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THE ANDHRA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

FRIDAY, the 28th May 1954.

The House met in the T. L. N. Hall, Andhra University Campus, Waltair, at nine of the clock, Mr. Deputy Speaker, (Sri Pasala Suryachandra Rao) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Starred Questions

STARRED QUESTIONS POSTPONED FROM THE LIST FOR 27-5-1954.

IRON ORE IN ANDHRA

651*1481 Q:—Sri K. Krishna Rao—Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:

(a) How much quantity of Iron ore mined in Nellore, Chittoor, Kurnool and Krishna Districts has been exported to foreign countries in 1952-53, and upto 31-12-1953 in 1953-54,

(b) the steps taken by the Government to start a factory to utilise the iron ore in Andhra, to make it into finished or semifinished goods; and

(c) the countries to which this iron ore was exported during the periods mentioned in (a)?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabirama Rao:

(a) The information is as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>1952-53 (upto 31-12-1953)</th>
<th>1953-54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>12,014</td>
<td>13,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>9,177</td>
<td>30,164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) The Government are examining the question as to how best the iron ore available can be utilised within the country.

(c) Japan and Czechoslovakia.
The Hon Sri S B P. Pattabhirama Rao — On heavy industry so far being restricted to soap factories, Sri K. Krishna Rao: Steel plant in India so far been restricted to soap factories. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries where we need heavy industries to develop. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries. We need heavy industries to develop.

The Hon Sri S B P. Pattabhirama Rao — On heavy industry so far being restricted to soap factories, Sri K. Krishna Rao: Steel plant in India so far been restricted to soap factories. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries where we need heavy industries to develop. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries. We need heavy industries to develop.

The Hon Sri S B P. Pattabhirama Rao — On heavy industry so far being restricted to soap factories, Sri K. Krishna Rao: Steel plant in India so far been restricted to soap factories. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries where we need heavy industries to develop. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries. We need heavy industries to develop.

The Hon Sri S B P. Pattabhirama Rao — On heavy industry so far being restricted to soap factories, Sri K. Krishna Rao: Steel plant in India so far been restricted to soap factories. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries where we need heavy industries to develop. Steel plant is now being considered for heavy industries. We need heavy industries to develop.
The Hon Sri S B P Pattabhirama Rao


Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah - Pig iron production involves the recovery of iron from iron ore. What is the potential of the iron deposits in question? Can they be utilized for producing pig iron on a small scale even if they are not sufficient for starting an iron industry?

The Hon Sri S B P Pattabhirama Rao - Professor Mahadevan has suggested that these deposits can form a convenient unit for manufacturing pig iron on a small scale, even though they may not be sufficient for starting an iron industry.

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd: - Is it feasible to recover iron from iron ore using modern technology? Is it economically viable to consider steel plants as a source of iron? The countries to which this iron was exported had already been mentioned in my answer. They are Japan and Czechoslovakia.
28th May 1954


INVESTIGATION OF THE RIGHT CHANNEL OF THE NANDIKONDA PROJECT

658 Q.—Sri G. Yellamanda Reddi:—Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any representation to the Government that the detailed investigation of the right channel of the Nandikonda Project was not made in accordance with the report of the Khosla Committee; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereupon?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The matter is under consideration.

Sri G. Yellamanda Reddi:—Is the investigation project include iron ore exploration for steel plant?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—The statement includes iron ore exploration for steel plant.

Sri M. Hanumantha Rao:—Is the investigation project include iron ore exploration for steel plant?
[28th May 1954]

The Hon Sri N Sanjeeva Reddi —  

Sri M Hanumantha Rao: —

The Hon Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi —

Sri G. Latchanna: —

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi: —

Sri T. Nagi Reddi: —

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi: —
28th May 1954]

Sri M. Narayanaswami — I have no answer.

The Hon, Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:— I have no answer.

EXPENDITURE UNDER FUEL AND LUBRICANTS
IN THE ANDHRA POWER SYSTEM

661 Q:— Sri K. Krishna Rao:— Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) the special reasons for the increase of expenditure under fuel and lubricants in the Andhra Power System, between 1950-51 and 1951-52 of Rs. 5,79 Lakhs, while the number of units generated increased by 11.19 million, while between 1949-50 and 1950-51 increase in the number of units generated by 61.92 millions units resulted in an increase in expenditure under fuel and lubricants of Rs. 0.98 lakhs; and

(b) the corresponding figures of expenditure under fuel and lubricants in the Andhra Power System during 1952-53 and the number of units generated in the said year?

The Hon, Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—

(a) During 1949-50 large quantity of coal purchased from Bengal Collieries at high prices was used and so the cost of fuel and lubricants during 1949-50 is not quite representative for comparison with other years.

(b) During 1952-53 in the Andhra Power System the expenditure under fuel and lubricants was Rs. 25,76,394/- and the number of units generated was 68,610,092.

Sri K. Krishna Rao:— अभी तक हमने जाना है कि जब 1949-50 में बड़ी मात्रा में बंगाल कोलीरियों से खरीदी गई बिजली के लिए जयांद्रा पॉवर सिस्टम में खर्च बढ़कर 5.79 لا० हो गया था, जबकि 1949-50 में बन्दरगाह से खरीदी गई तेल और लब्रिसेंट्स की कीमत में बढ़ता था और यह क्या अभी तक हमें कहा जा सकता है कि 1950-51 में उपयोग की जयांद्रा पॉवर सिस्टम में खर्च बढ़कर 5.79 لا० हो गया था?

Fuel charges चाचा गो नाता, बिजली के लिए राशियां की मात्रा में बढ़कर 61.9 लाख में बढ़कर 5.79 लाख हो गई थी?

The Hon Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:— अभी तक हमने जाना है कि जब 1949-50 में बड़ी मात्रा में बंगाल कोलीरियों से खरीदी गई बिजली के लिए जयांद्रा पॉवर सिस्टम में खर्च बढ़कर 5.79 لا० हो गया था, जबकि 1949-50 में बन्दरगाह से खरीदी गई तेल और लब्रिसेंट्स की कीमत में बढ़ता था और यह क्या अभी तक हमें कहा जा सकता है कि 1950-51 में उपयोग की जयांद्रा पॉवर सिस्टम में खर्च बढ़कर 5.79 लाख हो गया था?

The Hon Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:— अभी तक हमने जाना है कि जब 1949-50 में बड़ी मात्रा में बंगाल कोलीरियों से खरीदी गई बिजली के लिए जयांद्रा पॉवर सिस्टम में खर्च बढ़कर 5.79 लाख हो गया था, जबकि 1949-50 में बन्दरगाह से खरीदी गई तेल और लब्रिसेंट्स की कीमत में बढ़ता था और यह क्या अभी तक हमें कहा जा सकता है कि 1950-51 में उपयोग की जयांद्रा पॉवर सिस्टम में खर्च बढ़कर 5.79 लाख हो गया था?
Agricultural Loans in Anantapur District

Q. 662 — Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi: — Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state the reasons for stopping payment of Agricultural loans in the months of September, October, and November, 1953 in Anantapur District?

The Hon. Sri K. Kotti Reddi: —

Amount of Rs. 1,32,600 under the Land Improvement Loans Act and Rs. 40,610 for the purchase of seed and manure were disbursed in September, 1953.

No disbursement of the loans could be made in the months of October, 1953 and November, 1953, on account of the late communication of orders distributing loan allotments by the Board of Revenue for the reasons given below:

1. The printed copy of the orders sanctioning the allotments was received by the Board of Revenue from the Residuary State only in the middle of October.

2. The Board of Revenue had then to address the Government for details of allotments under the various sub-heads, as only a lump sum provision was made by the composite State Government, but in order not to hold up action in the district in the meanwhile, the Board distributed allotments provisionally among various districts in its proceedings dated 24-11-1953.
Land Improvement Act (28th May 1954) 28th May 1954

Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi — The Hon Sri K. Koti Reddi —

The Hon Sri K. Koti Reddi —

Sri S Narayanappa —

The Hon Sri K. Koti Reddi —

Collections may be postponed.
Sri P. Gunnayya:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Harijan and Muslim members of the Nibhanupudi (Gudivada Taluk) Credit Cooperative Society, represented to the Government regarding the defalcation and fraud committed by the President, V. Lakshmaiah in the year 1952, of the Bank amounts:

(b) if so, the action taken thereon; and

(c) the amount overdue to the bank at present and the defaulters and in what way they are related to the present Secretary of the Society.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

(a) Yes, Sir. There was a petition by one Sri Dasari Dandu, a Harijan member and panchayatdar of the Society to the Registrar on 18-1-1952, in which it was alleged that the President of the Society Sri V. Lakshmaiah and other Panchayatdars disbursed to members in whom they were interested money borrowed from the Kistna Cooperative Central Bank Ltd., Machilipatnam.
28th May 1954]

(b) The Co-operative Sub-Registrar (General duty), Machilipatnam, examined the petitioner and enquired into the petition. The petitioner denied having put in any such petition and it was reported to be a forged one. It was also reported that loans to an extent of Rs 14,000/- were given to the near relatives of the President and that the amounts were recovered in full subsequently. Certain other defects that existed in the working of the society were rectified by the Executive Officer of the Central Bank. The Panchayat of the Society was also reconstituted on 5-4-1953. Sri V. Lakshmaiah is not now the President of the Society but is the Secretary.

(c) Amount overdue to the Kistna Cooperative Central Bank from the Nibhanupudi Cooperative Credit Society … Rs. 6,007- 0-0

Amount overdue from members to the Society … Rs. 8,523-13-0

The overdue amount from members relates to 30 loans: of these, 8 loans amounting to Rs. 5,875/- are reported to be due by the near relationship which is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Member</th>
<th>Relationship with the Secretary</th>
<th>Amount overdue Rs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sri K. Anjaiah</td>
<td>Father-in-law</td>
<td>1,000/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sri G. Anjaiah</td>
<td>Brother-in-law</td>
<td>1,075/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sri G. Peddaiah</td>
<td>Brother-in-law</td>
<td>800/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sri V. Kotiah</td>
<td>Father</td>
<td>1,000/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sri V. Koteswara Rao</td>
<td>Brother</td>
<td>1,000/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sri V. Manikyamma</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td>500/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sri G. Nancharaiah</td>
<td>Nephew</td>
<td>200/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sri S. Narayanamma</td>
<td>Sister</td>
<td>300/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sri G. Rama Rao: — స్మృతికి చెప్పించిన కంటే కనబడిన ఎందుకంటా ఇతని ఇతర సమయానికి కాంప్యూటరు కారణంగా 5,875 రూ. వచ్చాయా ఉంది, ఎందుకంటా మే 19,870 ఎందుకంటా ఇతను మే స్టాట్ లో ఎందుకంటా బస్సు సర్వే లక్షణాలు ఉండాలి కారణంగా బస్సు సర్వే లక్షణాలు ఉండాలి. భారతదేశం రూపసరి. ఎందుకంటా గ్రేట్ మిస్టాకింగ్ దిస్కౌట్ హిస్టరియోజన్ లక్షణాలు ఉండాలి, ఎందుకంటా సాధనాకారులకు శాసనాలు ఉండాలి?
212 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

[28th May 1954]

The Hon Sri D Sanjivayya:—— I have received a letter from the Hon. Sri D Sanjivayya, "Mr. Chairman, Sir,

Bus No. 1, which is bound for Thurspur, is said to have collided with another vehicle and several passengers were injured. I am requesting the authorities to take immediate action.

Sri K. Rajagopala Rao:—— Welcome, and thank you for your concern. Public concern is always valid, and family ties are strong. However, the incident appears to be a forgery. Can you please clarify who the sister member is? And who the wife member is? They appear to be members of the same family. House proceedings should not be interfered with.

The Hon. Sri D Sanjivayya:—— Anonymous has written a letter to enquire about a forgery. The letter states that the Secretary has filed a petition against the forged documents. Petition forged against wife member and sister member. Can you please clarify who the Secretary is?

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—— The Hon. Sri D Sanjivayya has written a letter to recover the dues. Petition No. 14 has been filed against the forgery. The dues related to the incident have been recovered. The matter has been taken up by the House.

The Hon. Sri D Sanjivayya:—— In response to the letter, it is stated that the subsequent letters have been filed against the forgery. The duties of the Secretary have been carried out by the House. Can you please clarify?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—— The letter states that the subsequent letters have been filed against the forgery. The duties of the Secretary have been carried out by the House.
28th May 1954]

Sri Pragada Kothaiah — Will the Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya — Will the Hon, the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:

(a) the stage at which the scheme of rural industrialisation sanctioned in G. O. Ms. No. 39, dated 17-1-51 stands in Nellore District and its extension to other districts; and

RURAL INDUSTRIALISATION SCHEME IN NELLORE DISTRICT

664° Q—Sri K. Krishna Rao:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:

(a) the stage at which the scheme of rural industrialisation sanctioned in G. O. Ms. No. 39, dated 17-1-51 stands in Nellore District and its extension to other districts; and
(b) the plans for expansion of activities in the scheme in Nellore District for 1954-55?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:

(a) The Scheme was started on 1-7-1951 and it is in progress. Its continuance was last sanctioned upto 31-3-1954 and the question of its further continuance is under consideration.

(b) There are at present no plans for expansion of activities under the scheme in Nellore for 1954-55.

(a) 1-7-51 to 31-3-54

(b) 1954-55

The Hon. Sri K. Krishna Rao—Rural industries, cottage industries need experimental measure and it was started in 1952. Two experimental small industries were started. The Hon. the Director of Industries, 

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—Rural industries, cottage industries need experimental measure and it was started in 1952. Two experimental small industries were started. The Hon. the Director of Industries,
Sir, Sri M. Visweswarayya's rural industrialisation scheme was introduced simultaneously in two taluks of Nellore district. The scheme aims at the intensive development of small scale or rural industries. It provides for the formation of village group units for every 15 to 20 villages with a population of 10,000 to 20,000 and the constitution of village group committees for these units composed of the most competent businessmen and leaders available in the area. These committees will be advised and guided by Government agents in the discharge of their functions. The Director of Industries has suggested that the scheme may be discontinued as it has not achieved its purpose, namely, enlisting the co-operation of the local population for the intensive development of the small scale rural industries in the area. He has stated that the village group committees which are expected to take the initiative, have not been evincing any interest in spite of his best efforts and that in the circumstances no useful purpose will be served by the continuance of the scheme. He has also one of the items of work to be done under the National Extension Service Scheme. It will be implemented in all the districts. There is no need to continue this scheme.

LAND DISAFFORESTED IN NELLORE DISTRICT

665 Q:— Sri S. Vemaiah:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state the extent of land disafforested in 1949-50, 1950-51, 1951-52, and 1952-53 in Nellore District for the purpose of cultivation?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

An area of 315 acres of reserved forest in Nellore District was disreserved in 1951-52. No other lands were disreserved in 1949-50, 1950-51 and 1952-53.
The Hon Sri P. Thimma Reddi — Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have received a representation from the public of Narsapur, West Godavari District requesting construction of a Jetty and a Key wall on the mouth of the Godavari and for taking early steps to develop Narsapur as an inland port for ocean going steamers; and

(b) if so, the steps taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—

Clauses (a) & (b)

Representations have been received from certain M. L. As for making certain improvements to the Narasapur Port. The Government have, after examination, considered that no costly improvements can be undertaken without a marine survey of the sea approaches and full study of the physical problems likely to be confronted at the port.

The question of construction of a wharf at the Port is under consideration.

Sri K Krishna Rao — Will the Hon. State port examine the assumption. The major port develop possibilities 6rotfs intermediate port develop 1SdfiiS depend upon the amount we are going to spend.
28th May 1954]

Sri V. Srikrishna — Port Officers सर वित्त परम्परागत अर्थात् पुनर्जीवित करे राष्ट्रीय व्यापार पीठ अधीक्षक आदि सत्ता।

The Hon Sri N Sanjeeva Reddi — वे तो अत्यन्त दुर्दल्लभी अर्थों के लिए सरासरी नहीं हैं। राज्य स्थानीय सरकार में भी अनेक सामान्य अर्थात् अनेक सामान्य अर्थों के लिए स्थापना की जाती है। अपने प्राप्ति के साथ राज्य सरकार की अनेक सामान्य अर्थों के लिए स्थापना की जाती है।

Sri K. Krishna Rao — Government of India सर इतिहास या अद्वितियता से अनेक सामान्य अर्थात् अनेक सामान्य अर्थों के लिए स्थापना की जाती है?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :— अधिक अर्थात्मक रूप से स्थायी अथवा स्थायी अनुसूचित अथवा अनुसूचित स्थानों के लिए स्थापना की जाती है। अनेक सामान्य अर्थात् अनेक सामान्य अर्थों के लिए स्थापना की जाती है।

Sri P Syamasundara Rao:— वे अद्वितियता port develop रहे हैं। क्योंकि कोई भी राष्ट्रीय व्यापार पीठ (कृपया, यहाँ इल्लावा) स्थापित नहीं हैं। हां हां, वे अद्वितियता की राष्ट्रीय व्यापार पीठ (कृपया, यहाँ इल्लावा) स्थापित नहीं हैं।

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :— अद्वितियता के अतिथि अस्तित्व के लिए 

N. III—3
ESTATE STAFF TAKEN TO TALUK OFFICES OF NELLORE DISTRICT

*667 Q:— Sri S. Kasi Reddy.— Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the clerks in the Taluk offices of Nellore District taken from the estate staff after estates were taken over by the Government, are either retrenched or about to be retrenched; and

(b) if so, why?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.

PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY SCHEME IN PENUKONDA

*668 Q:— Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state whether there is any proposal to take up Penukonda Protected Water Supply Scheme in 1954?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Yes. The investigation of the scheme has been completed. The question of sanctioning the preparation of detailed plans and estimates is now under consideration. The execution of the scheme will be taken up after the detailed plans and estimates are prepared and sanctioned by the Government.

LOANS UNDER THE MADRAS COTTAGE INDUSTRIES LOANS AND SUBSIDY RULES, 1948

*669 Q:— Sri K. Krishna Rao:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:

(a) the number and total amount of loans sanctioned, and later actually disbursed to persons, under Madras Cottage Industries Loans and Subsidy Rules, 1948, in Nellore, Chittoor, Kurnool, Anantapur and Cuddapah Districts during 1950-51, 1951-52, and 1952-53, respectively;
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

28th May 1954]

(b) whether these loans in (a) are given on the mortgage of immovable property of either the borrower or his surety; and

(c) whether it is a fact that the Revenue Officials are given veto rights in the matter of actual disbursement of loans even after loans are recommended by area committees co-ordinating committees and sanctioned by the Director of Industries?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao —

(a) A statement showing the particulars asked for is placed on the table of the House. *

(b) Loans are granted on the security of immovable property of the borrower or his surety.

(c) No, Sir. The assistance of Revenue Officials is sought only at the time of enquiry into loan applications in regard to the security offered by the applicant.

AGRICULTURAL SUB-STATION AT KANDUKUR DIVISION.

*670 Q.—Sri S. Kasi Reddy.—Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the District Food Production Committee recommended to the Government to open an agricultural sub-station in Kandukur division of Nellore District: and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

PETITION FROM VALLEM VENKAMMA OF SYDAPURAM OF RAPUR TALUK.

*671 Q.—Sri S. Kasi Reddy:— Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Government have received any petition on 19-1-1954 from a woman, Vallem Venkamma of Sydapuram, Rapur Taluk, Nellore District, regarding her illegal arrest by the Sub-Inspector of Sydapuram; and

* Vide Appendix, on page 243 infra.
(b) if so, the action taken by the Government?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi —

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.

WELL SUBSIDY SCHEMES IN ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

*672 Q—Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi — Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state

(a) whether the President, Anantapur District Planning Board, has sent a resolution passed on 23-12-1953 requesting the Government to reintroduce Well Subsidy Schemes in Anantapur District, and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government?

The Hon. Sri Koli Reddi —

(a) Yes.

(b) The matter is under consideration.

CHOLERA DEATHS IN PENUKONDA TALUK.

*673 Q—Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi — Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state the number of cholera deaths in Penukonda Taluk in 1953.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:

The number of deaths due to cholera in Penukonda Taluk in 1953 is 74.

MADRAS GENERAL SALES TAX ACT 1939.

*674 Q—Sri K. Krishna Rao:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state whether as a result of the recent judgment of the Madras High Court holding Section 16-A of the Madras General Sales Tax Act, 1939 ultra vires and void, instructions have been sent to all officers of the Commercial Taxes Department, not to launch cases in Criminal Courts of the type previously instituted when the legality of Section 16-A was not challenged; and if not, why not?
The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao —

Under Section 15 of the Madras General Sales Tax, prosecution can be launched against a dealer in the Court Magistrate of the 1st class for any of the offences specified in section, namely, failure to pay within the time allowed any tax assessed on him or any fee due from him, wilful submission of a true return, or failure to submit returns etc. Sec. 16-A of the Act which was introduced by the Amendment Act of 1947 (Madras Act XXV of 1947), prohibited the validity of the assessment of any tax or of the levy of any fee or other amount made under Act being questioned in a Criminal Court. The High Court has held that provision is ultra vires of the Constitution and the Criminal Procedure Code. Steps are being taken to repeal this Section. The judgment of the High Court does not prohibit the launching of prosecutions in a Criminal Court for any of the offences mentioned in Sec. 15 of the Act. No instructions have, therefore, been issued to the officers of the Commercial Taxes Department as suggested by the Member.

ANGALUR PANCHAYAT BOARD OF KRISHNA DISTRICT.

* 675 Q— Sri G. Rama Rao.— Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Panchayat Angalur, Krishna District requested the Government to appoint an Executive Officer to the Panchayat Board and the action taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:— The answer is in the negative. Government do not consider that there is a pressing need for an Executive Officer for the Panchayat at present.

MAHAJARS FROM THE LORRY OWNERS OF MADANAPALLI.

* 676 Q— Sri D. Seetharamaiah.— Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have received any Mahajars in February, 1954 from the Lorry owners of Madanapalle for the issue of permits to the lorries for the entire State and for reduction of surcharge on Motor Vehicles, and

(b) the action taken on these Mahajars?
Deputy Speaker — The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:— (a) Negative (b) Does not arise. 

Deputy Speaker — The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:— 

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:— No representation of the kind referred to has been received by the Government.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:— 

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) Yes. Under National Malaria Control Programme an anti-malaria scheme for “Kurnool East” has been proposed with three units at Nandyal, Cumbum and Markapur, each under a Health Inspector. The Malaria control work will be undertaken in malaria endemic areas in
Nallamalai hills and in Cumbum, Markapur and Nandyal Taluks, and the whole scheme will be in charge of a Senior Entomologist with ancillary staff sanctioned at Nandyal.

REPRESENTATIONS FROM GUJARATHI MERCHANTS IN ANDHRA.

* 679 Q.— Sri K. Krishna Rao:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:—

(a) whether representations have been received from the Gujarathi merchants carrying on business in Andhra that section 13 of the Madras General Sales Tax Act may be amended to enable them to maintain and continue to maintain their accounts in Gujarathi language; and

(b) if so, the steps the Government have taken thereon?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:— Yes, certain representations have been received.

(b) The matter is under consideration of the Government.

TRANSFER OF POLICE CONSTABLES FROM NELLORE DISTRICT TO KURNOOL.

*680 Q.— Sri S. Vemaiah:— Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Police Constables transferred in the regular course from Nellore District to Kurnool in February 1954; and

(a) if so, the circumstances under which they were transferred?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—

(a) In February 1954 five Head Constables and twenty Constables were transferred from Nellore district to Kurnool of whom three Head Constables and five Constables are in Kurnool Urban District and the rest in Kurnool Rural District.

(b) It became necessary to transfer them to Kurnool temporarily to fill up some vacancies in Kurnool as no
trained men were immediately available. As soon as newly recruited men complete their training in the Police Training School it is proposed to send back to Nellore all the men under reference brought here temporarily.

Deputy Speaker:—Question hour is over.

Sri C V K Rao —I rise to a point of order, Sir. Deputy Chief Minister raised a point of order. As per the ruling the Assembly floor was adjourned and the discussion was deferred. Deputy Chief Minister raised a point of order. He said that during the discussion the Government had stated that Administrators and Legislature members had to be examined by the Administrative Body. It was a censure motion. The point of order was raised and the censure motion was adjourned. What is the decision of the House on the point of order raise during the discussion? It was a point of order raise during the discussion. Was the point of order raise proper? It was a point of order raise during the discussion. Was the point of order raise proper?
POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF
THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

28th May 1954]

Sri T. Nagi Reddi.— Sir, as you are aware, the
point of order is raised by me (Interruption)
and you have given me a chance to speak. I
shall proceed. As you have permission,
I shall proceed with the point of order.

Speaker.—If you desire to raise the point of
order, you must do so at the appropriate
time.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi.— We have amendment on
the non-official day and official day. I shall
point out the amendment and the Assembly
pass it. After the division, the Assembly
pass it. Speaker.—If you desire to raise the
point of order, you must do so at the
appropriate time.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi.—The House shall pass the
amendment. As the Assembly pass it, there
is no division. After the division, I shall
point out the amendment. Speaker.—If you
desire to raise the point of order, you must
do so at the appropriate time.

Sri E. Rajagopala Rao.—Sir, I would like to
make a point of order. (Interruption)

Deputy Speaker.—Sir, the point of order is
definite.

Sri E. Rajagopala Rao.—We are raising
illegal statements derogatory to the House.

Deputy Speaker.—Sir, the point of order is
definite.

Sri E. Rajagopala Rao.—It is illegal to make
illegal statements derogatory to the House.
Deputy Speaker — I can give you time to explain to the House. Any point of order may be raised.

Sri K. Rajagopala Rao — Statement made earlier is illegal. Allow the Member to explain his point of order.

The Hon Sri N Sanjeeva Reddi — Every Act is being questioned in the court of law. I do not know how it becomes unparliamentary to question the legality of a bill.

Sri K. Rajagopala Rao: — The Hon. Member is questioning in the House itself. That is why it is unparliamentary and below the dignity of the House.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu: — House cannot contest the legality of a bill. House cannot raise any point of order.

Sri K. Varadachari: — Any point of order may be raised. Only the Deputy Speaker can adjourn the House.

Sri M. Hanumantha Rao: — (Interruption)
28th May 1954]

Deputy Speaker:—— Let us get down to business.

Sri G. Latchanna:—— C. V. I raise a point of order. Hon Member, I would like to know if there is any point order to raise it immediately. Would you ask Deputy Chief Minister to pass or discuss it? The reason I raise it is to put a point of privilege. I think there is a privilege. Deputy Chief Minister says it is not a privilege. According to me, it is a privilege. So, point order to raise it?

Sri M. Hanumantha Rao:—— I raise a point of order. Deputy Chief Minister has made a statement that the government has no interest in the resolution of the House. Deputy Chief Minister says it is a privilege motion. We want clarification, so far as yesterday's resolution is concerned, as to what the attitude of the Government is going to be. Is the Chief Minister going to do it? That is the question that now arises.

Sri T. Viswanathm:—— We want clarification, so far as yesterday's resolution is concerned, as to what the attitude of the Government is going to be. Is the Chief Minister going to do it? That is the question that now arises.
The resolution of the House will have the effect of a resolution. Every resolution has got a certain effect and this resolution will have the same effect, neither more nor less.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu — an official business move. A non-official amendment to be passed. An official business to be passed. A non-official resolution to be passed. A non-official resolution to be passed. House vote. A non-official resolution to be passed. My contention is that you will have to implement it, not examine it. Examination is not part of implementation.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi — the non-official resolution to be passed. An amendment to be passed. A non-official amendment to be passed. A non-official resolution to be passed. An official resolution to be passed. A statement of an official resolution to be passed.
The Hon Sri N Sanjeeva Reddi — Government members have raised a point of order on the breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker. The Hon Sri N Sanjeeva Reddi as the Congress Party leader and as a member of the Ministry, I think I must endorse his view completely. It is a matter of privilege. The statement of the Minister and in this instance Mr. Sanjeeva Reddi who is designated as the Deputy Chief Minister who occupies a special position in the whole of India is important. In no other place in India, except the Andhra state, have we a Deputy Chief Minister. So we must assume that the Deputy Chief Minister’s position is an important position. That is chiefly because he represents the Congress party which is the only important party in the administration. All the other groups in the administration are non-existent political parties as far as the Election Commissioner is concerned. The Election Commissioner recognises no other party expect the Congress Party as an all-India party. Therefore the Deputy Chief Minister occupies a special position. It is not as if Mr. Prakasam has done it on privilege of the Congress. It is a very important issue.
POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF
THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

[Sri P. V. R. Gajapathi Raju] [28th May 1954]
said it on the floor of this House. The verdict was given in this
House and he should have said it in this House either he wants to
enforce or does not want to enforce it. The decision therefore I
believe is a matter of privilege and the matter on hand has nothing
to do with the censure motion. I have not given a censure motion.
Somebody else has done it. It has not even been moved and therefore
the question of its discussion does not arise. Mr. Sanjeeva Reddi has
referred to a motion which has not yet been moved and even if it is
moved it refers to some other subject and has nothing to do with the
question of privileges of this House. I think you should give a ruling
on the privilege question.

Sri K. Krishna Rao — Deputy Chief Minister's statement on the Hindu Indian Express.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah: — is the official resolution notice to the House.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya: — the resolution subject to the point of order.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah: — is the non-official resolution.
POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF
THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

28th May 1954] [Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah]

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah :- I have to bring to the notice of the House a motion which has been moved by the Deputy Chief Minister and Deputy Speaker to impeach the Deputy Speaker and to remove him from Office of the House. The motion has been moved in the House with a view to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

Deputy Speaker: - The House met at 11.30 a.m. The Deputy Speaker took the Chair and called on the House to transact the business of the day. The following resolutions were moved:

1. A motion to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

2. A motion to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

3. A motion to pass a Resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

4. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

5. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

6. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

7. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

8. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

9. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

10. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

11. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

12. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

13. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

14. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

15. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

16. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

17. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

18. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

19. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

20. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

21. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

22. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

23. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

24. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

25. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

26. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

27. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

28. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

29. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

30. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

31. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

32. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

33. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

34. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

35. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

36. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

37. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

38. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

39. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

40. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

41. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

42. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

43. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

44. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

45. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

46. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

47. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

48. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.

49. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to impeach the Deputy Speaker for breach of privilege of the House by the Deputy Speaker.

50. A motion to pass a resolution calling on the House to remove the Deputy Speaker from Office of the House.
Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah — a point of order.

Deputy Chief Minister — House privilege.

Hon. Members — A privilege motion was moved.

Deputy Chief Minister — Privileges Committee.

Sri R. Lakshminarasimham Dora — We have been discussing a matter which is not before the House. Some members say it is a breach of privilege of this House.

Sri R. Lakshminarasimham Dora — You have allowed a point of order.Is it a breach of privilege of the House?

Sri G. Lakhanna — privilege motion.

Sri G. Lakhanna — A motion was moved to Censure the House.
28th May 1954] [Sri G. Latchanna]

POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

28th May 1954] [Sri G. Latchanna]

Dear Madam, House privileges are sacred and inviolable. Any violation of these privileges would be treated as a breach of privilege. The Deputy Speaker of the House has the power to raise a privilege motion and notice in the manner prescribed. Any member may move a motion of censure or pass a motion of no confidence. The Speaker also has the authority to allow or disallow any matter brought forward. The House Chief Minister and the Deputy Chief Minister may move a privilege motion. Any member may raise a point of order.

Sri K. Rajagopala Rao—The House privileges are sacred and inviolable. Any violation of these privileges would be treated as a breach of privilege. The Deputy Speaker of the House has the power to raise a privilege motion and notice in the manner prescribed. Any member may move a motion of censure or pass a motion of no confidence. The Speaker also has the authority to allow or disallow any matter brought forward. The House Chief Minister and the Deputy Chief Minister may move a privilege motion. Any member may raise a point of order.

Deputy Speaker:—The point of order raised is of a technical nature. Privileges Committee refer the same to the House.
POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF
THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

[28th May 1954]

...
POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

28th May 1954] [The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam]

Sri M. Hanumantha Rao — On a point of order, Sir, the Resolution non-official resolution made on a motion made by a member of Government for the suspension of this rule, the Assembly may resolve to transact Government business on those Thursdays which are available for non-official business under the above rule.

Sri M. Hanumantha Rao:— Proviso to Rule 24 says:—“Provided that on a motion made by a member of Government for the suspension of this rule, the Assembly may resolve to transact Government business on those Thursdays which are available for non-official business under the above rule”.

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:— Sir, the proviso to Rule 24 says that on a motion made by a member of Government for the suspension of this rule, the Assembly may resolve to transact Government business on those Thursdays which are available for non-official business under the above rule.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah — Sir, the official resolution was to suspend Government business in the House on those Thursdays which are available for non-official business under the above rule.

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:— Sir, the official resolution was to suspend Government business in the House on those Thursdays which are available for non-official business under the above rule. It was not to suspend official resolution.

Sri M. Hanumantha Rao — Sir, the official resolution was to suspend official business in the House on those Thursdays which are available for non-official business under the above rule.
House of Assembly. Business out of order on ruling.

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam — Hanumantha Rao said that the ruling had been made without the House being in order.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi — The Assembly Rules state that I must speak.

Deputy Speaker — That is a rule. I will explain the rule.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi — The point of order is a rule.

Sri B. Krishna Murthy Rao — On a point of order.

Deputy Speaker — Sir, a point of order. Sri S. Narayanappa: — Sir, on a point of order.

Deputy Speaker — Sir, a point of order. Sri S. Narayanappa: — The rules must be followed.

Deputy Speaker — On a point of order.

Sri S. Narayanappa: — The rules must be followed.

Deputy Speaker: — On a point of order.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi: — On rules to be followed.
28th May 1954]

POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

[The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam] [28th May 1954]

...
POINT OF ORDER ON BREACH OF PRIVILEGE OF 
THE HOUSE BY DEPUTY SPEAKER

28th May 1954] [The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam]


Non-official amendment “and on such consideration this Assembly resolves that the recommendations contained in the report be implemented by the Government forthwith”.

Sri G. Latchanna—official opinion held by the House consider the resolution passed.

Non-official, House held opinion that the report be implemented forthwith. The House opinion read by the Speaker to debate.

Sri G. Latchanna—official resolution was passed by the Ministry. The Deputy Speaker has ruled out point of order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have given my ruling; there is no explanation called for. I am going to the next item in the agenda.

Sri G. Latchanna:—official resolution passed by the Ministry.
ADJOURNMENT MOTION RE: BEEDI WORKERS’ STRIKE IN NELLORE

[28th May 1954]


Sri M Venkatasubba Reddy:— Adjournment motion දක්වා ඇතිය ම"ම දිනාවී. ම"ම මසංගී තෙන්කාර කරමු.

Deputy Speaker:— Adjournment motion දක්වා ඇතිය ම"ම දිනාවී.

Sri M. Venkatasubba Reddy:— Mr. Deputy Speaker Sir, I shall read the adjournment motion standing in my name:

This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the indiscriminate arrests by Government of about sixty people in connection with the Beedi Workers’ strike at Nellore on 22-5-54 neglecting to implement the Award on Beedi Labour given by the Tribunal appointed by the Government of Madras in 1949 consisting of Sri Markandeyulu, the High Court Judge.

Deputy Speaker — නේ ordinary administration යන්නේ දක්වා ඇතිය. ම"ම මසංගී තෙන්කාර rule out ආදායමය යි. කරමු Sri G. Lachanna නේ censure motion දක්වා ඇතිය.

MOTION UNDER RULE 48 OF THE MADRAS ASSEMBLY RULES

Sri G. Latchanna:— “This House disapproves the policy of the Ministry, more particularly, for their failure to fulfil their oft-repeated promise to bring forward, before the sittings of the Assembly in Waltair conclude, the necessary Legislation to protect thousands of Inam ryots from mass-scale evictions and court litigations”.

đ නේ censure motion දක්වා ඇතිය. කරමු Sri G. Latchanna නේ censure motion දක්වා ඇතිය. කරමු නේ censure motion දක්වා ඇතිය.
Deputy Speaker — Let the Government give the reply. I have nothing to do now.

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam — Let us adjourn for business.

The House adjourned until 9 A.M. of the next day.
APPENDIX No. I

[VIDE ANSWER TO SHORT NOTICE STARRED QUESTION No 669 PUT BY SRI K KRISHNA RAO AT THE MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY HELD ON 28-5-34]

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Statement showing the Loans under the Madras Cottage Industries Loans and Subsidy Rules 1948 vide Clause (a) of Legislative Assembly Question No. 1484 (Starred).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>1950-51</th>
<th>1951-52</th>
<th>1952-53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>NIl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rs 500/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>Rs 300/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>Rs 3,005/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>NIl</td>
<td>Rs 9,280/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sree Rama Press, Madras-21.
4-1955