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THE ANDHRA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

MONDAY, 30th November 1953.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Kurnool, at ten of the clock, Mr. Speaker (The Hon. Sri N. Venkatramiah) in the Chair.

[Note:—(*) An asterisk at the commencement of a speech indicates correction by the Member.]

I. MOTIONS

(1) PRIVILEGE MOTION RE: ARRESTS OF M. L. As.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The Hon. Member Sri C. V. K. Rao will move his privilege motion.

Sri C. V. K. RAO:—Mr. Speaker, I move the following privilege motions:

(1) Sri Korrapaty Pattabhiramiah, M. L. A., after attending inauguration ceremony of Andhra State at Kurnool, on 1st October, was returning to Kakinada on 3rd October when he was arrested by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Peddapuram on the platform at Kakinada Town Railway Station and was taken to be imprisoned at Thadepallagudem. He was put in jail for twenty one days when he was released on bail granted by the High Court, The fact was not brought to the notice of the Speaker. The member should not have been deprived of his liberty and molested thus while returning from the Assembly.

The liberty and freedom of a member of this House has been effected by this Executive action. That is a violation of the privileges of a member of this House and the Speaker of this House should take action in the matter.

(2) Sri Pragada Kotaiah, a member of this House has recently been arrested by an executive action which has deprived the freedom, liberty and privileges of a member of this House and thereby amounts to contempt of this House and the Hon. Speaker should take action against persons responsible for such arrest.

* Sri C. V. K. RAO:—Mr. Speaker Sir, గదా స్త్రయులు స్త్రీలు గాలరు నడాడాడా ప్రతి నాలుగు ప్రారంభం రాయింది. ఈ ప్రతి ప్రారంభం ఇందులో అధికంగా ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రారంభం రాయించారు. గదా స్త్రయులు స్త్రీలు గాలరు గాని ప్రతి ప్రారంభం ఇందులో అధికంగా ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రారంభం రాయించారు. గదా స్త్రయులు స్త్రీలు గాని ప్రతి ప్రారంభం ఇందులో అధికంగా ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రారంభం రాయించారు. గదా స్త్రయులు స్త్రీలు గాని ప్రతి ప్రారంభం ఇందులో అధికంగా ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రారంభం రాయించారు. గదా స్త్రయులు స్త్రీలు గాని ప్రతి ప్రారంభం ఇందులో అధికంగా ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రారంభం రాయించారు. గదా స్త్రయులు స్త్రీలు గాని ప్రతి ప్రారంభం ఇందులో అధికంగా ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రారంభం రాయించారు.
Mr. SPEAKER:— Unless I admit the motion, the hon. Member should not make a speech. He should simply make the motion. Now that the hon. Member has made the motion I give my ruling. The ruling is as follows:

Sri Korrapaty Pattabhiramiah was arrested by the police on a warrant issued by a Magistrate for alleged offences under Sections 396 and 397 I. P. C. and was remanded to custody.

Sri Pragada Kotaiah was arrested by the police for offences under Sections 143 and 447 I. P. C. and was convicted later by a Court of Law.

The police are authorised under law to arrest persons accused of cognizable offences. Members of Legislative Assembly do not enjoy immunity from arrest for indictable offences any more than a common man, but on their arrest and conviction the Speaker or when there is no Speaker the Assembly Secretariat will have to be intimated about this and this has been done in both the cases.

The attention of the hon. Member is invited to Clause (3) of Article 194 of the Constitution of India and to the Chapters in May’s Parliamentary Practice dealing with the Privilege of Parliament.

No question of breach of any privilege therefore arises in either of these cases to go before a Committee of Privileges.

I therefore rule the motion out of order.
RESOLUTION RE: CAPITAL OF THE ANDHRA STATE
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Sri K. PATTABHIRAMIAH:—<as> I have given my ruling and there can be no further debate on the point. The motion has already been ruled out of order.

(2) ADJOURNMENT MOTION RE: AMENITIES TO POLICE PERSONNEL POSTED TO KURNOOL FOR BANDOBUST.

Sri K. KRISHNA RAO:— As point of order raise <as> adjournment motion notice <as> adjournment motion notice <as> adjournment motion notice <as> adjournment motion notice.

Mr. SPEAKER:— There is no such motion before me.

Sri K. KRISHNA RAO:—<as> adjournment motion notice <as> adjournment motion notice <as> adjournment motion notice <as> adjournment motion notice.

Mr. SPEAKER:—<as> move <as> move <as> move.

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—Mr. Speaker, I came to your Chambers and informed you that I proposed to raise a point of order with regard to this debate. As you are aware......

Sri C. V. K. RAO:—Point of order <as> ruling <as> ruling <as> ruling <as> ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER — The hon. Member should have noted that my ruling covered both the cases. I said, “no question of breach of any privilege therefore arises in either of these cases to go before a committee of privileges”

II. NON-OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RESOLUTION RE: CAPITAL OF THE ANDHRA STATE.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The matter now to be discussed is the resolution, notice of which has been given by Sri L. Lakshmanadas, viz.,

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".
Several amendments have been received to this resolution and they are being typed and roneoed and copies will shortly be distributed to the hon. Members. I had given time to hon. Members to send amendments till 9 A.M. today.

Sri K. VENKATANARAYANA DORA:—Several resolutions have been received to this resolution and they are being typed and roneoed and copies will shortly be distributed to the hon. Members. I had given time to hon. Members to send amendments till 9 A.M. today.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Leaders of all parties met together yesterday and drew a ballot, and this resolution has come out first. Therefore, we put it as a substantial motion and the other resolutions are being brought forward as amendments.

Sri L. LAKSHMANADA:—I move: “That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State”.

The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—Sir, a point of order can be raised only when there is a motion before the House. The motion must be moved and seconded and then only the hon. Member can rise in his seat and raise his point of order and then show why such a resolution cannot be moved.

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—Nobody seconded it. (Laughter)
POINT OF ORDER RE: PROCEDURE IN REGARD TO MOVING OF RESOLUTION UNDER RULE 60

30th November 1933

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIH — On a point of order, Sir, the Member has moved a resolution, he must have moved before he can explain to us the aspects of the resolution.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The hon. Member is not moving it now.

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS.— He has moved the resolution.

Mr. SPEAKER:—I am only asking the hon. Member to move his resolution. It will then be seconded and then the points of order will be taken up.

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:— I have already moved the resolution.

Sri T. N. VENKATASUBBA REDDI:— I second it, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The motion before the House is:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1936 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State."

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—Sir, I rise to make my point of order. Under Rule 60 of the Rules of Procedure of our Assembly, motions which have been debated upon and on which this House has taken a vote and thus given its verdict, cannot be raised again during the same session of the Assembly. The Rule says:

"A motion must not raise a question substantially identical with one on which the Assembly has given a decision in the same session."

The interpretation of the term "substantially identical" is not identical in phraseology or wording, but identical in effect. In the resolution which has been moved, the question of shifting the capital and naming of the capital and the particular date by which this should be done, arises. If I may bring it to your notice, the amendment or the motion moved the day before yesterday contains the same ingredients. That amendment or motion says:

"but regrets the Address does not indicate that by April 1, 1955,
the seat of the Government of Andhra State will be moved to Vizayawada-Guntur area etc.

Thus we have already debated on the question of capital, the shifting of the capital and the date by which it should be shifted and so on. It is only incidental that the date is now changed and the place is changed but the main aspect that the capital question had already been discussed cannot be disputed. Also you have a record of the voting on that day. Apart from this, I would like to remind you of your own ruling given on 26—11—53 on a point of order, that this Assembly should not debate the capital issue. You had ruled that it was germane to the question before the House, as the point was referred to in para 12 of the Governor’s Address. The Chair held that the debate which took place during the last 3 days had the sanction of the House.

Another point which I would like to raise is this. If you study the proceedings of this House that took place the day before yesterday, the amendments were not withdrawn in order as per Rule 61 of our Legislative Code. Each one was not separately put to the vote of the House. Therefore those amendments only lapsed. Once a Mover moves an amendment or a motion it becomes the property of the House and must be dealt with by the House as per rules.

There is another reference which I would like to bring to your consideration. So far as the rules governing our House are concerned, they are operative under Art. 208 of the Constitution. I would like to read it for the benefit of the House and for your consideration:

“A House of the Legislature of a State may make rules for regulating, subject to the provisions of this Constitution, its procedure and the conduct of its business”, etc, etc.

This Article corresponds exactly with Art. 118 of the Constitution which prescribes the procedure for the Houses of Parliament. Now I would like to quote from Durgadas Basu who is an authority on Constitution. It is a publication of the Madras Law Journal.

“In effect, therefore, so far as the Constitution of India is concerned, such rules of procedure as existed before the country became a Republic continued to operate in the House of the people. In the same manner as the Andhra State has been formed by dividing the Madras State into two, the rules which should govern the Andhra Legislative Assembly are those rules which were in force when both the territorial areas were one State.”

Further Mr. Basu points out regarding clause 1 of our rules:

“As in England, each House of our Parliament shall have exclusive power to make rules to regulate its procedure and conduct of business. One of the subjects of rules is to economise time and to make orderly conduct of the proceedings. But no less important is the safeguarding of the right and liberty of the opposition or the party in minority to criticize the Government which is a fundamental principle underlying parliamentary Government. Of course,
these rules and standing orders are merely resolutions of the House and may be amended or suspended by a majority vote at any time. According to the constitutional usage, the Government, i.e., the majority, does not interfere with the rights of the minority parties."

Sir, I would like to stress this particular point again, "...by amending the standing orders as often as may suit its interests." Subject to the provisions of the Constitution, these words indicate that the rule making power of the House of Parliament is not absolute as in England, but is limited by the provisions of the Constitution as in the United States. Thus, if a rule made by a House violates the fundamental right, the courts have the power to declare it invalid. Next, it goes on to the interpretation of Clause (2) of Article 118. It says:

"...the existing rules of procedure as adapted by the Speaker."

This clause provides that until rules are made by Parliament under clause (1) of Article 118, the rules of procedure and standing orders in force immediately before the commencement of the Constitution shall have effect with modifications and adaptations as may be made by the Speaker of the House or the Chairman of the Council of State. The Speaker of Parliament has already made adaptations under the present clause and the adapted rules have been published as rules of procedure and conduct of business in Parliament. Therefore, these three refer to the position as far as our rules are concerned. The first thing I would like to point out is that there is no dispute that the Parliament is sovereign to amend its rules at any time to suit the convenience of the Government. But, one of the underlying principles of democracy is that the party in office, the party which has got the majority in the House, should not change the rules to suit its own convenience because it will affect the rights of minority parties. In this Assembly I belong to a minority party—in fact the minority party generally is the party in opposition which does not have the same strength in the Parliament or in the Legislature of a State as the party in the Treasury Benches.

Apart from this, as ours is a written Constitution, it is held that in an instance when these rules of procedure are changed with the express purpose of satisfying the majority, for satisfying the desire for exertion of excessive power on behalf of the Government, and for naked utilization of power not only in the change of rules in the House but in subsequent efforts in introducing legislation which may go contra to the fundamental rights which have been given to all the people in this country, such a change of rules can be questioned in a court of law. It also says, Sir, that the Chair which interprets the rules is bound to interpret the rules in relation to some system which has been provided for safeguarding the interests of members of this Legislature. As you will notice, Sir, you gave a ruling—you must excuse me, Sir, the Chair ruled that in effect there was common agreement in this House and therefore we could debate the particular proposition that is before us today. You also used a good phrase, "we must behave in a family sense". We accept all that. But, unfortunately the facts are that a vote was taken the other day and therefore the proposition that is
being debated upon today can by no sense be claimed to be an unanimously accepted one.

Also, with due respect to you, Sir, the rules of procedure that govern our House contain a rule which shows the way of changing rules Rule 146 says :

"146 (1) Unless the Speaker otherwise directs, not less than ten days' notice of a motion for leave to amend the rules shall be given and the notice shall be accompanied by a draft of the proposed amendments,

(2) The motion shall be set down for such day as the Speaker may direct."

In the present instance, we cannot override the effect of Rule 60 and we cannot during the same session discuss a motion which has been already debated upon and decision taken. If this Assembly in total agreement, assuming that there is total agreement between the Treasury Benches and the Opposition Benches desires to postpone the question of debating the issue of capital, it is entitled to do so, but, however, subject to the rules of procedure of our Assembly. I have no objection for changing Rule 60 apart from the fact that it may cause repercussions in our democratic system and bring about a failure in safeguarding the minority interests of political parties that may exist in our country. This Assembly can change Rule 60 immediately, but however within the prescribed procedure laid down in Rule 146. The Chair may waive ten days' notice, but it cannot waive the fact that the amendment to rule should be given and the notice shall be accompanied by a draft of the proposed amendment. Therefore, if this Honourable House proposes to move amendment to Rule 60 and if a day is allotted for that purpose and Rule 60 is completely abrogated or a new rule is introduced in our rules of procedure, I have no objection. However, the Chair cannot in effect, by virtue of a ruling, affect the content of rulings under which this whole House is governed. Rule 69 gives you power......

Sri K. KRISHNA RAO:—On a point of order, Sir. Rule 69(2) says that any member may at any time submit a point of order for the decision of the Speaker but in doing so shall confine himself to stating the point. The Hon. Member is not here to discuss the Constitution or to make a speech. He is merely to state the point. That is all. I do not think that any Member in the guise of a point of order can make a speech about the Constitution of India or about the Constitution and the procedure of the House of Commons or the procedure of Parliament which do not govern us. Therefore, I think you will please observe Rule 69 (2).

Mr. SPEAKER:—I have allowed the Member to address the House on the motion. Anyhow, since he has not completed his speech, he may continue it.

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—I do not think I was not stating the point of order, and I was making a lecture on it. I was
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coming to Rule 69. Rule 69 (1) says that the Speaker shall decide all points of order which may arise and his decision shall be final. I accept that proposition. Your decisions at all times should and must be final in this House. I have no objection to that rule. But what is the interpretation of the rule? Your decisions are final in relation to a point of order that the Member may raise and your decision interprets the rule correctly if the Member is misunderstanding the rules.

Your decision on a point of order being final is not disputed in so far as a mis-conception on the part of the Members in regard to the interpretation of the rules is concerned. That is correct, but not the fact that your decision on a point of order has an over-riding right to nullify all the rules governing the House itself. That is my humble submission, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The hon. Member has already had enough time. I will now call upon the Law Minister to give his reply.

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—I have not completed my point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The hon. Member has spoken for more time than is permissible, and he will not be allowed to have any more time to speak.

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—All right, Sir, if that is your ruling.

Sri K. KRISHNA RAO:—Sir, I would like to draw your attention to some of the omissions, which are very significant, made when the points of order were raised. Our rules are governed by Art. 208 of the Constitution, and Clause (2) of that Article says:

"Until rules are made under Clause (1), the rules of procedure and standing orders in force immediately before the commencement of this Constitution with respect to the Legislature for the corresponding Province shall have effect in relation to the Legislature of the State subject to such modifications and adaptations as may be made therein by the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, or the Chairman of the Legislative Council, as the case may be."

Therefore for any alteration of the rules we need not go to any committee under Rule 146 of our Rules.

Mr. SPEAKER:—I have had enough clarification. No further clarification is necessary. I will now call upon the Hon. Law Minister to reply.

The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—

"..." and now it is not correct, because there is no point of order. The amendment has been moved on the report stage, neutral and non-official A-2
Mr. SPEAKER:—I am now giving the ruling on the point of order raised by the hon. Member, Rajah of Vijayanagram. The amendments in question in this resolution and the amendment now referred to came before the House not by way of motion made before the House but in the course of the amendments to the speech of his Excellency, in which any matter and every matter can be brought forward for the consideration of the House. Therefore, this Rule 60, strictly speaking has no application to this case, because the amendment of the resolution now on hand has not come before the House by way of any motion. Even if Rule 60 is to be made applicable, as has been just now said by the hon. Law Minister, the motion must not raise a question substantially identical to the one on which the Assembly has given a decision. I put my emphasis on the words, 'has given a decision in the same session.' As one and all hon. Members are aware, all these amendments were withdrawn by the consent of the House, and when I asked for the sense of the House whether the movers of those amendments could be allowed to withdraw their amendments the House agreed to such withdrawal and so the sense of the House also was in favour of the withdrawal.

Sri P. V. R. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—No, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER:—No member opposed the withdrawal of any of the amendments. Therefore, by common agreement of the Members of the House and by their not opposing the withdrawal, the amendments were allowed to be withdrawn. Even otherwise, if any further authority is required I draw the attention of the Members of the House to Section 25 of the Andhra State Act, 1953. It says:

"25. Rules of Procedure of the Andhra Legislative Assembly—"

""The rules as in force and subject of business in force immediately before the commencement of this Act shall remain in force with respect to'

""
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rules are made under clause (1) of article 208, have effect in relation to the Legislative Assembly of the State of Andhra subject to such modifications and adaptations as may be made therein by the Speaker thereof.

Therefore, in view of these authorities, I find, there is no substance in the point of order raised by the hon. Member, Rajah of Vijayanagram. I overrule it.

I now call upon Sri L. Lakshmana Das to speak.

Sri P. V. G. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—Sir, I just want you to put on record . . . . .

Mr. SPEAKER:— Order, order. I have already given my ruling. The hon. Member should not agitate on it.

Sri P. V. G. GAJAPATHI RAJU:—I am not disputing your ruling. I want you to put on record that a minority party did not vote in favour of withdrawing the amendments.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The hon. Member is aware that when I am on my legs, the hon. Member should resume his seat under the rules under which he is taking shelter. (Laughter)

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:— ఉద్దేశం, ఎందుకానే వేరువ కండాను 10-50 పాయారానంటే ఆర్‌ఎస్‌ఎస్‌ఎం నాలుగు సమాఖ్య నియోజకాధికారి పారిష్టిక సమితిపై కాదు ప్రత్యేకంగా అంతంత విశేషాలు సాధనానికి తోడి. స్వయంగా ఎందుకంటే విగ్రహం నియోజకాధికారి పారిష్టిక సమితిపై కాదు ప్రత్యేకంగా అంతంత విశేషాలు సాధనానికి తోడి.

(Translation by the Government of Andhra Pradesh)
Resolution re: Location of the Capital of the Government of Andhra

[30th November 1953]

Mr. SPEAKER — In a distinguished gallery of this House, the Hon. Speaker passes in review the sentiments of the Hon. Members who are present. May I request the Hon. Members to pass with me through the Speaker's gallery?

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS — The Hon. Speaker then requested the Hon. Members to pass through the Speaker's gallery. As the members passed by, the Hon. Speaker observed on their behalf:

"Mr. Speaker:— In a distinguished gallery of this House, the Hon. Speaker passes in review the sentiments of the Hon. Members who are present. May I request the Hon. Members to pass with me through the Speaker's gallery?"
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[Sri L. Lakshmana Das]

Communist

30th November 1953] 

[Sri L. Lakshmana Das]

Communist
[30th November 1953]

Mr. SPEAKER:— In a distinguished gallery on the 30th November 1953, the Hon. Speaker passed the resolution—

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:— On behalf of the Andhra Government, the following resolution is presented to the House:

On the occasion of the 30th November 1953, the Hon. Speaker passed the resolution that the High Power Commission was recommended to the Government of India for the permanent capital of Andhra Pradesh. The resolution was passed by a majority of 109 votes, with 20 votes against it. The High Power Commission has recommended that the permanent capital of the State should be at Hyderabad, and the temporary capital should be at Secunderabad. The resolution was passed unanimously, with 109 votes in favor and 20 votes against it. The resolution was adopted unanimously, with 109 votes in favor and 20 votes against it.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA
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Resolution of the Congress Committee of the Government of Andhra.

...
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[Sri L. Lakshmana Das] [30th November 1953]

...
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[Sri L. Lakshmana Das] [30th November 1953]

Mr. SPEAKER:—Several members have also pointed out the urgency of this matter. As far as possible the Government should at once determine the location of the capital. If it becomes necessary to refer this matter to the Governor, that should be done at the earliest possible moment.

Sri P. PUNDARIKAKSHACHARYULU:—The matter has been referred to the Governor, and it is expected that the Governor will give a decision very soon.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The hon. Member Mr. Lakshmana Das will continue his speech.

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:—With due respect, I would like to state that in the interests of the State, the decision should be made without delay. The Government should act promptly on the matter.

Sri B. KRISHNAMURTHY RAO:—The government should act in due time, without any delay or unnecessary delay. It is essential that the capital should be located as soon as possible.
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[Sri B. Krishnamurthy Rao]

The Government of Andhra has decided to establish a new capital for the State of Andhra. It is located near the city of Hyderabad, which is the capital of Telangana. The new capital will be developed as a modern and efficient administrative centre. It will be equipped with all necessary facilities for the efficient functioning of the government.

The location of the new capital is strategically chosen to facilitate easy access to various important government departments and institutions. The government is committed to ensuring that the new capital is developed in an environmentally sustainable manner. It will be designed to promote green spaces, energy-efficient buildings, and sustainable transport systems.

The government has allocated adequate resources for the development of the new capital. It is confident that the new capital will not only serve the needs of the current population but will also accommodate future growth. The government is looking forward to the development of the new capital and the opportunity it will provide for the growth of the State of Andhra.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA
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Mr. SPEAKER:— The Speaker will now call on Mr. N. V. L. Narasimha Rao to move the resolution amended to classify amendments to classify the resolution.

Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao:— The amendment to classify the amendments has been moved.

Mr. SPEAKER:— The amendments are to be moved; first amendment moved.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953]

Sri K. VENKATANARAYANA DORA:—Sir, I move: For the word and figures “1st October 1955”, substitute the word and figures “1st April 1954”, and add at the end the words “and that the Government should take immediate steps for making necessary arrangements in this behalf.”

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri ASI NILADRIRAO REDDI:—Sir, I move: For the words and figures “1st October 1956,” substitute the words and figures “1st October 1954.”

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri R. LAKSHMINARASIMHAM DORA:—Sir, I move: For the words and figures “1st October 1956”, substitute the words and figures “1st April 1956”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri N. RAMABHADRA RAJU:—Sir, I move: For the figures and words “1st October 1956 and thereafter” substitute the figures and words “1st April 1956” “and, and if by that date the question of integration of the Telugu districts of Hyderabad State with Andhra State having Hyderabad as its capital is not settled”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri Y. V. KRISHNA RAO:— Sir, I move: For the words and figures “1st October 1956 and thereafter” substitute the figures and words “1st April 1956 and if by that date the question of integration of the Telugu districts of Hyderabad with Andhra State is not settled”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri K. VENKATANARAYANA DORA:— On the point of order, I propose this amendment because the second line? What is the ruling now?

Mr. SPEAKER:—Yes, Yes.

Sri M. VENKATA SUBBA REDDI:—Delete the word “temporary” occurring before the word “Capital” in the second line, also, the words and figures “till 1st October 1956. . . . . . . Andhra State”.

The amendment was duly seconded.
Sri C. SUBBARAYUDU:—Sir, I move: 'Delete the following words occurring in the main resolution:

(1) 'temporary'
(2) 'till 1st October 1956'
(3) 'thereafter'
(4) 'permanent'; and substitute the words 'summer' in the place of 'permanent'.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:—Sir, I move: For the words "1st October 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State", substitute the words "Visala Andhra is formed".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri T. NAGIREDDI:—Sir, I move: For all the words occurring after the word "Government" substitute the following "that all offices in Madras belonging to Andhra Government be shifted to Guntur-Vijayawada towns before 1st January 1954 and that the capital of Andhra be located at Guntur-Vijayawada area as early as possible and in any case not later than 1st April 1954."

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri M. HANUMANTHA RAO :—Sir, I move: For the words "that the .. . Andhra State" substitute the following:

"that the capital of Andhra State be located at Guntur-Vijayawada area as early as possible before 1st April 1954".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri T. POTHA RAJU:—Sir, I move: Add the following at the end of the above amendment: "that all offices of the Andhra Government that are at present in Madras be shifted from Madras to Guntur-Vijayawada before January 1954.""

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri M. VEERABHADRAN :—Sir, I move: For the words and figures “October 1956 . . . . . . Andhra State” substitute the words and figures “April 1954 and thereafter Vijayawada-Guntur be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO :—Sir, I move: For the words and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the figures and words "31st March 1954" and for the words "thereafter Visakhapatnam" substitute the figures and words "from 1st April 1954 the Guntur-Vijayawada area."

Sri D. DASARATHARAMAYYA :—Sir, I second it.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA
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Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH:—Sir, I move: For the words and figures “1st October 1956” substitute the words and figures “1st April 1954” and for the word “Visakhapatnam” substitute the word ‘Guntur-Vijayawada’, and add at the end the following:

“and shift all the Andhra State offices to Guntur-Vijayawada before 1st January 1954”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri K. RANGA RAO:—Sir, I move: For the words “the temporary Andhra State”, substitute the following:

“Guntur be the capital of the Andhra State before 1st April 1954 and that arrangements be made to shift the offices of the Andhra State now located in Madras to Guntur before December 1953 and that further expenditure on the development of the temporary capital at Kurnool be discontinued”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri P. PUNDARIKAKSHACHARYULU:—Sir, I move: For the words “the temporary Andhra State”, substitute the following: “the permanent capital of the Andhra State be located in the area comprising Guntur and Vijayawada as soon as it is practicable, in any case, not later than 1st April 1954 and that immediate steps be taken for the shifting of the Andhra Government offices at Madras to the area aforesaid and that necessary funds be provided for the above purposes and that no further expenditure be incurred on buildings etc. at Kurnool, the temporary capital”.

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO:—Sir, I move: For the figures and words “1st October 1956”, substitute the figures and words “30th September 1954” and for the words “thereafter Visakhapatnam”, substitute the words and figures “from 1st October 1954 the Guntur-Vijayawada area”.

Sri A. VENKATASUBRAMANIAM:—Sir, I second it.

Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO:—Sir, I move: For the words “that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool till 1st October 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State”, substitute the words “that the permanent capital of the Andhra State, i.e., the seat of the Governor, the Legislature, the Secretariat and the offices of the various heads of departments be established in the Guntur-Vijayawada area as early as possible, in any case, not later than 1st October 1954, and that the Andhra Government offices now functioning at Madras be shifted to Guntur-Vijayawada towns immediately”.

The amendment was duly seconded.
Sri K. V. S. PADMANABHA RAJU:—Sir, I move: For the words "that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool till 1st October 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State", substitute the words "that the capital of the Andhra State be located at Vijayawada-Guntur by 1st April 1955, without prejudice to the formation of Visala Andhra with Hyderabad as its capital, and that the Government to report to this Hon'ble House by October 1954 the progress made by them in the direction of shifting the capital".

Sri G. SURYANARAYANA.—I second it, Sir.

Sri S. VEMAIAH:—Sir, I move: For the words and figures "1st October 1956", substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

The amendment was duly seconded.

Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:—Sir, I move: Delete the word "temporary" and all the words from "till ............... of the Andhra State."

The amendment was duly seconded.

11-30  Sri C.V.K. RAO:—Sir, on a point of order. The Speaker proceed to the Municipal Council Chairman to give notice and circulate the rule. It is a wrong privilege to give notice as Municipal Council Chairman. It may be asked for the direction.

Sri K. RAJAGOPALA RAO:—Sir, in the municipal rule.

Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:—Sir, ask the municipal chairman to give the notification?

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH:—Sir, under the rule the Municipal Council Chairman to give notice and circulate the requisition. It is a constitutional point of information that has to be given.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Rules do not amendments to the Municipal Council.

Sri N. V. L. NARASIMHA RAJAO:—Sir, request permission?

Mr. SPEAKER:—No Amendment move readily to the Municipal Council.
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Sri N. V. L. NARASIMHA RAO:—Sir, I second it.

Sri N. V. L. NARASIMHA RAO:—Sir I move, For the words and figures “1st October, 1956,” substitute the words and figures “the year 1955,” and for the words “Visakhapatnam,” substitute the words, “Guntur - Vijayawada area, as the case may be, and as stated in Mr. Wanchoo’s report without prejudice to the appointment of the High Power Commission and its results.”

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH:—Sir, I second it.

Mr. SPEAKER:—All the amendments have been formally moved and seconded. Regarding the amendment of Sri Chidanandam: namely, “For the word “Visakhapatnam” substitute the word “Hyderabad” I rule it out of order. The other amendments are in order and discussion will now go on the main resolution and also on the amendments. As I already said discussion regarding the location of the temporary and permanent capital has been discussed more than once before and so there has been already a lot of discussion on this subject and therefore enough time had already been spent over this subject. I therefore suggest that each Member will finish his speech in five minutes so that more Members may have a chance to speak.

Sri G. ANJANEYULU:—

11-41 a.m.

Mr. SPEAKER:—
Resolution Re: Location of the Capital of the Government of Andhra

30th November 1953

[Mr. Speaker]


Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH:—Voting 3 o'clock 3.30 p.m. 4.30 p.m.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Voting 3 o'clock 3.30 p.m. 4.30 p.m.

Sri R. SIDDANNA GOWD:—Time definite 4.30 p.m.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Time definite 4.30 p.m.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH:—Voting a.m. 1.30 p.m.

Mr. SPEAKER:—1.30 p.m. 3.30 p.m.

Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO:—From the temporary capital to the permanent capital.

Mr. SPEAKER:—1.30 p.m. 3.30 p.m.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH:—Voting 1.30 p.m.

Mr. SPEAKER:—1.30 p.m. 3.30 p.m.

* Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO:—From the temporary capital to the permanent capital.

Mr. SPEAKER:—1.30 p.m. 3.30 p.m.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH:—Voting 1.30 p.m.

Mr. SPEAKER:—1.30 p.m. 3.30 p.m.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953 [Sri M. Rajeswara Rao]

It is clear that the capital of the Andhra State has to be in the territory of the New State. The Government are of opinion that the site of the capital should be determined by the Andhra people themselves through their Legislative Assembly...” A question has arisen about the temporary capital of the Andhra State till adequate arrangements are made for the functioning of the permanent capital. The High Power Commission appointed for the purpose is to submit its report soon.

...
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri M. Rajeswara Rao] [30th November 1953]

The Hon'ble Governor of the State of Andhra, in a resolution
notwithstanding the decision of the Capital Council, has
recently expressed his opinion in favour of the permanent
capital of the Government of Andhra being located in the
province.

The resolution, which was debated in the Assembly,
expressed the opinion of the Hon'ble Governor that the
permanent capital should be located in the north
province.

The Hon'ble Governor stated that the capital of the
Government of Andhra should be located at least 100
miles from the existing capital.

The resolution was moved by the Hon'ble Governor
and seconded by the Hon'ble Minister for Finance.

The resolution was passed by a large majority.

The Hon'ble Governor expressed his hope that the
resolution would be carried out in the near future.

The resolution was referred to the Finance Committee
for further consideration.

[End of Resolution]

[Note: The above resolution is in Telugu and has been
translated for clarity.]

The Hon'ble Governor of the State of Andhra, in a resolution
notwithstanding the decision of the Capital Council, has
recently expressed his opinion in favour of the permanent
capital of the Government of Andhra being located in the
province.

The resolution, which was debated in the Assembly,
expressed the opinion of the Hon'ble Governor that the
permanent capital should be located in the north
province.

The Hon'ble Governor stated that the capital of the
Government of Andhra should be located at least 100
miles from the existing capital.

The resolution was moved by the Hon'ble Governor
and seconded by the Hon'ble Minister for Finance.

The resolution was passed by a large majority.

The Hon'ble Governor expressed his hope that the
resolution would be carried out in the near future.

The resolution was referred to the Finance Committee
for further consideration.

[End of Resolution]

[Note: The above resolution is in Telugu and has been
translated for clarity.]
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953] [Sri M. Rajeswara Rao]

Hon. Member of the Legislative Assembly,

The Honourable Governor of Andhra,

Sir,

I am pleased to inform you that the resolution passed by the Assembly on the 2nd December 1952, has received the assent of the Governor. The resolution provides for the establishment of a State Capital in the area of Visakhapatnam in the Kandukur Taluk, West Godavari District, and for the declaration of this area as the seat of the Government of Andhra. The resolution also provides for the establishment of a State Capital in the area of Vizianagaram in the Vizianagaram District, and for the declaration of this area as the seat of the Government of Andhra.

I believe that this resolution is in the best interests of the people of Andhra and that it will promote the economic and social development of the State. I therefore urge you to give your support to the resolution and to make the necessary arrangements for the establishment of the State Capital.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Hon. Member of the Legislative Assembly

[Address]
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[Sri M. Rajeswara Rao] [30th November 1953]
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RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri M. Rajeswara Rao]

[Sri K. RAJAGOPALA RAO]:

I appeal to you to give a serious consideration to this matter. It appears to me that hitherto the Government has not taken any steps to give a workable solution to this problem. It is true that the Election Commission has been appointed to look into this matter. But the resolution has been so drafted that the Election Commission may take up this matter, and immediately after taking up the matter, it is to be made known to the Government of the State. Therefore, it seems to me that the resolution is not properly written. It may be pointed out that in the Election Commission's Report, it is stated that the Commission has scrutinized the whole of the area and found that the area is unsuitable for the purpose. Therefore, I feel that the resolution should be modified to include this point.

[Sri M. Rajeswara Rao]:

I appreciate the point that you have made. However, I feel that the resolution should be modified to include the point that the Election Commission's Report must be considered before any decision is taken. It is necessary to ensure that the resolution is workable and can be implemented in practice.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri K. Rajagopala Rao] [30th November 1953]
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953] [Sri K. Rajagopala Rao]

The Government of Andhra...
Dear Sir,

We are all well known to you. But as we are Government servants we cannot reveal our names. So, we are writing to you without mentioning our names. As per Government Servant Conduct Rules we are not allowed to meet you nor any M. L. As. It is a common fact that there are about twenty offices and Heads of Departments of the Andhra State in Madras City.

The total number of employees in these offices is about 25,000. On a point of order, Sir, as the Hon. Member has mentioned that the Andhra State is a part of Madras City, may I know when the capital of the Andhra Government will be shifted to Madras City?

An Hon. MEMBER:—On a point of order, Sir, the Hon. Member has mentioned that the Andhra Government will be shifted to Madras City. When will this be done?
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953]

Sri K. RAJAGOPALA RAO:—

DEPUTY SPEAKER:—

Sri G. SURYANARAYANA:—Deputy Speaker, Sir, Are Members allowed to speak about or quote anonymous letters, in the House?

DEPUTY SPEAKER:— Anonymous letters are permissible.

Sri C. PRABHAKARA CHOWDARY:—

Sri G. C. KONDAIAH:—

* Sri G. C. KONDAIAH:—

A-5
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[Sri G.C. Kondaiah] [30th November 1953]

...
30th November 1953 [Sri D. Ramabrahmam]
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RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri D. Ramabrahmam]  [30th November 1953]

(At this stage Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair)

Sri T. MALLAIAH—(At this stage Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair)
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri T. Mallaiah]

...
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri P. Pundarikakshacharyulu] [30th November 1953]

...
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953] [Sri P. Pundarikakshacharyulu]

Administrative Convenience సాంస్థిక సహాయం
(At this stage the Speaker resumed the Chair)

*Sri K. VENKATANARAYANA DORA:— అయితే, సమయంలో 12.40

12.40 p.m.

At this stage the Speaker resumed the Chair.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953 [Sri K. Venkatamarayana Dora]

In the Resolution passed by the Central Assembly on 6 October 1952, it was decided that the Capital of the Government of Andhra should be transferred to the area of 30th November 1933. This resolution was in the interest of the country and it was the wish of Sri K. Venkatamarayana Dora. The resolution was passed after a detailed discussion on the subject.

The resolution was passed on the following grounds:

1. The capital of the Government of Andhra should be shifted to a more suitable area.
2. The capital should be located in a place that is convenient for the government officials and the general public.

The resolution was passed unanimously with the support of all the members of the Central Assembly.

Sri N. Sankara Reddi—Addressed, “It is my duty to express my gratitude to the Central Assembly for the resolution passed on the subject of the location of the capital of the Government of Andhra. The resolution is in the interest of the country and the people of Andhra. I congratulate the members of the Central Assembly for passing the resolution in a very efficient manner.”
30th November 1953

[Sri N. Sankara Reddi]

ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ సమితి సహా సందర్భం లో యుద్ధం యొక్క కాలంలో వన సూంతం అగాఘాతం చేసుకుంటుంది. అతి ప్రతిసంపాదన వారి కేంద్రం వంటి ప్రత్యేక సందర్భంలో ప్రాణం చేసిన సమాధానాన్ని లోపించిన ప్రత్యేక విషయం. మరింత ప్రతిసంపాదన వారి అనుమతితో ప్రాణం చేసిన సమాధానం లోపించిన ప్రత్యేక విషయం.

స్రీ నాథసాంరాఖర్ది:

దీనిని సంపాదించాడు. 

స్రీ తె. పోథా రాజు:

అప్పుడు, అందరికీ ప్రతిసంపాదన సమయం 12-30 

పింమ్ కాలు మరియు రాతి రాతి రాతి రాతి

స్రీ నాథసాంరాఖర్ది:

హారం యుద్ధ సమయం సూంతం అగాఘాతం చేసిన ప్రతిసంపాదన వంటికి సమాధానం లోపించిన ప్రత్యేక విషయం.

స్రీ తె. పోథా రాజు:

అప్పుడు యుద్ధం సమయం సూంతం అగాఘాతం చేసిన ప్రతిసంపాదన వంటికి సమాధానం లోపించిన ప్రత్యేక విషయం.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri T. Potha Raju] [30th November 1953]

Sri K. V. S. PADMANABHA RAJU—(Addressing the House) stated that the sentiments of the members had been changed during the last few days. The members had been discussing the location of the capital of the government of Andhra Pradesh. During the previous meeting, the members had expressed their sentiments against the proposed location.

After the discussion, the members had expressed their sentiments in favor of the proposed location. The members had also agreed to adopt the proposed location as the capital of the government of Andhra Pradesh.

Sri K. V. S. PADMANABHA RAJU—(Continuing) stated that the sentiments of the members had been changed during the last few days. The members had been discussing the location of the capital of the government of Andhra Pradesh. During the previous meeting, the members had expressed their sentiments against the proposed location.

After the discussion, the members had expressed their sentiments in favor of the proposed location. The members had also agreed to adopt the proposed location as the capital of the government of Andhra Pradesh.

Sri K. V. S. PADMANABHA RAJU—(Closing) stated that the sentiments of the members had been changed during the last few days. The members had been discussing the location of the capital of the government of Andhra Pradesh. During the previous meeting, the members had expressed their sentiments against the proposed location.

After the discussion, the members had expressed their sentiments in favor of the proposed location. The members had also agreed to adopt the proposed location as the capital of the government of Andhra Pradesh.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri K. V. S. Padmanabha Raju]

The High Power Commission, in its 55th Meeting on 5th November 1953, has recommended that the capital of the Government of Andhra should be located at non-official day for convenience. On 19th December 1955, the Government of Andhra has decided to locate the capital of the Government of Andhra at non-official day for convenience. The resolution was adopted by a vote of 30 in favour of the motion. The resolution was declared carried by a vote of 30 in favour of the motion.

The Government of Andhra has decided to locate the capital of the Government of Andhra at non-official day for convenience.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri K. V. S. Padmanabha Raju] [30th November 1953]

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:— ఎందుకు, ప్రత్యేకంగా ఇది చారిత్రక విషయం, తయారు చేయబడినది? నీ Leader of the House పేరు, Deputy Leader of the House పేరు సూచించారని, అందువల్ల సందిశా ప్రత్యేకంగా, Non-official motion నుండి చర్యలుకున్నది, కానీ పంయాత పాఠానికి లేదు. అందువల్ల ఎందుకు ప్రత్యేకంగా నిర్ణయానికి సమయం అంటే చాలా ఖరిష్చారి.

Sri K. GOVINDA RAO:— ఎందుకు, కూడా మిశ్రములు మాత్రమే. అందువల్ల mover ప్రత్యేకంగా సందర్శించింది. కానీ మీ Assembly Hall లో కదిలారు బంధం కావు, అందువల్ల మిశ్రములు మాత్రమే అంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రత్యేకంగా పరిమితం చేయవచ్చు.

Sri G. SATYANARAYANA:— ఎందుకు, కూడా మిశ్రములు మాత్రమే. మంత్రి సాధన బిందువులు కావు, కానీ పంయాత సందిశాంతి నిషేధించారని, తయారు చేసినది. ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ లో సాంఘాతిక ప్రత్యేకత సాధారణీ కావు, కానీ పంయాత సందిశాంతి నిషేధించాయి. ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ లో సాంఘాతిక ప్రత్యేకత సాధారణీ కావు, కానీ పంయాత సందిశాంతి నిషేధించాయి. ఈ పంయాత సందిశాంతి నిషేధించాయి. మీరాట్,దీనిలో మిశ్రములు మాత్రమే అంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రత్యేకంగా పరిమితం చేయవచ్చు.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953 [Sri G. Satyanarayana]

The resolution seeks to determine the location of the capital of the government of Andhra. The resolution discusses various factors and considerations that should be taken into account when choosing a location for the capital. It highlights the need for a location that is accessible, has a strong infrastructure, and is suitable for the development of the region. The resolution concludes with a recommendation based on the considerations presented.
The Hon. Sri P. THIMMA REDDI—

3-10 p.m.

RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[30th November 1953]
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri P. Thimma Reddi]

The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi—Sir, I would like to support the view of the Hon. Sri Thimma Reddi that the capital should be located in the south of the state. It is a fact that the south is a better place for the capital as it is near the sea and the river. The climate in the south is also better than in the north. The Hon. Sri Thimma Reddi has already stated that the capital should be located in the south.

The Hon. Sri T. Nagi Reddi—Sir, I agree with the Hon. Sri Thimma Reddi.

[Sri T. Nagi Reddi]
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri P. Thimma Reddi]  [30th November 1953]

Sri S. VEMIAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMLAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

For the words and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMIAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMLAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

For the words and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMIAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMLAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

For the words and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMIAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMLAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

For the words and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMIAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

Sri S. VEMLAH:—According to our Constitution, arguments and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".

For the words and figures "1st October 1956" substitute the words and figures "1st April 1954" and for the word "Visakhapatnam" substitute the word "Nellore".
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953] [Sri S. Vemiah]

read the text
POINT OF INFORMATION RE: LATHI CHARGE ON STUDENTS.

Sri M. VENKATASUBBA REDDI: On a point of information, Sir. Will the Hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state whether it is a fact that at 11 O'clock today a lathi charge took place in front of Cole's Memorial High School and whether any students fell unconscious as a result?

Mr. SPEAKER:— The hon. Member met me in my chambers and I told him that I would give him a chance tomorrow. It is not proper for him now to come to the mike and begin talking.

*Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:*—Observer! A few weeks ago the Home Minister was heard at the mike to say that there is no police cordoning off areas of the campus. A few weeks ago M. V. Reddi was heard at the mike to say that there is no police cordoning off areas of the campus. I am very thankful to my friend who has now reminded me. 1933 was a very unfortunate year. For the first time in the history of this country the students were able to enter the mike and begin talking.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF 
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953 [Sri V. Chidanandam]

Resolved that the capital of the Government of Andhra
shall be shifted to the University town of Visakhapatnam. University
shall be an integral part and shall be known as University
Sri V. Chidanandam.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[30th November 1953]

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—(30th November 1953)

Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:—(30th November 1953)

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—(30th November 1953)

Mr. SPEAKER:—(30th November 1953)

Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:—(30th November 1953)

3-50 p.m. Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—(30th November 1953)
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953. [Sri T. Nagi Reddi]

The Hon. Sri P. THIMMA REDDI:—

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—Shift శిఖరంద, శిఖరంద శిఖరంద. Shift శిఖరంద, Shift శిఖరంంద లేదా శిఖరంద. (At this stage Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi a member of panel of Chairmen occupied the Chair.)

Sri V. CHIDANANDAM:—As a member of the Rayalaseema Development Board, I may say that there was a move to shift that, but I was also a party to object to it and so also Mr. Thimma Reddy. Mr. Nagi Reddi was not there. He does not know the things and he is unnecessarily talking.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri T. Nagi Reddi] [30th November 1953]

The State of Andhra, having regard to the various factors involved in the location of the capital, including the socio-economic and cultural aspects, hereby resolves that the capital of the Government of Andhra shall be located at:

[Insert Resolution Details]

This resolution shall be implemented forthwith.

[Signature]

[Seal]

[Seal]
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri T. Nagi Reddi]

... (text continued from previous lines)

(At this stage Mr. Speaker occupied the Chair)

* Mr. SPEAKER: The Hon’ble the Leader of the House will now speak.

The Hon. Sri T. PRAKASAM:—Thanks, Nagi Reddi! As per the 
resolution dated 30th November 1953, the state of Andhra 
was formed on 5th September 1953. The formation of the state was 
the result of the merger of the Telugu-speaking areas of Madras 
State and the princely states of Vengalapatnam, Madura, and 
Rajahmundry. The formation of Andhra State was a significant 
step in the process of integrating the Telugu-speaking regions 
of South India.

... (text continued from previous lines)
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri T. Prakasam] [30th November 1953]

[Text in Telugu script]

[Translation]

[Text in English script]
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri T. Prakasam]

As we are in the process of planning the new capital, it is necessary to decide the location of the capital. In this regard, the government of the Madras State has been approached in a joint communication. The government of the Madras State has agreed to consider the joint proposal. The government of Andhra Pradesh has agreed to consider the joint proposal.

English and Telugu are two official languages of the State. Therefore, the Currency will be issued in both languages. The government of Andhra Pradesh has agreed to this proposal.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri T. Prakasam] [30th November 1953]

As a result, the Government has decided to proceed with the Firkha Development Scheme and a detailed study has been undertaken. It is evident that the location of the capital for the Government of Andhra Pradesh would be suitable for the development of the area. The decision has been taken after careful consideration of all relevant factors. The Government has also taken into account the law point of view, C. V. K. Rao pointing out that it should be a point of reference. It is hoped that the release of the Firkha Development Scheme would be completed soon. The Government is confident that the necessary arrangements will be made for the smooth functioning of the capital.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953

[Sri T. Prakasam]

...
30th November 1953]  
[Sri T. Prakasam]

merger భూమి విడికి వచ్చింది (భూమి విడికి వచ్చింది) inspiration నిందితుకు అందులు గోడ పెంబడి ఎంతైన సాధనాలు ఉండాలి సంఘికంపోత విద్య సంఘికంపోత లేదు స్వాతంత్ర్య లేదు.

Sri M. HANUMANTHA RAO:—On a point of order, Sir. నందం సాధనాలు కనంగా ఉండారు చెప్పాలి ఎంతలే. అతనాడు ప్రతి రోజు విద్యం కలుగంటు జరిగింది. రోజు నందం లేదు విద్యం లేదు. తదే విద్యం లేదు. చేసినప్పుడు విద్యం లేదు. అపాధ్యు విద్యం కలుగంటు జరిగింది. ధూలి విద్యం కలుగంటు జరిగింది. నందం విద్యం కలుగంటు జరిగింది.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The Hon. The Leader of the House may proceed.

The Hon. Sri T. PRAKASAM:—Temporary capital భూమి విడికి వచ్చింది (భూమి విడికి వచ్చింది) సాధనాలు కనంగా ఉండారు. గోడ పెంబడింది ఎంతైన సాధనాలు ఉండాలి సంఘికంపోత విద్య సంఘికంపోత లేదు సంఘికంపోత లేదు సంఘికంపోత లేదు సంఘికంపోత లేదు.
30th November 1953  
[Sri T. Prakasam]

RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

The Government of Andhra

26th November 1953

[Sri T. Prakasam]

The Constitution of India in its Article 236 makes a provision that the residuary legislative power is not to be exercised by the High Court except with the previous sanction of the Governor. The question now arises as to what would be the law applicable to the constitutional provision for the purpose of deciding this question.

The Constitution of India in Article 51-A makes a provision that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts. The Chief Justice Chagla has expressed the opinion in his judgment that the linguistic division shall be the residuary legislative power of the courts.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[Sri T. Prakasam] [30th November 1953]

Is there any other resolution on the capital issue? As long as the language divide is not resolved (loud laughter) let it be. As the date of April 1 has already been selected by the language division, the Chief Minister is pleased (cheers). Since the date has already been fixed, the resolution needs to be passed quickly. I therefore propose that the resolution be passed quickly. (applause)
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953] [Sri T. Prakasam]

...
The Hon. Sri T. PRAKASAM:—The resolution, as submitted by the Congress party, is very laudable. The need for a capital city for the new state of Andhra Pradesh is well recognized. The resolution proposes that the site should be at least 1000 acres in size and that it should have all the necessary facilities for the administration of the state. The site should be chosen in such a way that it is accessible by road, rail, and air. The resolution also emphasizes the importance of the physical and social infrastructure of the site. The location of the capital city should be such that it can accommodate the needs of the growing population of the state.

Sri L. LAKSHMANA DAS:—The resolution is very welcome. The Congress party has always been in favor of a well-planned capital city. The resolution calls for a site that is suitable for the administration of the state. The site should have all the necessary facilities, including good roads, rail links, and air connectivity. The resolution also emphasizes the importance of the physical and social infrastructure of the site. The location of the capital city should be such that it can accommodate the needs of the growing population of the state.

The resolution passed without any opposition.
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

30th November 1953] [Sri L. Lakshmana Das]

The Hon. Sri T. PRAKASAM:—...
The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—

"Some friends are thinking that 1956 is too long a date. That date was put to meet all contingencies but not with a view to delay matters. It does not prevent the Government to take the steps even earlier from 1st April 1955. As the rules do not provide for moving an amendment at this stage, I am making this statement. It goes along with the Resolution and friends are requested to treat it so."

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—1955 amendment is official statement of the Government. Amendment as official statement of the Government was made by the Government for the purpose of the resolution. The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—amendment to Constitution is non-official resolution of the Government. The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—amendment to the Constitution.
30th November 1953]  
[Sri M. Hanumantha Rao]

* The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—The government had decided to make Andhra Pradesh the Capital of the Government of Andhra. As an official day, April 1, 1956, has been decided. The statement made by the government on August 1, 1955, and April 1, 1956, which was the assurance of the Speaker, was adopted. The amendments made by the House were classified as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, which were subsequently adopted.

The Hon. Sri G. LATCHANNA:—April 1, 1956 was another official day. The amendments proposed were adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The amendments were adopted as per the instructions of the Speaker. The amendments were classified as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, which were subsequently adopted.

The Question is:—

"For the word and figures 1st October, 1956", substitute the word and figures "1st April 1954" and add at the end the words "and
that the Government should take immediate steps for making necessary arrangements in this behalf."

The motion was lost.

* Mr. SPEAKER:—I will now put Mr. Niladri Rao Reddi's amendment to vote.

The Question is:—
For the words and figures "1st October 1956", substitute the words and figures "1st October 1954".

The motion was lost.

Mr. Speaker:—I will now put the amendment of Sri R. Lakshminarasimham Dora to vote:

The Question is:—
"For the words and figures '1st October 1956' substitute the words and figures '1st April 1956'."

The motion was declared lost.

A poll was demanded and the House divided thus:—

AYES.

1. The Hon. Sri T. Prakasam
2. The Hon. Sri N. Sanjeeva Reddi
3. The Hon. Sri K. Koti Reddi
4. The Hon. Sri T. Viswanatham
5. The Hon. Sri D. Sanjivayya
6. The Hon. Sri S. B. P. Pattabhirama Rao
7. The Hon. Sri P. Thimma Reddi
8. Sri P. Ranga Reddi
9. Srimathi Thamma Kotamma Reddi
10. Sri Raja V. V. Krishnam Raja Bahadur
11. Sri K. Adikesavalu Naidu
12. Sri D. Ramabrahman
13. Sri R. B. V. Sudarsana Varma
14. Sri M. Doraikannu
15. Sri T. N. Venkatasubba Reddi
16. Sri L. Lakshmana Das
17. Sri K. V. Verna Reddi
18. Sri B. Krishnamurthi Rao
19. Sri K. Varadachari
20. Sri V. C. Chudamani Deo
21. Sri P. Sangannaidu
22. Sri H. Ramalinga Reddi
23. Sri P. Suryakumara Reddi
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF
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24. Sri G. Nagabhushanam
25. Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora
26. Sri T. C. Atchannaidu
27. Sri K. Suryanarayana
28. Sri P. Gunnaaya
29. Sri P. Venkatasubbaya
30. Sri Thota Ramaswamy
31. Sri G. Sivasankara Reddi
32. Sri S. Narayanappa
33. Sri N. Sankara Reddi
34. Sri K. Balanarayana Reddi
35. Sri A. Venkatramaiah
36. Sri Ch. S. R. V. P. Murthy Raju
37. Sri P. Venkataswami Reddi
38. Sri T. Mallayya
39. Sri P. Gopalakrishna Reddi
40. Sri K. Chenchurama Naidu
41. Sri D. Narayana Raju
42. Sri K. Santhappa
43. Sri A. Rama Reddi
44. Sri K. Shankugam
45. Sri K. V. Ramesam
46. Sri N. Ramabhadra Raju
47. Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao
48. Sri B. Gangayya Naidu
49. Sri Y. V. Krishna Rao
50. Sri Srungaram
51. Sri D. Basivi Reddi
52. Sri K. Srinivasulu
53. Sri K. Ramaiah Chowdary
54. Sri R. Lakshminarasimham Dora
55. Sri K. Veeranna Padal
56. Sri Raja Sagi Suryanarayana Raju
57. Sri K. Ramamurty
58. Sri Y. Audinarayana Reddi
59. Sri K. Ramaiah
60. Sri B. Ramakrishna Reddi
61. Sri Bojja Appalaswami

NOES.

1. Sri A. Venkatasubramaniam
2. Sri D. Dasaratharamaiah Naidu
3. Sri M. R. Appa Rao
RESOLUTION RE: LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA

[30th November 1953]

4. Sri N. V. Rama Rao
5. Sri M. Rajeswara Rao
6. Sri Ch. Indrayya
7. Sri N. Venkatayya
8. Sri B. Subba Raju
9. Sri R. Siddanna Gowd
10. Sri C. Subbarayudu
11. Sri R. Venkatajagga Rao
12. Sri M. Kune Rao
13. Sri S. Vemaiah
14. Sri K. Malakondayya
15. Sri K. Pattabhi Ramaiah
16. Sri K. Subba Reddi
17. Sri M. Bapaiah Chowdary
18. Sri Saka Venkata Rao
19. Sri T. Lakshminarayana Reddi
20. Sri G. C. Kondaiah
21. Sri K. Rajagopala Rao
22. Sri K. Krishna Rao
23. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah
24. Sri S. Kasireddy
25. Sri D. Lakshmayya
26. Sri K. Ranga Rao
27. Sri P. Syamasundara Rao
28. Sri C. Prabhakara Chowdary
29. Sri G. Nageswara Rao
30. Sri P. Narasimha Reddi
31. Sri A. Venkataramaraju
32. Sri V. Rama Rao
33. Sri B. Lakshminarasa Raju
34. Sri P. Rama Rao
35. Sri G. Anjaneyulu
36. Sri G. Joseph
37. Sri M. Narayanaswamy
38. Sri V. Sri Krishna
39. Sri Chandra Ramalingaiah
40. Sri M. Lakshmanaswami
41. Sri N. Sivaram Reddi
42. Sri B. Sankarayya
43. Sri G. Rama Rao
44. Sri P. S. Ramachandra Rao
45. Sri M. Veerabhadram
46. Sri G. Satyanarayana
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47. Sri K. Govinda Rao
48. Sri G. Yellamanda Reddi
49. Sri D. Seetharamiah
50. Sri T. Potha Raju
51. Sri P. Venkatasivaiah
52. Sri T. Nagi Reddi
53. Sri P. Venkateswarlu
54. Sri M. Hanumantha Rao
55. Sri K. Venkaiah
56. Sri C. Pulla Reddi
57. Sri G. Bapanayya
58. Sri C. V. K. Rao

NEUTRAL.

1. The Hon. Sri G. Latchanna
2. Sri Asi Neeladrirao Reddi
3. Sri P. Bapu Naidu
4. Sri M. Pentannaidu
5. Sri P. Pundarikakshacharyulu
6. Sri K. Venkatanarayana Dora
7. Sri K. Appala Naidu
8. Sri Kavali Narayana
9. Sri K. Bapanna Dora
10. Sri K. Venkata Kurmi Naidu
11. Sri V. Chidanandam
12. Sri G. Suryanarayana
13. Sri K. V. S. Padmanabha Raju
14. Sri M. Venkatasubba Reddi
15. Sri P. Kotaiah
16. Sri P. Suryachandra Rao
17. Sri P. V. R. Gajapathi Raju
18. Sri K. Venkata Setty
19. Sri M. Subba Reddi
20. Sri P. Chinnama Reddi

Ayes: 61; Noes: 58; Neutral: 20. The motion was carried.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Now all the remaining amendments.

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—Sir, I hope you will hear me before you give your ruling.

Sri K. VARADACHARI:—He has given the ruling.

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—He has agreed to give me a hearing.
The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—May I submit, Sir, on a point of order. The hon. Member, Sri Nagi Reddi can only say whether the amendments are in order or out of order. He cannot go into the question of capital ‘Nirnayam’.

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—I am not giving any lecture on the capital issue. I am only speaking on the relevancy of the other amendments.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—Assuming that the amendments are in order, according to the rules of the House, any resolution 1.10.56 to 1.4.56 in which the use of words ‘substantial amendment’ applies to any resolution substantial or material change. Amendment 1.10.56 to 1.4.56 must have an absolute majority, otherwise it cannot be accepted. Where place change place provision ‘substantial amendments’ accept. In Guntur-Vijayawada any absolute majority or 19 legislators. The ruling committee consisting of majority can accept and the resolutions are acceptable. Place change place substantial amendment accept. Resolution 10.5.19 19 legislators. Ruling 19 legislators absolute majority 31 of the House accept.
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The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—

The amendments have been serially numbered, and the amendments are as follows:

1. The amendment to subsection (1) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order. Amendment to subsection (2) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order. Amendment to subsection (3) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order. Amendment to subsection (4) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order.

The Hon. Sri M. VEERABHADRAM:—

The amendments have been serially numbered, and the amendments are as follows:

1. The amendment to subsection (1) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order. Amendment to subsection (2) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order. Amendment to subsection (3) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order. Amendment to subsection (4) of Article 36 shall be made absolute majority. The amendments are made absolute majority. The question is whether the amendments are in order.
Sri K. RAJAGOPALA RAO:—Sir, the relevant point is that the capital of the Government of Andhra was shifted from Kurnool to Visakhapatnam by 1-4-1956, and so the decision given by the House is that the capital should be shifted from Kurnool by 1-4-1956. Now, my friends who are moving so many amendments want their amendments also to be put to vote. Let us see if a decision contrary to the one which is taken by the House can be adopted. I say it cannot be done under Rule 60 of the Assembly Rules. Rule 60, which my friend, the Rajah of Vizianagaram, quoted this morning applies very aptly now, namely, a motion substantially identical to the one on which the House has given a decision must not be raised in the same session.
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So, Sir, I submit the other amendments cannot be discussed now. They should be ruled out of order.

My friend, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah has been questioning the order in which you gave preference to the amendments in the order in which they were moved. I think it is not open to him now, after the decision has been taken on one of the amendments, to raise that question of the order in which they were moved. He should have raised that point, when the amendments were moved one after the other. Now, that is, after the motions have been moved in a particular order and a decision has been taken on one of the amendments moved, he cannot raise objection to the order in which the amendments were moved. Now the House has come to a substantial decision that the capital of the Andhra State should be shifted from Kurnool by 1-4-1956. So, can this House now decide on a motion recommending that the capital should be shifted by 1954 or 1955. I submit, it cannot. For it will be directly contradictory to the decision it has already come to. If we do anything like that we will be landing ourselves into an absurd decision; and the decision now taken by the House will be nullified. Are we prepared to nullify a motion now carried by another motion? Sir, that is the point you have to consider; and so, I request to rule out all the other amendments.

* Sri M. HANUMANTHA RAO:—Comprehensive amendment time and place. Comprehensive amendment pass comprehensive amendment. Comprehensive amendment pass comprehensive amendment time and place. Sri M. Hanumanta Rao:—Comprehensive amendment time and place. Comprehensive amendment pass. Comprehensive amendment time and place. Comprehensive amendment pass comprehensive amendment time and place. Comprehensive amendment pass comprehensive amendment time and place. Comprehensive amendment pass comprehensive amendment time and place.
Sri K. V. RAMESAM:—The amendment has been accepted by the House in two parts, one regarding time and the other regarding place. Therefore no question of indefinite date or indefinite place arises.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The hon. Member is saying that the amendment relates to both date and place. It is wrong.

The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—The place also in the resolution has been accepted. (Cries of ‘No’, ‘No’)

Sri K. V. RAMESAM:—What I am submitting to you is that the amendment comprises both place and time. The question is when the capital should be shifted from Kurnool to Visakhapatnam. The idea of the place, i.e., the transfer from Kurnool to Visakhapatnam, is there. The other is about time. Both are in the amendment. Therefore, I submit that it is in order and the other amendments cannot be taken up.

Sri C. C. KONDAIAH:—

Sri VAMACHARI:—I have also risen. Let me catch your eye.

Mr. SPEAKER:—I will call members one by one.

Sri V. SRI KRISHNA:—The procedure shall be Rule 134 which should regulate the amendment about date and place. But the amendments do not regulate the idea of the amendment. Therefore, it is not in order and other amendments cannot be taken up.

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—I too submit that there is no amendment but the huge majority of 10-12 members have voted. The amendment is about the date and place. Therefore, the amendments cannot be taken up.
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Sri P. PUNDARIKAKSHACHARYULU:—Sir, I am compelled to speak in English.

Hon. MEMBERS:—Speak in Telugu please.

Sri K. VARADACHARI:—Impossible, Sir.

What I am submitting to you is this. We seem to be forgetting the main issue in the matter and importing all sorts of considerations into this. The procedure on a non-official day for moving a resolution is determined by a ballot. The ballot prescribes which resolution should come before the House for consideration and all other resolutions given notice of automatically go and that one resolution only which is balloted comes before the House. Therefore, what has come before the House is that the capital should be shifted to Visakhapatnam and by a certain date. Of course, the Speaker had the
right and discretion to split that resolution and put the question of place first before the House and also the question of date next. The Chair has chosen the procedure in a particular manner and it cannot go back upon that procedure. What I am submitting is that the main resolution stands for the purpose of shifting the capital to Visakhapatnam by a certain date and the amendment that is now carried simply changes the date contained in the main resolution. Therefore, I submit, it will reduce the proceedings of this House to an absurdity if we have to say now that that main resolution is an independent one and that the amendment is an independent one. An amendment which seeks to completely counter the main resolution is no amendment at all. That is the point that the Speaker has to take note of. An amendment which goes completely counter to the main resolution which is before the House is an absolute absurdity and is nugatory and it has been thrown out absolutely. There are amendments given notice of and you could have given a ruling about the admissibility of those amendments. That was not done. Now it is too late to think of changing the rules or convention in an ordinary common debate. Therefore, the contention that whatever is not provided in the rules the Speaker may decide upon, is not a point which could be raised in this connection. Anything contrary to the spirit of the rules already existing cannot be done by the Speaker even in his discretion. Therefore, Sir, what I am submitting is, let us not be parties to reduce the proceedings of this House to an absurdity by putting forward other amendments to the main resolution, which go not only to merely amend that resolution but also run completely counter to the main resolution itself.

6-10 p.m.

Sri K. KRISHNA RAO:—The place at which the factor date is given is factor date. Date at which factor is given date is 30th December. Date and place given in the independent amendments are Rule 60 which do not fall in the same category. Therefore, Rule 60 has to be considered. The place amendments do not fall in the same category. Hence the same.

* The Hon. T. VISWANATHAM:—The rule 64 sub-rule 4 amendment discuss with Mr. Pilla Amarri Venkateswarlu.

"An amendment on a question must not be inconsistent with any previous decision on the same question given at any stage of the same Bill or motion."

Sri PILLA AMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—That is so.

* The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—That is so. The proceedings are so.
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Sri N. V. L. NARASIMHA RAO:— The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—Negative vote rejected.

*The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHAM:—Negative vote reject.

Sri T. NAGI REDDI:—did not understand the point, till 6-20 p.m.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—Because I have had all the Resolutions and Amendments of which notice has been received, scrutinized and analysed by the Office. The places at which the Andhra Capital is sought to be located under these resolutions and amendments are only four, viz.

(1) Kurnool,
(2) Vijayawada,

(3) Visakapatnam,
(4) Palnadu.
The resolution regarding Kurnool has already been moved and seconded. The members who have given notice of resolutions or amendments regarding other places may move their places in the form of amendments to Sri Viswanatham's resolution, by saying "Substitute the word "Vijayawada" or the word "Guntur" or the words "Guntur to Vijayawada" for the word "Kurnool". The amendment regarding each of these places may be moved and seconded. After all these places have been proposed and seconded, there may be a discussion upon all the places generally."
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...
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Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—The High Court had passed a resolution on 10th June 1953, objection to an official resolution. The resolution was passed on 10th June 1953, and the non-official resolution was passed on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed an amendment to the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953.

Main resolution 1, 2, 3, 4 and amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 (as) resolution place (the)埼川 interpretation correct 1.10.56 and 1.4.56. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953.

Main resolution 3 and amendment 1, 2, 3, 4 and amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 (as) resolution place (the)埼川 interpretation correct 1.10.56 and 1.4.56. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953.

Main resolution 3 and amendment 1, 2, 3, 4 and amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 (as) resolution place (the)埼川 interpretation correct 1.10.56 and 1.4.56. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953. The High Court had passed the resolution on 10th June 1953.
30th November 1953]

Mr. SPEAKER:—I have already risen to speak about the matter. I have heard sufficiently. The Resolution originally moved was:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

To this Resolution an amendment has been moved "that for the words and figures '1st October 1956' the words and figures '1st April 1956' be substituted. So the resolution as amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

This amended Resolution will now be put to the House.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—We must consider other amendments. Amendment No. 10 by Sri T. Potha Raju, for instance, is an addition to the Resolution and it must be put to the vote.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—Only certain amendments were considered. You have not ruled out other amendments. Mr. SPEAKER:—The Resolution originally moved was:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

To this Resolution an amendment has been moved "that for the words and figures '1st October 1956' the words and figures '1st April 1956' be substituted. So the resolution as amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

This amended Resolution will now be put to the House.

Sri M. HANUMANTHA RAO:—We must consider other amendments. Mr. SPEAKER:—I have already risen to speak about the matter. I have heard sufficiently. The Resolution originally moved was:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

To this Resolution an amendment has been moved "that for the words and figures '1st October 1956' the words and figures '1st April 1956' be substituted. So the resolution as amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

This amended Resolution will now be put to the House.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—We must consider other amendments. Mr. SPEAKER:—I have already risen to speak about the matter. I have heard sufficiently. The Resolution originally moved was:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

To this Resolution an amendment has been moved "that for the words and figures '1st October 1956' the words and figures '1st April 1956' be substituted. So the resolution as amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

This amended Resolution will now be put to the House.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—Only certain amendments were considered. You have not ruled out other amendments. Amendment No. 10 by Sri T. Potha Raju, for instance, is an addition to the Resolution and it must be put to the vote.

Sri M. HANUMANTHA RAO:—The Resolution originally moved was:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

To this Resolution an amendment has been moved "that for the words and figures '1st October 1956' the words and figures '1st April 1956' be substituted. So the resolution as amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

This amended Resolution will now be put to the House.

Sri VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH:—We must consider other amendments. Mr. SPEAKER:—I have already risen to speak about the matter. I have heard sufficiently. The Resolution originally moved was:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st October, 1956, and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

To this Resolution an amendment has been moved "that for the words and figures '1st October 1956' the words and figures '1st April 1956' be substituted. So the resolution as amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State".

This amended Resolution will now be put to the House.

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—Only certain amendments were considered. You have not ruled out other amendments. Amendment No. 10 by Sri T. Potha Raju, for instance, is an addition to the Resolution and it must be put to the vote.
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Sri R. LAKSHMINARASIMHAM DORA:—You have already given your ruling that all other amendments are out of order. Any further discussion on the subject will affect the sanctity of the proceedings of this House, and the rulings of the Speaker will become ineffective.

Mr. SPEAKER:—I feel that amendment No. 10 may be taken up viz.,

Add the following at the end: “that all offices of the Andhra Government that are at present in Madras be shifted from Madras to Guntur-Vijayawada before January 1954.”

The Hon. Sri T. VISWANATHM:—Add the following at the end: “that all offices of the Andhra Government that are at present in Madras be shifted from Madras to Guntur-Vijayawada before January 1954.”

Sri PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU:—All amendments are out of order. Amendments may be admitted. Discussion shall be restricted to points of order. Amendments may be introduced. Amendments may be moved. Amendments may be withdrawn. Amendments may be rejected. Amendments may be admitted or rejected. Amendments shall be move. Amendments shall be rejected.
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The Hon. Sri T. PRAKASAM:— అన్యసంఘర్ష, point of order నిర్ణయాలు నిర్ణయాలు ప్రకారం కొని చేసేది. ప్రభుత్వ నిర్ణయాలు, ప్రభుత్వ నిర్ణయాలు ప్రకారం వహించండి. నిర్ణయాలు వహించండి. ఉండనివియన్నం కొని పిలువబడు మర తిరఫ్ఫు కందు చేయబడండి. ఉండనివియన్నం కొని పిలువబడు మర తిరఫ్ఫు కందు చేయబడండి.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The other amendments will not be considered but I will put the amendment No. 10 to the vote of the House.

The Hon. Sri N. SANJEEVA REDDI:—Without any disrespect to the Chair, we will not participate in the further proceedings of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER:—The question is:

"Add the following at the end: 'That all offices of the Andhra Government that are at present in Madras be shifted from Madras to Guntur-Vijayawada before January 1954.'"

The motion was carried.

Mr. SPEAKER:—Now the Resolution as further amended reads:

"That this Assembly recommends to the Government that the temporary capital of the Andhra State be retained at Kurnool, till 1st April 1956 and thereafter Visakhapatnam be made the permanent capital of the Andhra State, that all offices of the Andhra Government that are at present in Madras be shifted from Madras to Guntur-Vijayawada before January 1954."

Sri M. VENKATASUBBA REDDI:—We cannot understand what is going on.

Mr. SPEAKER:—All this is the result of amendments given notice of without having anything definite in view.

The amended Resolution was then put and lost.

The Assembly then adjourned till 10 A. M. on Tuesday, the 1st December 1953.