The Andhra Legislative Assembly  
Tuesday, 31st January 1956.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Kurnool, at One of the Clock, Mr. Speaker (The Hon. Sri R. Lakshmi-Narasimham Dora) in the Chair.

I. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
Starred Questions.

Foodgrains given to Central Government.

226—
* 63 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA:—
Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—
(a) whether the Government gave any stocks of foodgrains to the Government of India in the year 1955 so far; and
(b) if so, the quantity and quality of the same?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
(a) Yes.
(b) The quantities supplied during 1955 upto the end of May, are 7,599 tons of 1st sort rice and 15,765 tons of IIInd sort rice.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—
అయితే వెమ్యా స్థానికంగా తస్క ప్రదానం అవాంసులు లేదు? దీనిని యుగ్మాన్యం వెమ్యా స్థానికంగా తస్క ప్రదానం అవాంసులు లేదు?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
De-control order అయిస్తే నిర్ధిష్ఠంగా అయితే, సాధారణంగా కేంద్ర సంస్థలు గెలిచి వచ్చాయి. దేశ కోసం మేరు గవర్నమెంటు వీటి నిర్ధిష్ఠ వేస్తుంది. ఆధారంగా కేంద్ర సంస్థలు గెలిచి వచ్చాయి.
31st January 1956.

Sri B. SANKARAYYA:—


The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—


Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—


The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—


Sri B. SANKARAYYA:—


The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—


Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:—

Central Government 564 stocks 30 minimum price 30 minimum price 30 minimum price 30.
31st January 1956.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DASS—

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sri S. NARAYANAPPA:—

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
31st January 1956.

Number of irrigation works handed over to the Revenue Department by the Public Works Department.
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* 84 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state the number of irrigation works handed over to the Revenue Department by the Public Works Department after completion of repairs for (a) the year ending 1953; and (b) 1954?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

The number of Irrigation works handed over to the Revenue Department by the Public Works Department after completion of repairs during the years 1953 and 1954 was 331 & 179 respectively.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

ధాన్య భవిష్యత్తు దృష్టిలో, రామాయణం నాటి కాకుండా కాంతిప్రస్థపితం చారిత్ర. ఇంటికి కరువైన తెలుగు యోజన ప్రస్తుతం కరుతుంది. నాటి తయారు కాగ అప్పడు స్థాయిలో ఉండి?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Complete చిత్రస్త్రం రామాయణం పింది కాకపోయారు. Incomplete నమోదు ప్రస్తుతం కాకుండా పింది కాకపోయారు. సంవత్సరము ప్రస్తుతం రెండు వంటి

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1953</th>
<th>1954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>కుంద రాయ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>మాణేయలుడు రాయ</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>అంత రాయ</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>పూర్ణ రాయ</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ధాన్య రాయ</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>సుభాష్</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>కిస్స్తార</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>సంఘాయ</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>పాటియాయ</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>తెలంగాణా</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Encroachments on Highways Road side near Ponnur bus stand.

**Q:** Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:

Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the public of Ponnur in Guntur district have represented to the Highways and Revenue Authorities requesting them for the removal of encroachments on the Highways Road side near the Ponnur bus stand;

(b) whether the Highways authorities or the Collector, Guntur, have issued licences for the said encroachments; and

(c) if not, the action that the Government propose to take for evicting these encroachments?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) and (c) only one encroachment on the Highways Road Poramboke of Nidubrole (village) which is an approach to the petrol bunk in the locality was licenced in F. 1363 and renewed for 3 years from F. 1364. Action is being taken by the Collector, to evict all the objectionable encroachments in the remaining cases.

Conversion of primary schools into Basic schools in National Extension Service Block Areas.

**Q:** Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:

Will the Hon. Minister for Planning and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government of India have asked the State Governments to convert the present primary schools into Basic schools in National Extension Service Block areas; and

(b) if so, whether the present primary schools in National Extension Service Blocks have been converted into Basic schools and if not the reasons for the same?

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:

(a) The Community Projects Administration have emphasised that Basic Education should be the sheet anchor of future policy
in Primary and Secondary Schools in Community Projects, Community Development and National Extension Service areas.

(b) Steps are being and will be taken to see that all schools are of the basic type or have a craft bias.

Community Project, Community Development Scheme, National Extension Scheme — Community Project Administrators.

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:

precedented, and of primary schools as basic schools to convert into the primary schools.

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:

Mr. Speaker:

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:

1. Basic Schools — 185 schools of the basic type have been identified for conversion.

2. Non-Basic Teachers — Training for non-basic teachers is underway.

Secondary schools are Primary schools, while non-basic are basic.
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Sri T. JIYAR DASS.—

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO—

Sri N. SRINIVASA RAO.—

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO—

Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDI.—

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO—

Extension of electricity from Naidupeta to certain villages in Nellore district.

* 327 Q.—Sri M. MUNUSWAMI.—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to state—
31st January 1956.

(a) whether there is any proposal to extend electricity from Naidupeta to Yakasiri and Annamedu villages and Thummur and Vinnamala of Naidupet Panchayat in Sulurpet taluk, Nellore district; and
(b) if so, when?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA—

(a) and (b) The feasibility of extension of supply to Yakasiri and Annamedu has been programmed for investigation in 1956-57. As regards extension of supply to Thummur and Vinnamala, a proposal costing Rs. 56,900 is under the examination of the Chief Engineer (Electricity) and will be sanctioned by him if it is found to be remunerative.

Sri M. MUNUSWAMI—

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:—

Does the Hon Minister know that there is a part of the country called Ramakrishnarajupet constituency, and has the Government any intention of extending electricity into that area in the near future.

Mr. Speaker:—

It is an aspersion against the Minister, Mr. MUDALIAR. You must be sure that he does not know the constituency.
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Basic Training Schools in the State.

231—
* 69 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA:—
Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—
(a) the number of Basic Training Schools in the State now and the number of students undergoing training in them; and
(b) the extra expenditure to the State, if any, for 1954-55?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
(a) 23 out of which 2 are located in Bellary. 2702 students, excluding those in the 3 Basic Training Colleges opened this year.
(b) It is presumed the member requires the total expenditure incurred on these schools in 1954-55. It is Rs. 9,02,365/-.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—
Basic Training Schools & schools extra expenditure involve?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
It is Rs. 9,02,365/-.  

Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:—
Will the Government take over the Tamil Training School at Prodduturpeta and convert it into a Basic Training school for Tamilians.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
A separate question might be put.

Mahajar petitions from Munagala Paragana Rytu Sangham.

232—
* 255 Q.—Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—
Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state—
31st January 1956.

(a) whether the Government have received mahajar petitions from the Munagala Paragana Rytu Sangham for the formation of new canals from the Madhavaram tank to feed other tanks of Munagala Paragana; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

(a) Yes.

(b) The matter is receiving the attention of the Government.

Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Appointment of Tahsildars in the State.

233—

* 338 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received Memorandum No. 45/54, dated 12th March 1954 from (M.L.As.) S. Vemayya and B. Appalaswamy regarding the appointment of Tahsildars in the State in proportion to the seats reserved for Harijans and Backward Communities in Services; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?
31st January 1956.

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

1954 నంది శిక్షణ పాఠశాలలు ఉన్నాయి. 

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

హర్ష రికేర్డ్ సర్ రాహతే వంటి విడిది, అమలమే తిరిగుతుంది. 

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

హర్ష రికేర్డ్ సర్, "the answer is in the negative" 

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

మాత్రమే. 

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

"హర్ష రికేర్డ్ సర్ కాల చేసింది" ఎంతరక లేదు. 

No reply.

Sanitary Inspector Course at Guntur Medical College.

234—

* 355 Q.—Sri VAIVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Sanitary Inspectors' Course was started at Guntur Medical College with effect from July 1955; and
31st January 1956.

(b) if so, what is the strength now?

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The strength of the students undergoing the course is 50.
(c) 55.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The strength of the engineering students is 50.
(c) 55.

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:—
(a) The budget pass is on.

Extension of electric power to Netrapally, etc. villages.

285—
* 471 Q.—Sri N. C. SESHADRI:—
(a) whether the schemes for extension of electric power to Netrapally and surrounding villages and Pallepally and surrounding villages have been submitted to the Government about three months back; and
(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—
(a) Yes.
(b) As the schemes are not remunerative, the Chief Engineer (Electricity) has been asked to evolve remunerative proposals by canvassing more loads, if possible.
Sri N. C. SESHADEVI:—

Additional loads canvass in the 1955-56 estimate and the Chief Engineer's arrival, a question arises whether additional work is envisaged. The Hon. Sri K. LATCHANNA:—

Chief Engineer seems uncertain. Mr. SPEAKER:—

Additional work is envisaged. Mr. SPEAKER:—

Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU:—

Additional work in the estimate 11 per cent 10 per cent contingencies 8 per cent 5 per cent 3 per cent additional board 5 per cent. The Hon. Sri K. LATCHANNA:—

Contingency percentage 8 per cent 5 per cent 3 per cent additional board 5 per cent 3 per cent. Sri P. SREEKUMARULU:—

The Hon. Sri K. LATCHANNA:—

Sri P. SREEKUMARULU:—
31st January 1956.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Sri N. C. SESHADRI:—

Contingencies 3 per cent 5 per cent raise 3 per cent 5 per cent raise. The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Sri N. SRINIVASA RAO:—

Electricity supply backward communities preference backward areas preference.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Sri B. SANKARAIAH:—

material return length cost.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU:—

contingencies return length cost.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—
Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

(a) the number of students in (i) Government Colleges, (ii) High schools, and (iii) elementary schools to whom scholarships were granted for the year 1954; and

(b) the amount of money paid in the matter?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

(a) and (b) It is presumed that the member wants particulars for the year 1954-55.

The number of scholarships granted to students in Government Colleges, High Schools and Elementary Schools in 1954-55 and the amount paid are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Government Colleges</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>Rs. 1,69,464/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) High Schools (Govt. &amp; Non-Govt.)</td>
<td>4305</td>
<td>Rs. 1,67,768/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Higher and Elementary Schools (Govt. and Non-Government.)</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>Rs. 14,198-8-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Scholarships are too a long way in education and the student's welfare. The time limit of 30 days is too less for the Director of Public Instruction and the Director of Social Welfare to send the report. Moreover, the report is not sent within the time limit.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

The Director of Public Instruction and the Director of Social Welfare are busy. Moreover, the report is not sent within the time limit.

Sri S. KASI REDDI:—

The Director of Public Instruction and the Director of Social Welfare are busy. Moreover, the report is not sent within the time limit.
31st January 1956.

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:

Scholarships are open to all and the awards are made purely judged on merit and poverty.
31st January 1956.

Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:—

Are there any cases of scholarships being granted to Tamil students of this State who are compelled to study at Madras because due to language difficulty they cannot study in the Andhra State? The Tamil students are subjects of this State and they are entitled to scholarships. Are any scholarships being granted to them?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

I do not know. Generally it is not the policy of the Government to give scholarships to students studying in other States like Madras, Banaras, Lucknow and Poona. A separate question may be put.

Sri M. R. APPA RAO:—

Government Colleges give scholarships to Tamil students. Non-Government affiliated Colleges also give scholarships. Are any scholarships being granted to them?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sri P. VENKATA SUBBAYYA:—

Residential Colleges also give scholarships to Tamil students in Central Government colleges. State Government colleges also give scholarships. Central Government gives scholarships to Tamil students in State Government colleges. Are any scholarships being granted to them?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sri P. VENKATASUBBAYYA:—

Scholarships are given to Tamil students in Government colleges, are any scholarships being given to them?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Mr. SPEAKER:—

You have to put a separate question for his, Mr. Venkata-Subbaya.
314 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
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Village Vigilance Committees.

237—
* 317 Q.—Sri P. RANGA REDDI:—
Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state—
(a) whether there are Village Vigilance Committees function-
ing in the State;
(b) if so, what are the functions of the Vigilance Committees
and who appoints the members of these Committees; and
(c) whether any financial help is given by the Government
for these Committees?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—
(a) "The answer is in the affirmative."
(b) "A note indicating the functions of the Vigilance
Committees and how the members are appointed is placed on the
Table of the House.
(c) "The answer is in the negative."

Sri M. R. APPA RAO:—

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Sri P. NARASIMHA APPA RAO:—

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Law and order is the duty

Sri G. YELLAMANDA REDDI:—

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Sri P. RANGA REDDI:—
Sri B. SANKARAYYA:—

31st January 1956.

Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Sri R. B. RAMAKRISHNA RAJU:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—-

(a) at what stage the examination of the question of amend­
ment to section 38 of the Madras Estate Abolition Act, raised in
the Bill, given notice of by Sri R. B. Ramakrishna Raju is; and

(b) When the Government propose to undertake suitable
legislation therefor?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

(a) and (b) The proposal to amend Section 38 of the Estates
Abolition Act is being examined by the Government. Certain
particulars relevant to the financial commitment involved, in the
proposed amendment have been called for and are awaited from
the Board of Revenue. After these particulars are received, the
question of undertaking suitable legislation for the purpose, will
be considered by the Government.

Sri GOPALU REDDI:—

Estates Abolition Act & defective provisions

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

Estates Abolition Act & defective provisions
31st January 1956.

Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government propose to start a factory at Rajahmundry for manufacture of stoneware pipes; and

(b) if so, the amount proposed to be spent on it?

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The total cost of the scheme is estimated at Rs. 2,85,000 recurring and Rs. 2,34,000 non-recurring.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

You could have included this also in your question.

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:—

It is the intention of the Government to provide stoneware material for use in the factory at Rajahmundry.
31st January 1956

Sri N. CHENGALRAYA NAIDU:

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:

Sri S. VEMAYYA:

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA —

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:

Establishment of an Electricity Board in the State.

* 472 Q. - Sri N. C. SESHADRI:—

Will the Hon. Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to state—
(a) Whether this Government have taken any steps for the establishment of an Electricity Board in accordance with the directive from the Central Government; and

(b) if so, the names of the members on the Board?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:

(a) The Government have come to the conclusion that an Electricity Board is not necessary for this State at present.

(b) Does not arise.

Sri N. C. SESHADRI:

Electricity Board is not necessary for this State at present?

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:

Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

Number of cases withdrawn under Food Control Order.

241—

* 81 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA:

Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
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31st January 1956

(a) the number of cases withdrawn under Foodgrain Control in pursuance of Government orders issued in the last week of March 1955; and

(b) the number of persons benefitted?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:

(a) Ten cases were withdrawn.

(b) 42 persons were benefitted.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI

Case to withdraw

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:

1 withdraw

Sri Md. RAHAMATHULLAH:

Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Industries be pleased to state—
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

31th January 1956

(a) whether it is a fact that the Hon. Minister made a promise at the time of his visit to the Government Headquarters Hospital, Cuddapah, on 28th October 1955 to enhance the bed-strength of the hospital from 101 to 151 and also to sanction for the construction of an additional maternity ward; and

(b) if so, when the promise would be implemented?

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:—

(a) and (b)

After my visit to the Hospital in October 1955, the Government have sanctioned the increase in the bed strength of the Government Headquarters Hospital, Cuddapah from 101 to 140 for the present. The question of constructing an additional Maternity ward in the above Hospital is under consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

There is no 'e' 'a' before me.

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO:—

Telugu alphabet does not admit (e) after (b) 'a' 'a' 'a' before me?

1955 ఆగస్టు 1 రోజు మరియు మిశ్రమ యుద్ధం చేస్తానికి వాటాగా జవాహరల్ లాట్యం సంప్రదాయ కలిగి 101 వంటి 140. పింతలు రెండు యుద్ధం లేదా తొడుప రెండు. అంటే మరియు మిశ్రమ యుద్ధం లేదా తొడుప రెండు యుద్ధం మరియు తొడుప రెండు.

Construction of co-operative warehouses in Andhra.

243—

*465 Q.—Sri N. C. SESHADRI:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Co-operation and Commercial Taxes be pleased to state—

(a) the amount sanctioned by the Central Government for construction of co-operative warehouses in Andhra;
31st January 1956

(b) whether there is any plan for constructing these warehouses; and

(c) if so, where they are proposed to be constructed?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

(a) No amount has been sanctioned, so far, by the Government of India for construction of warehouses in this State.

(b) and (c). No plans or programmes for the construction of warehouses in the various centres have been finalised so far. These and other conducted matters are under the consideration of the Government of India.

Sri N. C. SESHAADRI:—

Warehouses ని ప్రాంతాలు ఆతడారులు రైరా తీర్చికే ప్రారంభం మెరుగులతే సంపాదించడానికి?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

చేసిన పరిస్థితులు నుండి నాటి అంశాలు హచ్చెయ రిస్కు ప్రారంభం. ఆ పరిస్థితి నామోత్సవి ఆహార ఉష్ణం ఏపు ఉండాలి పద్ధతులు సంబంధం

Charges against Municipal Commissioner, Tadpatri.
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* 340-b Q.—Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Prohibition be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that charges are pending against Sri G. Munikrishnaiah Chetty, Municipal Commissioner, Tadpatri; and

(b) if so, what they are and the action taken in the matter.
The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—

(a) Certain irregularities alleged to have been committed by Sri G. Munikrishnaiah Chetty as Municipal Commissioner, Srikakulam are pending.

(b) It is not in the public interest to reveal the nature of allegations. The matter is still under consideration by Government.

Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU —

I am informed that complaints have been made against Sri C. Munikrishnaiah Chetty, I.L.B., Manager. An enquiry is pending.

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—

Sri P. SURYANARAYANA:—

I have heard the manager's version. It is necessary to have two or three more officers in the management.

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—

Sri A. VENKATARAMA RAJU:—

(No answer)

Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU:—

Services to be conducted for the prevention of corruption are necessary. Such measures should be taken immediately.
31st January 1956

Mr. SPEAKER:—

The complaint is already there.

Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU:—

In view of the nature and seriousness of the complaint, the Government should take immediate action. Otherwise, there is no use of any amount of public agitation or representations.

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—


Sri D. KONDAYYA CHOUNDARY:—

Charges. The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO:—

Enquiry. Enquiry.

(Many members were seen standing to put supplementary questions.)

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Order, Order. It is a complaint against an officer, which is under consideration. I do not know why the House should flare up.

(There were persistent demands for supplementary questions.)

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Members want information as to how long the complaint is pending.
The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO.—

The complaint is pending since he left Srikakulam.

(Again, many members were seen standing asking permission of the Chair to put supplementary questions.)

Mr. SPEAKER :—

Order, Order. The answer given by the Hon. Minister does not completely answer the question.

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :—


Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU :—

(Many members were seen standing asking permission of the Chair to put supplementary questions.)

The Hon. Sri A. B. NAGESWARA RAO :—

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO :—

Sir, there is a procedure prescribed for taking action against any officer who is even deemed to be corrupt and the relevant rules will have to be observed. Otherwise the High Court will interfere and issue writs and ask compensation to be paid to the officer, as it has happened in 2 or 3 cases. In one case, compensation up to Rs. 40,000 had to be paid.

Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU :—

Sir, it is an important matter that an officer continues to be corrupt and commit irregularities. Therefore, I want.........
31st January 1956

Mr. SPEAKER :—

Order, Order. If it is so important, the Member can come forward with a motion and I shall consider. We cannot spend hours for one question.

Starting of a Handloom Training Institute in the State.
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* 641 Q.—Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH :—

Sri N. C. SESHADRI :—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is a proposal to start a Handloom Training Institute during the year 1956-57; and

(b) if so, the place selected for the purpose?

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO :—

(a) & (b)

Yes, Sir. The scheme has not yet been sanctioned by the Government of India. The question of location of the Institute will be decided later having due regard to all relevant factors.

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO :—

ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి రాణీ ఇందు ప్రమాదానికి

Sri N. C. SESHADRI :—

చారీపత మాసాష్ట్రంలో అసీసి ఐసెసేట్లు అగస్త్రం ఎందుకు అవసరం?

The Hon. Sri K. VENKATA RAO :—

Constitution of a Board for the education of the Anglo-Indians in the State.
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* 73 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state whether there are proposals with the Government to constitute a Board for the education of the Anglo-Indians in the State?
The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI :—
The answer is in the negative

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI :—

Amount spent for the maintenance of the Buckingham Canal.
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* 209 Q.—Sri B. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI :—
Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) the amount that has been spent annually for the maintenance of the Buckingham canal; and
(b) the income received by the Government as tax on boats plying in the canal?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI :—
(a) 1954-55: Rs. 2,49,852/-
(b) Rs. 34,074-14-3.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA :—

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI :—

Representation regarding stamping weights and measures from Merchants Associations in Guntur District.
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* 281 Q.—Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH :—
Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
31st January 1956

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of representation from Merchants' Associations in Guntur District making allegation of corruption against the authorities of stamping weights and measures and;

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) Enquiries proved nothing against the stamping clerk at Chirala, against whom the allegations were made. The stamping clerk has, however, been transferred from that place.

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:—

6330157 !^3 <38^X)E3 zxagp^-^aa. K&8 63§if383 <3oab3S transfer ^3 ^36 ?

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

* 505 Q.—Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Co-operation and Commercial Taxes be pleased to state:

(a) the number of pile carpet societies in the State now;

(b) the members on those societies;

(c) the amount of subsidy or assistance granted to those Societies in 1954-55 and 1955-56; and

(d) the number of looms in those Societies?
The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

(a) There is only one Pile Carpet Weavers Co-operative Society in the West Godavari district.

(b) As on 30-11-1955, there were 156 members in the Eluru Pile Carpet Weavers' Co-operative Society.

(c) A subsidy of Rs. 600/- was given to the society by the State Government during 1954-55 but no subsidy has been sanctioned during 1955-56.

(d) The number of looms in the society is 18.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

How much percentage study should be done in their society?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

We have adopted a proposal to identify 40 members for 6 months and providing Rs. 8,62,480 grant-aided subsidy. We have applied for sanction.

Sri P. VENKATASUBBA:—

Special Co-operative Societies are registered under the Co-operative Societies Act. The Director (Co-operative Societies) has sanctioned registration of 2 societies, one of which is yours. He has directed the District Registrar to begin the work?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

We should have the register of the society?

Sri N. SREENIVASA RAO:—

How much subsidy one member should apply for?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

Rs. 1-4-50 to Rs. 600 per member. We considered that members are in need.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESAWARLU:—

Which society should apply for subsidy? On what basis should the same be sanctioned? Is it necessary for the society to apply for subsidy from the State Government?
31st January 1956

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

Subsidy అం�ittel లేదు. అది జీఐ సంస్థ విభాగంలో ఉంది.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

అయితే, అంటే, సరుపు దిశలో అంటే అనుసంధానం. subsidy ఉన్న అనేది.

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

ఇది వ్యాపార విభాగంలో ఉన్న అంటే, subsidy ఉన్న అనేది.

1937-38లో, ఉదాహరణ కొరకు, అంటే, 1935 లో, 1937 లో, మరియు 1979 లో, అంటే, ఇది ఉంది. All India Khadi and Village Industries Board అంటే, cottage Industry అంటే ఇది ఉంది. స్వాభావికంగా ప్రపంచవ్యాప్తంగా ఉంటోంది.

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:—

ఎక్కడం అంటే, అనేది ఉంది, 3 ఎంపికలు ఉన్న అంటే, 1937 నాడు మరియు 1979 నాడు ఇందులో ఉంది?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

ఎక్కడం అంటే, అంటే ఉంది. 1937 నాడు మరియు 1979 నాడు ఇందులో ఉంది.

Proportion between Direct recruits and promotees to the cadre of District Judges.
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* 310 Q.—Sri T. LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDI:—

Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to State :

(a) whether there is any proportion fixed between the direct recruits and the promotees to the cadre of the District Judges; and

(b) if so, what the proportion is?

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

(a) The matter is under the active consideration of the Government and a decision is likely to be reached soon.

(b) Does not arise.
321

* 649 Q.- Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO :—

Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of pairs of boots and chapals (Kabul Sandals) and the number of belts and other leather goods purchased and the amount spent therefor in each of the District by the Police Department every year; and during the year 1954-55; and

(b) the agencies which supplied the leather goods during the year?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI :—

(a) and (b) :—The information is placed on the Table of the House.

Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO :—

Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Boots</th>
<th>Chapals</th>
<th>Belts</th>
<th>Other Leather Goods</th>
<th>Amount Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI :—

Co-operative Department supplied the boots, and the Police Department supplied other leather goods. Rajeswara Rao and the I. G. police officer were responsible for handing over the sites.

Draft declaration for house sites for Harijans in Krishna District.
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* 243 Q.- Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO :—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to State:

(a) the number of cases of house sites for Harijans for which the Draft Declaration in Krishna District are published;

(b) the number of places in which the sites were actually handed over to Harijans in 1953-54 and 1954-55;

Vide appendix at pages 253-256. infra.
31st January 1956

(c) whether the Government have disbanded the additional staff appointed for finalising allotment of house sites for Harijans in Krishna District; and

(d) if so, why?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

(a) 84 cases.

(b) 1953-54 7 places 1954-55 7 places

(c) The answer is in the affirmative.

(d) As the special staff employed for finalising allotment of house sites for Harijans has completed the bulk of the work and as it had no work which has to be attended to immediately, the staff was disbanded on 30-6-1955. The need for the additional staff was again keenly felt and the staff was therefore re-employed from November 1955.

(a) 84 cases.

(b) 1953-54 5 places 1954-55 7 places

Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—

84 applications 39 additional staff 1953-54 5 applications 39 additional staff 1954-55 7 additional staff

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

Additional staff 39 additional staff proposal 39 additional staff award 74 additional staff proposal 39 additional staff award

Additional staff 74 additional staff proposal additional staff award 74 additional staff proposal additional staff award.
Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU —

31st January 1956

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA: —

Will the Hon. the Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to State:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Government issued orders forbidding the District Welfare Officers from entertaining fresh applications for house sites for Harijans.

(b) how many fresh applications for house-sites for Harijans were received by the District Welfare Officer, Krishna, from 1st January, 1955; and

(c) the action taken thereon?

Sri M. NAGI REDDY: —

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA: —

Mr. SPEAKER: —

Questions Nos. 323 and 324 will be taken together as they relate to the same subject.

Entertaining applications for house sites for Harijans by the District Welfare Officers.
311st January 1956

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

(a) The answer is in the negative.
(b) 139
(c) The applications are under scrutiny by the Additional District Welfare Officers I & II of Krishna District.

Land acquisition for house sites for Harijans in Krishna District.

253 Q.:—Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—

Will the Hon. the Minister for Electricity and Social Welfare be pleased to state

(a) in how many cases of land acquisition for house-sites for Harijans in Krishna District, actual possession was not made over even though the necessary awards were passed; and
(b) how many of these are pending in Civil Courts?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

(a) 18 cases.
(b) 15 cases.

Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—

33,109 applications 1st July 1955 15 139 applications 31st January 189 applications 31st January 84 applications 31st January 1955 additional staff 31st January 1955 additional staff pending in Civil Courts?

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

33,109 applications 1st July 1955 15 139 applications 31st January 189 applications 31st January 84 applications 31st January 1955 additional staff 31st January 1955 additional staff pending in Civil Courts?

Sri V. VISWESWARA RAO:—

33,109 applications 1st July 1955 15 139 applications 31st January 189 applications 31st January 84 applications 31st January 1955 additional staff 31st January 1955 additional staff pending in Civil Courts?
The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:

applications of instructions to additional staff are to be considered. The applications to be considered are:

Additional staff 139 applications, additional staff 189 applications.

Sri P. GUNNAYYA:

additional staff to be considered. 10 additional staff to be considered. 22 additional staff to be considered.

Mr. SPEAKER:

Sri P. GUNNAYYA:

No answer.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:

Additional staff to be considered. Additional staff to be considered.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:

No answer.
31st January 1956

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

"... cases of... gazette notify... hand over... difficulties... Land Acquisition Act... difficult... "

The Hon. Sri. G. LATCHANNA:—

"... Emergency Rules... house acquisition... 324... 18... awards... Civil Court... 15... litigation... litigation... litigation..."

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

"... Land Acquisition Act... difficulties... applications... dispose of... Land Acquisition Act... amend... amendment... amending bill..."

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

"... Revenue Department... Emergency Rules apply..."
Sri G. NAGESWARA RAO:—

31st January 1956

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—

(a) Whether a sanctioned post of a Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies is still kept vacant; and
(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA:—

(a) and (b): Yes Sir. The post of a Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies sanctioned for work connected with Handloom Cess Fund schemes has not been filled up for administrative reasons. The question of filling up the post is under consideration.

Post of a Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies.
ANNOUNCEMENT re:

Extension of time for presentation of Report by the Select Committee on the Madras Estate Land (Andhra Amendment), Bill 1955.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

I have to announce to the House that Sri K. Chandramouli, Minister for Land Revenue and Endowments has sent me the following letter:—

"I am to state that due to unavoidable circumstances the Select Committee on the Madras Estates Land (Andhra Amendment) Bill, 1955 could not finish its labour and submit the report before the time originally fixed for presenting the Report of the Select Committee i.e. 28th January, 1956.

I beg leave to move that the time for presenting the Report may be extended till 1st March, 1956."

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—

Sir, the Assembly will be meeting on the 25th February and if the report is ready by that time it can be presented to the House. So, it need not be 1st March. Once we go into the budget, it will be difficult to take up this Bill and we are anxious that we should finish it before we go into the budget on the 2nd March. So, we may fix 25th February as the date before which the report should be presented to the House.

The Hon. Sri K. CHANDRAMOULI:—

Sir, time for presenting the report may be extended till 25th February, 1956.

Leave was granted up to 25th February, 1956.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—

The Hon. Dr. B. GOPALA REDDI:—
III GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Court Fees and Suits Valuation Bill, 1955
(As amended by the Select Committee) (Contd.)

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

...
THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION

BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE)

30th January 1956

[Sri D. V. Subba Rao]

The collection of court fees by the Government should be only on the basis of covering the expenses of administration of Justice.
Sn D. V Subba Rao] [30th January 1956

बजट भविष्यवाणी के अनुसार, केवल 1,45,000 रुपये हेडेस्टेम्प के लिए जज योग्यता, 69,15,000 रुपये अधिनियम, जज योग्यता के लिए 70,80,000 रुपये अधिनियम.

राजस्व समायोजन के साथ, ईमानदारी और लाभदायक चुनाव, उन्की योग्यता का समायोजन 21 जून को होगा।

बजट के लिए लाभदायक चुनाव के लिए ठिकाने का निर्णय होगा। इस बजट भविष्यवाणी के अनुसार, केवल 1,45,000 रुपये हेडेस्टेम्प के लिए जज योग्यता, 69,15,000 रुपये अधिनियम, जज योग्यता के लिए 70,80,000 रुपये अधिनियम।

उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के लिए ईमानदारी और लाभदायक चुनाव, उन्की योग्यता का समायोजन 21 जून को होगा।

बजट के लिए लाभदायक चुनाव के लिए ठिकाने का निर्णय होगा।

“Taxation के अनुसार Act निर्माण, ऐक्य, अनुकूलन और अनुकूलन के लिए उन्की योग्यता का समायोजन करना।”
THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION
BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE.)
31st January 1956
[Sri D. V. Subba Rao]

Sri D. V. Subba Rao opposes the amendment that decreases the court fees for small suits. He argues that the bill, as amended by the Select Committee, is unjust and that the court fees should not be reduced. He believes that the court fees should be based on the value of the suit and not the number of pages.

Sri D. V. Subba Rao points out that the court fees for bonds and other documents should not be reduced. He argues that these fees are essential for the administration of justice.

Sri D. V. Subba Rao also opposes the amendment that allows for the conversion of bonds into other types of securities. He believes that this will lead to distortion of evidence and will affect the natural justice of the parties involved.

Sri D. V. Subba Rao further argues that technical points and technicalities should not be considered in the administration of justice. He believes that the court fees should be based on the value of the suit and not on the number of pages or technical points.

Sri D. V. Subba Rao also opposes the amendment that allows for the conversion of bonds into other types of securities. He believes that this will lead to distortion of evidence and will affect the natural justice of the parties involved.

Sri D. V. Subba Rao argues that the court fees should be based on the value of the suit and not on the number of pages or technical points. He believes that this will ensure justice for all parties involved.

Sri D. V. Subba Rao also opposes the amendment that allows for the conversion of bonds into other types of securities. He believes that this will lead to distortion of evidence and will affect the natural justice of the parties involved.
Sri D. V. Subba Rao

[31st January 1956]

Dissent note

The general terms of the Bill incorporate certain clauses which are, in my opinion, unsound. The details of market value and of court fees are inadequate. The original proposal was to impose a stamp duty of 20 shillings on all suits. It is suggested that the market value of 300 may be replaced in Part III by court fees of 300. It is submitted that the scale of court fees is too high.

Secondly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Thirdly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Fourthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Fifthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Sixthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Seventhly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Eighthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Ninthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Tenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Eleventhly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Twelfthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Thirteenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Fourteenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Fifteenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Sixteenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Seventeenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Eighteenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Nineteenthly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.

Twentiethly, it is submitted that the court fees should be based on the market value or, in the event of a dispute, on the market value and the court fees at 300.
Sri D. V. Subba Rao

[31st January 1956]

Assessment on the land is due. As far as the assessment, as far as the valuation of the land is due. Assessment on the land is due. As far as the assessment, as far as the valuation of the land is due. Assessment on the land is due.

The market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due.

The market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due. As far as the market value is due.
Sri D. V. Subba Rao

31st January 1956

Sri VAVILATA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—

The Andhra Court Fees and Suits Valuation Bill 1955 (as amended by the Select Committee).

The court fees order to set aside the claim on the ground of title to 1000 stamp duty, declaration 110 stamp duty.

Sri VAVILATA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:—

The Andhra Court Fees and Suits Valuation Bill 1955 (as amended by the Select Committee).

31st January 1956

[Sources: Sri D. V. Subba Rao]
Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya

[31st January 1956]

The court fees and suits valuation bill, 1955 (as amended by the select committee.)
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THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION
BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE.)
31st January 1956
[Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya
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Sri D. KONDAIAH CHoudary:—

The Court Fees Bill has been amended from time to time. The amendment in the Court Fees Bill is necessary to meet the increased costs of litigation. The High Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The Court Fees are necessary for the smooth functioning of the Court. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.

The amendment in the Court Fees Bill will help in meeting the increased costs of litigation. The Court has been urged to increase the Court Fees in order to meet the increased costs. The increase in the Court Fees will ensure that the Court has adequate funds to meet its expenses.
31st January 1956

[Sri D. Kondaiah Choudary]

THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE.)
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Sri D. Kondaiah Chouday

[31st January 1956]

1870-4 காலத்தில் பிரதானமாக உண்டாக்கப்பட்டது. அப்போது மாதிரியானது வணிக்கு முன்னேற்றம் செய்யப்பட்டிருந்தது. அப்போது சரியாகவும் சுருக்குமாறும் உண்டு என இறிய வேண்டியது. முடிவு தெரிந்து கொள்ளப்பட்டது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் ஆரம்பத்திற்கானது தினகாலத்தில் அளிக்கப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரிற்கும் என குறிப்பிட்டு வந்தது. 500 மாதிரியும் சுருக்குமாறும் உண்டு. 1870-4 காலத்தில் உண்டாக்கப்பட்டு, முடிவு தெரிந்துகொள்ளப்பட்டது. முடிவு தெரிந்து கொள்ளப்பட்டு, மணிக்கு தினகாலத்தில் பெயரிற்கும் என குறிப்பிட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரிற்கும் என குறிப்பிட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரிற்கும் என குறிப்பிட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது.

assessment போக்கும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது.

market value என்பது, ஆதரியக்கு தஞ்சாமையை குறிப்பிட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது.

1870-4 காலத்தில் உண்டாக்கப்பட்டு, முடிவு தெரிந்து கொள்ளப்பட்டது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது. அவ்விரு சான்றிலும் மணிக்கு தினகாலம் பெயரேற்றப்பட்டு வந்தது. என்பது அமைதியில் இருந்தது.

The assessment of the property would be based on the market value. Discrimination would be avoided. Fees for court proceedings would be calculated on the basis of the market value. This would ensure that the assessment is fair and just.
THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE.)

31st January 1956

[Sri D. Kondaiah Choudary]

In pursuance of the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Court Fees and Suits Valuation Act, 1955 (as amended by the Select Committee), jurisdiction over certain cases is hereby extended. The jurisdiction of the Court over the cases mentioned below is hereby transferred to the High Court.

1. Case No. 123456789
2. Case No. 987654321
3. Case No. 543210987
4. Case No. 456789012

The above cases are hereby transferred to the High Court for further proceedings.

Sri D. Kondaiah Choudary
Sri D. Kondaiah Choudary
Chief Justice of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh
31st January 1956
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[Sri Kondaiah Choudary

The Hon'ble The High Court of Justice, Andhra Pradesh, have had this matter under consideration and have concluded that the following court fees are prohibitive:

1. Writs - Rs. 20/-
2. Case - Rs. 20/-
3. Bar room - Rs. 3/-
4. Library - Rs. 5/-
5. Fees to be charged at Rs. 2/-
6. Writs - Rs. 100/-
7. Case - Rs. 100/-
8. Bar room - Rs. 10/-
9. Library - Rs. 4/-
10. Fees to be charged at Rs. 2/-

The Hon'ble Court have also concluded that the following court fees are prohibitive:

1. Writs - Rs. 400/-
2. Case - Rs. 500/-
3. Bar room - Rs. 4/-
4. Library - Rs. 4/-
5. Fees to be charged at Rs. 4/-

The Hon'ble Court have also concluded that the following court fees are prohibitive:

1. Writs - Rs. 1000/-
2. Case - Rs. 1000/-
3. Bar room - Rs. 10/-
4. Library - Rs. 4/-
5. Fees to be charged at Rs. 10/-

The Hon'ble Court have also concluded that the following court fees are prohibitive:

1. Writs - Rs. 4000/-
2. Case - Rs. 5000/-
3. Bar room - Rs. 10/-
4. Library - Rs. 4/-
5. Fees to be charged at Rs. 10/-

The Hon'ble Court have also concluded that the following court fees are prohibitive:

1. Writs - Rs. 10000/-
2. Case - Rs. 10000/-
3. Bar room - Rs. 10/-
4. Library - Rs. 4/-
5. Fees to be charged at Rs. 10/-
Adoption suits 75% unearned income Court fees 75% unearned income Civilised society unearned income State unearned income. Adoption suits 75% Court fees Drastic changes in Bar Associations clients, Bar Associations constitution fiscal enactment profit measure.
31st January 1956

[Sri S. K. V. Krishnavataram]
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THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION
BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE)

Sri S. K. V. Krishnavataram
[31st January 1956]

buildings & litigants & lawyers are not specially charged. Thereafter, the changes in
the assessment of the buildings of the properties should be so made that the assessment
changes are correctly reflected in the value of the properties. Court,
ordinarily court fees &pleaders &pleaders' fees &pleaders' fees should be charged
in respect of the properties. Similarly, in respect of the properties,
court fees & costs & pleaders' fees & pleaders' fees should be charged.
pleaders & pleaders' fees & pleaders' fees should be charged.

Interlocutory applications & costs &pleaders' fees & costs &pleaders' fees &pleaders' fees &pleaders' fees
should be charged. High Court should be charged. High Court & Court
fees & Court fees &Court fees & Court fees & Court fees & Court fees & Court fees
should be charged. Market value of the properties
are to be assessed and not the net profits. The
market value of the properties is to be noted.

Market value of the properties

Attachment of the property
petition 3rd section of the Suit's Act) are recoverable. In the event of a decree-holder raising his representation of attachment, raise in the market value of the property, court fees in the event of attachment, summary petition claim petition before the Sub-court while District court has jurisdiction fees and market value. Attached market value fees and court fees for the fees.

Writ petition 21st section of the Code of Civil Procedure. Sub-court has jurisdiction and District court has jurisdiction fees. Sub-court has jurisdiction, market value fees court fees for the fees.

Estates Abolition Act and Rent Reduction Act as next week, Madras High Court will pass order for disposal of writ petitions. Estates Abolition Act and Rent Reduction Act as next week, Madras High Court will pass order for disposal of writ petitions. Estates Abolition Act and Rent Reduction Act as next week, Madras High Court will pass order for disposal of writ petitions. Estates Abolition Act and Rent Reduction Act as next week, Madras High Court will pass order for disposal of writ petitions. Estates Abolition Act and Rent Reduction Act as next week, Madras High Court will pass order for disposal of writ petitions.
The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeerva Reddy:

clause 30 2% discuss. general discussion 3isk clause. 3isk clause by clause discussion 30a.

Sri S. K. V. Krishnavatharam:

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeerva Reddy:

The clause 3isk discuss. The clause by clause discuss. 3isk clause discuss. 3isk clause by clause discuss. 3isk clause discuss. 3isk clause by clause discuss. 3isk clause discuss. 3isk clause by clause discuss.
THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION
BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE)
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Sri P. NARASIMHAPPA RAO —

Sri T. LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDI :—
The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

Habeas corpus petition

Sri T. LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDI:

Clause 36 Habeas corpus petition for pauper petitions

For civil jail

Sri P. GUNNAYYA:

Court fees as per allegation civil jail
Sri K. V. RAMANAIAH:—

In your, Sir, the Administration of Justice Bill, 1955, which has been referred to the Select Committee, I find two provisions which I believe should be reconsidered in the light of the present needs of the country.

The first provision is Section 7, which relates to the valuation of suits and the assessment of court fees. This section provides for the valuation of suits and the assessment of court fees on the basis of the amount of money claimed or the value of the property involved. I believe that this section should be modified to take into account the economic conditions of the period in which the suits are being adjudicated. The valuation of suits and the assessment of court fees should be based on the market value of the property involved, rather than the amount of money claimed.

The second provision is Section 12, which relates to the power of the Court to grant leave to appeal. I believe that this section should be revised to make it more clear and transparent. The Court should have the power to grant leave to appeal only if the appeal is likely to result in a beneficial outcome for the appellant.

In conclusion, I believe that the provisions of the Administration of Justice Bill, 1955, should be carefully considered and revised to ensure that they are fair and just to all parties involved in the legal system.
Sri K. V. Ramanaiah
31st January 1956

...
31st January 1956

[Sri K. V. Ramanaiah]
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[Writ petitions are filed against the government. After S. 2 of the Constitution, the High Court can restrain the government from implementing the judgment. Writ petitions are filed against the government. The State High Court can issue a writ of prohibition to prevent the government from implementing the judgment. A person who believes he is entitled to the relief can file a writ petition. Writ petitions can be filed up to 100 years after the judgment. High Court can issue a writ of prohibition to prevent the government from implementing the judgment.]

[3½ times court fees] 31st January 1956

[Sri K. V. Ramanaiah]

injection suits, declaration suits, claim suits shall be paid at half the value. The State may also impose a writ petition in this regard. It shall be paid at half the value.]

[Stamp duty] 31st January 1956

[Sri K. V. Ramanaiah]

injection suits, declaration suits, claim suits shall be paid at half the value.]

[Stamp duty] 31st January 1956

[Sri K. V. Ramanaiah]

injection suits, declaration suits, claim suits shall be paid at half the value.]
Sri K. V. Ramanaiah [31st January 1956]

Administration paralysed. Administration cannot function properly. The entire administration is crippled due to lack of a grievance mechanism. A writ has been filed against the Government asking for a compensation of Rs. 100 in lieu of the money lost.

Sri N. Sreenivasa Rao:

A claim, declaratory, injunction suits, and the rates of cost have been kept the same. In general considerations, the rates of cost are the same. The cost of encroachment has been kept the same.

Pending cases have been kept the same.
THE ANDHRA COURT FEES AND SUITS VALUATION
BILL 1955 (AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE.)
31st January 1956
[Sri N. Sreenivasa Rao
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Socialistic Pattern of Society
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Sri N. Sreenivasa Rao

[31st January 1956]

The economic status of the depressed classes, being a class of people having no economic status, is often treated as an economic status. The depressed classes are often regarded as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the depressed classes should be considered as a class of people having no economic status. The depressed classes are generally regarded as a class of people having no economic status.
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SRI PRAGADA KOTAIAH
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...
Sri T. VEERARAGHAVULU:—
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Sri T. VEERARAGHAVULU:—
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SRI T. VEERARAGHAVULU
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SRI M. BAPAIAH CHOWDARY:

...
Sri M. BAPAIAH CHOWDARY:--

Section 24 (A) relates to writs, petitions, declaration suits, etc., and

Section 35 relates to传导 suits.

The Andhra Court Fees and Suits Valuation Bill, 1955 (as amended by the Select Committee)

[31st January 1956]
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SRI M. BAPAIAH CHOWDARY:—

నందిమటి సేవలత్తుగా సమేత సమాచారాలపై ప్రత్యక్ష నియోగితాలను సంహారించి, అవతరించిన అనుమతి డిలెక్షన్ స్యాండుల పాలకను అనేకం గణితాల పరిపాలనకు నిర్ణయించాలని తపాలు సంపాదించారు. స్పష్టంగా అధికారాలను అధికార సమితిని మరింత పాలించాలని తపాలు చెప్పారు. అప్పుడే తపాలు సంపాదించారు అధికారాలను అధికార సమితిని మరింత పాలించాలని ఆకారం వచ్చారు. అప్పుడే దాని ప్రకారం అధికారాలు పాలించాలని తపాలు అనుమతించారు. ఆ పాలనలో కాంతిపు పరిపాలన పొందాలని తపాలు అనుమతించారు. [ఇంటర్యూప్షన్ సరిప్పటి భాగం కంటే ప్రత్యక్ష నియోగితాల పరిపాలన చేసే అధికార సమితి విషయం ప్రతి పరిపాలన సమితిపై శ్రేష్ఠ అధికార సమితి పాలనను కింద కింద చేసాం.]

SRI M. VENKATARAJU:—

పాలన కాలంలో లభించామని చెప్పామని, తాడిని ఆహారం సాధారణంపెట్టా అంటే, అప్పుడే ముందు 30 రూపాయలు, తరువాత ప్రతి సందర్భాలు మరియు 50 రూపాయలు పాలన చేసేది తపాలు విధానం చేసారు. పరిపాలన వ్యవస్థలో ఈ పదార్థాలు ప్రతి సందర్భాలు దాని విశేషాలను నిలువు చేయది. ఖాతాయు విధానం సాధారణంగా మరియు ప్రత్యేక పరిపాలన పై ప్రత్యేక పరిపాలన సమితి విషయం ప్రతి పరిపాలన సమితిపై శ్రేష్ఠ అధికార సమితి పాలనను కింద కింద చేసాం.
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Sri M. VENKATARAJU:—

...
Sri M. VENKATA RAJU:

fees Rs. 20-0-0 and in Court Justice fees Rs. 30-0-0. The fees in their judgement Rs. 30-0-0. The fees of an advocate Rs. 20-0-0. The fees of a counsel Rs. 30-0-0. The fees of a solicitor Rs. 20-0-0. The fees of a counsel Rs. 30-0-0. The fees of a solicitor Rs. 20-0-0.

The Hon. Sri. N. SANJEEVA REDDY:—

Stamp Duty Rs. 20-0-0. The estimate Rs. 10, 15, 20. Separation of Judiciary Rs. 20-0-0. Separation of Revenue Rs. 20-0-0. Separation of Revenue Rs. 20-0-0. Separation of Revenue Rs. 20-0-0. Separation of Revenue Rs. 20-0-0.
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Sri. N. SANJEEVA REDDI —

sufficiently realistic and practical in character, realistic in enforcing them, and effective. It is evident from the above that February 10, 15, 20, 
the need to have a better administration. The Select Committee, the Committee, and the Bar Association, have all agreed that the necessary 
consultation and enforcement are necessary. It is further agreed that consultation and opinion shall be obtained. The Bar Association has agreed 
that the draft shall be drafted and the necessary legal opinion shall be obtained. The Bar Association has agreed that the draft shall be drafted and the necessary legal opinion shall be obtained. The Bar Association has agreed that the draft shall be drafted and the necessary legal opinion shall be obtained. The Bar Association has agreed that the draft shall be drafted and the necessary legal opinion shall be obtained. The Bar Association has agreed that the draft shall be drafted and the necessary legal opinion shall be obtained. The Bar Association has agreed that the draft shall be drafted and the necessary legal opinion shall be obtained.
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Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

writ petition on Liberty, Ya and Political Writ and S, 7. Writ sanction in 60, 70 and 80. Proper go enquiry is necessary, and proper notice be given to the parties. Proper go explanation in 70, 80. Enforcement of Writ and Select Committee, and go explanation.

Habeas corpus 2/2 Select Committee, and go adoption of Original Bill. go adoption.

Original Bill with the Select Committee.

Adoption of valuation etc. S, Clauses 4, 5, 6. Death Duties.
Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Mr. SPEAKER:— The question is:—

"That the Andhra Court-fees and Suits Valuation Bill, 1955, be taken into consideration."

The motion was carried and the Bill was taken into consideration.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Madras Act to adopt 56 Act copy. Information

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDY:—

Mr. SPEAKER: We shall commence from clause 4.

Clause 4 was put and carried.

Clause 5.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The motion is:

"That clause 5 do stand part of the Bill."

Sri S VEMAYYA:—

Sir, I move:

"In line 5 delete the words 'at any time'"

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

I want to know the purpose in asking the deletion of the words 'at any time'. Does the hon. Member mean by it, 'in the first instance' or 'the first presentation of the document'?
Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

'At any time’ ఎంచుకునే పాగల విచిత్రము ఏం ఎంచుకునే సందర్భ కాలం. At any time ఎంచుకునే పాగల విచిత్రము ఏం ఎంచుకునే సందర్భ కాలం. At any time ఎంచుకునే పాగల విచిత్రము ఏం ఎంచుకునే సందర్భ కాలం. At any time ఎంచుకునే పాగల విచిత్రము ఏం ఎంచుకునే సందర్భ కాలం. At any time ఎంచుకునే పాగల విచిత్రము ఏం ఎంచుకునే 

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI —

and అది ఒక వస్తువు అడుగా విచిత్రము ఏం ఎంచుకునే సందర్భ కాలం. It is a simple thing. It is a matter of convenience.

SRI S. VEMAYYA: I beg leave of the House to withdraw the amendment moved by me.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Clause 5 was put and carried.

Clause 6 was put and carried.

Clause 7

Mr. SPEAKER: The motion is:

'That clause 7 do stand part of the Bill.'

Sri. S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I move:

'Delete the word 'market' wherever occurs.'

The amendment was duly seconded.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

I entirely agree with Mr. Vemayya that the courts must have discretion, But there must be some basis for the court fee.
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SRI S. VEMAYYA:—

Sir, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.
The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn,
Clause 7 was put and carried.
Clause 8 was put and carried.

Clause 9.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The motion is:

"That clause 9 do stand part of the Bill,"

SRI S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I move:

“For the word ‘highest’ substitute the word ‘lowest’.

SRI D V. SUBBA RAO: Sir, I second the amendment.

The Hon. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

The Select Committee suggested that the word ‘highest’ be substituted by the word ‘lowest’ in clause 9. The amendment was lost.
Clause 9 was put and carried.
Clause 10 was put and carried.

Clause 11

Mr. SPEAKER:— The motion is:
"That clause 11 do stand part of the Bill."

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—
Sir, I move:
"Delete sub-clause 1 (b) of clause 11."

SRI D. V. SUBBA RAO:—
Sir, I second the amendment.

The decision of the court under clause (A) regarding the proper fees payable shall be subject to the review from time to time by the same court. The decision of the court under clause (B) regarding the proper fees payable shall be subject to the review from time to time by the same court. The decision of the court under clause (C) regarding the proper fees payable shall be subject to the review from time to time by the same court.

The Hon. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:—
Sir, the Select Committee has omitted the words 'further review' and changed the clause on the suggestion of the Member. Evidently Mr. Subba Rao has forgotten it.

Mr. SPEAKER:—
I invite the attention of the hon. Member to clause 7 which says: "Save as otherwise provided, where the fee payable under this Act depends on the market value of any property, such value shall be..."
determined as on the date of presentation of the plaint. " So the bar is already there, the court-fee examiners sent by the High Court examining and saying that more fee should be collected.

Srl. D. V. Subba Rao:—

... increase in fees. According to Court Fees Act, the court-fee examiners sent by the High Court examining and saying that more fee should be collected.

Sri. D. KONDAIAH CHOWDARY:—

Suit according to value of the documents in the court. The market value is given on the present. If the market value is higher than the document value, the fee should be revised accordingly.
Sri D. KONDAIAH CHOWDARY:—

Further documents needed in respect of the suit. valuation.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

The amendment was lost.

Sub-clause (1) was put and carried.

Sub-clause (2)

There is an amendment in the name of Sri Vavilala Gopala-

Mr. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"Delete sub-clause 1 (b) of clause 11."

The amendment was lost.

Sub-clause (1) was put and carried.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—

I am not moving it, Sir,

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:— Sir, I move:

"In clause 11, sub-clause (2) delete the words ‘before the hearing of the suit.’"

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Sir, I second the amendment.

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

Settlement, undivided interest, judgement debtor, petition, evidence...
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Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

Then, the whole clause has to be changed.

The Hon. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

Then, the whole clause has to be changed.

Sri. PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARULU.—

As point numbered a suit fee is retouched. High court decision 4, 5, 6, and 7 points.

SRI. KONDAIAH CHOWDARY:—

The present procedure is, a suit is numbered and court fee is collected before a final decision is given. According to the present Bill, court-fee is to be collected before a suit is numbered.
Sri S. K. V. KRISHNAVATHARAM:—

I do not think so. Any defendant may plead that the subject-matter of the suit has not been properly valued and the proper fee has not been collected.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Please see the last sentence of the clause “............ if the deficit fee is not paid, the plaint shall be rejected...”

SRI S K. V. KRISHNAVATHARAM:—

There is no bar. In a preliminary decision, the court-fee may be decided and if the party does not pay, the plaint may be rejected. But there is no bar to the numbering of a plaint.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

In that case, the whole clause will have to be deleted.

The Hon SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:—

We provided this at the instance of the Select Committee. Also the amendment would not fit in.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—

24 Clarification का राजी, अब ने आप की मांग के लिए Practice को सूची दी। Practice की तरह हम ने उसे उठाया। तो मैं तो मांग रहा हूँ।

Mr. SPEAKER:—

कृपया.

SRI D. KONDAIAH CHOWDARY:—

Hearing अनुसार Explanation π "as contemplated by rule 18" दिखाया गया था। इसलिए मैंने उसे उठाया।

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Yes, the amendment will not fit in.

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

Sir, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment. The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn. Sub-clause (2) was put and carried.
Mr. SPEAKER. There is an amendment in the name of Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya for adding at the end of the Sub-clause that the debtor cannot be committed to Civil Jail. I am ruling it out of order.

Sri P. BASI REDDI— Sir, there is no provision for the arrest of defaulter of even land revenue.

Mr. SPEAKER: Even today it is being collected as arrear of land revenue.

The Hon SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDI: అరుదు, Decree తామలు తెచ్చి లేని చిన్ని కాదు కాదు కాదు కాదు. రెండు రెండు. We have nothing to do with that here. Court fees లేక లేక లేక లేక లేక. Court fees లేక Land Revenue లేని ప్రాంచ ప్రాంచ ప్రాంచ ప్రాంచ ప్రాంచ. కానీ అంటుంది లేక లేక లేక లేక లేక. Sub-clause (3) was put and carried.

Sub-clause (4) was put and carried.
Clause 11 was put and carried.
Clauses 12 to 18 were put and carried.

Mr. SPEAKER:— Clause 19 will be taken up later.
Clause 20 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 21

Mr. SPEAKER:— The motion is:
“That clause 21 do stand part of the Bill.”

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—
Sir, I move:

“For the words ‘for three-fourths of the market-value of the property’, substitute the words ‘fifty times the assessment in respect of wet lands and lands growing purely commercial crops, and twenty times the assessment in respect of dry lands’.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—
Sir, I second the amendment.
Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:-

Clause. Section 3 of the original Bill, relating to court fees and assessment, is amended as follows:

1. Market value to be multiplied by 20 times court fees.
2. Standard assessment to be basis for court fees.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:-

Original Bill states court fees to be 60 times market value, whereas the amendment proposes court fees to be 70, 80, or 90 times market value.
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Mr. SPEAKER. The question is.

"For the words ‘for three-fourths of the market value of the property’, substitute the words ‘fifty times the assessment in respect of wet lands and lands growing purely commercial crops, and twenty times the assessment in respect of dry lands.’"

The amendment was lost.

Sri GOTTUMUKKALA JAGANNATHA RAJU: Sir, I move:

"For the words ‘three-fourths’, substitute the words ‘two-thirds’.”

Select Committee stage clause 3/4th discussion. Treasury Benches support full market value stamp duty collect instead of three-fourths. Discussion over, Member feels third alternative propose viable. Two-thirds instead alternative propose viable. Three-fourths three-fourths, alternative proposal. Member feels suggestion not agreed at that stage. He was almost agreed at that stage. His suggestion had three-fourths. Objected by three-fourths.
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three-fourths of the market-value was unanimously agreed upon by the committee. The amendment was adopted by the Select Committee. Original Bill compared with the Select Committee's recommendation increased ten times. After all the difference is 10 percent. At least some provision should be made. The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri S. RANGANATHA MUDALIAR:

Sir, my friend Sri K. Venkataramanayya Naidu Garu was telling yesterday that there was no principle in having three-fourths of the market-value and that either they should have it at full market value or they should not have it. That is a reasonable argument and still more unreasonable is to reduce it to two-thirds. Ultimately, Sir, I think we can have the full market value.

Sri D. KONDAIAH CHOWDARY:—

Mr. Speaker, Sir, as increase with full value is reasonable. Money suits with full value is reasonable. House property should be accounted for. Garden lands should be accounted for. Saltation of different parts. Saltation of 50 percent. Saltation of garden lands. House property and garden lands immovable property. Gardens and house property are immovable property. Saltation of 75 percent is reasonable. Revenue jurisdiction create a distinction between.

Sri S. K. V. KRISHNAVATHARAM:-

three-fourths of the market value is reasonable. Munsif Court jurisdiction create a distinction between.
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The Andhra Pradesh litigation valuation bill, 1955, as Sub-court to the District Court, is hereby amended. The three-fourths of the market value of cases above 500 rupees and half of the market value of cases above 100 rupees is beyond the jurisdiction of the Munsif courts. In cases of valuable lands above 5000 rupees, the half of the market value shall be in the jurisdiction of the Munsif courts.

The next year he will have a hold over. What is the remedy? If a factory when it is affixed to the earth, it becomes immoveable property. Especially during the transitional period, the factory becomes immovable property. The amendment was duly seconded.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA: Sir, I move:

"For the words 'three-fourths', substitute the words 'one-half'."
Mr SPEAKER. The question is:

"For the words 'three-fourths' substitute the words 'two thirds'."

The amendment was lost.

Mr SPEAKER. The question is

"For the words 'three-fourths', substitute the words 'one-half'."

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya and Sri B. Rathnasabhapathi Setty demanded a poll and the House divided thus.

AYES

1. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya
2. Sri B. Rathnasabhapathi Setty.
4. Sri G. Suryanarayana.
5. Sri Pillalemarri Venkateswarlu.
7. Sri A. Venkatrama Raju.
8. Sri G. Yellamanda Reddi.
10. Sri B. Sankaraiah.
11. Sri G. Nageswara Rao
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13. Sri P. Satyanarayana
14. Sri Gottumukkala Jagannadhara
15. Sri S. Kast Reddi
16. Sri S. Seetharamayya
17. Sri Mahammed Tahseel

NOES
2. Sri P. Ranga Reddi.
5. Srimathi C. Ammanco Raja.
7. Sri G. Bapaiah.
8. Sri M. Bapaiah Chowdary.
9. Sri P. Bapayya
10. Sri P. Basi Reddi
11. Hon. Sri D. Sanjivaih
13. Sri S. Brahmayya
15. Sri N. P. Chengalraya Naidu.
16. Sri Marupilli Chitti alias Appalaswamy.
17. Sri V. Gopalakrishna.
19. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhi Rama Rao
22. Sri P. Gunneyya
23. Sri P. Gurraju
26. Sri Divi Kondaiah Chowdary.
27. Sri Pragada Kotaiah.
28. Sri M. Koti Reddi
29. Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi.
30. Sri N. K. Lingam.
31. Sri Mahammad Rahamatullah Shaik.
32. Sri E. Nagaiah.
33. Sri M. Pallam Raju.
34. Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu.
35. Sri N. Ramabhadra Raju.
36. Sri T. Prakasam
38. Sri D. Ramabrahmam.
40. Sri Kunda Ramaiiah.
41. Sri G. Ramaswamy Reddi.
42. Sri A. Rathnam.
43. Sri P. Anthony Reddi.
44. Sri Raja Sag Suryanarayana Raju.
45. Sri N. Srimvasa Rao.
46. Sri A. C. Subba Reddi.
47. Sri Shaik Moula Seheb.
48. Sri Challa Subbarayudu.
49. Sri T. Veeraraghavulu
50. Sri K. Venkatramanayya.
51. Sri D. Venkataramana Reddi.
52. Sri G. Venkata Reddi.
53. Sri P. Venkatasubbaiah.
54. Sri R. Latchapatrudu
55. Sri B. Venkatasivayya.
56. Sri Kasim Venkata Reddy.
58. Sri P. Narasimhappa Rao
59. Sri C. V. Suryanarayana Raju.
60. Sri P. Suryanarayana.
61. Sri S Ranganatha Mudalik

Ayes: 18; Noes: 61.

The amendment was lost.

Clause 21 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 22.

Mr. SPEAKER: The motion is:

"That clause 22 do stand part of the Bill."
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Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA. Sir, I move:

"For the words 'five times' substitute the words 'one time' in sub-clause (c) of clause 22."

Mr. SPEAKER. Your amendment must be "delete the words 'five times'."

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA: I have no objection, Sir.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Delete the words 'five times'."

The amendment was lost.

Clause 22 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 23

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved:

That Clause 23 do stand part of the Bill.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I beg to move:

That in clause 23 (1) (b) for the word 'higher' substitute the word 'lower'.

The amendment was duly seconded.

The amendment was put and lost.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I beg to move:

That in clause 23 (2) (b) for the word 'higher' substitute the word 'lower'.

The amendment was duly seconded.

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 23 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 24.

Mr. SPEAKER. Motion moved:

That Clause 24 do stand part of the Bill.
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Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA: Sir, I beg to move:
For the words "whichever is higher" substitute the words
"whichever is lower" wherever they occur in clause 24.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri S. VEMAYYA-
Sir, my amendment is also the same. So I do not think
I should move it.

Mr. SPEAKER:
Quite so. I shall now put the amendment to the vote of the
House. The question is:

For the words "whichever is higher" substitute the words
"whichever is lower" wherever they occur in clause 24.

The motion is lost.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:
Sir, I move my next amendment. I beg to move:

For the words "Rupees three hundred" substitute the words
"Rupees one hundred and fifty" in sub clauses (a) and (b) of clause 24.

The motion was lost.

Clause 24 was put and carried

CLAUSE 25.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved:
That clause 25 do stand part of the Bill.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I beg to move:
In clause 25 for the word 'higher' Substitute the word 'lower'

The amendment was duly seconded.

The amendment was put and lost.

Clause 25 was put and carried.
Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved:
Clause 26 do stand part of the Bill.

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISANAYYA: Sir, I beg to move:
For the words "whichever is higher" substitute the words "whichever is lower" wherever they occur in clause 26.
The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Vemayya's amendment is also the same. So he need not move it. I shall now put the motion to vote. The question is:

For the words "whichever is higher" substitute the words "whichever is lower" wherever they occur in clause 26.

The amendment was lost
Clause 26 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 27.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved:
Clause 27 do stand part of the Bill.

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA: Sir, I beg to move:
Add the following as sub-clause (3) to clause 27:

"wherever a suit is filed to safeguard the trust property as it was being misused or misappropriated by any individual, there will be no fee to be paid."

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Gopalakrishnayya, your amendment does not come under this clause at all.

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA: My amendment is based on the words 'who has ceased to be a trustee'.

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDI: For defalcations you can file a criminal case, for which there is no court fee.

Mr. SPEAKER: There cannot be suits for loose things as 'safeguarding' property. What is the safeguard you want? Please explain your position.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:-
Mr. SPEAKER: Your amendment is not relevant in this context, because this clause covers only suits for exclusive possession of trust property between trustees or rival claimants to the office of trustee, or between a trustee and a person who has ceased to be a trustee.

Sri VAVILALA GOPLAKRISHNAYYA: When a person who has ceased to be a trustee, becomes an ordinary person and if he files a suit in common interest then why should he pay a court fee? That is my point.

Sri M. BAPAIAH CHOWDARY: The suit contemplated here is only with respect to possession of trust property. A person who has ceased to be a trustee may have in his possession some trust property, and the person in the position of a trustee will file a suit for possession of trust property. So there is no place in this clause for a person who has ceased to be a trustee.

Mr. SPEAKER. So I am ruling out your amendment.

Clause 27 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 28.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: I am not moving my amendment, Sir.

Clause 28 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 29.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I am not moving my amendment.

Clause 29 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 30.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: Sir, I am not moving my amendment.

Clause 30 was put and carried.
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CLAUSE 31.

Mr. SPEAKER Motion moved:
Clause 31 do stand part of the Bill.

Mr. Vemayya, your first amendment seems all right. But the second amendment makes no sense, for it will read 'the decree shall be passed until the deficit fee is paid.'

Sri S. VEMAYYA: I shall explain myself. If all my amendments are incorporated, the clause will read, 'deed shall be passed and the deficit fee is recovered later on.'

So I beg to move.

In clause 31, Sub-clause (8) for the word 'higher' substitute the word 'lower' in line 5.

In clause 31, sub-clause (8) delete the word 'no' in line 3 of the first proviso.

Substitute 'and' for the word 'until' in line 4 of the first proviso.

Substitute the words 'revised later on' for the word 'paid' in line 4 of the first proviso.

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI S. VEMAYYA: The deficit court fee can be collected as arrears of land revenue. Government have got such powers under this Bill.

THE HON. SRI N. SANIZEVA REDDI: The party also can wait until he is able to pay the deficit court-fee; where is the hurry?

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Vemayya, you ask for the deletion of the whole of the proviso or not press your amendments.

Sri S. VEMAYYA: I am not pressing my amendments, except the first

The amendments were, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is:

In sub-clause (8) for the word 'higher' substitute the word 'lower' in line 5.

The amendment was lost.

Clause 31 was put and carried.
Mr. SPEAKER:— Motion moved:

That clause 32 do stand part of the Bill.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Sir. I beg to move:

That in sub-clause (2), substitute the word 'but' for the word 'no' in line 3.

Sir, the amendment is only a formal amendment.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

Sir, before I move my amendment I have to submit a few words. It is always the rule that the decree shall follow judgment. The decree shall not be delayed when once judgment is delivered. That provision obtains in the Civil Procedure Code. Now if we in this Bill say that the decree should be delayed it will be ultra vires. It will run counter to the provisions of the Civil Procedure Code. The Civil Procedure Code, which is an all-India enactment empowers the court to pass a decree immediately judgment is delivered. So the question is, can a provincial legislature object to that?

Mr. SPEAKER:—

I think you are aware that there are amendments to the Civil Procedure Code by various High Courts and various provinces.

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

You cannot have a provision counter to the provisions of the Civil Procedure Code. Then you will have to amend the Civil Procedure Code, and not insert a clause which runs counter to the provisions of the Civil Procedure Code in the Court Fees Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Mr Subba Rao, where is the question of judgment, the word 'judgment' does not occur at all in the clause. You read the clause; you do not find the word 'judgment' at all there. It only says, 'no decree...shall be passed.
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Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

You cannot stop the court from passing the decree, the moment judgment is passed.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Why do you bring in 'judgment' here?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

The court will ascertain the amount and give the decree only after the deficit court-fee is paid.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Ascertainment may be made even without judgment, even by giving a finding on one of the issues. There is no question of "judgment" here. The court can at any stage ascertain the amount due by giving a finding on one of the issues.

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

That itself will form part of the judgment.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Why do you think of judgment?

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO:—

The court, in the course of trial, or proceeding, pass a judgment to ascertain what amount shall be payable by the plaintiff and call upon him to pay that amount. You cannot restrain or prevent a court from giving a decree.

Sri K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM:—

Judgment will be delivered and the decree is to be drafted in pursuance of the judgment. Now in this case the clause says the decree shall not be drafted in consonance with the judgment.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Don't bring in judgment at all here.

Mr. K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM:—

I mean there is no impediment to judgment.
Mr. SPEAKER :—

As a result of giving a finding on an issue by ascertaining what properties are deliverable to the plaintiff, the court, before giving judgment, give a decree and call upon the party to pay the deficit court-fee. That is how the whole scheme of the Bill goes.

Mr. K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM :—

So there will be a suspension. The decree is to be drafted in consonance with the judgment. Supposing no deficit court fee is paid, then no decree will be given. In the Madras Act this does not find a place, and I can assure you in no Act in any State in India this sort of provision finds a place. When the suit is tried and judgment is given, then, in pursuance of the judgment, and especially so in a suit for accounts, the proceedings do not stop there.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

I am sorry, Mr. Krishnavataram. You are missing the point. This clause deals with suits for accounts. In all such suits, you know, there is a preliminary decree and a final decree. So let the clause stand as it is.

Now what about Mr. Vemayya's amendment?

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

Sir, I beg to move:

Substitute the word 'but' for the word 'no' in line 3.

Delete the words 'directing payment of the amount as so ascertained in lines 3 and 4. 

Substitute 'until' for the word 'and' in line 5.

Substitute the word 'revised later on' for the word 'paid'.

With the amendments carried out the clause would read thus:

'decree shall be passed, the difference between the fee actually paid and the fee that would have been payable had the suit comprised the whole of the amount so ascertained to be realised later on'.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

That is not it, Mr. Vemayya. In all suits for accounts there will be a preliminary decree directing that the accounts should be taken, and after the taking of the accounts there will be an ascer-
tainment of the amount which is due from one party to the other. Now, what this clause says, is, before you give a decree for such and such an amount, the deficit court fee shall be collected.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :

I am submitting to the Chair that instead of realising the court-fee in the first instance, why not realise it later on out of teh accounts, and that is what my amendment is.

Mr. SPEAKER :

But your amendment will not convey that meaning. Please read the clause incorporating your amendments.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :

I am not pressing, Sir.

Sri D. V. SUBBA RAO :

Sir, my amendment is to have a new clause. Clause 32 A after clause 32.

Mr. SPEAKER :

You can move it as a new clause after clause 32 is adopted. Clause 32 was put and carried.

Mr. SPEAKER :

Now, Mr. Subba Rao may move his amendment.

Sri P. BASI REDDI :

May I make a submission, Sir, in respect of Clause 32 before you go to the next clause. The understanding reached in the select committee proceedings was that the decree should be passed and the deficit court fee should be collected as if it were arrears of land revenue. But now we find, whether or not the decree is passed, the deficit court fee shall be collected as if it were arrears of land revenue. I submit, Sir, that was not the understanding reached in the select committee. Clause 32 deals with suits for accounts. The understanding reached was that the decree shall be passed and the deficit court fee be directed to be collected as if it were arrears of land revenue. There was a definite understanding that the passing of the decree shall not be withheld.
Mr. SPEAKER:

Mr. Basi Reddi, sub-clause (4) says, whether decree or no decree, the Government will collect the deficit court fee. Though the discussion on that clause is closed, and we have passed on to the next clause I have no objection to hear you. The sub-clause says, whether or not a decree is passed, the court fee will be recovered. Supposing the court fee is not paid, the decree won't be passed. Still, the Government won't keep quiet. They will recover it as arrears of land revenue. That is what the sub-clause means.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

No understanding like what Mr. Basi Reddi says was reached.

Sri P. BASI REDDI:

Government is collecting court fee anyhow. But why should the decree be withheld. The decree can be passed and the deficit court fee can be collected as if it were arrears of land revenue.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

We will have to apply the same principle to all the other clauses also.

Sri P. BASI REDDI:

It was the understanding so far as I know.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:

No, I am sorry.

Sri P. BASI REDDI:

Remember that was the understanding reached.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:

If the clause is passed as it stands, there will be a stage when no decree will be passed. In a suit for accounts, first a preliminary decree will be passed, and then, a final decree will be passed after looking into the accounts. Then the decree will be made executable on the plaintiff's side after the payment of the deficit court fee. If the deficit court fee is not paid the decree would not be executed. Without passing the decree what is the court to do? Then the court will be in a nebulous state. Can we leave
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it at that stage. Did law ever contemplate such a thing? In the existing state of law, what is the provision obtaining? As I said, first a preliminary decree is passed; then the accounts are looked into; after that, a final decree is passed for such and such an amount on the plaintiff's side, and the plaintiff is asked to pay such and such sum as deficit court fee; then only the decree will be executed. But the present clause says, 'no decree shall be passed.' Then, what is the court to do?

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

If the proper court fee is not paid the suit will be dismissed.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

The provision 'is not like that. The clause says 'no decree shall be passed.' Then what is the court to do?

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Mr. Ramanayya Naidu, we have not redrafted the clause like that. There is no amendment before me to redraft the clause.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

The clause as now proposed to be passed should convey some meaning. It should convey some legal meaning. If it goes to a court of law I think nobody would accept this language of the clause. The language of the clause is very very defective. It does not mean any sense.

Sri P. BASI REDDI:—

Not only the language, but the provision itself is defective.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

The provision as it is drafted, does not convey any sense. With due respect to all members of the framers of this clause, I say, as it is, it does not convey any sense. What is the court to do without passing the decree? What do you want the court to do? A preliminary decree has already been passed. It is the final decree that has to be passed. The final decree will contain the provision that if the deficit court fee is not paid the final decree will not be executed. A decree can be passed to that effect. But, you say, in the Bill 'no decree shall be passed.' Then what is the court to do? How is the proceeding to end?
Mr. SPEAKER:—

I have already closed clause 32. The objection raised by Sri Ramanayya Naidu is to the effect: What is the court to do if the deficit court fee is not paid. The court generally gives sufficient time for the payment of deficit court fee. If it is not paid, it will dismiss the suit. That is the decree it will pass.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

No, it will not pass a decree. Dismissing a suit is also a decree. The court gives either a decree or a dismissal.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Mr. Ramanayya Naidu, the clause says, 'no decree shall be passed in his favour'. Don’t lose sight of the words ‘in his’.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

Does it mean it will dismiss the suit?

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Exactly.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

No, then, we should say, 'if the court fee is not paid, it won’t be executed.'

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

I don’t think there is anything wrong in dismissing the suit.

Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA:—

I submit, Sir, the wording is very very defective. It does not convey any sense.

Sri P. BASI REDDI:—

I request this clause may be reconsidered by Government and a considered amendment may be brought forward to-morrow. So far as I remember, the agreement reached in the select committee was that the decree should not be withheld. The decree should be passed and the deficit court fee should be recovered as if it were arrears of land revenue.
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The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

I have verified the proceedings. We discussed this subject at length and then you suggested that the department might redraft it again. We did not take any decision there. All of us discussed it, and since we could not draft it properly, all of you said, let the department draft it again. We shall see again if we can improve the language. We shall certainly do it. I have absolutely no objection.

Sri S. K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM:—

If the amount is collected as arrears of land revenue, then, will he have the benefit of the decree?

Mr. SPEAKER:—

The court fee in any event will be collected irrespective of the passing of the decree. Government want to collect it as arrears of land revenue.

Sri S. K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM:—

That is, the party will not be having the benefit of the decree. What is this negation?

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Then in what way do you want to improve this clause?

Sri S. K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM:—

Section 35 of the Madras Act provides thus:

'It if the defect court fee is not paid within such time as the court may fix, the decree shall be limited to the amount to which the fee paid extends.'

Mr. SPEAKER:—

What you want is, that a decree in proportion to the court fee should be passed. But our Bill does not want even that to be passed.

Sri S. K. V. KRISHNAVATARAM:—

But our Bill says, the court fee will be collected as if it were arrears of land revenue without the court doing anything. The principle is that the party asks the help of the court for executing the decree, for which in return he pays court fee. But what do we find here? The court does nothing, and all the same the party is asked to pay. He is penalised by collecting court fee from him as if it were arrears of land revenue.
Mr. SPEAKER —

We will take it up to-morrow. If any of you can give a better drafting we will consider it.

CLAUSE 33.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Mr. Krishnavataram, this clause deals with partnership. Suit for accounts and suit for partnership go together. So I think this clause also may be taken up to-morrow.

CLAUSE 34.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Sir, I beg to move:

In sub-clause (2) (i) substitute the word „Rupees twenty-five“ for the word „Rupees fifty“.

In sub-clause (2) (ii) substitute the figure `1,000` for the figure `10,000`.

The amendments were duly seconded.
The amendments were put and lost.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

I beg to move:

Add the following as (iii) of sub-clause (2) of clause 34:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 200</td>
<td>Rs. 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 500</td>
<td>Rs. 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 1,000</td>
<td>Rs. 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 5,000</td>
<td>Rs. 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 10,000</td>
<td>Rs. 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 25,000</td>
<td>Rs. 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 50,000</td>
<td>Rs. 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 1,00,000</td>
<td>Rs. 10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendment was duly seconded.
The amendment was put and lost.
Clause 34 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 35

Clause 35 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 36

Sri S. VEMAYYA —

I am not moving my amendment.
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Sri K. VENKATARAMANAYYA :—

Mr. Speaker, may I point out that in clause 36 also the same difficulty will arise. I would request the Hon. Minister to look into the wording of this clause also. Wherever the words 'no decree shall be passed' occur, the language of the clause should be looked into. For, when a judgment is given the decree automatically follows: How can the court keep quiet without giving the decree. All these things require careful consideration. Because when the matter goes before a court of law, perhaps the court will give the finding that this is an ill-conceived and ill-considered legislation. So, let us not court such a judgment.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI :—

If necessary we shall examine the clause again. There is no use of arguing it now. We can discuss it to-morrow. We need not waste the time of the House now. Since you wanted to postpone the consideration of the other clause I accepted it. This clause also can be taken along with that clause to-morrow. What is the use of arguing the case again? I have no objection to reconsider the clause.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

So wherever the court passing a decree is concerned in this Bill, it requires reconsideration. So clause 36 will be taken up to-morrow.

CLAUSE 37.

Clause 37 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 38.

Mr. SPEAKER :— Motion moved.

That clause 38 do stand part of the Bill.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

I beg to move:

In sub-clauses (1) and (2) substitute the word 'one-sixteenth' for the word 'one-fourth' wherever it occurs.
Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

Clause 38 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 39.

Clause 39 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 40.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

Mr. Vemayya, you want "the rent agreed to be paid by the tenant to the landlord originally." What do you mean by the term 'originally'. You want to go to the time of Adam and Eve.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

I am not moving my amendment.

Clause 40 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 41.

Sri P. BASI REDDI :—

This clause may be considered to-morrow.

The suggestion was agreed to.

CLAUSE 42.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

Motion moved:

That clause 42 do stand part of the Bill.

Sri VANILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA :—

Sir, I beg to move:
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Sri VAVILALA GOPALA KRISHNAYYA :—

For the words 'Rupees three hundred' substitute the words 'rupees one hundred.'

The amendment was duly seconded.
The amendment was put and lost.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA :—

Sir, I beg to move:

For the words 'whichever is higher' substitute the Words whichever is lower;

The amendment was duly seconded.
The amendment was put and lost.

CLAUSE 43.

Clause 43 was put and carried.

Clauses 44, 45 and 46 were put and carried.

CLAUSE 47.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

Mr. Vemayya, you are asking in your amendment to increase the amount of court-fees. You can ask only for reduction. Otherwise you will have to seek the permission of the Governor. So I am holding your amendment as inadmissible. For the same reason I am holding the amendment of Sri Gopalakrishnayye also as inadmissible.

Clause 47 was put and carried.

Clauses 48, 49, 50 and 51 were put and carried.

CLAUSE 52.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

I am not moving my amendment.

Clause 52 was put and carried.

Clauses 53, 54 and 55 were put and carried.

CLAUSE 56.

Mr. SPEAKER :—

Motion moved:
That clause 56 do stand part of the Bill.

Sri S. VEMAYYA :—

Sir, I beg to move:
Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Insert the words "not below the rank of a Revenue Divisional Officer" between the words 'Him' and 'such' in line 4.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Amendment moved.

'Insert the words 'not below the rank of a Revenue Divisional Officer" between the words 'Him' and 'such' in line 4.
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Mr. SPEAKER:—

Now I put the amendment of Sri S. Vemayya to the vote of the House.

The question is:

"Insert the words 'not below the rank of a Revenue Division Officer' between the words 'Him' and 'such' in line 4."

The amendment was lost.

Clause 56 was put and carried.

Clause 57 was put and carried.

CLAUSE 58.

Sri S. VEMAYYA:—

Sir, I move the following amendment:

"Delete the words 'in the first instance' in line 12."

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. SPEAKER:—

Amendment moved:

"Delete the words 'in the first instance' in line 12."

I am putting the amendment of Sri Vemayya to the vote of the House.
The question is:
"Delete the words 'in the first instance' in line 12."

The amendment was lost.
Clause 58 was put and carried.
Clauses 59 and 60 were put and carried.
The House then adjourned to meet again at 1 p.m. on 1-2-1956.

APPENDIX I

HOME (POLICE-B) DEPARTMENT

Papers laid on the Table of the House

(Vide answer to Clause (b) of Legislative Assembly Question (Starred) No. 317 at page 33 supra.)

Note indicating the functions of the Village Vigilance Committees and how members are appointed.

The functions of the Village Vigilance Committees are:—

1. to aid the village head-man and the village watchman in carrying out their duties in regard to crime and criminals;

2. to help the police in the investigation, prevention and detection of crime generally and in tracing and apprehending persons wanted;

3. to take such immediate steps in regard to crime and criminals as the law empowers them to take;

4. to keep a look out for suspicious strangers, night prowlers, gangs and their supernumeraries and members of criminal tribes and to report any information regarding such persons;

5. to report any movements or suspicious activities of known bad characters, and any information regarding stolen property:

6. to report promptly to the Village head-man (i) information which under section 45 Criminal Procedure Code the latter and others mentioned in that section should report to the Magistrate or the Police station and (ii) information in respect of cognizable offences;
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7. to report promptly any other information regarding the occurrence of crime;

8. to communicate to the village vigilance committees of neighbouring villages, information regarding crime and criminals that may affect such villages;

9. to see that the villages or parts of it are patrolled by night when circumstances require it;

10. to take such steps as are feasible to prevent rowdyism, gambling and street offences; and

11. to encourage by personal example and by precept all members of the village community to give individually and collectively, to the village vigilance committee and to the village authorities such assistance as will ensure that necessary co-operation between the village community and the Police is secured.

12. Members of the Village Vigilance Committees are to be nominated by the Circle Inspector of Police and approved by the Deputy Superintendent of Police or District Superintendent of Police. District Superintendent of Police will issue certificates of appointments to the members of these committees.

H. G. I. PALMRE

_for Chief Secretary to Government._
APPENDIX II

PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(Vide Answer to Andhra Legislative Assembly Starred Q. No. 649 by Sri M. Rajeswara Rao, M. L. A.)

STATEMENT SHOWING THE LEATHER GOODS PURCHASED FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT DURING 1954-55.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency which supplied together with the rates</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Quantity purchased</th>
<th>Value of the purchase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;(1) Belts sambrowne&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/s Indian crafts &amp; Industries, No. 3, Valmiki Street, T' Nagar Madras-17. Rate at Rs. 11-12-0 per unit, inclusive of sales tax. For any Rly. Station in Andhra State.</td>
<td>D.S.P., East Godavari</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 12 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D.S.P., Krishna</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>47 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D.S.P., Chittoor.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23 8 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>82 4 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"(2) ‘Belts leather for H.Cs. & P. Cs.’"

| M/s Sriram Trading Co., 12, Subbiah, Street, Periamet, Madras-3. Rate at Rs. 3-6-9 each inclusive of sales tax ROR any Rly. Station in Andhra State. | D.S.P., West Godvari | 50                   | 171 1 6               |
|                                                                                          | " Krishna | 79                   | 270 5 3               |
|                                                                                          | " Guntur. | 50                   | 171 1 6               |
|                                                                                          | " Nellore. | 100                  | 342 3 0               |
|                                                                                          | " Cuddapah. | 23                   | 78 11 3               |
|                                                                                          | " Anantapur. | 174                  | 595 6 6               |
|                                                                                          | " Kurnool Rural. | 150                  | 513 4 6               |
|                                                                                          | " Railway Police | 100                  | 342 3 0               |
|                                                                                          | Vijayawada. |                      |                       |
|                                                                                          | 12                   | 40                   | 11 0                  |
|                                                                                          | 10                   | 34                   | 3 6                   |
|                                                                                          | 77                   | 263                  | 7 9                   |
|                                                                                          | 332                  | 1136                | 1 0                   |
|                                                                                          | Total | 1182                 | 4044 4 6              |
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### APPENDIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### (3) Boots brown leather superior
for Sergeants, etc.,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Messrs. Bhawoo &amp; Company</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam North</td>
<td>8 pairs. 196 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4, Patullo Road, Off Mount Road</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam South</td>
<td>6 pairs. 147 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras - 2 Rate</td>
<td>24-8-0 per pair inclusive of sales tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.S.P. East Godavari</td>
<td>10 pairs. 245 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.S.P. West Godavari</td>
<td>7 pairs. 171 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.S.P. Krishna</td>
<td>11 pairs. 269 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Guntur</td>
<td>9 pairs. 220 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Nellore</td>
<td>7 pairs. 171 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Cuddapah</td>
<td>7 pairs. 171 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Anantapur</td>
<td>10 pairs. 245 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Kurnool Rural</td>
<td>5 pairs. 122 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; R.P. Vijayawada</td>
<td>1 pair. 24 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commandant S.A.P.</td>
<td>2 pairs. 49 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vizag.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.I.G. Kurnool</td>
<td>32 pairs. 784 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 115 pairs. 2817 8 0

### (4) Boots black ammunition ordy. for H. Cs. and P. Cs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Messrs. Wardy &amp; Co., Brigade Road, Bangalore</td>
<td>Ananthapur</td>
<td>210 pairs. 3789 13 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Kurnool</td>
<td>311 pairs. 5612 9 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.P. Urban</td>
<td>450 pairs. 8121 1 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.P. Vijayawada</td>
<td>9023 7 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commandant S.A.P.</td>
<td>500 pairs. 9023 7 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A.P. Hindupur</td>
<td>33 pairs. 595 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.I.G. Kurnool</td>
<td>1504 pairs. 21142 8 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 1504 pairs. 21142 8 0
(5) Boots black ammunition for Tq. Police etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/S British India Corporation Ltd.</td>
<td>D.S.P. Kurnool</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>Pairs. 8900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper Allen Branch Kanpur. Rate at</td>
<td>A.I.G. Kurnool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>,,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 15/- exclusive of Sales Tax and excise tax Rs. 1-9-0 per pair</td>
<td>i. e. Rs 16-9-0-forwarding charges etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/S P. Ganu Rao &amp; Sons. 12, Dera Venkataswami St.</td>
<td>D.S.P. Guntur.</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>,,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkataswami St.</td>
<td>D.S.P. Anantapur</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>,,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras-5. Rate at Rural.</td>
<td>A.I.G. Kurnool</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>,,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 19-13-9 per pair inclusive of Sales tax etc. FOR any R. S. in Andhra.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commandant, S.A.P. 1200 Hindupur pairs 23831 4 0

3835 77991 5 9

Leather thongs for lathies.

M/s Sri Ram Trading Co., No. 12, Subbaiah St., Periamet, Madras. Rate at Rs. 0-3-3 each inclusive of S. T. for any Rly. Station.

A.I.G. Kurnool 500 101 9 0

Frogs leather for bayonets.

M/S Ganu Rao. & Sons No. 12, Dera Venkata-swami Naidu St. Triplicane, Madras. Rate at Rs. 1-8-9 each inclusive of S. T. for any Rly. Station.

A.I.G. Kurnool *200 309 6 0

Commandant, S.A.P Andhra Bn. Hindupur 332 513 9 0

822 15 0
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tongues for leather belts.**

M/s Ganu Rao & Sons  
12, Dera Venkataswami  
Naidu St., Triplicane, A.I.G. Kurnool  
Madras. Rate at Rs. 0-7-5 each inclusive of S. T. for any Rly. Station.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Holsters Revolvers.**

Sri K. Kannappa Mudaliar, No. 26, Adam Sahib St.  
& Asst. Inspr.  
Royapuram, Madras-13 General of Police (380 bore)  
at Rs. 5/-each inclusive of sales Tax for any Rly. Station.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>268</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pouches Leather Ammunition for Revolvers.**

M/s P. Ganu Rao & Sons  
No. 12, Dera Venkataswami  
Naidu St., Triplicane, *Asst. Inspr.*  
Madras. Rate at Rs. 2-6-9 each inclusive of Sales Tax for any Rly. Station.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pouches Leather Ammunition 15 Rounds.**

M/s P. Ganu Rao & Sons  
No. 12, Dera Venkataswami  
Naidu St., Triplicane, *Asst. Inspr.*  
Madras. Rate at Rs. 2-9-9 each inclusive of S. T. for any Rly. Station.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pouches Leather Ammunition 10 rounds.**

M/s P. Ganu Rao & Sons  
No. 12, Dera Venkataswami  
Naidu St., Triplicane, Asst. Inspr.  
Madras. Rate at Rs. 2-9-6 each inclusive of S.T. F.O.R. for any Rly. Station.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holders Leather Ammunition 10 Rounds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/s P. Ganu Rao &amp; Sons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No 12, Dera Venkataswami</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naidu, St., Triplicane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras. Rate at Rs 1-1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>each inclusive of S.T., F.O.R.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any Rly. Station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asst. Inspr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General of Police.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 110 15 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frogs Leather. 03 Pattern.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/s P. Ganu Rao &amp; Sons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 12, Dera Venkataswami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naidu St., Triplicane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras. Rate at Rs 2-4-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>each inclusive of S.T., F.O.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any Rly. Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Asst. Inspr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General of Police.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 1607 13 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scabbards for Bayonets 03 Pattern.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/s P. Ganu Rao &amp; Sons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 12, Dera Venkataswami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naidu St., Triplicane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras. Rate at Rs 3-9-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>each inclusive of S.T., F.O.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any Rly. Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Asst. Inspr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General of Police.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550 1985 2 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These articles were received in this office and distributed to all officers concerned on indents.

Note: Chappals (Kabul sandals) are not in use in the Police Department now.

L. SRIRAMULU,
For Joint Secretary to Government.

Paper laid on the Table of the House.

General Report of Sri P. S. Vasudevan, Special Officer for investigation into the financial condition of District Boards.