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The House met at Half Past Two of the Clock.

[M. Speaker in the Chair]

Questions and Answers

See Part I

L.A. Bill No. XVIII of 1954, the Hyderabad Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954

CLAUSE I

Mr. Speaker: Let us now continue clause by clause reading of Abolition of Inams Bill.
لاکس باجی اہمیت کے ذریعے گولداروں کے قضاء پر بناء - یہ بجٹ کا ہی جدید ریزرو ہے - لیکن اسی سائز کے معیاری اور خصائص اداروں کی حفاظت حاصل کا ایک انظار یہ نہیں ہے کہ اوہنے کو پہچانا ہے - عوام کو دو饮用ہ پہچانا ہے اگر ان کا مذہب کرمی جاہزی تھا تو عوام اسکے استفادہ نہیں میں ہے جانگیکی - استحاط ایک طرف فائئری پر اگر بھیجا کرنا تھا تو دوسری طرف قاعدہ پر بھیجا ہوئے - اسے مر رہنے اور اٹاق ہے - اسے نظر ہیں کہ یہ جنگی پر رہنے ان کا ایک اہمیت کا اندازہ ہوئے - اس اور اسکو تسلیم کرنا ہے گولداروں کو زیادہ رقم لیتی اسے اسے جائز کہ کس اس عوام کو فائدہ پہچانے ہے اگر اس اصل کو تسلیم کرنا ہے زیادہ بھی میں اس بار بہت متاثر ہو - اگر جنگی زدہ و مذہبی انتظامات کو اسے بھر کلیدا جانے کے اپنے گولداروں کو قضاء پہچانے ہے - اسے نے اسکو کرنا ہے - قاصر ہوئے -

اسکے بعد جزو دوم يعني خدمات دینے کے سلسلے میں جو معاشی دیکھی ہے - ان کا پارس میں ایک ایک اسی تفصیل سے کہ جو چکا ہوئے کہ بللوی داروں کو جو انتظامات دی گئیں ہے وہ اخلاقی خصوصی کی شرط پر کے تین نہیں بلکہ ان کو قانون بنے رہنے کے لئے فیک گھر ہیں - اسے رہنے میں گھریلوں ہیں - وہ دفعہ جب کہ چگہ ہے شکا ہے تو گھریلوں ان کی اعلیٰ جواب دیکھا گیا ہے - جو ایہ انتظامات نہیں - اس کو قانون 1954ء روایہ کے نیا کا نیا رہیا کا نیا رہیا۔ روایہ میں تعلق وہ ایک دیکھی ہے - ایک اور ذخیرہ کرنا لئے بھی دوستون کو ہی سبھی غور کرنا چاہئے کہ یہ جو انتظامات دی گئیں ہے تو زدہ بکر اور خالد میں تام پھر - اسکو قانون 1954ء بھی وصول ہوئے - اسے رہنے میں نہیں بکر اور خالد کے نام پرہا گیا کہ بلکہ اوس قانون کے زیر نہیں کہ تام پھر - اس کے بعد میں اس کے انتظامات ایک بہت گھریلوں ہوئے - دوسرہ سلسلہ بنے کے پہلی دن صبح عوام کے ہی نہیں - اس کے پہلی دن بھی اس کے پہلے دن سے آسپیس ہوئے اس کو کیا ہے بھی نہیں - بھیجی میں یہ پہلی بھی پہلی حاضر ہوئے تجویزی تعلیق یہ تصویری جاگیر کا نہ ہی شروع بھوری خوشکی - بھی نہیں تحقیقی مشاہدات اس دامن سے بنی پہلی تحقیقی اس کے افادات کی طرف اشارہ کرنا کہ - انکا کوئی یہ کم کسی کہ تھا پہلی بطوروں ان لوگوں پر بھی خوبی کرنا کے - خاصاً کا میں لینے - یہہن - بجے رہلا گیا یہہن - بلاکس مزدوری کے کوئی اثر ہی نہیں - کا میں لینے - یہہن کم کھولنے کا نہیں - بجے رہلا گیا یہہن - بھیچانے کی گئی بھی سے لسلہ جاری رہگیا - جو
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Mr. Speaker : I shall now put the amendments to vote. The question is :

"Omit para (1) of sub-clause (2)."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker : The question is :

"That in para (i) of sub-clause (2), omit 'or for the benefit of.'"

The motion was negatived.

Rendering village service useful to the Government:
Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"Omit para (ii) of sub-clause (2)."

The motion was negatived.

Shri M. Ram Reddy: Since amendment No. 5 of Shri K. Anantha Reddy has been accepted, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Speaker: Shri K. Anantha Reddy’s amendment, viz.:

“For sub-clause (8) substitute: ‘It shall come into force from the date of its publication in the Official Gazette’.” has been accepted by the member in-charge of the Bill. So, it need not be put to vote.

Shri A. Gurva Reddy: By accepting amendment No. 5 of Shri K. Anantha Reddy, Government has again taken the power of bringing the Bill into force whenever it likes, i.e. they may print it in the Official Gazette at any time they like. My amendment seeks to put a time-limit of 90 days. It may be put to vote.

Shri A. Gurva Reddy: I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Speaker: I shall put parts (a) and (b) of Shri Ankush-rao’s amendment to vote. Part (c) has already been covered by the amendment of Shri K. Ananth Reddy, which has been accepted.

The question is:

“(a) That in line 2 of sub-clause (2) omit ‘except’.

(b) Omit paras (1) and (2)’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: As stated yesterday, Clause 1 as amended will be put to vote after all the clauses in the Bill have been put to vote. Let us take up Clause 2.
Clause 2

Shri K. Ananth Reddy (Balkonda): I beg to move:

“That in para (b) of sub-clause (1), for the portion beginning with: ‘provisions’ in line 2 and ending with ‘inam’ in line 4, substitute: ‘Act comes into force’.”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

Shri K. L. Narasimha Rao (Yellandu-General): I beg to move:

“That for para (e) of sub-clause (1) substitute:

‘(e) ‘Kabiz-kadim’ means a holder of inam land other than an inamdar, who has been in possession of such land for more than twelve years or who has been paying only land revenue or who has purchased the land through a registered or unregistered deed or oral agreement and is in possession thereof.’”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

Shri J. Anand Rao (Sircilla-General): There is a typographical error in my amendment and the words ‘of para (h)’ have been omitted. I shall move it in the corrected form.

I beg to move:

“That in lines 1 and 2 of para (h) of sub-clause (1), omit ‘from a date prior to 10th June, 1950’.”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

Shri J. Anand Rao: I beg to move:

“That in line 2 of para (h) of sub-clause (1), between the words ‘cultivating’ and ‘the’, insert the word ‘personally.’”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.
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Shri Ankush Rao Ghare (Partur): I beg to move:

“(a) That for para (b) of sub-clause (1), substitute: ‘Date of vesting’ in relation to an Inam means the date on which this Act comes into force.”

“(b) Omit the proviso to para (c) of sub-clause (1).”

“(c) For para (e) of sub-clause (1), substitute: ‘Kabiz-e-Kadim’ means a holder of inam land, other than an Inamdar, who has been in possession of such land for twelve years prior to the date of coming into force of this Act, and whose rights are analogous to those of a Pattedar or Shikmidar and who pays the Inamdar annual rent equal to land revenue.”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

I beg to move:

“That after para (k) of sub-clause (1), add the following as para (1):—

‘(1) ‘judi’ or ‘quit-rent’ means the amount fixed by and payable to Government by the Inamdar out of the land revenue assessed on Inam land’.”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

[Shri Anna Rao Ganamukhi (Chairman) in the Chair]

*Shri Ankush Rao Ghare: Mr. Speaker, Sir, with regard to the first portion of my amendment regarding the date of the enforcement of this Act, the amendment to sub-clause
(2), of clause (1), moved by Shri Ananth Reddy has been already accepted. Therefore, I would not take more time on this. But about part (b) of sub-clause (2), which excludes Inams of the Jagir areas from the operation of this clause, I do not find any logical explanation for this. In the definition of Inams it has been stated "Inam means land held under a gift or a grant made by the Nizam or by any Jagirdar, holder of a Samasthan or other competent granter and continued... In the proviso it is stated "Provided that in respect of former Jagir areas, the expression inam shall not include such lands as have not been recognized as inams by Government after the abolition of the Jagirs." This is rather a contradiction, because whether Government has recognized such Inam lands or not, these inams were granted by Jagirdars who were competent grantors. The area of former Jagir areas is roughly one-thirds of the whole State but we do not know what is the exact number or the acreage of such Inam lands in those former Jagir areas and therefore it will be improper to exclude such lands from the operation of this clause. Therefore, this proviso which excludes those inams from the operation of the clause should be dropped and that is what my amendment.

Regarding the definition of Kabiz-e-Kadim, it is very vague and no definite condition for stipulating any person as Kabiz-e-Kadim, is given. Therefore my amendment is that any person who prior to the date of coming into operation of this Law is in continuous possession of it for 12 years and who has got the right of Patta or Shikm should be declared Kabiz-e-Kadim. This period is necessary for determining whether a person is Kabiz-e-Kadim or not. I think my amendment seeks only to fulfil the intentions of the clause and therefore, it may be accepted.
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Subject: Hyderabad Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954

The bill seeks to abolish the system of Inams in Hyderabad. It is aimed at eliminating the concept of Inams which has been a source of conflict and discrimination for a long time. The bill proposes to provide compensation to the holders of Inams for the loss of income they would incur due to the abolition of Inams. The compensation would be calculated based on the income derived from the Inam properties. The bill is expected to be passed by the end of the year.
Permanent tenant means a person, who from a date prior to 10th June, 1950...
Cultivating (prior to 10th June, 1950)

A permanent tenant means a person who, from a date prior to 10th June, 1950 has been cultivating personally the inam land on a permanent lease from the inamdar whether under an instrument or an oral agreement.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan (Ausa): Sir, I want to move an amendment to the amendment moved by Shri Ankushrao Ghare If this is the appropriate time, I may be allowed to move it.

Shri B. D. Deshmukh: I think the hon. Member can move it later on.

Shri Devesingh Chauhan: Before entering upon the general discussion on the amendments, I want to move my amendment to the amendment. I think it is proper that the amendment to the amendment should be moved first.
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Mr. Chairman: There are three parts in the amendment moved by Shri Ankushrao Ghare. To which part does the hon. Member propose to move an amendment?

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: My amendment relates to part (c) of the amendment.

Mr. Chairman: Then the hon. Member can move it.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: I beg to move:

(i) 'That in the first line of the amendment (c), for the word 'a' substitute the word 'the'.

(ii) in lines 3, 4 and 5 of the amendment (c), delete the words beginning from "for twelve years prior to the date of coming into force of this Act, and whose rights are analogous to those of a pattadar or shikmidar and", and substitute the following: "at the time of the grant of inam or has been in continuous possession of such land for not less than 12 years before the date of vesting and ".

(iii) In the last line of amendment (c), after the word 'pays' add the word 'to' and delete the words 'annual rent equal to' and substitute the words 'only the'.

If my above amendment is accepted, part (c) of the amendment, after amended, runs thus:

(c) "Kabis-e-Kadim" means the holder of Inam land, other than an Inamdar, who has been in possession of such land at the time of the grant of inam or has been in continuous possession of such land for not less than 2 years before the date of vesting, and who pays to the Inamdar only the land revenue."

Shri K. Venkatrama Rao (Chinakondur): What is the word in the last line? Is it 'and' or 'or'?
Shri Devisingh Chauhan: The word is 'and' and it reads as: 'and who pays to the inamdar only the land revenue'.

Mr. Chairman: Amendment to amendment moved.

The word is 'and' and it reads as: 'and who pays to the inamdar only the land revenue'.

Mr. Chairman: Amendment to amendment moved.

Or whose rights are analogous to those of a pattedar or shikamidar.

At the time of granting inam this is necessary.

Shri K. C. Bagla:—The word 'and' has been inserted in the Bill. The word 'and' has been inserted in the Bill.
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करने के लिये विधेयक भी प्रमाणित होता है, इससे कम करने के लिये नैने वह अमेंडमेंट दो अमेंडमेंट लानी है। मूल्य संपदा है कि बालास्थान संबंध इसे संजूर करने।

श्री के. बेरोड़िसिंग चौधरी :—जिन सब के बारे में तस्किया करने का अख्तार कलेक्टर को दिया है, कि जिस तरह की यदि कोई पेशेवरी आये और कुछ समझ पैदा हो, तो अबुका तस्किया कले-कटर होंगे करे।

श्री के. बेरोड़िसिंग चौधरी :—बिसें के सब के जो श्री पेशेवर्या होंगी भुरू तस्माता का पैसा कलेक्टर होंगे करेगा यो मुख्यालय होगा जिस सब के अख्तारा दिया गया है। बसके कहीं शांत-नादिर मूलते पैदा हो सकती हैं, अंततः शुरूका तस्किया कलेक्टर करेंगे।

श्री ऊर्फ़ान इ. प्रेमल रियाश (मिज़ील) :— दरबार अनुमान के तार कोरकू दुसरा लोग भुक आहार दिन वायक उपयोगी हो जायेंगे तकिया व वायक प्रद के कर तही की?

श्री. बेरोड़िसिंग चौधरी :—यहाँ बालास्थान संबंध ने बेंक वेतना मसला रखा है। नैने को अमेंड-मेंट दो अमेंडमेंट (Amendment to Amendment) दी है इसमें यह बेंक नही है। पैंरे अमेंडमेंट दो अमेंडमेंट तो विश्वसन नही है। बस हिन्दा वाता किया गया बुस बनत यदि वह भुक जरियन पर काबीज हो तो वह काबीज के काबीज के तारीफ मे आ जाता है और वह काबीज के काबीज नजर आपेक्ष। दस्त विभाग वाता करने के बाद 50 साल के श्री बाद होतकर है। मेंटा मनमाना यह नही है।

मसबरा हिरन से उतानिकार के सर्की बे मूलत ये जानविया जो हैं आमके?

मेंट लोग हेड हिंस।

श्री. बेरोड़िसिंग चौधरी :—वर्ल दे बाबा वहा यह की वेंटे अमेंडमेंट का मनमाना नही है और बूदके बारे में यहां कुछ जबरदस्त में नहीं है सकता।

श्री के. विषय राम राऊ विषय कॉवर बनाने के का क्या बोलते हैं?

श्री. बेरोड़िसिंग चौधरी :—मैंने पहले ही बताया है।
whose rights are analogous to those of a pattadar or shikmidar.
Kabiz-e-Kadim, means a holder of inam land other than an inamdar who has been in possession of such land for more than 12 years.

or who has been paying only land revenue

or who has purchased the land through a registered or unregistered deed or oral agreement and is in possession thereof.
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Forfeit

(Forfeit) - In case of default or neglect to pay the annual
rent mentioned in the schedule of the rupees five thousand
and five hundred for the year 1951.

Forfeiture - In case of default or neglect to pay the annual
rent mentioned in the schedule of the rupees five thousand
and five hundred for the year 1951.

Forfeiture - In case of default or neglect to pay the annual
rent mentioned in the schedule of the rupees five thousand
and five hundred for the year 1951.
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To His Excellency the Governor of Hyderabad

SIR,

I have the honour to submit in writing the following:

On the 1st August, 1954

(A) Written agreement

B. D. Deshmukh

[Shri B. D. Deshmukh (Chairman) in the Chair]
A. Bill No. XVIII of 1954.

Hyderabad Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954

27th August, 1954

He is a Bill for the Abolition of Inams in the Hyderabad State. It was passed on 27th August, 1954.

The Bill seeks to abolish the practice of Inams, which are zamindari rights held by the landlords. The Bill provides for the transfer of Inams to the Revenue Department.

Inams were traditionally held as hereditary rights by landlords and were a source of income for the state.

The Bill aims to redistribute these rights to the Revenue Department, thereby reducing the power and influence of the landlords.

The Bill is significant as it marks a step towards the modernization of the state's land tenure system.

The Bill received wide support and was passed without much opposition.

It is an important step in the development of the state and its land policies.
L. A. Bill No. XVIII of 1954, the 
Hyderabad. Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954

372
27th August, 1954

L. A. Bill No. XVIII of 1954, the Hyderabad. Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954

Possession (Hona Laizi)

Middlemen (Bit) Open Heart

Registrate (Writing)
The House then adjourned for recess till Half Past Five of the Clock.
The House re-assembled after recess at Half Past Five of the Clock.

[Shri B. D. Deshmukh (Chairman) in the Chair]
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‘Kabiz-e-Kadim’ means a holder of inam land, other
than an inamdar, who has been in possession of such land for
12 years or who has been paying only land revenue”.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan:
I would take only a minute. I have
simply moved my amendment to the amendment of
Shri Ghare; but have not participated nor expressed my views
on the general discussion on the amendments. I, therefore,
request you, Sir, to give me only one or two minutes.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan:
I think I have the right to
participate in the general discussion on the amendments.
Moreover, I am asking just for a minute or two.

I support the amendment of Shri Ankushrao Ghare,
hon. Member for Partur, because in clause 1 we have accepted
the amendment that this Inam Abolition Bill will come into
force immediately or from the date of its publication in the
Official Gazette. The amendment moved by the hon. Member
for Partur is a consequential amendment and therefore I
request the Member-in-charge of the Bill to accept that amend­
ment also.
Shri A Raja Reddy: With my amendment to amendment, the amendment runs as follows: 'Kabiz-e-kadim' means a holder of inam land, other than an inamdar, who has been in possession of such land for more than 12 years, or paying only land revenue or having purchased the land through a registered or unregistered deed or oral agreement is in possession thereof.”

Mr. Chairman: Amendment to Amendment moved.

The Minister for Local Government and Education (Shri Gopalrao Ekbote): We want to know whether Shri Ankushrao Ghare has accepted the amendment to amendment suggested by Shri Devisingh Chauhan. If he declares that he is prepared to accept or not, that would make things easier.

Shri Ankushrao Ghare: I accept he first amendment. I do not accept the other amendment moved by Shri Udhva Rao Patil.
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---

Shri Anna Rao Ganamukhi (Chairman) in the Chair.

---

[The text of the document is not legible due to the quality of the image. It appears to be a legislative document discussing the Hyderabad Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954, and mentions a meeting chaired by Shri Anna Rao Ganamukhi.]
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... 

... 

... 

...
Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"That in para (b) of sub-clause (1), for the portion beginning with: "provisions" in line 2 and ending with "inam" in line 4, substitute: "Act comes into force"

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: I shall put Shri K. L. Narsimha Rao’s amendment as amended by Shri Raj Reddy to vote:

The question is:

"That for para (c) of sub-clause (1), substitute:

'(c) ‘Kabiz kadim’ means a holder of inam land other than an inamdar, who has been in possession of such land for more than twelve years or paying only land revenue or having purchased the land through a registered or unregistered deed or oral agreement and is in possession thereof.'"
The motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"That in lines 1 and 2 of para (h) of sub-clause (1), omit, "from a date prior to 10th June, 1950."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"That in line 2 of para (h) of sub-clause (1), between the words 'cultivating' and 'the', insert the word 'personally'."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: Shri Ankushrao Ghare.

Shri Gopal Rao Ekbote: Sir, parts (a) (c) of Shri Ankushrao Ghare's amendment have been accepted by the Member-in-charge of the Bill.

Shri Ankushrao Ghare: Sir, I beg leave of the House to withdraw part (b) of my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"That after para (k) of sub-clause (1), add the following as para (1):

'(1) 'Judi' or 'quit-rent' means the amount fixed by and payable to Government by the Inamdar out of the land revenue assessed on Inam land."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"That Clause 2, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 as amended was added to the Bill.
Shri J. Anand Rao: I beg to move:

"Omit sub-clause (3)."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri B. D. Deshmukh: I beg to move:

"That after sub-clause (3), add the following proviso:

'Provided that in no case rent exceeding the rate of double the land revenue shall be recoverable by such Inamdar from the occupant of any Inam land and arrears of a period exceeding three years immediately preceding the date vesting under section 3 shall be recoverable by the Inamdar.'"

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri Udhava Rao Patil: I wish to omit the words 'and (h)' in my amendment. I shall move it in the corrected form. I beg to move:

"Omit para (g) of sub-clause (2)."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.
Nothing contained in sub-sections (1) and (2) shall operate as a bar to the recovery by the inamdar of any sum which becomes due to him before the date of vesting by virtue of his rights as Inamdar and any such sum shall be recoverable by him by any process of law, which, but for this Act, would be available to him.

Nothing contained in sub-sections (1) and (2) shall operate as a bar to the recovery by the inamdar of any sum which becomes due to him before the date of vesting by virtue of his rights as Inamdar and any such sum shall be recoverable by him by any process of law, which, but for this Act, would be available to him.

Provided that in no case rent exceeding the rate of double the land revenue shall be recoverable by such Inamdar.

...and arrears of a period exceeding three years immediately preceding the date vesting under section 8 shall be recoverable in the Inamdar.
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After Article 31 of the Constitution, the following Article shall be inserted and shall be deemed always to have been inserted, namely—

"Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing provision of this Part..."

...no law providing for the acquisition by the State of any estate or of any rights therein or for the extinguishment or modification of any such rights shall be deemed to be void on the ground that it is inconsistent with, or takes away or...
abies any of the rights conferred by any provisions of this Part:

Provided that where such law is a law made by the Legislature of a State, the provisions of this Article shall not apply thereto unless such law, having been reserved for the consideration of the President, has received his assent.

The expression 'estate' shall, in relation to any local area, have the same meaning as that expression or its local equivalent has in the existing law relating to land tenures in force in that area, and shall also include any jagir, inam or muafi or other similar grant.
Shri Devisingh Chauhan: I stand to oppose the amendments moved by the hon. Member from Osmanabad, by the hon. Member from Bhokardan and by the other hon. Member from Sircilla.

The amendment moved by the hon. Member from Osmanabad is too late for consideration in this House. The principle which he, with great force, tried to put before this House may be there; but it is too late to apply that principle to the Inamdars. The mischief had already been done. This State has already been paying one crore and fifteen lakhs of rupees as compensation to the Jagirdars; and now, it is not a practical sense to apply the principle of Abolition of the Inams without paying any compensation at all. Therefore, as a matter of practical approach I oppose this amendment.

We have provided compensation here for the Abolition of the Inams in the same manner as we were paying compensation to the Jagirdars every year. There may be many Inamdars whose amount of compensation may not be very great. These are small amounts and we are trying to convert the feudal society into a rational and equilibrarian society. Therefore, the compensation which we have provided under this Bill may Act just like a buffer between two railway carriages. When the two carriages are joined together the buffer Acts to minimise the shock. The provision for compensation in this Bill does not put any hardship or burden on the State Exchequer. Therefore, as a practical measure I oppose the amendment moved by the hon. Member from Osmanabad.

About the amendment moved by the hon. Member from Bhokardan, I oppose it on the ground that the amendment is based upon an incorrect understanding of the provisions of the Tenancy Act. I would like to draw the attention of the hon. Member to Section 102 of the Tenancy Act. Certain inam lands or certain property were originally taken away from the purview of the Tenancy Act. But by the second amendment made in 1951 all the inam lands have been brought under the purview of the Tenancy Act. So
for our purposes, the Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act as amended in 1951 is applicable to all the inams to which our present Bill of Abolition of the Inams applies. This means that the rents payable by the tenants of the inam lands have already been controlled. Sections 11 and 12 of the Tenancy Act regarding reasonable rent and maximum rent apply to inam lands also. The amendment brought by the hon. Member from Bhokdan tries to control and fix the maximum rent of inam lands. I think this amendment if accepted would be quite repugnant to Section 102 and other Sections of the Tenancy Act; and this House, knowing full well that there are certain provisions for controlling the rents of the inam tenants would not accept any amendment which is repugnant to the provisions of an existing enactment. Therefore, I would request the hon. Member that he should withdraw his amendment, so that there would be no repugnancy or contradiction between the provisions of two enactments passed by this very House.

I oppose also the amendment of hon. Member Shri J. Anand Rao because the rents of the inam tenants can be collected by the inamdars; and the enforcement or promulgation of the Abolition of Inams Act would not, in any way, affect the arrears of rent collectable by the inamdars.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: Though the word 'any sum' is used, it definitely refers to the rent. It is not the intention of this Bill to make the tenant liable for the debts or any other amount or liability which the tenant of the inamdar might have incurred to pay him. That is not the intention. The definite intention is simply to cover the rent.
Shri Devisingh Chauhan: There is no amendment at all. So the rents payable by the inam tenants can be recovered by the inamdars. For this no legal sanction is necessary under this Inams Abolition Act. A general provision is already there.

Shri A. Gurava Reddy: Then, where is the necessity for keeping it there?

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: Simply it has been put there as a precaution. Nothing more than that. The same thing has been made more clear. There is no purpose other than this.

So I oppose all the three amendments and I hope the amendments moved by the three hon. Members would be withdrawn.

"Nothing contained in sub-section (1) and (2)"
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The relationship with regard to inam land as between the inamdar and kabiz-e-kadim, permanent tenant, protected tenant or non-protected tenant shall be extinguished.
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The relationship with regard to inam land as between the inamdar and kabiz-e-kadim, permanent tenant, protected tenant or non-protected tenant shall be extinguished.

Extinguishment

The relationship with regard to inam land as between the inamdar and kabiz-e-kadim, permanent tenant, protected tenant or non-protected tenant shall be extinguished.
Joh Bahama and Thos. St. George of Bass are advising us to have a fall for a hot time. We are going to the New Forest and to the Isle of Wight.
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श्री आदर्श राय बिल— ये नानों उपायों के मुताबिक होने जाने हें यात्री होल के
हासिल यह निम्न मुद्दा है । हासिल यह निम्न मुद्दा है ।

मसरे प्रदेश हें— ये बिक अधिम ना हें—

श्री के- के— ओ नानों डी यो- एस अन्वय के असर हें । ये वाचक शर्मा व्यवहार के वाचक शर्मा व्यवहार के
हें । ये वाचक शर्मा व्यवहार के वाचक शर्मा व्यवहार के
हें— इस के बढ़ौट भाव हें— ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक
मतलब को साफक के ने आ ढरते यह ने आते हें । हें— इस के बढ़ौट भाव हें ।

एस रूप हें भत्ते प्रयत्न हें— फिरन 3 के रूप हें । के असर हें— ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक
मतलब को साफक के ने आ ढरते यह ने आते हें । हें— इस के बढ़ौट भाव हें ।

"एनदालेड या एनवार हें देकर शुरु जेने हें निम्न के हें सम्भाल को साफक हें हें जिला के हें ।

रूप हें भत्ते प्रयत्न हें— फिरन 3 के रूप हें । के असर हें— ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक
मतलब को साफक के ने आ ढरते यह ने आते हें । हें— इस के बढ़ौट भाव हें ।

एस रूप हें भत्ते प्रयत्न हें— फिरन 3 के रूप हें । के असर हें— ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक
मतलब को साफक के ने आ ढरते यह ने आते हें । हें— इस के बढ़ौट भाव हें ।

एस रूप हें भत्ते प्रयत्न हें— फिरन 3 के रूप हें । के असर हें— ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक व्यापक
निकट चाहें— आ दो चौंकी हें । ये व्यापक व्यापक
मतलब को साफक के ने आ ढरते यह ने आते हें । हें— इस के बढ़ौट भाव हें ।
Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"Omit sub-clause (3)."

The motion was negatived.

Shri B. D. Deshmukh: I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"Omit para (g) of sub-clause (2)."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

"That Clause 8 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 8 was added to the Bill.

Clause 4

Shri K. Venkatrao Rao: I beg to move:

"That in line 5 of para (e) of sub-clause (1), omit 'and cultivates personally'."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri Devesingh Chauhan: I do not wish to move my amendment.
Shri A. Gurva Reddy: Will the hon. Member explain why he does not want to move his amendment.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: It is my choice, Sir.

Shri B. D. Deshmukh: I beg to move:

"That in line 2 of sub-clause (3), for 'four and a half' substitute 'three'."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri B. D. Deshmukh: I beg to move:

"Omit para (ii) of sub-clause (3)."

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri Uddhav Rao Patil: I beg to move:

"(a) Omit sub-clause (2), and consequentially renumber sub-clause (3) as (2)."

(b) "That in line 2 of sub-clause (3), for 'four and a half times' substitute 'three times'.

(c) "After sub-clause (1), add the following proviso:

'Provided that the Inamdar shall pay six times the land revenue as value of the occupancy right in respect of such lands.'"

Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I beg to move, on behalf of the Member in charge of the Bill....

Shri A. Gurva Reddy: If the hon. Member is allowed to move the amendment on behalf of the Member-in-charge of the Bill, we should also be allowed to move the amendments of the other hon. Members who are absent.

Shri K. Venkatrama Rao: The amendments have to be moved only by those Members in whose names they stand.

Mr. Chairman: I allow Shri Devisingh Chauhan to move the amendment on behalf of the Member-in-charge of the Bill.
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Shri K. Venkatrama Rao: In that case we should also be allowed to do so. For instance, Shri Katta Ram Reddy is absent. Can we also move his amendment on his behalf?

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: I beg to move:

"(a) That after sub-clause (1), add the following as sub-clause (2); and renumber consequentially sub-clauses (2) and (3) as (3) and (4) respectively:

'(2) No Inamdar shall be registered as an occupant of any land under sub-section (1) unless he pays to the Government as premium an amount equal to twenty-five times the difference between the judi or quit-rent, if any, paid by him and the land revenue payable in respect of such land. The amount of premium shall be payable in not more than ten annual instalments along with the annual land revenue and in default of such payment, shall be recoverable as arrears of land revenue due on the land in respect of which it is payable."

(b) "For sub-clause (4) as renumbered, substitute the following:

'(4) Should the lands for the purpose of registration of Inamdar as occupant be required to be resumed to make up the deficiency to the extent of 8 family holdings, the same shall be resumed under the provisions of the Hyderabad Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950 in the following order:

(i) firstly from out of non-Inam lands;

(ii) secondly from out of uncultivated inam lands other than those specified in clauses (a) and (c) of sub-section (1); and

(iii) thirdly from out of the Inam lands in the possession of his tenants and without prejudice to their tenancy rights in the following order:

(a) from out of the lands of the non-protected tenants;

(b) from out of the lands of the protected tenants; and

(c) from out of the lands of the permanent tenants."
Mr. Chairman: Amendment moved.

Shri K. Venkatrama Rao: The hon. Minister has to explain his amendment.

Mr. Chairman: He will do that.

Shri Govind Rao Morey: I want to move a verbal amendment to the amendment of Shri K. V. Ranga Reddy to Clause 4.

In sub-clause (4) (i) after the words 'firstly from out of non-inam lands', add the words 'held by the inamdar'.

Shri Gopalrao Ekhole: That is obvious.

Shri Govindrao Morey: It is so, but I have suggested this amendment to avoid ambiguity.

Mr. Chairman: Amendment to amendment moved.
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दी जाने गई - फोल्डर दो के जोरांकु वो दो जाने गई - या औस के तौर पर प्रकट की जोरांकु वो औस के तौर पर प्रकट की जाने गई। 

या है कि फोल्डर की ही है जहां है की फोल्डर की ही है। 

या नामानुसार यह उपरोक्त फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है की है। जहां है यह फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है। 

या नामानुसार यह उपरोक्त फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है की है। जहां है यह फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है। 

या नामानुसार यह उपरोक्त फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है की है। जहां है यह फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है। 

या नामानुसार यह उपरोक्त फोल्डर की जिम्मेदारी है की है।
Shri K. L. Narasimha Rao: I beg to move the following amendment to the amendment of Shri K. V. Ranga Reddy:

In sub-clause (2), in line 4, after the words 'if any, paid by him', add the following words, namely, 'or 25% of the market value whichever is greater.'

Mr. Chairman: Amendment to amendment moved.

Shri A. Guruva Reddy: I beg to move an amendment to the amendment of Shri K. V. Ranga Reddy to Clause 4.

In sub-clause (4) as renumbered, towards the end, the following order should be maintained.

Para (ii) should be numbered as para (i) and para (i) should be numbered as para (ii) and para (iii) will remain as it is.

Mr. Chairman: Amendment to amendment moved.
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which immediately before the date of vesting, were
under his personal cultivation and which, together with any
lands he separately owns and cultivates personally are equal
to four and a half times the family holding.

Shri K. Venkatrama Rao : Amendment No. 1.

Mr. Chairman: Is it: “In line 5 of para (c) of sub-
clause (1), omit “and cultivates personally”? 

Shri K. Venkatrama Rao : Yes, Sir.
L. A. Bill No. XVIII of 1954, the
Hyderabad Abolition of Imam Bills, 1954
27th August, 1954
Resume
400 27th August 1954

L. A. Bill No. XVIII of 1954, the
Hyderabad Abolition of Inams Bill, 1954.

श्री रामणीपाल रामकिशन नावदः—वह तीन फौंकी होरिबिंदु तक जिन्हें यह टैंबर्ट से के सकता है।

श्री लाल कुमारी बरसली—यह तीन फौंकी होरिबिंदु तक जिन्हें यह है प्रतीत है कि वह क्या बार
- युवा फौंकी होरिबिंदु तक जिन्हें यह टैंबर्ट से के सकता है।

प्रधानमंत्री श्री श्रीरामचंद्र बोस—यह तीन फौंकी होरिबिंदु तक जिन्हें यह है प्रतीत है कि वह क्या बार
- युवा फौंकी होरिबिंदु तक जिन्हें यह टैंबर्ट से के सकता है।
of the Market Value of the lands, to be 25 percent of the Market Value of the lands. The Market Value shall be determined by the Commission appointed for the purpose. (Amendment)

Should the lands for the purpose of registration of Inamdar as occupant be required.....
Should the inam lands under the personal cultivation of the inamdar together with lands he separately owns be less than three times the family holding, the deficiency shall be made good by registering him as an occupant of the inam lands to the extent of such deficiency——

(i) firstly......etc.

Should the inam lands under the personal cultivation of the inamdar together with lands he separately owns be less than three times the family holding, the deficiency shall be made good by registering him as an occupant of the inam lands to the extent of such deficiency....

Suggest ( Exact words )

personally owned or personally cultivated

Should the inam lands under the personal cultivation of the Inamdar together with lands he separately owns be less than three times the family holding, the deficiency shall be made good by registering him as an occupant of the inam lands to the extent of such deficiency....

Suggest ( Exact words )
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श्री लाल बिल दत्त

से आतंकवादी की गुर्गाने यह जान आते है कि बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन की रीतिसे भेंतिया जो जो उपलब्ध से किया जा रहा है अपने यह विज्ञान है।

कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है कि बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त:

श्री जॉन वेस्ट ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

श्री जॉन वेस्ट ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त:

लाल बिल दत्त ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त:

लाल बिल दत्त ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त:

लाल बिल दत्त ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।

लाल बिल दत्त ने बताया कि आप बाबू मिश्र के लिए नीतिवेदन के दृश्य में कानून लंगडन के लिए हमें जान आते है।
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Shri V. D. Deshpande (Ippaguda): I think the time is up. It is better we adjourn. Certain important amendments have been moved and the movers of the amendments have the right to reply after the Minister's speech.

Shri Gopalrao Ekbote: Those hon. Members who have moved the amendments have already spoken.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: Even after the Minister's reply is over, the hon. Members have a right to reply. Certain points covered by the amendments are not made clear in the reply and the hon. Members who moved the amendments want to speak again. This is a very important clause and forms the very basis of the Bill. Now as the time is up, I request you, Sir, to adjourn the House.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: My suggestion is in the interest of the Bill itself.

Mr. Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Devisingh Chauhan: The hon. Minister has replied to the amendments moved. There is no occasion for further reply.
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Mr. Chairman: In the interest of the time and in the interest of the public at large, I suggest we should adjourn.

Shri Devisingh Chauhan: There is no occasion for discussion, after the Minister's reply.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: There is occasion for it. Movers of the amendments have a right to reply.

Mr. Chairman: Let the hon. Minister conclude his reply.

P. II—8
Shri V. D. Deshpande: Mr. Chairman, I want to bring to your notice that some Members have to leave for their places by train. If the time is extended, I fear, they will not be able to catch the train. In the circumstances, I would request you to adjourn the House immediately.

(Laughter)

The House then adjourned till Half Past Two of the Clock on Monday, the 30 August, 1954.