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THE HON. SRI D. SANIVAYYA:— After the 22nd March 1954, the Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya referred to the national extension scheme.

SRI N SIVARAMA REDDY:— The national extension scheme is under the 22nd March 1954. Is it the right time to extend this scheme?

THE HON SRI D. SANIVAYYA:— National extension schemes are national development schemes. National extension schemes are to extend the scheme to all rural areas. Select areas for Firka development areas. These areas should be non-rural welfare officers.

SRI R. SIDDANNAGOWD:— The national extension officers should be appointed.

THE HON SRI D. SANIVAYYA:— The national extension officers should be appointed.

SRI C SUBBARAYUDU:— The national extension officers should be appointed for Cottage Industries.

THE HON. SRI D. SANIVAYYA:— The national extension officers should be appointed.

SRI K. V. RAMESAM:— National extension schemes are national development schemes. (Since 1954-1955, the national extension schemes have been successful.)

THE HON. SRI D. SANIVAYYA:— The national extension schemes are national development schemes. (1953-54, 1954-55, and 1955-56.)
22 nd March 1954

SRI P. PUNDARIKAKSHACHARYULU:- Contiguity National extension schemes?

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:-

SRI P. RAMARAO:- National extension schemes?

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:-

SRI P. RAMARAO:-

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:-

SRI C. SUBBARAYUDU:-

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:-

SRI R. SIDDANAGOWD:-

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:-

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAYYA:-
116 Questions and Answers

[22nd March 1954]

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:—

Representation from the Venkatagiri Handloom Weavers' Society.

365-F: Q.—SRI D. SEETHARAMAIAH:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and public Health be pleased to state?

(a) Whether the local handloom weavers society of Venkatagiri represented their grievances to him during his visit to Venkatagiri; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— (a) and (b):—Yes, Sir. The matter is under examination.

SRI B. SANKARAYYA:—Is the Government aware that they have not taken any practical steps so far to give amelioration to the suffering unemployed weavers?

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:—I am really surprised to hear from the hon. Member this allegation. We have done all that is possible. We have given them an advance of 20 lakhs and we have exempted them from sales tax, and so on and so forth, such beyond the member could imagine.
SRI G. NAGABHUSHANAM:— This Rs 20 lakhs has not yet been distributed and nothing has been done so far, so far as my taluk is concerned.

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— It is not our fault. We have asked the Registrar to advance the 20 lakhs to the State Society and it is for the state society to avail itself of this facility.

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— We distribute —

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— Responsibility of the state society —

SRI G. LATCHANNA:— 20 —

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— Handloom Society —

SRI G. JOSEPH:— ! Handloom Society —

22nd March 1954]
118 Questions and Answers

[22nd March 1954]

THE HCN SRI D. SANJIVAYYA: என்ன Society என்று
முடியும் இல்லைக்கும் ஒளிகளிலையே விளக்கம் செய்யவேண்டும். பிறவி ராசியூ போன்ற காரணங்களே ஐயர் அன்று செய்து பட்டையை இணைந்து விளக்கம் செய்யவேண்டும். பிறவியுடைய நேரடை மறவையொடரால், ஹரிஜாவுக்கு விளக்கம் செய்யவேண்டும்.

SRI S. NARAYANAPPA:— என்னே! கைவூடு இல்லையென பார்வையும் கூறினால் நல்லது கூற்றுவரும் சொல்லிக்கலாம். மூடும்பணம்
அங்கு பார்வையும் நல்லது கூற்றுவரும். என்று சொன்னுபோன்று பார்வையும் நல்லது கூற்றுவரும்
மனையை இல்லை என் தொடர்வது எங்கும் காண்பதே.

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— அல்ல 1953 December
உதவியும் பார்வையும் ஑ர் இடையிலே State Handloom Society இ ஊடகமாகக். மூடும்பணம் பார்வையும் ஑ர் இடையிலே State Handloom Society அல்லாண்டு என்று நல்லது, ஹரிஜாவுக்கு விளக்கம்
செய்யும் நல்லது கூற்றுவரும்.

SRI K. SUBBA REDDY:— Society, என்ன கூறும்
Harijau
weavers என என்று விளக்கம் செய்யலாம் என்னென்று?

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— மூடும்பணம் என்று Society என்று விளக்கம் செய்யலாம். என்று விளக்கம் 
செய்யலாம் செய்யலாம். மூடும்பணம் என்று Society
செய்யலாம்.

SRI P. VENKATA SUBBAIAH:— Members என்று Society என்று
செய்யலாம் என்று விளக்கம் செய்யலாம். என்று விளக்கம் செய்யலாம்
விளக்கம் செய்யலாம் என்று விளக்கம் செய்யலாம்
விளக்கம் செய்யலாம்?

THE HON. SRI D. SANJIVAYYA:— என்று Right off என்று
பார்வையும் என்று விளக்கம் செய்யலாம்.
Memorandum from the Non-Gazetted Officers of West Godavary District.

365. C Q.—SRI M. RAJESWARA RAO:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the Government received a memorandum from the Non-Gazetted Officers of West Godavary who would be affected by the extension of the scheme of Separation of Judiciary from the Executive to West Godavary from 1st April, 1954;

(b) the contents of the memorandum; and

(c) the action taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI K. KOTIREDDY:— Clauses (a) to (c):— No memorandum from the Non-Gazetted Officers of the West Godavari District referred to has been received, but certain resolutions passed by the third Provincial Conference of the Andhra Non-Gazetted Government Officers' Association (Central), Eluru held on 26-1-1954 regarding the provision of alternative employment to those affected by the Separation Scheme have been received and are under the consideration of the Government.

SRI B. SANKARAYYA:— A Memorandum ఎప్పుడు తగిన నిచ్చయం చేసినదే. నివాస జంటి పరుస్తూ నిచ్చయం చేసి నిచ్చయం చేయడం లేదు?

THE HON. SRI K. KOTIREDDY:— ప్రతి Resolution యే pass పై చేసినదే. ఎంతే తండ్రి నిచ్చయం చేసినదే. (1) రోజులలో తామ్మతత్వం దర్శనానికం తెలిసిన దినం సుపరింటెండెంట్లు గురి సమాచారం ఉంటుంది, దూరదర్శనానికం తెలిసి రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం నుంచి Revenue Department యే ప్రాంతాలు ఆధునిక రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం నుంచి Assistant Inspectors యేపై సమాచారం ఉంటుంది. ఈ ఎంతో ముఖ్యమైన మునిసిపాల్ కమిషన్స్ యే డిపార్ట్మెంట్ యే, ప్రభుత్వ మంత్రిత్వం యే సెక్రటరిటీ యే సుపరింటెండెంట్లు యే.
Promotions to O.S.S. Staff in the Electricity Department.

Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether any percentage of posts of Line Inspectors and Line Supervisors, is reserved for Operation Subordinate Service staff for being promoted to the said higher posts in the Electricity Department of the State Government;

(b) if so, the actual percentage of higher posts so reserved in the Andhra Power and Rayalaseema Power Systems in actual practice;

(c) whether Operation Subordinate Service employees in Electrical Department are confirmed after a particular number of months of service;

(d) whether they are provided with grades, after any particular number of months of service;

(e) if so, the maximum months of service after which they are confirmed; and
Questions and Answers

(f) the grade in which they will be confirmed in the Operation, Distribution and Generation branches of the Andhra and Rayalaseema Power Systems?

THE HON. SRI N SANJEEVA REDDY:— (a) “YES”

(b) Two out of every ten vacancies occurring in the cadre of Supervisors (Electrical) II Grade and one out of every four vacancies occurring in the cadre of Supervisors (Mechanical) II Grade are filled or are reserved to be filled by the appointment of persons borne on the workcharged establishment and the Operation Subordinate Service of the department.

The posts of Line Inspectors are being filled by candidates with L. E. R. qualification with two years' experience in Operation and Maintenance of High Tension Lines concerned or persons possessing the Third Form qualification with five years' similar experience.

(c) There is no particular period after which employees of the Operation Subordinate Service are confirmed. Members of the Service are generally confirmed after they complete the period of probation in the posts in which they are employed subject to availability of permanent vacancies.

(d) Certain categories of posts in the Operation Subordinate Service have been classified into different grades with different time scales of pay, while certain others have not been so classified into grades, but time scales of pay are applicable to them also. Members of the service are promoted to higher grade according to merit. They are entitled to increments after they have completed the period of probation of two years.

(e) and (f) Does not arise in view of the answers to clauses (b) and (d).

SRI K. KRISHNA RAO:— మారుతుంది! అందువల్ల రులు పాలు చితను సేనా పద్ధతి ప్రతిఫలించగలరు. నంది అందువల్ల యొక్కాంతం అందువల్ల అందువలూ బాగా ఇంటిసి త
rule 122 Andhra Power and Rayalaseema Power Systems for 90 percent OS S and higher grades to promote accordingly.

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:— Rule 122 Andhra Power and Rayalaseema Power Systems for 90 percent OS S and higher grades to promote accordingly.

SRI M. VEERABHADRAM:— O. S. S. Service 350 temporary promotion will be 850 percent promotions temporary. Vacancy to be filled by temporary promotions?

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:— Vacancy up to 70 percent. Vacancy will be filled by promotions temporary and post reserved.

SRI G. LATCHANNA:— Line Supervisors appointment Raw Lands 30 percent Supervisors posts to Promote Higher Posts reserve 90 percent.

THE HON SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:— Two posts are reserved out of the Vacancies 100 percent reserve question 30 percent ability and permanent Vacancy promotion accordingly.
22nd March 1954

SRI P. VENKATESWARLU:— The Operation Subordinate service 7, 8 vacancies, while 3 permanent vacancies are allotted. Two out of every ten vacancies are Grade, while 8 are temporary, while 3 are permanent, or in what way?

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:— I have understood one side of the question. I would like to know the other side of the question before I take any action.

SRI M. BAPAYYA CHOWDARY:— The principle is that permanent service rules are permanent, while temporary service rules are temporary, while permanent service rules are permanent, and temporary service rules are temporary.

THE HON SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:— I think, I would better not answer the question.

SRI M. VEERABHADRAM:— Stipulated probation temporarily, temporary service rules temporary service, temporary service rules temporary service rules?
Questions and Answers

THE HON SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:- Permanent Vacancies are open, probation and temporary Vacancies are also open. The permanent vacancies will be filled by temporary vacancies, and the regular vacancies will be filled by temporary vacancies also.

SRI T. POTHURAJU:- The temporary service will be maintained in accordance with the seniority list.

THE HON SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:- The seniority list will be maintained for both juniors and seniors and promoted accordingly.

SRI R. SIDDANNA GOWD:- Probation complete for 5, 6. Please maintain increments accordingly.

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:- Notice please.

SRI G. SATYANARAYANA:- Temporary, Permanent workers' Union issued an order to the Electrical department Chief Engineer, Labour Commissioner relating to the proposal.

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:- Order of Chief Engineer relating to the proposal.
22nd March 1954

SRI M. VEERABHADRAN:— Stipulated probation Complete in three years (6 months) of increment in the temporary service?

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEeya REDDY:—

SRI M. BAPAYYA CHOWDARY:— 5, 6 30 temporary service or pension of temporary service; 30 temporary service, which should be permanent?

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEeya REDDY:— We will consider it very seriously Sir.

SRI M. HANUMANTRA RAO:— The expanding department of functions; should the temporary service be permanent?

THE HON SRI N. SANJEeya REDDY— 7, 8 temporary service or pension of temporary service; should there be permanent?

SRI R. SIDDANNA GOWD:— The temporary service of the acting service; and Service Register?

THE HON SRI N. SANJEeya REDDY:— The topics of agenda?

MR. SPEAKER:— The question hour is over.

SRI T. LAKXMINARAYANA REDDY:— Notice to Deputy Chief Minister regarding the topics of agenda?

MR. SPEAKER:— Notice.
SRI K. KRISHNA RAO:- Is it proper to cut down the question hour by half where the rule says that the first hour every sitting shall be available for the asking and answering of questions?

MR. SPEAKER:- There was an understanding that we should take up questions only for half an hour to-day. Do you want to go back on that understanding? If the hon. Members think that the other business is less important, I have no objection to extend the time for question hour.

Rural Co-operative Societies in Revenue Villages.

* 868 Q. — SRI T. LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY :- Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state:

(a) the number of loans granted by Penukonda Marketing Society to ryots in mortgage of their stocks; and

(b) the steps that the Government are taking to extend rural Cooperative Societies in all Revenue Villages?

THE HON SRI D. SASTIVAYYA:- (a) The society has not issued any loans on the pledge of produce of its members during the past two years.

(b) It is presumed that particulars are asked for in respect of the Anantapur District. Under the Scheme introduced by Government for the reorganisation and intensive supervision of rural Credit Societies with effect from 1—2—1949, the target fixed for Anantapur District is that 50% of the villages should be covered by rural cooperatives. As on 30—6—53, 464 villages out of 888 villages in this District have been served by rural Cooperative Societies (which works out to 52.7%) the target has been reached in this District. More Societies will be organised in villages in the District, wherever found possible.
Teacher Manager of Hindu Elementary Schools in Srikakulam District.

* 369 Q.—SRI S. VEMAYYA:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state: The circumstances under which the Teacher Manager of Hindu Elementary School, Mahantiveedhi Salur of Srikakulam district, is declared to be unfit as a Manager of any School, under the proceedings Rc. No. 3385-B-7/51, dated 17th September, 1953 of the Director of Public Instruction of Madras?

THE HON. SRI S. B. P. PATTABHI RAMA RAO:— It is presumed the member refers to Mahantiveedhi. Sri Ch. Lakshminarayana, Teacher Manager of the defunct Aided Hindu Elementary School, Mahantiveedhi Salur of Srikakulam District cheated the Department and drew irregularly grants to the extent of Rs.580/- in respect of two teachers who did not actually work in his school between 18th December, 1947 and 24th April 1951. He was declared unfit after due enquiry.

Grants to the Deficit Budget of Nellore District Board.

* 370 Q.—SRI S. KASIREDDY:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether representations were made to the Government about the deficit budget of Nellore District Board to make good the deficit budget by allotment of increasing grants; and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government?

THE HON. SRI P. THIMMA REDDY:— (a) and (b): After examining the Budget of the District Board for 1953-54 in March 1953, the Government sanctioned an advance grant of Rs. 75,000/- towards its anticipated deficit in 1952-53. Subsequently, in November 1953, on a representation from
the Special Officer a further grant of Rs. 75,000/- was sanctioned towards the same deficit. The actuals for 1952-53 having become available now, the Government propose to give the Board, a final grant of Rs. 29,73/- to it for that year.

The question of giving financial assistance, if any, to the Board for the year 1953-54 will be considered after April 1954 when its actual deficit on 1-4-1954 will be known.

**Special Overseer in Kanigiri Taluk, Nellore District.**

* 371 Q —SRI G. YELLAMANDA REDDY:— Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state: whether the Government propose to appoint a special overseer in Kanigiri Taluk, Nellore District to look after the Public Works Department tanks in Kanigiri Taluk?

THE HON SRI N. SANNIEVA REDDY:—No proposal has so far been received for the appointment of a special overseer in the Kanigiri taluk.

**Sri Venkateswara Paper and Straw Board Mills, Tirupati.**

373 Q.—SRI K. ADIKESAVULU NAIYU.— Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to take over Sri Venkateswara Paper and Straw Board Mills, Tirupati; and

(b) if so, when it will start production?

THE HON SRI S B P. PATTABHI RAMA RAO:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The assets of Sri Venkateswara Paper and Straw Board Mills’ Limited were sold in public auction on 1-3-1953 and they were knocked down in favour of the Government.
The bid amount was deposited in the High Court. Orders of the Court confirming the sale of the Mills in favour of Government are awaited and on receipt of the same possession of the Mills has to be taken. After that Government will examine the whole question and decide.

**Extension of Electricity from Tungabhadra Project to the areas in Chittoor District.**

375 Q.—SRI B KRISHNAMURTHY RAO:— Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state whether there is any proposal to extend the supply of electricity from Tungabhadra Project to the areas in Chittoor District where electricity supply is not in existence?

THE HON SRI N SANJEEVA REDDY:— Proposals are being formulated by the Government Electricity Department for extending the transmission line from Kodur (where the transmission line of the Tungabhadra Hydre Electric Scheme terminates) to koniguata and Kalahasti of Chittoor District.

**District Board High School Building at Vinukonda.**

377 Q.—SRI P. VENKATA SIVAIAH:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have given any contribution to Guntur District Board;

(b) if so, whether any part of it has been specified for the completion of the District Board High School building at Vinukonda;

(c) Whether the Government have received any memorandum from the public of Vinukonda in regard to the completion of the school; and

(d) if so, the action taken thereon?
THE HON. SRI S. B. P. PATTABHI RAMA RAO:—

(a) In January 1954 the Government sanctioned an advance grant of Rs. 2/- lakhs to the Guntur District Board to cover the deficit in its Account for 1952-53, due to the payment of Dearness Allowance to its staff.
(b) No.
(c) A representation has been received from the Member in December 1953.
(d) The matter is under consideration.

Road Leading from Nuzvid to Veladam.

*378 Q.—SRI M. R. APPA RAO:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Government receive any petitions complaining about the condition of the road leading from Nuzvid to Veladam; and
(b) if so, the action taken by the Government?

THE HON SRI P. THIMMA REDDY:— (a) and (b):— The answer is in the negative.

Rayalaseema Development Board.

*379 Q.—SRI T. NAGI REDDY:— Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state:
(a) whether there is any proposal to reconstitute the Rayalaseema Development Board; and
(b) if so, when and how?

THE HON SRI D. SANJIVRYYA:—(a) No, Sir. The Rayalaseema Development Board has been ordered to be abolished. (b) Does not arise.

Mr. SPEAKER:— I have no other alternative except to go on with the other business.

We will go on till 11 O' Clock.
Privilege Motion

22nd March 1954

SRI G. LATCHANNA:— Whereas Hon. Member of the House Sri S. Kasi Reddy has been:

(a) intimidated by the Circle Inspector of Police, Podili, Nellore District on 12-3-54 at about 1 p.m., at the Darisi Police Station that he will be dealt with severely by him, since he had in the course of his speeches in the House been criticising the action of the Circle Inspector of Police, Podili, in particular;

(b) obstructed him from going over in a public bus in their journey from Kurnool to his constituency;

(c) by his rude behaviour towards the above named Hon. Member of House, tried to obstruct and intimidate the said Member in the conscientious discharge of public duties;

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDY:— Whereas 2, 3 and 6 sittings of 2nd to 3rd sitting of the House of the Hon. Member of the House Sri S. Kasi Reddy had been obstructed.

SRI C. V. K. RAO:— Whereas the Hon. Member of the House Sri S. Kasi Reddy had been:

(a) obstructed from going over in a public bus in their journey from Kurnool to his constituency;

SRI G. LATCHANNA:— Whereas the Hon. Member of the House Sri S. Kasi Reddy had been:

(a) obstructed from going over in a public bus in their journey from Kurnool to his constituency;

Mr. SPEAKER:— Polling Commences at 10 A.M. We will go on till 11 a.m.

PRIVILEGE MOTION.

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— I beg to give notice of the following privilege motion:

Whereas an Hon. Member of the House Sri S. Kasi Reddy has been:

(a) intimidated by the Circle Inspector of Police, Podili, Nellore District on 12-3-54 at about 1 p.m., at the Darisi Police Station that he will be dealt with severely by him, since he had in the course of his speeches in the House been criticising the action of the Circle Inspector of Police, Podili, in particular;

(b) obstructed him from going over in a public bus in their journey from Kurnool to his constituency;

(c) by his rude behaviour towards the above named Hon. Member of House, tried to obstruct and intimidate the said Member in the conscientious discharge of public duties;
Be it resolved:— "That the above action of the Circle Inspector of Police, Podili, constituting as it does a grave breach of the Privileges of the said Hon. Member and therefore the House, the above said matter be referred to the Committee of privileges of the House.

MR. SPEAKER:— This is my ruling.

I accept the statement of the facts made by the hon. Member as true. But I however find that absolutely no case of breach of privilege is made out for the following reasons:

That no legislator has a privilege to go to the police and question their action. If the police behaviour is unlawful the aggrieved party has to pursue remedies allowed by law. A legislator should keep his hand off the executive machinery:

That in any view of the matter, the facts do not disclose any obstruction of the duties of the M. L. A., either conscientious duties or otherwise or any intimidation.

I, therefore, decline to give my consent for moving the motion on the floor of the House.

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— On a point of order. (In Telugu).

MR. SPEAKER:— The ruling shall not be made subject matter of a debate. I have observed this very many times. Especially the hon. Member, Sri Pillalamarrri Venkateswarlu has made it a principle to debate my ruling.

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— (In Telugu).
Privilege Motion

22nd March 1954

Mr. SPEAKER: What have you done with the point of order I have raised?

MR. SPEAKER: I do not find any point of order. It is disorder to go on criticising the ruling of the Chair.

PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU: What else is it?

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU: No, Sir.

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU: It is a rejection upon the members here, if that goes without a protest. So I request you to reconsider what you have stated. You have referred to facts which we did not make from our side but which came from Government side. You have mentioned them as they were facts mentioned by us and based your ruling on them. I am not referring to the ruling as such. I am only saying that certain facts which you have mentioned in your ruling as if made by us are not the statements which we have made but which were made by the Deputy Chief Minister.

Sri T. Nagireddi got up to speak.
MR SPEAKER:— I want the hon. Member to please take his seat. No further points of order.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— In the matter of the privilege of the Member of Parliament, Mr. Narasimha Rao, it is alleged that the Member of Parliament had a prima facie case against the police for not giving compensation to the member of Parliament for the loss of the property.

MR. SPEAKER:—

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— In the matter of the privilege of the Member of Parliament, Mr. Narasimha Rao, it is alleged that the Member of Parliament had a prima facie case against the police for not giving compensation to the member of Parliament for the loss of the property.

SRI G. NAGABHUSHANAM:—I want to speak on the point.

SRI M. KUNE RAO:—I want to speak on the point.

MR SPEAKER:—No legislation has a privilege to go to the police and question their action.
Privilege Motion
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SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— Sir, our Assembly is in a very unhappy position with due deference to the Chair, that once a ruling is given, it should not be questioned. According to parliamentary procedure, once a ruling is given, it is final. On the other hand some members are questioning the ruling itself. They are elaborately discussing on merits of the judgment itself.

(Voices of “No, No.”)

It is only an appellate court that can go into the material facts. Here the ruling of the speaker is final. But once a ruling is given by the Chair, it is final and no member can dispute it but must take it.

SRI C.V.K. RAO:— Srirangapatnam (contd.)...

...
No answer.

MR. SPEAKER:— Government Motions.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— నాలకు రోజువాడు పనిచేసిన చేసిన నిశాలు లేదా అంశాలను నిశాలు అంశాల. మేము సంసారం ఇ. సంసారం కూడా raise చేసి అంశాలు మను ఇప్పుడు సంసారం ద్వారా నిశాలు అంశాలు.

MR SPEAKER:— I think it is not in keeping with the proper discipline or according to rules. I have been observing this kind of thing and I have been telling the hon. Members and more particularly the Leader of the Opposition. Next subject.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— I am very particular that we must have something told by you, whether the Chair can give a ruling on what is happening between two people, how we should behave outside and so on. The point is very clear and I want a definite ruling on the subject.

MR SPEAKER:—ఎందుకంటా రోయల్ మానవుడు యుద్ధాల రాసిన చేసిన నిశాలు లేదా అంశాలను నిశాలు అంశాల. Is it in order that the hon. the Leader of the Opposition should go on talking when the Chair is on its legs?

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— Because you say that we should go to the next subject before you give a ruling on this matter.

MR SPEAKER:— It is not proper. I am going to the next subject.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— This point of order has been raised and you have to tell us either 'yes' or 'no'. You have not stated whether this point of order as has been ruled out or is in order and for what reasons. You have given us instructions as to how we should behave etc. They are aspersions on the member who has raised the question and on other members here in this House.
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SRI K. RAJAGOPALA RAO:—... behave in such a manner as to instructions... aspersions... your ruling must be so far as the prima facie question of the privilege motion is concerned. But here there are aspersions on the members of the House which is very bad and I think, Sir, you must at all costs see that such aspersions are not made. Those aspersions should certainly be removed from your ruling. That is what we feel.

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:— Point of order raised. As per... you must give a ruling.

THE HON. SRID. SANJIVAYYA:— Speaker said, you must order... “must” be given. Order... you must give a ruling.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:—... and... must... must...
SRI K. VENKAIAH—

Privilege Motion
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* SRI K. KRISHNA RAO:—Speaker function, house members conduct conduct in the House or conduct inside the House. Any ruling conduct inside the House is unquestioning. Any ruling is final. Leave alone M. L. A's and their privileges. The right is a fundamental human right.
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Mr. Speaker,

I rise to move a Privilege Motion. The ruling that has been made restricts the rights as citizen, as human beings, beyond and the purview of your authority.

Sir, when a ruling, as rights as citizen, as human beings, restrict ruling beyond the purview of your authority.

THE HON SRI T. PRAKASAM:— Speaker said, 'arguments in such a ruling go beyond your jurisdiction. The Speaker asked whether arguments are beyond the purview of your authority. With due respect to the Speaker, arguments beyond your jurisdiction.'

(Dictate) Speaker ordered the Speaker to delete the point of order. Speaker said, 'arguments beyond your jurisdiction. The Speaker referred to the Speaker's duty to delete the point of order.

(Interuption. "No Sir.")
THE HON SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— It is nothing less than dictation.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— You cannot dictate here, you can dictate outside.

THE HON. SRI N. SANJEEVA REDDI:— You cannot dictate to Sri Prakasam.

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM:— What is the point you are raising? I do not understand. You must decide the point of order. You cannot make statements.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— I am again raising that point of order about the aspersions. The Executive officer raised objection to the point of order. Executive officers have the authority to raise objection. A member of the opposition party, Mr. B. Krishnamurthy Rao, raised objection. I must say that we walk out of the House.

MR. SPEAKER:— I am ruling out the point of order.

SRI T. NAGI REDDI:— I must say that we walk out of the House. I had raised objection that you decided the point of order even without hearing the objections.

(The opposition party then walked out of the House)

SRI B. KRISHNAMURTHY RAO:— The honourable Speaker moved an adjournment motion.

MR. SPEAKER:— No adjournment motion.
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THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move: “That with reference to sub-rule (1) of Rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, the Assembly do proceed on the date to be fixed by the Speaker to elect, according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote, 15 members to be the members of the Committee of Privileges for the financial year 1954-55.”

MR. SPEAKER:— In accordance with the regulations made by me for the conduct of elections according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote, I fix 3 P. M. on Wednesday, the 24th March 1954, as the date on or before which notice of nominations for election to the Committee of Privileges should reach the Secretary. If the number of candidates nominated exceeds the number of vacancies to be filled, namely, 15, there will be an election between 10 A. M. and 3 P. M. on Saturday, the 27th March, 1954.

2. Contribution of the House Committee.

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move: “That with reference to Rule 157 of the Assembly Rules, the House do proceed to elect 11 members to be members of the House Committee for the financial year 1954-55.”

MR. SPEAKER:— In accordance with the regulations made by me for the conduct of elections according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote I fix 3 p. m. on Wednesday, the 24th March 1954, as the date on or before which notice of nominations for election to the House Committee should reach the Secretary. If the number of candidates nominated exceeds the number of vacancies to be filled, namely, eleven, there will be an election between 10 a. m. and 3 p. m. on Saturday, the 27th March, 1954.
SRI P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am sorry I was not in the House when you gave your ruling. I came here just now. I have just been told of your ruling and the action of the Leader of the Opposition, of having walked out of the House. As far as I can understand the view of my party, the moment I came here and made inquiries and I have come to this conclusion. I feel, Sir you have been saying that members of this House should not meet officers outside and should not try to redress ills, or set right any bad feeling that may obtain outside the House. If members of this House are intimidated by officers outside I feel it is a matter which you should protect on behalf of the members and not object. Therefore I associate myself with this walk-out, and so I move out of the House.

(The member was walking out of the House)

MR. SPEAKER:— Just one word.

(The member came back to his seat.)

SRI P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:— Are you proposing to any something as Speaker to the Opposition? Are you saying, you are withdrawing your statement?

MR. SPEAKER:— The hon. Member was saying that he was not present in the House when I gave my ruling. So I request him to come to my chambers.

SRI P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:— As opposition we have arrived at a decision. We have a right to walk out, when we feel a wrong is done in this House.

The member then walked out of the House.)

III. SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS FOR 1953-54.

Discussion of the Supplementary Demands for 1953-54

THE HON SRI T. VISWANATHAM:— సిద్ధమైన, 1953-54 జూలైవరకు ప్రత్యేకం Supplementary Demands సిద్ధమైన కమిషన్ సిద్ధమైన Supplementary Demands సిద్ధమైన సిద్ధమైన Supplementary Demands సిద్ధమైన Supplementary Demands సిద్ధమైన Supplementary Demands సిద్ధమైన Supplementary Demands.

MR SPEAKER:— The House will now take up General Discussion of the Supplementary Demands for 1953-54.
SRI T. N. VENKATASUBBA REDDI :- Mr. Speaker, Sir, in opening the debate on Supplementary Demands for the current year I feel it is necessary to bring to the notice of the Government a matter about which I spoke some time back, probably at the time of the Appropriation Bill of the last budget. I mentioned then, that even though we felt that we have some sort of financial control over the finances of the State, I am constrained to say that this control this House is supposed to have is more or less ephemeral. It is true that we pass budget estimates for 50 crores and 60 crores each year under various heads. But we are led to think that there is no control by us over expenditure, by the reason that we have passed the budget, where estimates have been given for the various acts of the Government to be undertaken during the year. For in practice, it so happens that certain works or schemes of the Government get delayed for execution, while, certain other schemes need more expenditure than we have provided in the budget, and this supplementary budget is the result of such necessities. While I agree it becomes inevitable that in a vast administration of the State certain works get delayed in execution and certain works require funds not anticipated, I bring to the notice of the Government that it would probably be better if we keep this supplementary demand to the lowest minimum possible and always ask for explanations from the departments concerned for the delays in carrying out works. It will also be good for the administration, if such control on the finances of the State were exercised by this House more effectively than is usually prevalent in the whole of India. I have read in the report of the Auditor-General a summary of his opinion of the legislative control, as it ought to be, over the finances of the State. I think he would recommend, the same thing is necessary-in-all States for a proper financial control; and I hope, Sir, our State will be the first to enforce control that has been recommended by the Auditor-General.
Coming to the Supplementary Demands I have to commend Government for their determined effort in getting through many development schemes undertaken by them in the year. I feel sure that everyone will have noticed the rapid progress made in almost every department of Government, and they are bound to bring happiness to the people of the State. I have no doubt that that rapid progress would be maintained during the coming year too. In the coming year some enormous projects are contemplated by our Government. I refer to the gigantic work of Nandikonda scheme, which our Government is determined to take up, and the Krishna Regulator cum Bridge, which they have already taken up. There are many schemes of a smaller nature, but all the same, of equal importance. They are all bound to contribute to the happiness of our people. They have been undertaken by our Government, even though the finances of our State are in a difficult and precarious condition. With the zeal that our Executive is showing in the administration of the several projects I feel there is general satisfaction that we shall progress very rapidly. Apart from schemes of irrigation and electricity, our Government contemplate undertaking schemes of social service, like co-operation, hospital, public health and public development schemes. They are also being undertaken with such determination and zeal as to bring about very rapid re-orientation in the life of the people. If only our Government can have the co-operation of the people of this State and the goodwill of the Government of India, I have no doubt that our Government will accomplish an amount of work that will be a matter of astonishment for the rest of India. Sir, I commend this Supplementary Demand to the House and I hope it will be agreed to unanimously.

SRI M BAPAIAH CHOWDARY...
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THE HON. SRI T. VISWANATHAM:—I move adjourn for the reason given by the Hon. Speaker. General discussion to close now.

SRI M. BAPAIAH CHOWDARY:—On this point, it must be stated that, as the House is adjourning, 11 orders were passed during the adjournment, 10 orders of the Assembly were engaged. Documentary evidence of the same was also placed before the House, which must be considered.

THE HON. SRI T. PRAKASAM:—The H. Speaker has adjourned to the House.

SRI K. RAMAIAH CHOWDARY:—In the opposition benches, also the Speaker, Mr. K. Viparacharya, of the opposition are.

SRI K. VERADACHARI:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, at first sight the Supplementary Demands presented to the House under various heads and for very large amounts, may mean that the Government have not been careful with regard to the estimate of their expenditure under several heads for the last six months. But my own view is that the more the supplementary demands, the better. For it only shows that our Government have been very active with the result that more funds are required for further expenditure under several heads.
Apart from that, Sir, this State has been formed only six months ago, the Government had not much time to feel its own position fully. They had to rush into work so to say, and take it up seriously. Therefore there can be no objection to the supplementary demands being presented to the House at this stage for very large amounts under various heads. Sir, this being a general discussion, I feel it my duty to refer to certain matters, which I could not present to the House during the general discussion of the original budget. I wish to state that not much attention is being paid to that part of the district I represent. Chittoor district has been declared to be a district which is not to be benefited by any schemes of development, be it irrigation or electricity.

Chittoor is far away from any project that may be taken up in the State and that has been categorically stated by the Deputy Chief Minister replying to the debate on Electricity Demand. I do feel that that is not the wish of the Government - not to do anything for Chittoor district, but the impracticability of any scheme reaching Chittoor is being realized by them. I find that there is a small misapprehension in this aspect of the matter on the part of the Government. Any big project can be made to reach Chittoor district easily. The Deputy Chief Minister was evidently thinking that the estimates and plans prepared by the Government of the Composite State with regard to Nandikonda or Krishna-Pennar, etc. were prepared for a purpose which was alien to the interests of the Andhra State itself, but were primarily concerned with the benefits to be conferred on the southern districts in the Composite State. In fact, in their eagerness to take water from the Krishna to Madras City, they had to plan a course for the canal along the border of the Chittoor district through Kalahasti so that water could be taken to Chingleput and South Arcot districts leaving the district of Chittoor on the way without any supply of water at all. Myself and my friend Mr. T. N. Venkatasubba Reddi interviewed the Khosla Committee at
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Madras when they came for investigating the Krishna-Pennar project. We represented that the alignment was wrong and water from the Krishna could be taken through the Mamundur pass, to four taluks of Chittoor district, viz. Chittoor and Kalahasti on one side and Puttur and Tiruttani on the other. And this had been mentioned by the Khosla Committee in their report. Therefore, I request the Deputy Chief Minister who is in charge of Public Works to reconsider the whole matter so that any plan or project like Krishna-Pennar may include a plan for supply of water to Chittoor district, at any rate to the four taluks Chittoor, Kalahasti, Puttur and Tiruttani. I do not think it will be possible for us hereafter to give any water to Madras City or to the southern districts. The water should be utilised wholly in the Andhra State itself and Chittoor should not be neglected at any cost.

Then, even small schemes are not being attended to in Chittoor district. There is Kusbastali, which is also called Nagari river, whose waters are not utilized properly. Several villages of fertile land are left out without any proper cultivation and they are not producing any food. If suitable anicuts are constructed at Chamanta, Bugga and Kanakastapuram many villages on either side of the river can be supplied water through canals, at any rate the irrigation tanks can be supplied water. At present all the tanks are practically dry throughout the year. I request the Government to consider this scheme.

Last time I spoke about the Araniyar scheme and the Hon. Finance Minister took note of it and promised that he would look into it. The scheme is for taking away the water out of Chittoor district while the water can be utilised easily for lands within Chittoor district by constructing anicuts and channels. This is a scheme which must engage the attention of the Government immediately because the Madras Government are putting up a dam at Pitchatur and the height of the dam involves submersion of several villages in Chittoor district. This ought to be
I do not know what steps the Government have taken since then to prevent this calamity for the villages. Some of the villages like Koppedu, Palamangalam and Ramapuram are very fertile and the lands are very costly. I request the Government to see that the Madras Government lower the height of the dam so as to prevent submersion of villages in Chittoor district. I request the Government to address the Government of India also in this matter if necessary. I also suggest construction of channels on either side of the river may be taken up so that at least the tanks in the villages may be filled up. Anicuts also may be constructed at one or two convenient places. I understand that earth works are already over and the construction of the dam is likely to be taken up shortly by the Madras Government.

THE HON. SRI M. SANJEEVA REDDI: Work on the dam has been taken up already.

SRI K. VARADACHARI:—Yes, but the dam construction has not yet been taken up. The Government were incapable of objecting the dam before because we had no State. All our agitations and objections were in vain. Now at least we have power to interfere in this matter. Why don’t the Government take power into their hands and see that this dam is not constructed to the height proposed by the Madras State? After all, that scheme involves taking of water to the southern districts and not a drop is going to be left for Chittoor. I therefore suggest that this question may be taken up immediately and correspondence started with the Government of Madras to lower the height of the dam. In fact, the Government must see that as much less water as possible is taken by the Government of Madras so that some water may be used for cultivation in Chittoor District itself and food production increased.

Then, I wish to say a few words about the Irrigation conferences which are being held once in every quarter. We have got a long list of works and our Engineers are said to be investigating them. Not one of them is investigated
fully and in all the conferences we are told that they are being investigated. This work on the part of the Engineers of the district must be quickened so that several works which have been left out may be taken up immediately and when rains come our tanks will be full with water. At present all the tanks are under disrepair. A lot of work has been done in several places, but that is not enough. Much remains to be done and these tanks, if they are to serve any useful purpose, must be repaired and the Engineers must do that work briskly and with a view to help the ryots. That is all I wish to say, Sir.

SRI G. NAGAPRUSHANAM :- Mr. Speaker, Sir, I thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak on the Supplementary Demands. Mr. Varadachari said that he welcomed such Supplementary Demands. Personally, I feel similarly also, but, sometimes, I do not welcome the Supplementary budget because it only shows that Government had spent money without proper caution and care and they want more to meet their extravagance. But I have faith in our Finance Minister and I feel confident that he would have scrutinised all the items of expenditure incurred and that nothing has happened without his sanction and that all the moneys spent have been spent only for the benefit of the people. Therefore I am glad to give my support to the Supplementary Demands. I hope and trust that the moneys that are to be granted by this supplementary budget will be used for the extension of electricity and also for the construction of minor irrigation projects, especially in Rayalaseema. Sir, in Rayalaseema, there are several tanks left unrepaired. If only Government undertake tank improvement scheme in Rayalaseema, I am sure that economic development of Rayalaseema will be quickened and also we can produce more food. To give an example, in Kanekal village there is a tank which has three thousand acres of ayacut. At present only one crop is being raised there. That tank is a river-fed tank. It is fed from the river Hragari by a feeding channel. The canal has not been repaired for the last several years.
Even after it has been transferred to the Public Works Department, repairing of the canal has not been taken up. This feeding channel runs to five to six miles only. If the canal is repaired, a second crop can be raised in the ayacut of that tank. In spite of my request to the Public Works Department, the Minister and the Collector, repairs have not been undertaken and the canal continues to be in disrepair. There are several such tanks. The Kothapalle tank has an ayacut of 250 acres. If a canal is taken from Nagireddipalle, we can raise two crops under this tank. This is also a river-fed tank. I have seen the tank myself. There is another tank, known as Kanchanapalli tank. If only a few thousands of rupees are spent to repair this tank, the people of Kanchanapalle will be benefited. The tank water will be useful to raise a second crop. Therefore I request a portion of the additional moneys now granted may be utilised for the improvement of the tanks and also for the extension of electricity which is essential for the economic improvement of Rayalaseema.

Then comes the development of village industries. Village industries have to be improved to promote economic welfare of people in rural areas. It is a very common feature that usually budgets have been framed to promote the welfare of people residing in cities by giving them increased educational facilities and by supplying them electricity, and by providing cement roads and so on, at the cost of the villages. This has been going on under British Raj as well as under responsible Ministries. To increase the economic welfare of the rural folk, they should first be provided with good village roads, supply of electricity and protected water-supply, by building more wells and so on. Besides these, more money should be spent for helping the weavers as there are a very large number of them residing in villages. The money already sanctioned by Government has not reached them. Several of them are unemployed even to-day, and immediate steps should be taken to improve the lot of weavers. Thank you, Sir.
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SRI S. NARAYANAPPA:— In view of the supplementary demands raised by various departments, it is submitted that the supplementary demands should be considered in view of the recommendations of the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission has recommended the construction of the High Level Channel and the Special Division has recommended the construction of 1, 2, and 3 Special Divisions in the final report. The Engineering Department has also recommended the construction of the remunerative scheme.

This recommendation should be given due consideration.

(Signed) S. NARAYANAPPA

Assistant Secretary, Planning Commission
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"Supplementary Demands For 1953-54"

 squad certificates युवा अव्यवस्थ पुरुष श्रमिकांनी आयुक्ताने दिलीने देशाच्या नेते होत्यांनी, आपल्या विश्वासाने झोपड़ी देण्याचे आरोग्य तयार केलेले होते.

हा संबंध आसनही स्वापन दिसावर होती ही भाषणातील भाषणातील अनुचित अथवा नीती होती. संवाददातांनी आयुक्तांनी संबंधित सूचना देण्याचे आरोग्य तयार केलेले होते.

द्वितीय संबंध आसनही स्वापन दिसावर होती ही भाषणातील भाषणातील अनुचित अथवा नीती होती. संवाददातांनी आयुक्तांनी संबंधित सूचना देण्याचे आरोग्य तयार केलेले होते.

"Deputy Tahsildar" को अभ्यासी किंवा विश्वास करण्यासाठी रिपोर्ट देण्यासाठी (R. D. O.)

हा संबंध आसनही स्वापन दिसावर होती ही भाषणातील भाषणातील अनुचित अथवा नीती होती. संवाददातांनी आयुक्तांनी संबंधित सूचना देण्याचे आरोग्य तयार केलेले होते.

"Deputy Tahsildar" को अभ्यासी किंवा विश्वास करण्यासाठी रिपोर्ट देण्यासाठी (R. D. O.)

हा संबंध आसनही स्वापन दिसावर होती ही भाषणातील भाषणातील अनुचित अथवा नीती होती. संवाददातांनी आयुक्तांनी संबंधित सूचना देण्याचे आरोग्य तयार केलेले होते.

"Deputy Tahsildar" को अभ्यासी किंवा विश्वास करण्यासाठी रिपोर्ट देण्यासाठी (R. D. O.)

हा संबंध आसनही स्वापन दिसावर होती ही भाषणातील भाषणातील अनुचित अथवा नीती होती. संवाददातांनी आयुक्तांनी संबंधित सूचना देण्याचे आरोग्य तयार केलेले होते.
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[Sri S. Narayanappa] [22nd March 1954]

MR SPEAKER :- என்னை மேலும் கேட்டுநிறைய நாங்கள் நான் இந்த தேர்தல் விளக்கத்தின் வழியாக அதைச் செய்தேன். பலர் எதிரியரின் கீழே நான் இந்த விளக்கத்தை தேர்தல் விளக்கத்தின் வழியாக அதைச் செய்தேன். மற்றும் நாஞ்சு என்று நான் இந்த விளக்கத்தை தேர்தல் விளக்கத்தின் வழியாக அதைச் செய்தேன்.

SRIK. RAMAIAH CHOWDARY :- என்னை மேலும் கேட்டுநிறைய நாங்கள் நான் இந்த தேர்தல் விளக்கத்தின் வழியாக அதைச் செய்தேன். பலர் எதிரியரின் கீழே நான் இந்த விளக்கத்தை தேர்தல் விளக்கத்தின் வழியாக அதைச் செய்தேன். மற்றும் நாஞ்சு என்று நான் இந்த விளக்கத்தை தேர்தல் விளக்கத்தின் வழியாக அதைச் செய்தேன்.
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[தமிழ்]

சுருக்கிய விளக்கத்தை இறுதியாக என்று சுருக்கிய விளக்கத்தை என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்
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THE HON SRI T. VISWANATHA – Chairman, Supplementary Demands for 1953-54, suggested a scheme to accept the scheme of the Planning Commission as a preliminary control. It was also suggested that the supplementary demands of the Planning Commission be accepted. The scheme proposed by the Planning Commission was accepted. The Government of India also adopted the uniform procedure of adopting a uniform control scheme of the Planning Commission.

The Hon. S. Viswanatha suggested that large supplemental demands be made to the Estimates Committee. He suggested that the Government of India adopt a uniform procedure of adopting a uniform control scheme of the Planning Commission. The Hon. S. Viswanatha also suggested that the supplementary demands be increased.

The Hon. S. Viswanatha stated that the supplementary demands for 1953-54 were increased by 7%. In 1948-49, the supplementary demands were increased by 21%. In 1949-50, the supplementary demands were increased by 14%. In 1950-51, the supplementary demands were increased by 22%. In 1951-52, the supplementary demands were increased by 15%. The Hon. S. Viswanatha stated that the supplementary demands were increased by 7%.
Supplementary Demands For 1953-54

[Sri T Viswanatham] [22nd March 1954]

Weavers' help is also needed. Supplementary demands are made for explanations, supplementary budget explanations, supplementary budget explanations, supplementary budget explanations. Supplementary demands are made for discussion. Weavers require help and assistance. Weavers require assistance and help. Government permission is also required. Weavers require assistance and help. Weavers require help. Grants are also needed. Weavers require help and assistance. Weavers require help and assistance. Weavers require help and assistance.

MR. SPEAKER:— The House will now adjourn and meet again at 11 a.m. tomorrow (23-3-54).
The House then adjourned.
APPENDIX

List of villages in Cuddapah District included in the Community Project area.

(Vide answer to short Notice starred question No. 365 A. put by Sri V. Chidanandam, M. L. A. at the meeting of the Assembly held on 22-3-54)

BLOCK NO. 1:

CUDDAPAH TALUK:

1. Cuddapah
2. Pata Cuddapah
3. Ukkayapalli
4. Palempalli
5. Gudur
6. Akkayapalli
7. Chinnamchouk
8. Rachinayapalli
9. Krishnaapuram (Shro)
10. Ramachandrapuram (Shro)
11. Kommalur
12. Tipuravaram
13. Bayannapalli
14. Kanuparthi
15. Daulatapuram (Shro)
16. Chennamukkapalli (Jagir)
17. Chinamuthupalli
18. Rudrabharathipet
19. Dugganapalli
20. Uppanopalli
21. Nazearbegupalli
22. Ramanapalli
23. Chenur
24. Sivalpalli
25. Kokkarayapalli
26. Ravulepalli
27. Etbur
28. Miduthur
29. Tavearipalli
30. Tudumaladinne
31. Pathur
32. Bhumayapalli
33. Kothur
34. Chemullapalli
35. Dumpaleshat
36. Pullur
37. Nagasampalli
38. Khajipet
39. Rachinayapalli
40. Isukapalli
41. Mundlapalli
42. Ankayapalli (Shro)
43. Venkatesapuram (Shro)

BLOCK NO. II:

PRODOPATUR TALUK:

1. Thummaland (Shro)
2. Sarvireddipalli (Shro)
3. Kallur
19. Madur
20. Thallamarapuram
21. Vengannagaripalli (Shro)
Appendix
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4. Yerraguntlapalli (Shro)  
5. Pallavolu  
6. Ananthapuram  
7. Kutchupapa  
8. Chapad  
9. Budidapad (Shro)  
10. Chinthakunta  
11. Ansalur  
12. Sivapuram  
13. Nelatur  
14. Matchanapalli  
15. Bhallakkivaripalli (Shro)  
16. Gudipadu  
17. Annapusastrulapalli (Shro)  
18. Upparapalli  

KAMALAPURAM SUB TALUK:

32. Chinne Guravallur

BLOCK NO. III

PRODDATUR TALUK:

1. Idamadaka  
2. Kanagudur  
3. Jillella  
4. Duvvur  
5. Peddajonnavaram  
6. Vellavalli  
7. Korrapad  
8. Rajupalem  
9. Eruvapalem  
10. Tangatur  
11. Kulur  
12. Paidala

13. Proddatur  
14. Gopavaram  
15. Kamanur

JAMMALAMADUGU TALUK:

16. Balapanagudur  
17. Suddapalli  
18. Jangalapalli  
19. Uppalur  
20. Peddapudiyam  
21. J. Kottalapalli