House and to report on—

(a) the extent to which such assurances have been implemented; and

(b) where implemented, whether such implementation has taken place within the minimum time necessary for the purpose.

201 B. CONSTITUTION OF THE COMMITTEE: (1) The Committee shall consist of not more than seven members who shall be nominated by the Speaker.

(2) The term of office of the members of the Committee shall be one year.

(3) The Speaker may, if he thinks fit, discharge a member from the Committee, if such member is absent from three
29th February 1956] (Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya)

201 C. CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE: (1) The Chairman of the Committee shall be nominated by the Speaker.

(2) If the Chairman of the Committee is absent from any meeting, the Committee shall choose another member to act as Chairman of the Committee for that meeting.

201 D. QUORUM: In order to constitute a meeting of the Committee the quorum shall be three.

201 E. CHAIRMAN'S CASTING VOTE: In the case of an equality of votes on any matter, the Chairman shall have a second or casting vote.

201 F. REPORT BY THE COMMITTEE: The Committee shall make a report to the House at least once in six months.

201 G. PRESENTATION OF THE REPORT: The Report of the Committee shall be presented to the House either by the Chairman or, on his behalf by any other member of the Committee.

201 H. PROCEDURE TO BE FOLLOWED: Except as aforesaid, the Committee shall follow the same procedure as a Select Committee of the Assembly.”

The amendment was duly seconded.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— అంతటుకుడు ఎందుకండా ఉండాలి వాటాయి?

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:— మరియా కలిసి ఉండండి.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:— సామాన్యంగా ఇద్దని చేయాలి అనే దృష్టిగా నిర్ణయం చేసి. అనేకానేకంగా ఒకరేజీ ప్రశ్నామాల పురావస్తు విషయం అందరికీ ఉండటం ప్రయోజనం కలిగింది.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The main motion as well as the amendment are now before the House for discussion. The matter was discussed at great length on the Committee stage. If the Hon. Minister agrees to the amendment there need not be much discussion.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:— అందుచే ఎందుకండా ఉండి అనే ఉపయోగానికి ఎంపికానే ప్రశ్నామాలాన్ని తీసుకోవడం కష్టం. Assurance Committee తీసుకోండి ఎందుకండా చేయకలిగింది. అందుచే ఎందుకండా ఉండండి అనే ఉపయోగానికి ఎంపికానే ప్రశ్నామాలాన్ని తీసుకోవడం కష్టం.
The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—The assurance must be recorded.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—Positive assurance must be recorded. The assurances will be coming from Government in the course of answers and statements. How to collate them, put them together and place them before the Assurances Committee?

Mr. SPEAKER:—I am asking you, who will record the assurances and who will collate them. The assurances will be coming from Government in the course of answers and statements. How to collate them, put them together and place them before the Assurances Committee?

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—Assurances Committee proceedings must be collated. The Secretariat will collate them and put them before the Assurances Committee.
Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:— குறிப்பிட்டு, எனக்கு இன்று வரும் machinery பற்றி இன்னும் செய்ய வேண்டும். ரெட் 100 என்றும் machinery பற்றியும் அத்துடன் clarify செய்யுங்கள். enjoyment Assurances Committee என்று நான் assurance செய்ய வந்திருந்தேன். இது வேன்வடையில், மாநிலங்களின் பேரரசுகள் யாரையும் நூற்றேன். இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இதனை அறிய வேண்டும்; அனைத்து assurances முறையில் நான் இன்று கூறியது தரையே, இது இருபத்து வருடங்களுக்கு பின்னரும் என்ன கூறியது தரையே. இந்திய தொடராமான எண்ணற்ற அவுள்; இதை assurance என்று நான் கூறியது. அமைச்சன் என்ன என்றும் அலங்காரம் என்றும் கூறியது. அமைச்சன் என்ன என்றும் அலங்காரம் என்றும் கூறியது. அமல்வேதம் என்ன என்றும் அலங்காரம் என்றும் கூறியது.}

Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDI:— அவர், நான்குவரும் நோயாக பேருந்து இல்லையால் வரும் ஆசிரியர் என்று மேலும் சொல்ல வேண்டும். அவரே ஒருவரேயே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருv

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:—ஏழுவரும், நாயகணரியே அந்தை இன்று வரும் barriers போன்றவையானவை. Assurances Committee என்று நான் கூறியது. அவரே ஒருவரே இன்று கூறியது. இல்லாமல் ஒருv

Andhra Assembly Rules
29th February 1956 (Sri P. Sundarayya)
ANDHRA ASSEMBLY RULES
(Sri P. Sundarayya) [29th February 1958]

...
ఆంధ్ర ఆస్సెంబ్లీ రులు

29వ ఫెబ్రవారి 1956] (స్రి ప్. సుందరారయ్య) 

ప్రపంచ రోజ్‌తో సమాధానం చేయడానికి తారపందం మరియు విదేశులు తమ విధానాధికారాలకు వింతమీద్త వాడడమైన అవసరాన్ని నిర్ణయిస్తారు. అందుకే రులవుతున్న రెండవ రోజు తారపందం సమాధానం చేయడానికి విశేషాన్ని అందించాడు. 

అసస్సరేంచేసి కంప్యూటర్ సమాధానం చేయడానికి వ్యాప్తంగా రులు విభాగంలో నిర్ణయించారు. అసస్సరేంచేసి సమాధానం చేయడానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా వ్యాప్తంగా రులు విభాగంలో నిర్ణయించారు.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI: — దాని మాదిరి ఎల్లపై...

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA: — దాని మాదిరి ఎల్లపై ఇది

అసస్సరేంచేసి సమాధానం చేయడానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా మరియు విధానాధికారాలకు వింతమీద్త వాడడమైన అవసరాన్ని నిర్ణయిస్తాం. 

అసస్సరేంచేసి సమాధానం చేయడానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా మరియు విధానాధికారాలకు వింతమీద్త వాడడమైన అవసరాన్ని నిర్ణయిస్తాం. 

అసస్సరేంచేసి సమాధానం చేయడానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా మరియు విధానాధికారాలకు వింతమీద్త వాడడమైన అవసరాన్ని నిర్ణయిస్తాం.
The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI—

Rules Committee 6th Session, February 1938

Dharmasasreni Committee has given its assent to the decision of the Rules Committee to constitute the whole House into an Assurances Committee. The whole House have therefore been constituted into an Assurances Committee.

Assurance Committee report:

“That the whole House be constituted into an Assurances Committee.”

D.O. letters to members of the Committee. The whole House has been constituted into an Assurances Committee.
Mr. SPEAKER:—The question is—"that after Rule 201 add the following:—

"COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES.

201 A. FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMITTEE: Subject to the provisions of these rules; a Committee on Government Assurances may be constituted to scrutinise the assurances, promises and undertakings given by the Ministers from time to time, on the floor of the House and to report on

(a) the extent to which such assurances have been implemented; and

(b) where implemented, whether such implementation has taken place within the minimum time necessary for the purpose.

201 B. COSTITUTION OF THE COMMITTEE: (1) The Committee shall consist of not more than seven members who shall be nominated by the Speaker.

(2) The term of office of the members of the Committee shall be one year.

(3) The Speaker may, if he thinks fit, discharge a member from the Committee, if such member is absent from three consecutive meetings thereof, without the permission of the Chairman of the Committee.

201 C. CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE: (1) The Chairman of the Committee shall be nominated by the Speaker.

(2) If the Chairman of the Committee is absent from any meeting, the Committee shall choose another member to act as Chairman of the Committee for that meeting.
ANDHRA ASSEMBLY RULES
(Mr. Speaker) [29th February 1956]

201 D. QUORUM: In order to constitute a meeting of the Committee the quorum shall be three.

201 E. CHAIRMAN’S CASTING VOTE: In the case of an equality of votes on any matter, the Chairman shall have a second or casting vote.

201 F. REPORT BY THE COMMITTEE: The Committee shall make a report to the House at least once in six months.

201 G. PRESENTATION OF THE REPORT: The Report of the Committee shall be presented to the House either by the Chairman or, on his behalf by any other member of the Committee.

201 H. PROCEDURE TO BE FOLLOWED: Except as aforesaid, the Committee shall follow the same procedure as a Select Committee of the Assembly.”

The amendment was lost.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

“That the Rules for regulating the procedure and conduct of business of the Andhra Legislative Assembly under Article 208 (1) of the Constitution as approved by the Rules Committee be taken into consideration.”

The motion was carried.

All the Rules were adopted unanimously.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—Sir I move—
That under clause (1) of Article 208 of the Constitution, the Legislative Assembly of Andhra hereby makes the following rules of procedure and conduct of business in supersession of the rules made under clause (2) of Article 208 of the Constitution.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question before the House is—
That the preamble be adopted.

The motion was carried and the preamble was adopted.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI: The Rules will come into force from 1st April next as the departments will have to be communicated. So I move that—

“The Rules do come into force from 1st April 1956.”

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

“That the Rules come into force from 1st April 1956.”

The motion was carried.

V. GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Sir, I beg to introduce the Andhra Objectionable Performances Prohibition Bill.
Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER:—Motion moved—

“That the Andhra Objectionable Performances Prohibition Bill, 1956 be taken into consideration”

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA: Sir, I beg to move—

“That the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion till September end.”
The primary object of the Bill is to empower the Government to prohibit Matine plays which are scandalous, defamatory, seditious or obscene. The necessity for some such measure has been established by the recent performance in Calcutta of a scurrilous Bengali Drama to prevent which the existing law was found to be insufficient.
“(v) is deliberately intended to outrage the religious feelings of any class of the citizens of India by insulting, blaspheming or profaning the religion or the religious beliefs of that class; or

(vi) is grossly indecent, scurrilous or obscene or intended for blackmail.”
The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— (sri p. suadarayya) [29th February 1956

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Criminal Procedure Code s 107, 108, 110 and 144 relate to Preventive Detention. The wording of these sections is such that the very fact that any particular person is included in a list of persons for whom preventive detention may be ordered, implies that he is, in the opinion of the competent authority, a member of the category of persons against whom preventive detention is provided for. The effect of such an inclusion is to authorize the detention of the person for a limited period. Thus, the permission to effect such detention must necessarily be viewed in the light of the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Indian Penal Code s 66 provides for the imposition of preventive detention in the case of a person who is proved to be a habitual offender or a person dangerous to the maintenance of law and order. The provisions of s 66 must be read in the context of the general principles of criminal law. The legislative history of s 66 shows a recognition of the fact that preventive detention is a severe measure and must be used only in cases where there is a real and substantial danger that the offender may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Preventive Detention Act, 1936, provides for the detention of a person without trial for a limited period. The Act is based on the principle that preventive detention is a necessary measure to prevent a person from committing an offence. The Act is intended to be used only in cases where there is a substantial danger that the person may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Indian Penal Code s 66 provides for the imposition of preventive detention in the case of a person who is proved to be a habitual offender or a person dangerous to the maintenance of law and order. The provisions of s 66 must be read in the context of the general principles of criminal law. The legislative history of s 66 shows a recognition of the fact that preventive detention is a severe measure and must be used only in cases where there is a real and substantial danger that the offender may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code relating to preventive detention are designed to ensure that the measure is justified and necessary. The Act is intended to be used only in cases where there is a substantial danger that the person may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Indian Penal Code s 66 provides for the imposition of preventive detention in the case of a person who is proved to be a habitual offender or a person dangerous to the maintenance of law and order. The provisions of s 66 must be read in the context of the general principles of criminal law. The legislative history of s 66 shows a recognition of the fact that preventive detention is a severe measure and must be used only in cases where there is a real and substantial danger that the offender may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code relating to preventive detention are designed to ensure that the measure is justified and necessary. The Act is intended to be used only in cases where there is a substantial danger that the person may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The Indian Penal Code s 66 provides for the imposition of preventive detention in the case of a person who is proved to be a habitual offender or a person dangerous to the maintenance of law and order. The provisions of s 66 must be read in the context of the general principles of criminal law. The legislative history of s 66 shows a recognition of the fact that preventive detention is a severe measure and must be used only in cases where there is a real and substantial danger that the offender may commit an offence.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code relating to preventive detention are designed to ensure that the measure is justified and necessary. The Act is intended to be used only in cases where there is a substantial danger that the person may commit an offence.
Any performance which is likely to incite, tend to disaffection is illegal.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— It means act of
generating, act to prevent it, tend to disaffection. Attempted murder is an act to prevent it too.
Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— Private objection to the objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner. The objectionable portions are found to be irrelevant, outlandish, (exaggerated) and immoderate in matter and manner.
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39th February 1956] (Sri P. Sundarayya)

(Interruption).
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(Sri P. Sundarayya) [29th February 1956]

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER:— 40th Advisory Committees 10, 25.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— 40th Advisory Committees 10, Censor Committees 25.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER:— 40th Advisory Committees 10, 25.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— 40th Advisory Committees 10, 25.
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956] (Sri P. Sundarayya)

మండి రాజస్థానం సహాయదారుడు తెలుగు సాంస్కృతిక ఉద్యోగం. లోకాలం పదార్థాలు లేదా పశువులు తెలచాని వింతం విభాగ అంతరించటం సంబంధించటం విశేషాలు మారుతుంది. రాదారామ్ విజయారాతి ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ పశువనన సంస్థ నుండి సాంస్కృతిక ఉద్యోగం. తెలచాని వింతం విభాగ ద్వారా సాంస్కృతిక ఉద్యోగం వింతం విభాగ యొక్క అనంతరించటం సంబంధించటం విశేషాలు మారుతుంది. రాదారామ్ విజయారాతి ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ పశువనన సంస్థ నుండి సాంస్కృతిక ఉద్యోగం.
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
(Sri P. Sundarayya) [29th February 1956

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER:— Srisri N. SREENIVASARAO:— objectionable performances...
మనిషినుడు రాయి ఒప్పందాలు తెలుసుకోండి. స్త్రీ మామ తప్పనించాడానికి జాబితా అంచనా సాధారణం. ఇందులో ఆడిప నిష్ణతకు తానికి మనిషులు ఒప్పందాలను చేశారి. మనిషినుడు రాయి ఒప్పందాలు తెలుసుకోండి తప్పనించండి. స్త్రీ మామ అనాటి ఉపయోగానికి తప్పనించండి. తప్పనించండి తప్పనించండి.
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ಅನೇಕಾದ ಸಾಮಾಯಿಕ ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳು objectionable ಕ್ರಿಯೆಗಳು, ಅವೆಲು ಗರ್ಭಗ್ರಾಂತಿಯ ಗೇರುಂರಿಸಲು ಸಂಕಲ್ಪನೆಗಳು, ದೃಢವಂತ ಅಧ್ಯಯನಗಳು ಅರಿಸ್ತುವುದು ಕಾಣಿಸಿದಾಗೆಯೇ ಇತ್ತೀಚಿನಲ್ಲು ವಿವರಣೆಗಳು. ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವನ್ನು ಇತ್ತೀಚಿನೇ "ಮೇಲೆ ಮೇಲೆ!" ಪ್ರಕಟಸಾಯಲು
ಅನುಸಾರದಂತೆ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲೆ ಸುಂದರ! ಹೆಸರು, ವಯಸ್ತು, ಮೂಲತಃ ಹಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದಿರುವ ವಿನ್ಯಾಸ ಬಿಡುತ್ತದೆ! ಮುಂದೆ ಇಲ್ಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ; ಹುಟ್ಟು ತುಲನೆಯ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ
ಬಿಡುವುದು, ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ವರ್ತಿಕೆ ಸರಿಗೆ "ಉಷ್ನ!" ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಒಳಗೆ ಒಳಗೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ವಿಧ್ಯಾರ್ಹಗಳಲ್ಲೆ 'ಹುಲ್ಲು',  ಆಕೃತಿ, ಮಹತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಚರಣೇರುವ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾದ ಉಷ್ಣಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಶ್ರುತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ! ಉಷ್ಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ; ಹುಟ್ಟು ತುಲನೆಯ ಸರಿಗೆ "ಉಷ್ನೆ!", ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಶ್ರುತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ "ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು" ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಓದುವ ಸರಿಗೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.  ಇಟ್ಟು ಅನುಭವಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಉಷ್ಣಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಶ್ರುತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ.  ಹುಟ್ಟವು ಸರಿಗೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ "ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು" ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಶ್ರುತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER:— ಕುರುಣದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮುಕು ತೆಗೆಯಿಟ್ಟು! ದೊಡ್ಡ ಓದುವ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣೀಯ, ಗುನಾಂಶದೊಮ್ಮೆ ಓದುವಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ (Loud Laughter).

Sri N. SREENIVASA RAO:—ಅವರು "ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪರ್ಶಿಸಿ ಹಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದಿರುವುದು! ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವನ್ನು" ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪರ್ಶಿಸಿ golden words. ಇದನ್ನು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾದ ಉಷ್ಣಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಶ್ರುತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸುಂದರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದು, ಮಿಶ್ರಿಸುವುದು, ಮಿಶ್ರಿಸುವುದು, ಮಿಶ್ರಿಸುವುದು; ಅನ್ನು! ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ್ಯವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪರ್ಶಿಸಿ ಹಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದಿರುವುದು "ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು" ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪರ್ಶಿಸಿ ಹಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದಿರುವುದು "ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು ಉಷ್ುಕೆಯಾದು" ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಶ್ರುತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು? ಅನುಭವಗಳಲ್ಲಿ! ದೊಡ್ಡ objectionable ಯಾವುದು. ಮಾಡುವುದು ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪರ್ಶಿಸಿ ಹಿಚ್ಚಿದ್ದಿರುವುದು. ಎಲ್ಲಾಕ್ಕೆ ಓದುವಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ಮೂರು, ಎಲ್ಲಾಕ್ಕೆ ಓದುವಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು objectionable ಎಂದು ಒಂದು ಒಂದು; ಒಂದು objectionable ಎಂದು ಒಂದು ಒಂದು.
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
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29th February 1956] (Sri N. Sreenivasa Rao)
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అంధ్ర ప్రభుత్వ సమితి, చద. న్యాయాలయం, అమరాదిగా యాపాడు చేసేది 28 జనవరి 1956 రోజు సమితి అధ్యక్షుడు, ప్రధాన విధాకారుడు స్వామ్యం సంపాదించారు. అమితాబ్హ్రం స్వామ్యంలో ప్రధాన విధాకారుడు సెట్టారు.

ప్రధానంగా ఓష్టం అని ప్రచారం చేసేది: ఉదాహరణ చేయండి మనిషి ప్రతిమ కలిగిన ప్రతి సంస్థ కనబడారు! దయచేస్తారు నిఖరంగా సమాధానం చేయండి. అందుకే నా సంస్థులు ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. ఫించిన ప్రధాన విధాకారుడించారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. అందుకే తెలిసారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది.

సద్ా సహాయం చేసేది: ఉదాహరణ చేయండి మనిషి ప్రతిమ కలిగిన ప్రతి సంస్థ కనబడారు! దయచేస్తారు నిఖరంగా సమాధానం చేయండి. అందుకే నా సంస్థులు ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. ఫించిన ప్రధాన విధాకారుడించారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. అందుకే తెలిసారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది.

సద్ా సహాయం చేసేది: ఉదాహరణ చేయండి మనిషి ప్రతిమ కలిగిన ప్రతి సంస్థ కనబడారు! దయచేస్తారు నిఖరంగా సమాధానం చేయండి. అందుకే నా సంస్థులు ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. ఫించిన ప్రధాన విధాకారుడించారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. అందుకే తెలిసారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది.

అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ అధ్యక్షుడు ప్రదేశ అధ్యక్షుడు, proceedings సహాయం చేసేది: ఉదాహరణ చేయండి మనిషి ప్రతిమ కలిగిన ప్రతి సంస్థ కనబడారు! దయచేస్తారు నిఖరంగా సమాధానం చేయండి. అందుకే నా సంస్థులు ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. ఫించిన ప్రధాన విధాకారుడించారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది. అందుకే తెలిసారు. ఈ విశ్లేషణ ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రతి సంస్థ మీదుగా చేసింది.
ఎంప. P. C. Joshi తార్కికతత్వ సమనుబాటు ; తార్కికతత్వ సమనుబాటు సమ్మానం సమను సమాసానం సమరువు పందించడానికి సమన్ని ఇంటికి. అందువల్ల మోది మరు తమడని, అతిపెద్ద ఉత్తరాలలో ఉత్తరాలు నిర్ధారించడానికి మరింత సమాధానం కోల్పడానికి లోకాలు పిలుస్తారని. కానీ ఈ విధానం ఈ కోసం అంతా చెప్పాలని పడుతుంది. లోకాలు నేతృత్వ మిత్రుడి తప్ప విషయం ఉండేది. అందువల్ల ఇది ఒక విషయంగా పిలుస్తుంది. అందువల్ల ఇది ఒక విషయంగా పిలుస్తుంది. అందువల్ల ఇది ఒక విషయంగా పిలుస్తుంది. అందువల్ల ఇది ఒక విషయంగా పిలుస్తుంది. అందువల్ల ఇది ఒక విషయంగా పిలుస్తుంది.
Sri R. B. RAMAKRISHNA RAJU:— எங்களே, அல்லது அவ்விற்குச் செய்ய முடியாது என்னவென்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது. கூறுகள் என்ன தொடர்பு கொண்டதால் என்று சொல்லினார்கள். என்பது விளக்காது.
1M TRB AMHRA O^yi^CTlONABLB ^RFORMA^CBS PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

(Sri R. B. Ramakrishna Raju) [29th February 1956

...
KRB ANDKRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES PROHIBITION BILL, 1966.

29th February 1956] (Sri R. B. Ramakrishna Raju)

Sri S. SANKARAIAH: I beg to move, My Lords, that Clause 2 (i) & (ii) of the Bill are objectionable, because they provide that a person shall be deemed to have committed an offence if he—

Clause 2 (i) incite or encourage any person to resort to violence or sabotage for the purpose of overthrowing or undermining the constitutional system of Government of India. Undermining the constitutional system of Government of India is already provided in Section 124A of the Indian Penal Code. Explanation to Clause 2 (iv) provides—"promote feelings of enmity or hatred between different sections of the people of India." Undermining the constitutional system of Government of India is already provided in Section 124A of the Indian Penal Code. Explanation to Clause 2 (iv) provides—"promote feelings of enmity or hatred between different sections of the people of India.

Clause 2 (ii) enforces any person to resort to violence or sabotage for the purpose of overthrowing or undermining the constitutional system of Government of India. Clause 2 (ii) is not necessary. From the above analysis, it is clear that the provision of Clause 2 (ii) is unnecessary.
Sri AVIYALALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:  

1. The objectionable performances prohibited by the Prohibition Bill, 1936, were attacks on the public order and the administration. The performances were held in public places and were attended by large crowds. The police had to be called in to maintain order and prevent disturbances. The performances were held in defiance of the executive order issued under Section 33 of the Police Act, 1861. The performances were held in public places and were attended by large crowds. The police had to be called in to maintain order and prevent disturbances. The performances were held in defiance of the executive order issued under Section 33 of the Police Act, 1861.

2. The performances were held in public places and were attended by large crowds. The police had to be called in to maintain order and prevent disturbances. The performances were held in defiance of the executive order issued under Section 33 of the Police Act, 1861. The performances were held in public places and were attended by large crowds. The police had to be called in to maintain order and prevent disturbances. The performances were held in defiance of the executive order issued under Section 33 of the Police Act, 1861.

3. The performances were held in public places and were attended by large crowds. The police had to be called in to maintain order and prevent disturbances. The performances were held in defiance of the executive order issued under Section 33 of the Police Act, 1861. The performances were held in public places and were attended by large crowds. The police had to be called in to maintain order and prevent disturbances. The performances were held in defiance of the executive order issued under Section 33 of the Police Act, 1861.
The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— 

§9th February 1956

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

[Text of the speech is not provided in the given image.]
A performance shall not be deemed to be objectionable on the ground only that in the course thereof words are uttered, or signs or visible representations are made, expressing disapprobation or criticism of any law or of any policy or administrative action of the Government with a view to obtain its alteration or redress by lawful means.
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
29th February 1956] (Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi)

The central issue is objectionable performances. The Bill aims to prohibit objectionable performances. It is a freedom of the press issue. Papers should apply for permission. The Central Government will examine the applications. Papers should apply to the Press Committee. The news item should be censored. Articles in newspapers should be ignored. The objectionable performances will be examined.
THE ANDhra OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
(Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi) [29th February 1956

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is “That the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion till September end”.

The motion was declared lost.

Sri P. Sundarayya demanded a poll and the House divided thus:

AYES.
1. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.
2. Sri G. Suryanarayana.
4. Sri B. Rathnasabhapathy.
5. Sri M. Nagi Reddi.
7. Sri Mahammad Tahseel.
11. Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu.
12. Sri B. Sankarayya.

NOES.
1. The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi.
2. Sri P. Ranga Reddi.
4. Sri Vijaya Bhaskara Reddi.
3?HE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
29th February 1956]

Noes—(Contd.)

5. Sri Kalluru Subbarao.
7. Sri P. Bapayya.
11. Sri S. Brahmayya.
12. Sri Chitambara Reddi.
14. Sri V. Kurmayya.
17. Sri P. Gunnayya.
22. Sri E. Nagayya.
23. Sri M. Munuswami.
26. Sri M. Pothuraju.
27. Sri T. Prakasam.
28. Sri Rajaram.
29. Sri T. Ramachandra Reddi.
30. Sri C. Ramakotaiah.
31. Sri Chowdari Satyanarayana.
32. Sri Reddi Kamayya.
33. Sri K. Santhappa.
34. Sri Rathnam.
35. Sri T. Veeraraghavulu.
36. Sri Nagineni Venkaiah.
37. Sri K. Venkataramanayya.
38. Sri C. P. Thimma Reddi.
39. Sri N. Srinivasa Rao
40. Sri A. C. Subba Reddi.
41. Sri Shaik Moula Saheb.
42. Sri P. Anthony Reddy.
43. Sri Raja Sagi Suryanarayana Raju.
Noes—(Contd.)

44. Sri Seshadri.
45. Sri P. Singarayya.
46. Sri Reddi Jagannadham.
47. Sri M. Matcharaju.
49. Sri A. Lakshmunaidu.
50. Sri V. Kodandarami Reddi.
51. Sri P. Venkatasubbaiah.
52. Sri M. Venkataraju.
54. Sri S. Ranganatha Mudaliar.
55. Sri R. B. Ramakrishna Raju.

Ayes 14: Noes 56.

The motion was declared lost.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The question is:—"That the Bill be taken into consideration."

The motion was carried, and the Bill was taken into consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The amendments give: notice of by Sri P. Sundarayya and Sri S. Vemayya to sub-clause (1) are identical. They may be moved first.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—Sir, I move the following amendment to sub-clause (1) of Clause 3.

"Delete the words ‘or about to be conducted’ in line 3 of sub-clause (1) of Clause 3"

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:—Sir, I move the following amendment to Sub-clause (1) of Clause 3:

"In line 3 delete the words ‘or about to be conducted’.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—Sir, I move the following amendment:

"For the words ‘by order, stating the grounds on which they consider the performance objectionable, prohibit the performance’ in sub-clause (1) of Clause 3, substitute the following:—"
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956] (Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu)

"put in a petition before the District Judge to obtain orders for prohibiting the performance and on the filing of such petition, the District Court shall issue notice to the parties concerned and decide the petition on merits after such enquiry as is found necessary."

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—Sir, I move the following:

"Delete the following words in sub-clause (4) of Clause 3"

"and a written or printed notice thereof may be affixed at any place adapted for giving information of the order to the persons intending to conduct or take part in the performance so prohibited or to the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which such performance is intended to be conducted."

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER :—Amendments moved:

1. "Delete the words 'or about to be conducted' in line 3 of sub-clause (1) of Clause 3.

2. "In line 3 delete the words 'or about to be conducted.'"

3. "For the words 'by order, stating the grounds on which they consider the performance objectionable, prohibit the performance' in sub-clause (1) of Clause 3, substitute the following:

"put in a petition before the District Judge to obtain orders for prohibiting the performance and on the filing of such petition, the District Court shall issue notice to the parties concerned and decide the petition on merits after such enquiry as is found necessary'."

4. Delete the following words in sub-clause (4) of Clause 2:

"and a written or printed notice thereof may be affixed at any place adapted for giving information of the order to the persons intending to conduct or take part in the performance so prohibited or to the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which such performance is intended to be conducted.

Now the main clause and the amendments are before the House for discussion.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:- ఏడి! ఆనందించండి! అంది ఎందుకు వహించినది తప్ప తాను మంచి తప్ప ఉండటాన్ని తోడుడు.
Mr. SPEAKER:— Instead of the Government by order prohibiting it this amendment seeks that the Government should approach the District Court for an order.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— [Sri Pillalamarrri Venkateswarlu] 

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— [Sri P. Sundarayya] Sub-clause (1) of Section 124 requires the Government to "or about to be conducted" or "to be conducted". The Government should file an application in the District Court under Section 124, Sub-clause (1).
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956] (Sri P. Sundarayya)

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

The objectionable performances 20 High Court at Ongole under 21 sections of the Andhra Declaratory Proceedings Act 1909 are not within the sphere of jurisdiction of the High Court. The objectionable performances, 22 namely, 23 the objectionable performances 24 as defined in the Act, are under the jurisdiction of the High Court. The High Court has jurisdiction to issue preventive orders in 26 all cases. In view of the fact that the High Court has jurisdiction in 27 all cases, the objections 28 whether it is objectionable 29 whether it is likely to incite or encourage any person to be so 30 are likely to incite or encourage any person to be so 31 about to be conducted 32 about 33 about to be conducted 34 about. Definition clause is to mean "objectionable performance means that which is likely to incite or encourage any person to be so". The definition clause is to mean "objectionable performance means that which is likely to incite or encourage any person to be so". The definition clause says, "objectionable matter means that which is likely to incite or encourage any person to be so". The definition clause says, "objectionable matter means that which is likely to incite or encourage any person to be so".

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— 35

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—
ban administration ‘performance conducted or about to be conducted’ 3c&&Jo e.3^a administration sb 3oo33 ^RX) H^j ^^s^o' , ^33. ^o&6^ *peiformance conducted or about to be conducted' 3,3-^33^ ^ 7^^3^^ ^^^^ 3o^^3uo^ 3^ -g&M 3j&s^^ J^o&3^dg ^§^g,o^ performance conduct ^ leftover^ added after 3^^8^3^o ^o^o^a^^. oa ^^.^^^^ ^§^&. 33^do 30^033 -§^33j^ ^.^^^ ^^o&j^^S =33^ 3j^^o^^3 3-gxa^ya^^. 'Objectionable' oo^o^e 33j-Ro 3o^S3^o^3y^^a, ^^^ ^,3 g^^oge ^^i^H 5^3^s-^^ ^3^33j.& objection 5^^ 3^0 ^5^ 3j^3^eM^ ^$^^o ^8^^^^o^cRj-<:o^ 90^3^3 3^^o^ ^S^3^3jo^oa. ^o&^ ^3^SJo^ 9a &o^3^3 73x252]Mr. SPEAKER :—I am now putting all the amendments to the vote of the House. The question is—

1. “Delete the words ‘or about to be conducted’ in line 3 of sub-clause (1) of Clause 3.

2. “In line 3 delete the words ‘or about to be conducted’. 

3. “For the words ‘by order, stating the grounds on which they consider the performance objectionable, prohibit the performance’ in sub-clause (1) of Clause 3, substitute the following:

   “put in a petition before the District Judge, to obtain orders for prohibiting the performance and on the filing of such petition, the District Court shall issue notice to the parties concerned and decide the petition on merits after such enquiry as is found necessary.”

4. Delete the following words in sub-clause (4) of Clause 2:

   “and a written or printed notice thereof may be affixed at any place adapted for giving information of the order to the persons intending to conduct or take part in the performance so prohibited or to the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which such performance is intended to be conducted.”

The amendments were lost.

Mr. SPEAKER :—The question is: “That clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was carried.
29th February 1956]

Mr. SPEAKER :—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya has given a notice of an amendment to add a separate clause as clause 3 (a).

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA :—Sir, I move that the following may be added as Clause 3 (a):

"In every District an Advisory Committee on objectionable performances will be constituted with the District Collector as the Chairman and four persons who are well acquainted with Dramatic Art and Literature. The term of the Committee will be three years."

Mr. SPEAKER :—Amendment moved—

Substitute the following as Clause 3(a):

"In every District an Advisory Committee on Objectionable Performances will be constituted with the District Collector as the
Chairman and four persons who are well-acquainted with Dramatic Art and Literature. The term of the Committee will be three years."

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—The question is:

Substitute the following as Clause 3(a):

"In every District an Advisory Committee on Objectionable Performances will be constituted with the District Collector as the Chairman and four persons who are well-acquainted with Dramatic Art and Literature. The term of the Committee will be three years."

The motion was declared lost.

Sri P. Sundarayya demanded a poll and the House divided thus:

AYES.

1. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.
2. Sri G. Suryanarayana.
4. Sri M. Nagi Reddi.
5. Sri P. Satyanarayana.
8. Sri G. Yellamanda Reddi.
10. Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu.
11. Sri B. Sankarayya.
12. Sri S. Vemayya.
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956]

NOES.

1. The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi.
2. Sri Vijaya Bhaskara Reddi.
7. Sri P. Bapayya.
8. The Hon. Sri D. Sanjeevayya.
10. Sri S. Brahmayya.
11. Sri Y. Channaiah.
12. Sri Chitambara Reddi.
15. Sri K. Koti Reddi.
17. Sri V. Kurmayya.
22. Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi.
25. Sri M. Pothu Raju.
27. Sri Rajaram.
29. Sri C. Ramakotaiah.
30. Sri Bojja Appalaswami.
31. Sri Reddi Kamayya.
32. Sri K. Santhappa.
33. Sri Rathnam.
34. Sri G. Ramaswami Reddi.
35. Sri Nakka Venkataiah.
37. Sri C. P. Thimma Reddi.
38. Sri B. Subba Rao.
300 MB ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

PROHIBITION BILL, 1936.

[29th February 1956]

Noes—(Contd.)

40. Sri Sheik Moula Saheb.
41. Sri P. Anthony Reddy.
42. Sri Raja Sagi Suryanarayana Raju
43. Sri Seshadri.
44. Sri M. Venkataraju.
45. Sri V. Kodandarami Reddi.
46. Sri P. Venkatanarayanappa.
47. Sri S. Ranganatha Mudaliar.
48. Sri R. B. Ramakrishna Raju.


The motion was declared lost.

Clause 4.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—Sir, I am not moving my amendment to sub-clause (1) of Clause 4.

Sub-clause (2).

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—Sir, I move the following amendment:

“For the word ‘two’ in sub-clause (2), substitute the word ‘one’.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER :—The question is:

For the word ‘two’ in sub-clause (2), substitute the word ‘one’.

The amendment was lost.

Sub-clause (3).

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—Sir, I move the following amendment:

“For the word ‘two’ in line 6 of sub-clause (3), substitute the word ‘one’.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER :—The question is:
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956,
39th February 1956

"For the word 'two' in line 6 of sub-clause (3), substitute the word 'one'."

The amendment was lost.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Mr. Sundarayya, this is the proper place for moving the proviso you have given notice of. Please move your proviso.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:—Sir, I move that the following proviso be added:

“No order under sub-section 1 shall be passed without giving a reasonable opportunity to the organizers or other principal persons responsible for the conduct of the performance or to the principal persons taking part in such performance or to the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which such performance is intended to be conducted, to show cause why the performance should not be prohibited.”
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
(Sri P. Sundarayya) [28th February 1958]

reasonable opportunity  

'Breach of peace'  

temporary  

Sub-Section (3)
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956; (Sri P. Sundarayya)

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER :—Amendment moved.

Add the following proviso:

"No order under sub-section (1) shall be passed without giving a reasonable opportunity to the organizers or other principal persons responsible for the conduct of the performance or to the principal persons taking part in such performance or to the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which such performance is intended to be conducted, to show cause why the performance should not be prohibited.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—...
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
(Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi) [20th February 1965]

204 THE ANDHBA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

... permanent ban... examine... legal department examine... ban... permanent ban... temporary ban... time extend... reasonable... temporary ban... reasonable... reasonable... temporary ban... temporary ban... reasonable... temporary ban... reasonable... temporary ban... reasonable... temporary ban...
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956] (Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi)

N. SPLAKER:—The question is:

Add the following proviso:

“No order under sub-section (1) shall be passed without giving a reasonable opportunity to the organizers or other principal persons responsible for the conduct of the performance or to the principal persons taking part in such performance or to the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which such performance is intended to be conducted, to show cause why the performance should not be prohibited.

The motion was lost.

Sub-clause (1) of Clause 4 was put and carried.

Sub-clause (2) of Clause 4 was put and carried.

Sub-clause (3) of clause 4.

Sub-clause (3) was put and declared carried.

Sri P. Sundarayya demanded a poll to be taken. The motion was put to the House. By counting the heads, the Speaker declared that 49 voted for the motion and 12 against.

Sub-clause (3) was carried.

Sub-clause (4) was put and carried.

Clause 4 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 5.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—Sir, I move the following amendment:—

Delete the following words in clause 5:
The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Amendment moved.

Delete the following words in clause 5:

"or in such other manner as may be prescribed by rules made under section 14, on the organizers or other principal persons responsible for the conduct of, or any person about to take part in the performance so prohibited, or on the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place, in which such performance is intended to be conducted."

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—
"or in such other manner as may be prescribed by rules made under section 14, on the organizers or other principal persons responsible for the conduct of, or any person about to take part in the performance so prohibited, or on the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place, in which such performance is intended to be conducted."

The amendment was lost

Clause 5 was put and carried.

**CLAUSE 6.**

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—Sir, I move the following amendment:—

“For the words ‘three months’ in line 6, substitute the words ‘one month’.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The amendments given notice of by Sri S. Vemayya and Sri P. Sundarayya are similar. They may be moved together.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:—Sir, I move the following amendment:

“For the words ‘thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘hundred rupees’.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—Sir, I second the amendment.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Sir, any amendment said to be moved, has already been passed. Amendment is now out of order.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Amendments moved:

1. For the words ‘three months’ in line 6, substitute the words ‘one month’.

2. For the words ‘thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘hundred rupees’.

The main clause and amendments are now before the House for discussion.
Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— Sir, I beg to move that the Indian Penal Code, Criminal Procedure Code—Act 2 of 1872—and the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Act 35 of 1897), both meant for punishment of political offences, as also the maximum punishment fixed under them, are wholly inadequate for the purpose.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—We are, therefore, putting down amendments to the General Clauses Act for three months and to the Criminal Procedure Code for thousand rupees.

Mr. SPEAKER:—I am now putting the amendments to the vote of the House.

The question is:

1. For the words 'three months' in line 6, substitute the words 'one month'.

2. For the words 'thousand rupees' substitute the words 'hundred rupees'.

The amendments were lost.
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Mr. SPEAKER:— The questions is:

"That clause 6 do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was carried.

CLAUSE 7.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:— Sir, I am not moving the first two amendments to clause 7. I am moving my third amendment.

"Delete Sub-clause (2) of clause 7."

Mr. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"Delete Sub-clause (2) of clause 7."

The motion was lost.

Clause 7 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 8.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:— (8) clause grants warrant to Police, to enter, arrest, search, seize and shall grant warrant to Police, to enter, search, arrest and seize.

Mr. P. SUNDARAYYA:— The motion is:

"That clause 8 do stand part of the Bill."

Sri. P. SUNDARAYYA:— According to Sub-section (1), the powers to enter, search, arrest and seize are to be exercised by the Collector of Land Revenue Recovery Act. The motion is moved.
If any Magistrate has reason to believe that any house, room or place is used or about to be used for any performance prohibited under this Act, he may by his warrant authorise any police officer to enter with such assistance as may be required by night or by day, and by force, if necessary, such house, room or place, to search the same in the manner specified in the warrant.”
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
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The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

The Police Officer search in objectionable performances without Magistrate permission or warrant issue is a wrong approach to the question. Objectionable performance may not be warranted. Judicial Magistrate is entirely independent Judiciary and Magistrates' independent judgements have no bearing. That is a wrong approach to the question. Magistrates may issue warrant without Magistrates' independent judgements having any bearing thereon. Executive, Judiciary and Magistracy are all entirely independent Judiciary and Magistrates' independent judgements have no bearing thereon.
Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— 1976 Act 39 define 'any magistrate', a stationary sub-magistrate or any house, any room, or place used or about to be used. To invoke a provision in the law that any house, any room, or place used or about to be used.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— Without the authority of any magistrate or Judicial sub-magistrate, any search will be subject to the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code, whether you have provided for it in your Act.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:— Any magistrate or Judicial sub-magistrate, ‘any house, any room, or place used or about to be used’.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Mr. Vemayya, I want to tell you that all searches are governed by the provisions regarding general sections of the Criminal Procedure Code. By whatever Act you provide a search, that search will be subject
to the provisions of the general provisions relating to search. So there is no fear that those provisions will be violated even though there is search here.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—Sir, I have a suggestion to make. I want the words 'not below the rank of a Sub-Inspector' may be included in the clause after the words 'Police officer'.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Generally warrants are not issued to police constables. Normally it is only the Sub-Inspectors that are given warrants.

Sri R. B. RAMAKRISHNA RAJU:—Sir, I cannot be included in the Bill, may I suggest, Sir, that the Government may provide it in the rules.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—We will consider it, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That clause 8 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was carried.

CLAUSE 9.

Mr. SPEAKER: The motion is:

"That clause 9 do stand part of the Bill."

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I move:

"Delete the words 'or about to be conducted' in line 3, and 'or are about to take part in' in line 7 of sub-clause (1) of clause 9."

About to be conducted

Mr. Speaker, I move that the words "or about to be conducted" be omitted from sub-clause (1) of clause 9. Similarly, the words "or are about to take part in" should also be omitted.

The motion was carried.
The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Delete the words "or about to be conducted" in line 3, and "or are about to take part in" in line 7 of sub-clause (1) of clause 9".

The amendment was lost.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I move:

"Delete the following words in sub-clause (1) of clause 9:

'or the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which it was conducted or is about to be conducted'.

Vague arguments. The occupier or owner as in the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which it was conducted or is about to be conducted. The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Delete the following words in sub-clause (1) of clause 9:

'or the owner or occupier or any person having the use of the place in which it was conducted or is about to be conducted'.

The amendment was lost.

Clause 9 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 10.

Mr. SPEAKER: The motion is:

"That clause 10 do stand part of the Bill".

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA: Sir, I am moving the following amendments:

"Delete the words "or the District Collector" wherever they occur."

"Add the following proviso to clause 10:
Provided the Government or District Collector calls for such a copy from the organisers 10 clear days by giving clear notice before such performance and disposes the same within 3 days after giving reasonable opportunity to the organisers.'
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES
PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
(Sri P. Sundarayya) [29th February 1956]

Amendments moved:— Amendments moved:

1. Delete the words ‘or the District Collector’ wherever they occur

2. Add the following proviso to clause 10

Provided the Government or District Collector calls for such a copy from the organizers 10 clear days by giving clear notice before such performance and disposes the same within 3 days after giving reasonable opportunity to the organizers.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— The amendment was duly seconded.
29th February 1938] (Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi)

"He does not read the drama; he does not know what it is. Therefore we are giving/him/power to get a copy and read it and then allow the play."

Copy अधिकारिक रूप से सत्य रखकर डाकू दिने में अनिश्चित, तथा कंधे दिने के तरीके से, वहीं उसके साथ रहने के दौरान उसका अनुभव उसके आशंककेही नहीं रहा। सो वहाँ सो कहा, यह अनुभव उसके मनोकामना से अनुपस्थित था। उस समय यह उसके लिए एक जीवित नहीं रहा। अंत में उसे अनुभव नहीं रहा। वह अंत में उसे अनुभव नहीं रहा। वह अंत में उसे अनुभव नहीं रहा। वह अंत में उसे अनुभव नहीं रहा।

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Delete the words ‘or the District Collector’ wherever they occur."

The Amendment was lost.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Add the following proviso to clause 10:

'Provided the Government or District Collector calls for such a copy from the organisers 10 clear days by giving clear notice before such performance and disposes the same within 3 days after giving reasonable opportunity to the organisers.'"

The amendment was lost.

Clause 10 was put and carried.

Clause 11 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 12.

Mr. SPEAKER: The motion is:

"That clause 12 do stand part of the Bill".

THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES

PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.
Mr. SPEAKER:— This bill is being enacted without prejudice to the general provisions in the Indian Penal Code. Suppose you defame me by a performance in the public street, I can sue.

Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, I think Mr. Sundarayya seems to be labouring under a difficulty with regard to clause 12. Clause 12 provides for prosecution under the Indian Penal Code.
Penal Code only where no order under section 3 or 4 of the Bill has been made. I would suggest an improvement upon the section. It should be: ‘Notwithstanding any order under section 3 or 4, nothing in this Act shall bar prosecution under the Penal Code’. For example, this Act punishes disobedience of an order or performance in spite of an order. If as a result of such disobedience or performance, there shall be a rioting or murder, such performance shall be punished. So the Government would have been happier if the wording of the clause is modified as ‘Notwithstanding any order under section 3 or 4 that has been made in respect of any performance, nothing in this Act shall bar a prosecution under the Indian Penal Code or any other law.’ That should have been the proper wording in the clause. But it is too late and therefore I say there is absolutely no base for any apprehension and there is no question of double punishment.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The question is:

“That clause 12 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was carried.

Clauses 13 and 14 were put and carried.

CLAUSE 15.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The motion is:

“The clause 15 do stand part of the Bill.”

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:—Clause 12 punishes disobedience of an order. The draftsmen have not looked into the Indian Penal Code. It is better to have such a provision. If an order is made under section 3 or 4, disobedience of such order is punishable. If as a result of such disobedience or performance, there is a rioting or murder, both should be punished. It is not because Congress is likely to take us into their fold that we are supporting it. We are supporting on principle. Therefore it is rather unfair on the part of Mr. Sundarayya to attribute motives to me.

Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I rise on a point of personal explanation. It is wrong on the part of Mr. Sundarayya to attribute motives. It is not because that Congress is likely to take us into their fold that we are supporting. We are supporting on principle. Therefore it is rather unfair on the part of Mr. Sundarayya to attribute motives to me.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:—I do not accept his statement. I think that we have to accept the statement that Congress is likely to take us into their fold.
The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—

Sri P. Sundarayya:—

The Andhra Objectionable Performances
Prohibition Bill, 1956.
(Sri P. Sundarayya) 29th February 1956

The provisions of the Act are ample and adequate to
prevent dissemination of objectionable performances. The
Indian Penal Code, Criminal Procedure Code and
Preventive Detention Act also contain abundant caution
clauses. Indian Penal Code, Criminal Procedure Code
and Preventive Detention Act all contain abundant caution
clauses. The Act is very far-reaching and adequate to
prevent dissemination of objectionable performances. The
Indian Penal Code, Criminal Procedure Code and
Preventive Detention Act also contain abundant caution
clauses.
THE ANDHRA OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES PROHIBITION BILL, 1956.

29th February 1956

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:- The question is
"That clause 15 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was carried"

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— Sir, it is already 1-20 and we should have adjourned at 1-0 p.m. I suggest that we may continue this afterwards.

Mr. SPEAKER:— Let us complete this. Having spent so much time on this, we cannot take it to some time in June.

Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— Sir, section (15), (12) section of the Budget. Section 15(12) of the Budget. For that purpose, we must adjourn.

The Hon. Sri P. SUNDARAYYA:— So, we shall take up this on the forenoon of 2nd March.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— Sir, the Budget and the November meetings. Budget Section of the Speaker's

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— So, the Budget Section of the Speaker's

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— The Budget Section of the Speaker's

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— The Budget Section of the Speaker's
Mr. SPEAKER:— The Finance Minister will present the Budget at 10.30 A. M. on the 2nd March and we shall sit earlier for one hour and finish this bill.

The House will now adjourn and meet again at 8–30 A. M. tomorrow, 1st March 1956.
APPENDIX.

Paper laid on the Table of the Legislative Assembly with reference to answer to clause (b) of L. A. Question No. 554—Starred put by Sri G. Bussanna, M. L. A. at page 139 supra.

"Candidates appearing for Commission’s Competitive Examinations—Information as to the papers to be answered in Vernacular Languages."

For the purpose of examinations to be conducted by the Andhra Public Service Commission, the posts in the State and Subordinate Services are categorised under four distinct groups viz., Groups I to IV. The Andhra Public Service Commission conducts examinations of different standards as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group I Services</th>
<th>B. A. (Hons) Standard.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group IV Services</th>
<th>S. S. L. C. Standard.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A candidate for admission to the Commission’s Competitive examinations for recruitment to posts included in Groups I and II Services must choose one optional subject from those noted in the statement annexed, and it may be in one of the following vernacular languages viz.

Sanskrit, Persian, Arabic, Tamil, Telugu, Malayalam, Urdu or Kannada.

A candidate for admission to the Commission’s Competitive examination for recruitment to posts included in Group III Services are examined in the “Language Translation and Composition” paper in one of the following languages to be chosen by the candidates.

Telugu, Tamil, Malayalam,
APPENDIX—(Contd.)

Kannada,
Urudu,
Hindi, or
Oriya.

A Candidate for admission to the Commission's Competitive examination for recruitment to posts included under Group IV Services (Ministerial Services) are required to answer the following question papers in Vernacular languages as indicated therein.

1. An essay paper in two parts, the first part being essayary in the regional language and the second in English.

2. A paper in General knowledge which will be set in English, the candidates being given the option of answering it either in English or in one of the regional languages.

3. A Translation paper in two parts, the first being translation from regional language into English and the second from the English into the regional language.

The following are the regional languages of the State for the above purpose:

1. Telugu—for all districts in Andhra including Madras City* and also

2. Urdu—for the districts of Cuddapah, Guntur and Karnool and Madras City*

3. Tamil—for Chittor District.

* So long as offices of Heads of Departments are located in the City of Madras.

ANNEXURE.

Optional subjects prescribed for Groups I and II Services Examinations, one of which is to be chosen by the candidates.

1. English Language and Literature and History of England as far as it affects the growth and development of English Language and Literature.

2. Sanskrit Language and Literature and History of Ancient India so far as it affects the growth and development of Sanskrit Language and Literature.

3. Persian Language and Literature and History of Persia so far as it affects the growth and development of Persian Language and Literature.
4. Arabic Language and Literature and History of Arabs so far as it affects the growth and development of Arabic Language and Literature.

5. Tamil Language and Literature and History of South India so far as it affects the growth and development of Tamil Language and Literature.

6. Telugu Language and Literature and History of South India so far as it affects the growth and development of Telugu Language and Literature.

7. Malayalam Language and Literature and History of Malabar and South India so far as it affects the growth and development of Malayalam Language and Literature.

8. Urdu Language and Literature and History of Muhammadan period in India, so far as it affects the growth and development of Urdu Language and Literature.

9. Kannada Language and History of South India so far as it affects the growth and development of Kannada Language and Literature.