The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Kurnool, at half an hour past Nine of the clock, Mr. Speaker (The Hon. Sri N. Venkatramaiya) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Starred Questions.

Mr. Speaker:—With regard to the questions taken over from yesterday, there will be no supplementary questions. The answers will be merely read. If I am to allow supplementary questions, then to-day’s questions will not be finished; they will be unanswered and again they will have to be reenacted and recirculated. All this causes inconvenience.

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—ఐముంది ఉపగుర్తించడానికి బాగా అసంఖ్య ప్రశ్నలు ఉన్నాయి. ఆసంఖ్య ప్రశ్నలను సాధించాలనే తయారు అనేది అందమైనది.

Mr. Speaker:—It is wholly to help members that I allow the answers to be read; it is at the request of the members that I do so. I cannot help if they are deprived of the right of putting supplementary questions. It is wholly to help members that I allow yesterday’s questions to be taken up to-day. For I am not bound to do so. So, for those questions, the answers will be read. But, for to-day’s questions, supplementary questions will be allowed.

Sri D. Seetharamayya:—శ్రీ సీతారామయ్య ఉద్యోగీ సంచారంలోని సమాధానానికి ఆసంఖ్య ప్రశ్నలు ఉన్నాయి. The Chair can allow one supplementary question on each of yesterday’s questions.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—శ్రీ పిల్లాలమార్రి వెంకటేశ్వరు అసంఖ్య ప్రశ్నలను ఉన్నాయి. The Chair can allow one supplementary question on each of yesterday’s questions.

Mr. Speaker:—I have no objection.
Chemudu Estate employees

* 161 Q.—Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) when the estate of Chemudu of Anakapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam district was taken over by the Government;  
(b) the scale of pay and dearness allowance given to the estate employees after abolition;  
(c) whether the scale of pay and dearness allowance given to the estate employees of Chemudu differs from that of the other estates, similarly taken over in our State, and  
(d) if so, why?  

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—[a] The answer is placed on the table of the House.

Sri Pilledamarri Venkateswarlu:—Pending such re-organisation, the employees can be paid only at the rates at which they were being paid by the landholders before the notified date etc., and employers can only out the same rates to the employees. Pending such re-organisation, the employees can be paid only at the rates at which they were being paid by the landholders before the notified date etc.

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—The staff is being paid at the rates at which they were being paid by the landholders before the notified date etc.

Reforms in Jails.

* 162 Q.—Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance and Law be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government have any proposal to have one woman Sub-Inspector and a few constables and a separate sub-jail for women; and  

(a) Vide Appendix at page 448 infra
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(b) the reforms to be introduced in jails by the Andhra Government?

The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) The Government are examining the recommendations made by the Jails Reforms Committee constituted by the Madras Government in 1950.

(a) women Sub-Inspectors proposal is under consideration.

(b) Jail Reforms Committee recommendations are under consideration.

Sri K. Krishnha Rao:—Women prisoners should have separate cells and separate accommodations.

The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—Women prisoners should have separate cells and separate accommodations.

Fees for issue of medical certificates for opium addicts.

*163 Q:—Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether the District Medical Officers are allowed to take any examination fees for issuing medical certificates for opium addicts for the continuation or enhancement of the previous quota and

(b) if so how much?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) Rs. 16/—

*164 Q.—Sri G. YeHamanda Reddy:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government consider to give half-grants for supplying radio sets to the Adult
Education Centres in the State in accordance with the recommendations of the Director of Public Instruction;

(b) if so, when; and

(c) if not, why?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—(a) There are no proposals to give half grants for supplying Radio sets to Adult Education centres in the Andhra State.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Originally such a proposal was considered by Composite State, but was dropped because the managements found it difficult to meet one half of the cost of the Radios.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Adult education centres are American literature are growing in numbers and are enjoyed. Does not arise.

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:— Adult education is growing in numbers and are enjoyed. Does not arise.

Grow More Food Campaign.

**165 Q:**—Sri S. Vemayya:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Grow More Food Campaign is in force in the current fasli and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:

(a) Yes.

(b) Does not arise
26th February 1954]

Sri S. Vemayya:—  ష్రీ క్రిష్ణరావు, ఎందరెండాంబటి గామ్య క్యాంపాయనాన్ని ధర్మాధికారికి ఎదురుకోవడానికి యొక్క నాణాన విస్తరం చేయడానికి జరిగింది. ఇది ఎదురుకోవడానికి సంచారాన్ని జరిగింది. నీటి సంచారాన్ని నిర్ణయించడానికి తప్పు చేస్తుంది. నీటి సంచారాన్ని జరిగింది. నీటి సంచారాన్ని నిర్ణయించడానికి తప్పు చేస్తుంది. నీటి సంచారాన్ని నిర్ణయించడానికి తప్పు చేస్తుంది.


Tax collection in Nellore Municipality.

* 166 Q:—Sri K. Krishna Rao.— Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) the percentage of current collections to the current demand of tax resources of Nellore Municipality as on 30-9-1951, 30-9-1952 and 30-9-1953;

(b) the percentage of current collection to current demand of non-tax resources of Nellore Municipality as on 30-9-1951, 30-9-1952 and 30-9-1953 and

(c) the total amount of tax resources that remained uncollected in Nellore Municipality under current and arrear demand as on 30-9-1951, 30-9-1952 and 30-9-1953?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

(a) 30-9-1951 ... 5.5 per cent if the demand subsequently settled is taken into consideratKm, otherwise it is 53.4

   30-9-1952 ... 3.9
   30-9-1953 ... 25.8

(b) 30-9-1951 ... 57.0
   30-9-1952 ... 56.7
   30-9-1953 ... 62.4
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Current         Arrear.

(c) 30-9-1951 2,70,951 including 11,942 45,196
the demand fixed
subsequently, other-
wise it is.

30-9-1952        2,77,425 2,69,363
30-9-1953        2,24,314  2,36,778

Sri K. Krishna Rao:— ప్రశ్నలు, వ్యక్తి అనేకను
collect బాగా. మరితడం కోసం administration report ఉంటుంది.
27.4 డాక్టర్ తుంగా వేసే సంచాలనలో, వారు warn చేసారు. 
తేడాగా తాతకుల దాటిని ఎందుకంది? డాక్టర్ తను హోస్టీల్స్
యేది rent-payers తో tax రీతిని బాలుడు అస్వాభావికంగా.
వేరు మాదిరి తప్పని కాలంలో half years tax bills తెలియా||
rate-payers తో serve చేసారు. మా సేవలు tax రీతినితే సాధనాలు
ఎందుకంది దీనితే కూడా నిలిచాడు. డాక్టర్ అనేతనం మీదిచున్నడు?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:— అడుగులు అధీన రాశలేదు,
1951 వల్ల tax revision అయింది. అయితే 8 రో రే
revision petitions చేసారు. మరింత పరిశీలనలు సంచారం
serve చేసారు, ఆయా లేదు సంచారం చేసారు సంచారం సంచారం.
ఇందుకు 52-53 వర్షాల రే collections చేసారు. పినికా దేశంలో అనే
మాదిరి కాలంలో. అధికారుల కాలు కూడా పినికా అనే
మాదిరి కాలంలో.

Poramboke cultivation in Nellore district:

* 168 Q.—Sri K. Krishna Rao: Will the Hon. the
Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state (a) the total
extent of poramboke cultivation in Nellore district in fasli
1362; (b) the extent of such cultivation for which enhanced
assessment was charged, and, (c) the average rate per acre
at which enhanced assessment was charged?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—(a) The total extent of
poramboke cultivation in Nellore district during fasli 1362
was Acs. 71,882.30.
(b) The extent of such cultivation for which enhanced
assessment was charged was Acs. 225.92.
(c) The average rate per acre at which the enhanced
assessment was charged was Rs. 4.
Sri K. Krishna Rao:—1361 $ xber* average $x
enhanced average assessment $ 1092 $ xber*?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—Notice.

_Probationers in the Co-operative Department._

* 169 Q.—Sri P. Venkatasubbiah: Will the Hon. the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state—
(a) whether it is a fact that the declaration of probation of the subordinates of the Co-operative Department though satisfactorily completed is delayed; and
(b) if so, in how many cases there have been delays for over one year in the cadres of Junior Inspectors, Senior Inspectors and Co-operative Sub Registrars in the State?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya :—(a) Yes, Sir. In these cases, the probation completed could not be declared as satisfactory as charges framed against them are pending disposal.

(b) Co-operative Sub-Registrars 2
Senior Inspectors 2
Junior Inspectors 5

Sri M. Veerabhadram :—Co-operative Sub-Registrars second language pass $ 1092 ? $ 1092 ?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya :— $ 1092 $ 1092 $ 1092

_Rangers in the State._

*171 Q.—Sri S. Vemaiah: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state—
(a) the number of Rangers; and
(b) the number of Harijans (in each category) among them in the State?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi :—(a) 89.
(b) one (There is only one category of Rangers.)
(a) 89 $ 1092 .
(b) 1 (a K category $ 1092 $ 1092 )
Sri S. Vemaiah:—~యాదాద్రి చేసిన జాతి కొంత భాగము నేతృత్వ కలిగి ఉండేది ఎంతమం? 

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—ఇది సాఫ్టెచ్ చేసేది దినపు సమయంలో ప్రతిసమర్పించబడింది. 

Gruel centres for weavers. 

* 172 Q.—Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state— 
(a) the number of gruel centres opened in the whole State for weavers; and 
(b) the number of gruel centres opened in Anantapur district? 

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—
(a) 46
(b) 7

* 174 Q.—Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—
(a) whether he declared on 19th November addressing a Conference of Ministers and departmental officers that he would not hesitate to scrap Prohibition; and 
(b) if so, when he intends to put his word into effect? 

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:—
(a) What the Chief Minister observed on 19-11-1953, addressing a Departmental Conference, was that if the Department should be unable to enforce Prohibition strictly, for his own part, he would not hesitate to scrap the scheme. 
(b) The Government have already decided to constitute a Committee to go into the question among others, of retention or otherwise of Prohibition and the matter will be further examined on receipt of the recommendations of the Committee.
26th February 1954]
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The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam :—Collectors' conference ^
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Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi:—Will the

Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state: whether
the Government have any proposal to release all prisoners
convicted for political offences?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—There are no

prisoners in this State convicted for political offences and
the question of their release does not therefore arise.

Political Prisoners

* 175 Q. Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi :—Will the

Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state: whether
the Government have any proposal to release all prisoners
convicted for political offences?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :—There are no

prisoners in this State convicted for political offences and
the question of their release does not therefore arise.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—Sr^b&3 oer* <9J&;Xgr*<ggb.

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—C. V. K. Rao

s6ae 6a0t&3 j_; =a

Tahsildars

* 176 Q. Sri S. Vemiah:—Will the Hon. the Minister

for Land Revenue be pleased to state :

(a) the number of Tahsildars in the State, and
(b) the number of Harijans out of them?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi :—Clause (a) 189

Clause (b) Nil
Sri S. Vemiah:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance and Law be pleased to state—

(a) In the case of Sri Kailasanadhaswami temple in Brahmadevam, Nellore Taluk:—

Whether it is a fact that the auction for leasing the wet lands of the temple, was not conducted for the past 15 years and if so, why?

(b) whether it is a fact that 62 acres of wet land belonging to the temple was entrusted to the trustee of the temple on an annual lease of 18 puttis of paddy for the past 15 years;

(c) whether the accounts of the temple are audited every year during the past 15 years and whether any items of expenditure towards repair of the dilapidated temple, or on daily and annual ritual and festivities were incurred during the 15 years and if so, how much under each head; and

(d) the action taken by the Government and the commissioner for Religious Endowments, on the representations made about the Temple and its present position, by the inhabitants of the village?

The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—(a) No. Prior to Fasli 1360, the lands in the possession of the trustee were being leased out by private negotiations on "madipalu" system i.e., for one half of the produce. Public auction was conducted for the purpose from Fasli 1360 onwards.

(b) No.

(c) No. The accounts of this temple were audited for the first time for Fasli 1361. No appreciable amount was spent for the repairs of the temple or on daily and annual rituals and festivals.

(d) No complaint or representation was received prior to October 1952. A complaint was received from the villagers of Brahmadevam on 25-10-1952, and their complaint was received from Sri K. Krishna Rao, M. L. A. on
26th February 1954

14-12-1952 and the matter was fully enquired into by the Assistant Commissioner, Nellore and instructions were issued to the trustee for conducting a public auction for the lease of the temple lands.

(a) 1360 Rs. was fixed for 15 acres out of the temple lands for public auction. Private negotiations were ruled out. The Assistant Commissioner instructed the trustee for conducting a public auction for the lease of the temple lands. 1360 Rs. was fixed for public auction.

(b) 131 Rs. 62 cents was fixed for 62 acres for public auction. Private negotiations were ruled out.

(c) The accounts were audited.

(d) A complaint was filed on 25-10-1952 and another complaint on 26-10-1952. The Assistant Commissioner instructed the trustee for conducting a public auction for the lease of the temple lands.

Sri K. Krishna Rao:—62 acres were fixed for 15 acres. A complaint was filed on 18th of the month. The Assistant Commissioner instructed the trustee for conducting a public auction for the lease of the temple lands.

The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—62 acres were fixed for 40 acres. A complaint was filed on the 18th of the month. The Assistant Commissioner instructed the trustee for conducting a public auction for the lease of the temple lands.

Sri K. Subba Reddi:—40 acres were fixed for 40 acres. A complaint was filed on the 18th of the month. The Assistant Commissioner instructed the trustee for conducting a public auction for the lease of the temple lands.
26th February 1954

The Hon. Sri Viswanatham:—The State Government have allotted Rs. 2 lakhs to the combined Madras State for the welfare of the Backward Classes.

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—Whether the Government of India have allotted a grant-in-aid of Rs. 2 lakhs to the combined Madras State for the welfare of the Backward Classes?

The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—Private auditors serve the public audit system.

Sri K. Krishna Rao:—Is there a lease or licence system for leaseholds?


The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—Whether the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to State:

(a) whether the Government of India have allotted a grant-in-aid of Rs. 2 lakhs to the combined Madras State for the welfare of the Backward Classes.
26th February 1954]

(b) if so, whether the Government have taken steps to apportion the said grant between Andhra and Madras States;
(c) if so, the agreed basis for the apportionment and the share of the Andhra State therefrom.
(d) whether the Government have formulated schemes for the utilisation of the said grant towards the welfare of the Backward Classes; and
(e) if so, what the details of the schemes are?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—(a) Yes, sir.
(b) to (e) : The matter is under consideration.
(a) సందర్భం (b) - (c) : అరంగాంశాలు కల్పించిన

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—చెల్లించింది 58-54 నం రాష్ట్రాల.
58-54 సంవత్సరాల మాండి రాష్ట్రాల, మామల్లో తాంత్రికంగా?
పాల్గొట్టి బాహులు కానీ రాష్ట్రాల రాష్ట్రాల? కాల్గాల లేదా
కాల్గాల రాష్ట్రాల రాష్ట్రాల?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—రాష్ట్రాల రాష్ట్రాల రాష్ట్రాల.
రాష్ట్రాల రాష్ట్రాల రాష్ట్రాల.

Sri P. Pundarikakshacharyulu:—ఎందుకంటి విషయం లేదా?
ఎందుకంటి విషయం లేదా?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—శుఇ కష్టం కష్టం కష్టం.
నేటి కష్టించండి కష్టించండి.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—ఎంపు నమస్కారం లేదా?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya :—డైనించండి మనించండి,
డైనించండి మనించండి లేదా?

Sri S. Narayanappa :—శుఇ బాధ్యత వివిధ బాలుల బాధ్యత వివిధ బాలుల.
శుఇ బాధ్యత వివిధ బాలుల బాధ్యత వివిధ బాలుల.
The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—The Government of India grant. 

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—As stated in the replies to previous questions, the Backward Classes Advisory Committee constituted.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—The Government of India grant. 

Sri L. Lakshmanadas:—The grant to the backward classes.

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd:—The grant to the backward classes.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—The grant to the backward classes.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—The grant to the backward classes.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—The grant to the backward classes.

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—The grant to the backward classes.
26th February 1954]

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:— "The State is now starting welfare work for backward classes in the district level development.

Honours colleges in Rayalaseema

*179 Q.—Sri M. R. Appa Rao:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Education and Industries be pleased to state—Whether there is any proposal to start Honours Colleges in Rayalaseema?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—The matter is under examination.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:— Science department of well-developed universities have Post Graduate courses developed. The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—There is a proposal to start science departments in well-developed universities.

Sri D. Seetharamayya:—The authority is pleased to start science departments in well-developed universities.

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—The authority is pleased to start science departments in well-developed universities.
Sri P. Pundarikakshacharyulu:— proposals సంఖ్యాకారంలో ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చును?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—ఆమ్మ నిలబడిన ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చును?

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—అంతే వైపు అంశాలలో భిగీసి ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చు?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—విశేషానికి అనేక ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చును. అందుకే అగ్రధామం అంటే మీ కత్తను ఆశ్చర్యం చేసే తోండి.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—సున్నికి ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చు?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—విశేషానికి అనేక ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చును. ఆశ్చర్యం కత్తను మీ కత్తను ఆశ్చర్యం చేసే తోండి.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—అంతే వైపు అంశాలలో భిగీసి ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చు?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:— భిగీసి ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చు?

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— అంతే వైపు అంశాలలో భిగీసి ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చు?

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:— భిగీసి ప్రశ్నలు చేయవచ్చు?
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The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—

Sri T. N. Venkatasubba Reddi:—

The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao:—
Papireddipalem Tank-bed; Nellore Dt.

* 180 Q.—Sri K. Krishna Rao:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the tank bed of Papireddipalem in Nellore taluk was abandoned and lands in the tank bed assigned to a number of persons for cultivation in the period between 1942-1951 by the Collector of Nellore and the Government;

(b) Whether it is a fact that tank bed lands of Papireddipalem, Nellore taluk were under the cultivation of a number of agricultural labourers for over five years under the Grow More Food Scheme;

(c) Whether it is a fact that the agricultural labourers who were cultivating the tank bed in clause (b) for five years or more, were, instructed this year by the Revenue authorities of Nellore District not to cultivate them in this fasli, and if so why; and

(d) The reasons for instructing those labourers not to cultivate them in this fasli?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—Clause (a) As the tank was first ordered to be abandoned and the entire extent of the tank bed was thrown open for assignment, formal orders of assignment were issued to certain political sufferers in 1950, but neither possession nor pattas had been given to them. Later, since the ryots of the village objected to the abandonment of the tank, the Government after consulting the Public Works Department authorities issued revised orders postponing the assignments. The whole question is under reconsideration of the Government.

Clauses (b), (c) and (d): There was unauthorised cultivation in the tank bed for some years past. As it is now considered to be detrimental to the ayacut under the tank, the cultivation was treated as objectionable and no permission has been granted under the Grow More Food Scheme. In August 1953 when the cultivation of the tank bed was attempted on a large scale, the Sub Collector, Gudur apprehended breach of peace and issued orders under section 144 prohibiting cultivation of the tank bed. It has since been reported that the encroachers were convinced about the objectionable nature of the encroachments and left out the deep bed of the tank from occupation.

Sri T. N. Venkata Subba Reddi: Sir, from the answer of the Hon. Minister it is noticed that the previous authori-
ties sanctioned the cultivation of these abandoned tanks, but under representation from the people, the subsequent authority found that these tanks could be repaired and the ayacut could be again brought under cultivation. Sir, cases like this have happened, where tank-beds of abandoned tanks and breached tanks have been assigned and allowed to be cultivated and then resumed. So, in view of this will the Government examine this matter and see that no breached or abandoned tank-beds are assigned. Will the Government go into this matter at Secretariat level and issue once for all orders on this matter?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—

Sri B. Sankarayya:—

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—

Sri B. Sankarayya:—

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—

Sri B. Sankarayya:—
The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—X x o o penalties and later will have to abandon tanks which are not useful.

Village Panchayats

*181 Q.—Sri S. Narayanappa:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether a panchayat for each village in the State will be constituted in the immediate future; and

(b) the steps so far taken in this direction?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—(a) and (b) The question is under consideration.

Sri S. Narayanappa:—I am very much pleased to hear that the question is under consideration. I am, however, a little disappointed that the steps so far taken in this direction have not been very substantial.

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—I am very much pleased to hear that the question is under consideration. I am, however, a little disappointed that the steps so far taken in this direction have not been very substantial.

Sri K. Venkayya:—
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The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

Sri V. Rama Rao:—

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

Sri G. Rama Rao:—

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd:—

The Hon. Sri P. Thimama Reddi:—

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd:—
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The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—The honorable court issued writs 20, 30

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—As the court has issued writs, wardswar
and other matters have been dealt with.

Sri G. Sivasankara Reddi:—I submit to the honorable court,
whether the court has issued writs?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—Yes, the honorable court
has issued writs.

Sri K. Veeranna Padal:—Whether the honorable court
has issued writs?

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—Yes, the honorable court
has issued writs.

Sri C. Subbarayudu:—The honorable court has issued writs.

Questions and Answers
26th February 1954]

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi:—

(a) whether the estimates for remodelling of Kurnool-Cuddapah Canal with a view to increase the Ayacut under the Canal were submitted to the Government of India;

(b) whether the estimates for Tungabhadra High Level Canal have been submitted to the Government of India;

(c) Whether the plans and estimates for Nandikonda Project have been submitted to the Government of India;

(d) If the answer to (a), (b) and (c) are in the affirmative, whether they have been submitted for the inclusion in the Five Year Plan, and if not, why;

(e) Whether any replies have been received; and

(f) Whether a copy of the estimates and the plans submitted by the State Government, and the replies given by the Government of India will be placed on the table of the House?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—

(a) and (b) the answer is in the affirmative.

(c) It is presumed that the hon. Member is referring to the Right Side Canal of the Project with which this Government are concerned. If so, the answer is in the affirmative.
(d) The answer is in the affirmative.
(e) Yes, the matter is under correspondence with Planning Commission.

(f) The Government do not propose to place copies of the plans and estimates relating to the scheme as the matter is voluminous and cost and labour involved in the preparation of copies will not be commensurate with public interest likely to be served. As the correspondence with the Government of India has not reached a conclusive stage the Government regret their inability to place copies of replies before the House.

[a] and [b]:—

[c]:—

[d]:—

[e]:—Planning Commission

[f]:—Correspondence

Sri G. Nagabhushanam:—The Hon. Minister himself was present in the Ragullapad Conference which demanded the immediate execution of the Tungabhadra High Level Canal. Will the Hon. Minister explain why it is not included in the Five Year Plan and not executed?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi: I was there no doubt, but not as a Minister; for I was not a Minister then; the question of the scheme’s inclusion or exclusion in the Five Year Plan is not in the hands of the Minister. That is his unfortunate position.

Sri K. Venkayya:—
[26th February 1954]

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :- Rough estimates are generally made in the absence of actual plans and are based on certain assumptions. The estimates for the High Level Canal were made on the assumption that the canal would be 12.56 miles long and have a capacity of 120 cusecs. The channel itself was assumed to be 25 feet wide and 18 feet deep.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi :- The capacity of the canal is 3 cusecs, which is insufficient. The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :- The capacity of the canal is 3 cusecs, which is insufficient. The proposed design is based on the assumption that the canal will have a capacity of 120 cusecs. The channel itself is assumed to be 25 feet wide and 18 feet deep.

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :- Rough estimates of cusecs are always made in the absence of actual plans and are based on certain assumptions. However, the actual plans will depend on the flowing pressure and the available data. The estimates for the High Level Canal were made on the assumption that the canal would be 12.56 miles long and have a capacity of 120 cusecs. The channel itself was assumed to be 25 feet wide and 18 feet deep. The estimated capacity of the channel itself is 25 feet wide and 18 feet deep. The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :- The capacity of the canal is 3 cusecs, which is insufficient. The estimated capacity of the channel itself is 25 feet wide and 18 feet deep. The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi :- The capacity of the canal is 3 cusecs, which is insufficient. The estimated capacity of the channel itself is 25 feet wide and 18 feet deep.
Mr Speaker:—How many questions you are putting?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—The Hon. Sri K. Venkatasubbaiah: — Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state:

[a] the different kinds of Inam lands and different rates of land revenue on them and;

[b] whether the land revenue levied on the ryotwari lands is greater than that levied on the inam lands?


Sri L. Lakshmana Das:—The Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) Vide Appendix II at page 449 infra.
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Harijan Welfare Department in Srikakulam Di.

* 184 Q. Sri P. Gunnayya:—Will the Hon’ble the Minister for Planning and Public Health be pleased to state:
(a) the strength of the staff appointed by the Government for Harijan Welfare work in Srikakulam District:
(b) whether the Government propose to increase the staff:
[c] The work done by the Harijan Welfare Department in the district.
[d] Whether the Government propose to take any further steps for their welfare?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—[a] Collectors' office.
One District Welfare Officer of the grade of the Tahsildar.
One Upper Division Clerk.
One Special Revenue Inspector.
One Special Overseer.
Two Peons.

Government Hostel, Srikakulam.
One Warden.
One Pcon.
One Sweeper.
One Dhoby.
Two cooks.

[b] The Collector has asked for employment of additional staff and the matter is under consideration.
[c] Provision of house sites for Harijans:— The Collector has under consideration proposals to acquire house sites in 31 villages and in two cases awards have been passed. The remaining cases are in initial stages.

Education:—There are five subsidised hostels and one Government hostel in the district. The inspection of the hostels is done by the District Welfare Officer. There are no Harijan Welfare Schools in the district.

A sum of Rs. 1800/- has been allotted to the district for grant of non-residential scholarships for this year.
[26th February 1954]

Wells, Sanitary amenities etc:—An amount of Rs. 44,830/- has been allotted in the current year for providing sanitary amenities and wells for Harijans.

[d] Proposals for opening of a Harijan Welfare School at Luttapeta Village and two subsidised hostels in Cheepurupalli and Parvathipuram taluks are under consideration of the Director of Harijan Welfare.

Sri S. Vemayya:—Welfare schools కొనసాగించడానికి అంశం వచ్చింది. Welfare schools తీసుకునే మొత్తం రూ. 44,830/-తో ఉండాలి?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—民政局40 ఛానాములను నాశ్చలించడానికి అంశం వచ్చింది. Municipality తీసుకునే మొత్తం రూ. 44,830/-తో ఉండాలి.

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—Welfare schools కొనసాగించడానికి అంశం వచ్చింది. Welfare schools తీసుకునే మొత్తం రూ. 44,830/-తో ఉండాలి.

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—Acknowledgments ఆమ్ది 80 దేశాన్ని నాసావింది. Acknowledgments ఆమ్ది 80 దేశాన్ని నాసావింది.

26th February 1964]

Sri S. Narayanappa:—

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Sri G. Joseph:—

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd:—

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Sri G. Joseph:—
The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Sri M. Venkatasubba Reddi:—

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Sri C. Subbarayudu:—

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—
26th February 1954]

Sri G. Bapanayya:— Is the Government considering labour Schools for Harijans? Are the Harijans worthy of such schools?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—The Harijans have not yet come forward to request for labour schools. Labour schools are only for Harijans.

Sri S. Kasi Reddi:—Are the Government considering labour schools for Harijans? If so when?

The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya:—Yes, the Harijans will be considered for labour schools. The assignment of house sites for Harijans will be made as early as possible.

Koilkunta Harijans

*185 Q.—Sri M. Venkata Subba Reddi:—Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state:

[a] whether the Government had received an application from Harijans of Koilkunta requesting for the assignment of S. No. 455 for house sites;
[b] if so when; and
[c] how long the Government will take for the assignment of the house sites for Harijans?

The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi:—Clauses [a] & [b]:—Yes, Sir. Applications were received in batches on 7-7-1949, 7-9-1949, 4-2-1950, 23-3-1950, 24-3-1950 and 27-3-1950.

Clause [c]:—The matter is under correspondence between the Collector of Kurnool and the District Board, Kurnool. The assignment will be made as early as possible.

Electricity in Hindupur Taluk for agricultural purposes.

*187 Q.—Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi:—Will the Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

[a] the number of ryots who are using electricity in Hindupur taluk for agricultural purposes; and
[b] why it is not extended to the whole taluk?

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—[a] 39
[b] Electricity could not be extended as the prospective consumers had not come forward with voluntary loan contributions as required by the existing rules for supply of power.
II. MOTIONS.

(1) PRIVILEGE MOTION RE: USE OF ASSEMBLY CHAMBER

Mr. Speaker:—I will give my ruling on the privilege motion given notice of by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah.

The Hon. Member who gave notice of the motion was not able to cite any authority showing that the holding of the conference in question in the Legislative Assembly Hall constitutes a breach of privilege. On the other hand, there are precedents where the hall of the Madras Legislative Council as it was then called was used for holding some conferences. The motion is therefore ruled out of order.

Hereafter I will consider the nature of the purpose for which the hall will be required and exercise my discretion for giving the hall or otherwise.

(2) PRIVILEGE MOTION RE: CERTAIN STATEMENTS MADE BY THE CHIEF MINISTER RELATING TO PROHIBITION

Mr. Speaker:—With regard to the privilege motion given notice of by Sri Bapayya Chowdari, the statements complained of do cause embarrassment to the Committee and the prospective witnesses the Committee might examine and would better have been avoided. But inasmuch as the Committee was not one appointed by the Assembly but was appointed by the Government, the House cannot complain of any breach of privilege. The motion is therefore ruled out of order.
III GOVERNMENT BUSINESS.

RESOLUTION, re:

Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:—Sir, I move:

Whereas Section 28, sub-section (2), of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (Central Act XXX of 1953) empowers the President to appoint a date earlier than the 1st January, 1956 for the establishment of a separate High Court for the State of Andhra if a resolution recommending such earlier establishment is submitted to him after having been adopted by the Legislative Assembly of the State;

And Whereas under the proviso to sub-section (4) of Section 28 aforesaid, if a resolution recommending any place for the principal seat for the High Court of Andhra is adopted by the Legislative Assembly of the State, such place shall be appointed by the Governor of Andhra as the principal seat;

NOW, THEREFORE, this Assembly recommends—

(a) that a separate High Court for the State of Andhra shall be established as from the 26th day of June, 1954; and

(b) that the principal seat of the High Court of Andhra shall be at Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam District.

Mr. Speaker:—The motion is:

WHEREAS Section 28, sub-section (2) of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (Central Act XXX of 1953) empowers the President to appoint a date earlier than the 1st January, 1956 for the establishment of a separate High Court for the State of Andhra if a resolution recommending such earlier establishment is submitted to him after having been adopted by the Legislative Assembly of the State;

AND WHEREAS under the proviso to sub-section (4) of section 28 aforesaid, if a resolution recommending any place for the principal seat for the High Court of Andhra is adopted by the Legislative Assembly of the State, such place shall be appointed by the Governor of Andhra as the principal seat;

NOW, THEREFORE, this Assembly recommends—

(a) that a separate High Court for the State of Andhra shall be established as from the 26th day of June, 1954; and

(b) that the principal seat of the High Court of Andhra shall be at Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam District.

There are several amendments given to this resolution. They may be moved one after another.
The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:—I have to move an amendment to my own resolution. I move:

In part (a) of the resolution, for the date and words "26th day of June, 1954" substitute the date and words, "5th day of July, 1954".

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—I move:
In part (b) of the resolution, after the words "the High Court of Andhra shall", insert the word 'temporarily'.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—I move:
In part (b) of the resolution, for the words "Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam district", substitute the words "Guntur-Vijayawada in Guntur and Krishna Districts".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—I move:
"In Part (b) of the resolution for the words "Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam district" substitute the words "Kurnool in the Kurnool District".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Mr. Speaker:—The amendment moves.

(Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao stood to move his amendment)

Mr. Speaker:—My amendment, అయితే స్థాయివంతుత్తుంది కాదని?

Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao:—మీ నిషేదించండి ఉంటాయి?

Mr Speaker:—అంగా మీదరు ఉన్నాయి.

Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao:—I move:
"In Part (b) of the resolution, for the words "Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam District", substitute the words "Guntur in Guntur District".

Mr Speaker:—మీద ఉన్నాయి.

Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao:—I move:
"In Part (b) of the resolution, for the words "Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam District", substitute the words "Guntur in Guntur District".
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The amendment was duly seconded by Sri Pragada Kotaiah.

In part (b) of the resolution, for the words "Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam District", substitute the words "Guntur in Guntur District".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—I move:

In part (b) of the resolution, for the words "at Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam district", substitute the words "temporarily at Guntur in Guntur district".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri K. Ranga Rao:—I move:

1. In part (a) of the resolution, delete the words "26th day of June 1954" and substitute the words "from the 1st day of May 1954".

2. In part (b) of the resolution delete the words "at Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam district" and substitute the words "at Guntur in the Guntur District."

3. In part (b) of the resolution delete the words Visakhapatnam in the Visakhapatnam District" and substitute the words "Guntur in the Guntur District temporarily until the location of the permanent Capital of Andhra State is decided."

The amendments were duly seconded.

Mr. Speaker:—Now both the resolution and the amendments will be under discussion.

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:—Sir, the resolution is a self-contained one. It has become necessary to place this resolution before the hon. Members of this House and obtain their sanction before the next step is taken under the Constitution.

Mr. Speaker:—Now both the resolution and the amendments will be under discussion.

The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam:—Sir, the resolution is a self-contained one. It has become necessary to place this resolution before the hon. Members of this House and obtain their sanction before the next step is taken under the Constitution.
[The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam] [26th February 1954]

Sri P. V. R. Gajapathi Raju:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, somehow or other, I felt Mr. Prakasam would give adequate reasons for the Government selecting Visakhapatnam as the seat of the High Court. He has failed to do so and I hope the Government will utilise its final reply for giving the reasons. So far as the Opposition is concerned and on behalf of my own party, we feel that in deciding a question of this nature Government should have some principle or other. Without a principle there is no point in making a decision of this nature. When the Andhra State was formed, the general opinion of the public was that great benefit would accrue to the State with indigenous administration and that apart from this fact, the location of a capital and other incidental departments would be utilised for the development of an urban centre in the State. This was the basic idea and as far back as 1936 in anticipation of the formation of the State as the Sri Bagh pact was entered into and accepted by the Congress and a formula was arrived at whereby the capital...
would be in one centre, the High Court would be in another centre and the University would be in a third centre. The idea then was that these three institutions would help three centres to develop, and in Andhra we could have three cities developed simultaneously. Today we have the Capital at Kurnool, the University at Visakhapatnam and therefore in pursuance of Sri Bagh pact another city should be chosen for the High Court. My party does not accept to commit itself to the Sri Bagh pact as such, but I am reminding the Congressmen that their own pact is being violated when they propose to establish the High Court at a place where the University is already situated. Apart from this we feel that for the best administration of the State, the Capital and the High Court should be situated in the same place. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah has sent in two amendments, one proposing that the High Court should be situated at Guntur and the other, that it should be situated at Kurnool proper itself. If the administration is to succeed, then both the High Court and the Capital should be situated in the same place. Unfortunately, you may say that Kurnool cannot house the High Court to-day. That is a valid reason. However there exists only one such State in India, that is the Uttar Pradesh, where the High Court and the Capital are situated in two different places, Lucknow and Allahabad.

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi: What about the Travancore Cochin State?

Sri P. V. R. Gajapathi Raju:—It is a new State, and...

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—Ours also is a new State.

Sri P. V. R. Gajapathi Raju:—However that was an amalgamation of two States and therefore there was the necessity for two centres. I started by saying that according to the Sri Bagh pact there should be three different centres. But if you admit that you are violating it, that is a different thing. If you want the High Court and the Capital in the same area, we have no objection. But that is not the position. If you are to hold that convenience should be the guiding principle, today as far as I can see, if any question of filing a writ against Government orders or any emergent move has to be made on behalf of the people to protect their own rights, a man from Chittoor will take three days to reach Visakhapatnam. The convenience,
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as far as I can see, does not exist for the Rayalaseema people to go to Visakhapatnam. Apart from this, if the Andhras are interested in Hyderabad as the permanent Capital, we could remain in Madras for the next 1½ years. This unnecessary haste in making the decision also upsets our plans. The Praja Socialist Party had thought in terms of moving the permanent Capital to Guntur-Vijayawada. We had suggested the date as the 1st April 1955. Mr. Prakasam, on behalf of the Government, accepted the date at one particular point in the Capital debate but later withdrew from the whole position. Whatever it may be, at the moment that the debate was going on, regional feelings were incensed. In the same manner today if you go to Visakhapatnam and at some future date you propose to change if Visalandhra is not formed, then regional feelings again will be incensed, because there is no doubt in my mind that if you want to have a Capital for Andhra, if you want to build a permanent centre, if you want to have a centre where the administrative convenience should be the criterion, then it must be central and must be easily accessible to all people in the State. Kurnool is admittedly only a temporary Capital and if Visalandhra is not formed, some decision has to be taken on this issue. If the logic of the Government is that you are going to move at some future date also the Capital to Visakhapatnam, then I can understand the position. In the resolution on the Capital issue, Government thought that it would move the Capital to Visakhapatnam. But they have gone back on that. Therefore, the Government does not propose to take the Capital to Visakhapatnam and therefore I do not see the necessity to take the High Court to Visakhapatnam. As a political compromise in this issue, the High Court should be taken to a centre where there is administrative convenience and also to a place where there are no political considerations or interests behind it. So far as the High Court is concerned, I know that I am putting myself in rather a delicate position. My lawyer friends in Visakhapatnam and elsewhere may accuse me of robbing Visakhapatnam of the chance of having the High Court. As far as litigation itself is concerned, I may say that the central districts are far more litigant than the Visakhapatnam district. More clients come from Guntur, Krishna and Rayalaseema than from Visakhapatnam. From the central area like Guntur, nearly 250 or 240 cases come every year, while only about
26th February 1954] [Sri P. V. R. Gajapathi Raju]

170 cases come from Visakhapatnam area. Therefore, from the clients' point of view, a central place for the High Court would be very helpful. Apart from this, some of my Rayalaseema friends are saying that to facilitate the administration of the State a Division Bench be instituted in the Rayalaseema area. My friend, Sri Vema Reddi—he is also a lawyer—suggested that to me in a conversation, in case the High Court is situated in Visakhapatnam. But if the High Court is situated in Guntur, no Division Bench is necessary to be instituted in the Rayalaseema area, because Guntur is within about ten hours journey by rail from any place in Andhra. Apart from this, so far as the High Court personnel itself is concerned, there will be no question of deciding who would constitute the Bench in Rayalaseema. Further if the Division Bench is to exist in Kurnool proper, no other centre in Rayalaseema would be used for the purpose. If you are going to have a Division Bench in Kurnool and the main High Court in Visakhapatnam, it will be a duplication of the administration and it will be most convenient to the litigant public if we have the High Court proper at Guntur itself. I support the amendment moved by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah.

Sri. T. Potharaju:—

...
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మాహితిచారించండి, నేపథ్యానికి ఉత్తరాంభించి ఇన్నింగా ప్రతిబింబించాలి. 
మూడు సంఖ్యలు కొలిచే నేపథ్యం నుండి వచ్చి, దీనిని నింపాలి, ఈ వచ్చిన లేదు. నేపథ్యం తెలిసిన భాషగం తో అనేక ఉపయోగాలు ఉంటాయి. 
మేము చెందిన నేపథ్యం తెలిసిన భాషగం తో అనేక ఉపయోగాలు ఉంటాయి. మేము చెందిన నేపథ్యం తెలిసిన భాషగం తో అనేక ఉపయోగాలు ఉంటాయి. మేము చెందిన నేపథ్యం తెలిసిన భాషగం తో అనేక ఉపయోగాలు ఉంటాయి.
26th February 1954]          [Sri T. Potharaju]
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[Sri T. Potharaju] [26th February 1934]

చిత్రపట్టి షారాంస్ట్రీ యొక్కప్రాంతంలో ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు ఉండటం జరిగింది. సంపాదకులు ముఖం విశేషాలు, ఈ ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు సాధ్యం చేసాం. సర్ తాంతి విధానానికి యొక్కప్రాంతం సర్వీస్ రాగం ద్వారా విభజించబడింది. జరిగిన ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు సర్వీస్ రాగం ద్వారా విభజించబడింది. జరిగిన ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు సర్వీస్ రాగం ద్వారా విభజించబడింది.

సర్ ఆ. నిలద్రారాస్ రెడ్డి: అంగులి! దినము సమయంలో ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం.

(ప్రకటించబడినది): అంగులి! దినము సమయంలో ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం!

సర్ ఆ. నిలద్రారాస్ రెడ్డి: అంగులి! దినము సమయంలో ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం. యొక్క ప్రత్యేక విశేషాలు చేసాం.
26th February 1954] [Sri A. Niladrirao Reddi]

Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

At this stage Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair.

Sri K. Ranga Rao:—

[At this stage Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair.]
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26th February 1954] [Sri P. Venkatasivaiah]

Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

[Sri R Venkatasivaiah]
Sri P. Venkatasivaiah] [26th February 1954

Estabishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

Sri P. Venkatasivaiah:—Capital చివరి రాష్ట్రపతి ప్రతిష్ఠానం చేస్తాను. The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—మునిసిపాలిటీ ప్రాంతాలను, గడియారా పరిషత్తులను విస్తరించాలి. It is a matter of public interest ఉన్నది. Capital చివరి రాష్ట్రపతి ప్రతిష్ఠానం చేస్తాను. The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—The Speaker:—Who said what must be relevant.

Sri P. Venkatasivaiah:—Capital చివరి రాష్ట్రపతి ప్రతిష్ఠానం చేస్తాను. Capital చివరి రాష్ట్రపతి ప్రతిష్ఠానం చేస్తాను. Mr. Speaker:—The hon. Member says must be relevant.

Sri P. Venkatasivaiah:—Capital చివరి రాష్ట్రపతి ప్రతిష్ఠానం చేస్తాను. Capital చివరి రాష్ట్రపతి ప్రతిష్ఠానం చేస్తాను. Mr. Speaker:—Who said what must be relevant.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—స్థానం మాత్రము కథలో ఉండాలి.
Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

26th February 1954] [Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah]

It is agreed that the location of the University, the Headquarters and the High Court may advantageously be in different places, so as not to concentrate all civic importance at the same centre. Accordingly, it is agreed that while the University may continue to be where it is, the High Court and the metropolis be located in suitable places in the coastal districts and the Rayalaseema, the choice being given to the Rayalaseema.
Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

[Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah]  [26th February 1954]

...
26th February 1954] [Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah]

ప్రామాణిక ప్రాక్షీకత నముఖించాలి, తాము ప్రాక్షీకత నముఖించాలి. తాము ప్రాక్షీకత నముఖించాలి.

అవి ప్రామాణిక ప్రాక్షీకత నముఖించాలి. తాము ప్రామాణిక ప్రాక్షీకత నముఖించాలి. తాము ప్రామాణిక ప్రాక్షీకత నముఖించాలి.

Sri B. Krishnamurthy Rao :— అసంగా, ఆహారం ఉమ్మె, ఆహారం ఉమ్మె, ఆహారం ఉమ్మె. ఆహారం ఉమ్మె, ఆహారం ఉమ్మె, ఆహారం ఉమ్మె.
Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

[Sri B. Krishnamurty Rao] [26th February 1954]

நாமூனை காரிச்சிறு ஆத்மபொழுது, இ நாமூனை முக்கியமாக அறிவியல் வழிக்கேற்றது இல்லாமல் கண்டூர் கோலாலமாக, இதன் படி மாறு குறிப்பிட்டல் மேற்குள்ளார் வேறு மேலடை சார்ந்த குழுமத்தை வழிபட்டது. நான் செய்திகளின் மூலம் நெடுநீரை கொண்டு அவர் வந்து. நான் செய்திகளின் மூலம் வந்து கொண்டு அவர் வந்து. நான் செய்திகளின் மூலம் வந்து கொண்டு அவர் வந்து.
26th February 1954]  [Sri B. Krishnamurty Rao]

చిత్రపంచము కంటే అధికారిక ప్రత్యేకం లేదు. మనం
అదికం లేదు. అధికారిక నిపుణులు, మాత్రమే అధికారికంగా
ఇంటిని చెప్పాలి. ప్రత్యేకంగా High Court ముఖ్యాధికారులు
అధికారికంగా ఇవి పాత్రముగా ధరించుకుండా చేసారు.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—ప్రత్యేకంగా, అధికారికంగా.
నీవు మనం వాటిని అధికారికంగా తెలిపారు. మనం వాటిని
అధికారికంగా తెలిపారు. అధికారికంగా ప్రత్యేకంగా తెలిపారు.

Sri B. Krishnamurty Rao:—అధికారికంగా లేదు. చిత్రపంచము
ముఖ్యాధికారులు. మనం చిత్రపంచము లేదు. మనం అధికారికంగా లేదు.
చిత్రపంచము అనే ప్రత్యేకంగా తెలిపారు. మనం అధికారికంగా
ప్రత్యేకంగా తెలిపారు. మనం అధికారికంగా ప్రత్యేకంగా తెలిపారు.
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[Sri B. Krishnamurty Rao]  
[26th February 1954]

[Text in Telugu]

[Translation to English]

[Content of the document in English]

...
26th February 1954] [Sri B. Krishnamurthy Rao]

...
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26th February 1954] [Sri K. V. Vema Reddi]

Sri G. Nagabhushanam:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, the problem of locating High Court is really a very delicate and complicated one. Anyhow, Government have given notice that they have decided to locate Andhra High Court at Visakhapatnam. I welcome the decision. Several suggestions have been put forward from the opposite side against the Government's decision. Serious allegations against the party in power have been made stating that there is a conspiracy to take the High Court to Visakhapatnam. Curious arguments have been advanced against locating High Court in Visakhapatnam. In fact, such arguments have been advanced when the question of location of capital was raised. Apart from those controversies, I would place before the House the observations made in Wanchoo's Report which has recommended Waltair as suitable for the location of the High Court. Now that the location of the temporary capital has been settled, I consider the location of the Andhra High Court at Visakhapatnam is just. Justice Wanchoo in his report has said that Waltair has beautiful buildings and surroundings and it has a good sea-beach, and it has a cool and smooth atmosphere. When it is necessary for dispensation of justice these are all essential facts for the location of a High Court. No doubt several arguments have been advanced from the opposite side. One of them is that Visakhapatnam is not centrally situated. Further, topographically, Visakhapatnam, has a better claim than any other place. I am not speaking now as one belonging to the party in power. The place has a psychological and cultural atmosphere of its own. It is a cool place, it is devoid of political heat, bustle and noise as of other places, in Andhra State. So it is very well suited for the location of High Court. I may say, it is an ideal place. Judges require a calm place to think and decide cases. So from all points of view, Visakhapatnam is better suited for the location of the High Court.
Establishment of High Court for the State of Andhra

[Sri G. Nagabhushanam] [26th February 1954]

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah cited facts and figures showing the number of appeals filed in the High Court coming from the several districts of Andhra State, and he concluded from that that Visakhapatnam could not be a place for the location of the High Court as the number of appeals filed is much smaller than Guntur. But, may I point out to him that the High Court which is to be located is only an appellate court; it has no original jurisdiction; so, the parties to the suits need not go to the High Court, only the records move from the lower courts to High Court. So the material point advanced by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya falls to the ground. I once more repeat that the High Court being only an appellate court it does not involve any inconvenience or expenditure. So, taking all these things into consideration and also taking an impartial view of things, I think Visakhapatnam is ideally located in Andhra Desa to be the seat of High Court.

Again, Sir, let us consider for a moment why the Opposition side want Guntur to be the place for the High Court to be located. One reason is that since it has been declared that temporary Capital of the State should not be there, they are determined that the High Court already should be located there irrespective of other considerations. But what is the real reason underlying that argument is that a large number of members of the Communist Party have been returned from Guntur District. This kind of bringing political factors to bear on the decision of important issues is against the government of the State. This kind of mud-slinging one party against the other is against all democratic canons.

Coming to the subject under consideration here, I say, Government have done a wise thing in deciding to have the High Court located at Visakhapatnam. But it is told, that by such a step, we, people in Rayalaseema will be far away from Visakhapatnam; for it is nearly 600 or 700 miles from this side of Rayalaseema. For that, I propose a practical solution. For we can copy what is obtained in Travancore Cochin State and Uttar Pradesh. In those states, one of the Division Benches of the High Court has been located one in Travancore and one in Lucknow. Now, Anantapur is a central place for Rayalaseema; one of the Division Benches of Andhra High Court may be located there for disposal of Criminal and Civil appeals. Till now in Rayalaseema
there were only criminal appeals. But of late, civil litigation is on the increase with the economic improvement in Rayalaseema. It is essential to locate the Division Bench at Anantapur; since, most of the cases are referred trials and appeals have to be preferred within ten days, and so, it serves the purpose. Therefore, Anantapur is most suitable for the location of a Division Bench. The Rayalaseema litigant public will welcome it. Finally, Sir, I thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak on this occasion.
[Sri D. Ramabrahmam]  
[26th February 1954]

ప్రపంచంలో అతి ముఖ్యమైన సంస్థలలో అనేకమైన సంస్థలలో నేతృత్వం వహిస్తుంది. ఈసాం ప్రపంచంలో అందరనే సంస్థలలో భాగంగా ఇన్ని అందిస్తుంది. ఈ సంస్థలలో హైకోర్ట్ యొక్క ప్రతి మంత్రి సంవత్సరం జరిగింది. ప్రతి సంవత్సరం హైకోర్ట్ యొక్క ప్రతి మంత్రి సంవత్సరం జరిగింది.

హైకోర్ట్ యొక్క ప్రతి మంత్రి సంవత్సరం జరిగింది.

ఈ సంస్థలలో హైకోర్ట్ యొక్క ప్రతి మంత్రి సంవత్సరం జరిగింది.

ఈ సంస్థలలో హైకోర్ట్ యొక్క ప్రతి మంత్రి సంవత్సరం జరిగింది.
26th February 1954] [Sri D. Ramabrahmam]

Sri D. Seetharamayya:—

Sri G. Nagabhusanam:—Sir, on a point of information. The Communist Party which believes in the Stalin
establishment of high court for the state of andhra

[Sri G. Nagabhushanam] [26th February 1954]

constitution do not believe in owning private immovable property. I am interested in the location of the High Court which deals with the right to private property.

Sri D. Seetharamaiah: I am interested in the location of the High Court which deals with the right to private property.

Sri S. Narayanappa: I am interested in the location of the High Court which deals with the right to private property.
25th February 1954] [Sri S. Narayanappa]

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—On a point of personal explanation, I thought it necessary to explain my position regarding the establishment of the High Court for the State of Andhra Pradesh. The establishment of a High Court for the State of Andhra Pradesh was necessitated by the increased demand for judicial facilities in the state. The High Court would act as a higher court to the existing District Courts and would provide a platform for the administration of justice at the highest level. The introduction of the High Court would ensure the effective functioning of the judicial system and would contribute to the development of the state.

Sri S. Narayanappa:—I would like to support the establishment of the High Court for the State of Andhra Pradesh. The current judicial system of the state is capable of handling the workload, but the establishment of a High Court would provide a higher level of judicial services to the public. The High Court would act as a check and balance mechanism to ensure the fair and unbiased administration of justice. The establishment of the High Court would also enable the state to conform to the constitutional requirements of having a High Court for the state.

In conclusion, the establishment of the High Court for the State of Andhra Pradesh is a welcome development that would ensure the effective functioning of the judicial system. The High Court would provide a platform for the administration of justice at the highest level and would contribute to the development of the state.
[Sri S. Narayanappa]  

[26th February 1954]

عظمت تنظيم المحاكم العليا لدولة أندره

[سري س. ناراماناپا]

[26 فبراير 1954]

ان عضو: — انا أرى أن هذه النقطة

سري ناراماناپا: — انا أرى أن هذه النقطة

Discussion on the future programme.

Mr. Speaker: — أنا موافق. ماهو

An hon. Member: — أنا موافق. ماهو
26th February 1954

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—The House sits from 3-30 to 6-30 p.m. tomorrow. The Chief Minister will reply on Monday.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—Let us sit from 3-00 to 6-00 p.m. tomorrow and postpone Chief Minister's reply and voting to Monday as we cannot postpone the whole debate to Monday.

Mr. Speaker:—The House sits from 3-30 to 6-30 p.m. tomorrow. The Chief Minister will reply on Monday.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—The House sits from 3-30 to 6-30 p.m. tomorrow. The Chief Minister will reply on Monday.

Mr. Speaker:—There will be one more speaker from your party. From the Independents and other parties some more members will have to speak and then the Chief Minister should reply.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—Let us sit from 3-00 to 6-00 p.m. tomorrow and postpone Chief Minister’s reply and voting to Monday as we cannot postpone the whole debate to Monday.
The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham:—I wish to introduce a Bill in connection with the High Court for the State of Andhra. The Court should be provided with the necessary accommodation.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—I second the plain and easy amendment to postpone the issue.

Mr. Speaker:—Agreed.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—I second the amendment of the Hon. Member.

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd:—I second the amendment of the Hon. Member.

The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi:—I second the amendment of the Hon. Member.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:—Agreed. 3rd amendment in connection with the Agreement.

Sri R. Siddanna Gowd:—Agreed. 3rd amendment in connection with the Agreement.

Mr. Speaker:—Agreed.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:—I second the amendment of the Hon. Member regarding the Agreement. The Hon. Member has stated the agreement in his amendment.

[26th February 1954]
26th February 1954] [Sri T. Nagi Reddi

Sri G. Latchanna:—I felt some difficulty in understanding the Chief Minister's reply. His answer was vague. I feel that paragraph 8 is ambiguous.

Mr. Speaker:—There was an information 3½ hours ago but that is not the case.

The House then adjourned to meet again at 3:30 p.m. the next day. (27-2-1954)
APPENDIX I

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(Vide answer to starred question No. 161 put by Sri K. Govinda Rao, at the meeting of the Assembly held on 26—2—1954.)

Clause (a) of the question:—The Chemudu Estate was taken over by the Government under the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948, on 12th January 1951. Subsequently, the landholders filed writ petitions and after they were finally disposed of by the High Court, Madras, the Government assumed management of the estate with effect from 31st December 1952.

Clause (b) of the question—Pay:—Under rule 2 (3) (a) of the rules framed by Government under section 6; (2) of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948, an ex-estate employee who is proposed to be retained in Government service is paid, pending the final fixation of his pay, at the rate at which or in the scale in which he drew pay immediately before the management of the estate was assumed by the Government. If, however, subsequent to 1947, there has been any enhancement of pay otherwise than by way of normal increments, he will be paid only at the rate or according to the scale of pay prevalent in 1947, the normal increments after 1947 alone being allowed. As and when the reorganization of the staff in the estate is completed, the estate employees who are finally retained are paid the scales of pay to which the corresponding categories of Government servants are eligible.

Dearness allowance:—The Government have ordered that the retained estate staff in the taken-over estates are eligible to dearness allowance at the rates applicable to Government servants.

Clause (c) of the question:—Yes.

Clause (d) of the question:—The details of pay and dearness allowance now being drawn by the ex-estate employees of Chemudu estate are as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pay</th>
<th>Dearness allowance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Inspector, Lankalapalem</td>
<td>RS. 45, RS. 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Inspector, Munagapaka</td>
<td>RS. 25, RS. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor</td>
<td>RS. 40, RS. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Grade attender</td>
<td>RS. 15, RS. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk</td>
<td>RS. 15, RS. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peon</td>
<td>RS. 5, RS. 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposals for the reorganization of the staff in this estate have not yet been finalized. Pending such reorganization the employees in this estate could be paid only at the rates at which they were being paid by the landholder before the notified date. As soon as reorganization of the staff is completed, they will be paid, as in the case of other estates in regard to which reorganization has been completed, at the scales of pay to which the corresponding categories of Government servants are eligible. Regarding Dearness Allowance, the staff are being paid only at the rates which prevailed in the estate before the notified date and the question why they are not being paid at the rates applicable to Government servants is under investigation.

P. KRISHNIAH NAIDU,
Assistant Secretary to Government.
APPENDIX II

(Vide answer to starred question No. 183 put by Sri P. Venkatasubbaiah at the meeting of the Assembly held on 26—2—1954)

Clause (a)—Inams are classified into the following nine classes:

1. Those held for the support of religious institutions and for services connected therewith;
2. Those held for the purpose of public utility;
3. Those held for the support of works of irrigation yielding public revenue;
4. Those held by Brahmans and other religious classes for their personal benefits;
5. Those held by the families of poligars and those who filled hereditary offices under former Governments;
6. Those held by the kinmen, dependants and followers of former poligars and zamindars;
7. Those held by persons connected with the former general police of the country;
8. Those held for village revenue and police service; and
9. Those held by the artisans of various descriptions for service due to village communities.

Quit-rent on inam lands which have been enfranchised have been fixed on the following principles during the Inam Enquiry. The exact rates are however not readily available:

1. If the inamdar had the prospect of having a competent heir, the quit-rent levied was one-eighth of the then existing or estimated assessment on the land or similar lands in the same village.
2. If the inamdar had no competent heir but only a terminable heir (such as a widow), one-fourth the assessment was fixed as quit-rent; and
3. If the inamdar had no heirs and there was no prospect of any heir, quit-rent equal to half of the assessment was charged.

The Inam Commissioner was however given the option to fix quit-rent at one general rate per acre instead of a proportion of the assessment.

Clause (b)—The answer is in the affirmative. Even in cases in which the quit-rent including the jodi subject to which the grant was originally made happened to be higher than the assessment on similar lands, only the full assessment on the land is collected and the excess over the assessment is remitted as a fixed remission.

G. SATYANARAYANA—5—1—1954
Assistant Secretary to Government