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Motion for Adjournment re: Lathi-Charge made by some Congress Volunteers of Kisan Sabha Workers in Kodavathancha Village.

Mr. Speaker: Notice of an adjournment motion has been given by Shri Ch. Venkat Ram Rao. It is dated 22nd March, 1954. The facts, as stated in the notice are:

There is a village named Kodavathancha in Parkal taluq where a pilgrimage is going on since 18-8-1954. Parkal Kisan Sabha organised a procession and an open meeting on 19-8-1954. When the procession came before the temple, a batch of Congress Volunteers numbering 40 on horse backs with lathies appeared before the procession and asked the Kisans to disperse. When the kisans refused, the horse riders lathi-charged them. More than a dozen of Kisans are injured in it. Police constables numbering 15 were directly helping the Congress Volunteers in the above lathi-charge. Parkal taluq police is directly encouraging goonda elements against the Kisan Sabha Workers. This is not the only instance to refer here. On 7-8-1954 the same Congress Volunteers under the protection of police raided the Kisan Sabha procession at Damannapeth in Parkal taluq. They even burnt the Kisan Sabha flag there before the public in the day-light. So this is a definite matter of urgent public importance and should be discussed in the Assembly to-day, the 22nd March, 1954”.

In this connection, I wish to draw the attention of the hon. Member to Rule No. 175 of our Assembly Rules which is as follows:
“On a day to be allotted for the voting of demands for grants, no other business shall be taken up before two hours before the time appointed by the Speaker for the adjournment of the Assembly except with the consent of the Speaker.

Provided that nothing in this Rule shall be deemed to prohibit the asking and answering of questions during the time allowed under these Rules”.

In view of Rule 175 and also due to the previous decision of ours that each demand should be taken up separately for discussion, this motion for adjournment is not allowed.

There are about 8 or 9 demands for grants and a number of motions for reduction of grants. The discussion on them may take the whole day. This matter can very well be referred to at the time of discussion on the Appropriation Bill.
Mr. Ayyar / What is the objection?

Mr. Deshpande: My objection relates to Demand No. 82. In page 221 of the Budget, there is one item, F-20 Grow More Food Scheme. The Budget estimate for this item is shown as Rs. 40,00,000. Now, Rule 169 of our Assembly Rules states “Each Demand shall contain, first, a statement of the total grant proposed, and a statement of the detailed estimates under each grant divided into items.”

No doubt, the grant has been divided into items and one such item is Grow More Food Scheme. But according to Rule 169 (2), a statement of the detailed estimates has to be furnished. As detailed estimates have not been furnished? I take objection to the moving of this demand.

My second objection is this: On page 227 of the Budget, a new item of Rs. 5,00,000 has been shown. It is a lumpsum provision for development schemes. As per rule 170, “When a demand or any part of it relates to any new scheme or revision of scales of pay or allowances or creation of a new appointment, all material details of such scheme or revision or appointment shall save in special
circumstances be supplied to all members at least three clear
days before the demand is made.”

At page 92 of the Explanatory Memorandum, no details
are given except showing a lumpsum figure of Rs. 5,00,000
against the item “For Development Plan”. I submit no
details have been given to the House.

Mr. Speaker: Has the hon. Minister got anything to
tell about it? He may offer his explanation at 5-30 p.m.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: The demand cannot be moved as
it is now.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: Sir, according to Rule 169 (2), each
demand shall contain first a statement of the total grant pro­
posed, and a statement of the detailed estimates under each
grant divided into items. Details covering over 15 pages
have been given and I submit that the objection under
Rule 169 (2) does not hold good. To contend that under
each head actual details relating to the administration are
to be given is not tenable, nay in some cases, it may not be
possible and may even be difficult.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Minister may move the other dem­
ands. We shall take up this demand afterwards.

Demand No 44—Textile Commissioner—Rs 25,000

Dr. Chenna Reddy: Sir, I beg to move:

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 25,000 under Demand
No. 44 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several
charges that would come for payment during the course of the
year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the rec­
ommendation of the Rajpramukh.”

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.
Demands for Grants.

Demand No. 49—For Administration—Rs. 10,00,000

Dr. Chenna Reddy: Sir, I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,00,000 under Demand No. 49 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st Day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

I might clarify that there is a typing mistake here. Instead of 'Food Administration', it should be 'Loss on Food Administration'.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: To this demand also, I have an objection. At page 227 of the Budget, it is only said, Food Administration—Rs. 10 lakhs. No details whatsoever are given either in the Budget or in the Explanatory Memorandum.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: Just now I have submitted that there is a printing mistake. I clarified it at the beginning that it should be Loss on Food Administration instead of Food Administration.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I wish to clear the position. Extent of loss can not be actually assessed. Details cannot be worked out at this moment. If the hon. Members want details for last year and the year before, they will certainly be placed before the House during the course of the debate. The department has provided a lumpsum provision in view of this difficulty.

Mr. Speaker: We shall take up this Demand also at 5-30 p.m. Let us proceed to the next Demand.

Demand No. 70—Agricultural Funds—Rs. 8,02,000.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:
"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,02,000 under Demand No. 70 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

Mr. Speaker: When I first asked, the hon. Member said that he had objection only to one Demand.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: I am taking objection as and when the Demands are moved. I have to object to more than one Demand.

Mr. Speaker: When I asked whether there were any more demands against which there was objection, the hon. Member said there was only one Demand.
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Shri V. D. Deshpande: No, Sir, there are two more such Demands for which I have got objections.

Mr. Speaker: Dr. Chenna Reddy may move the next demand.

Demand No. 78 - Development under Tungabhadra Projects - Rs 21,48,000

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 21,48,000 under Demand No. 78 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.”

Afr. : Is the objection to the other Demands as well?

Shri V. D. Deshpande: Regarding Demand 83, I have some objections, not regarding Demand 95.

Mr. Speaker: Then let the Minister move Demand No. 95.

Demand No. 95 - State Schemes connected - Rs. 5,84,16000 with State trading.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,84,16,000 under Demand No. 95 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.”

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: About Demand No. 83. I may be permitted to say something, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: Has Shri Deshpande got any objection to Demand No. 83?

Shri V. D. Deshpande: The only details given on page 62 is: National Development Programme-81 lakhs, National Extension Services Scheme 20 lakhs, Local Development Schemes 29 lakhs.
22nd March, 1954

General Budget Demands for grants.

Development Programme (Community Workout) – Government of Andhra Pradesh

Demand No. 44

Textile Commissioner—Rs. 25,000—Inefficiency of the Textile Department.

Shri Gopi Reddy (Nirmal—General): I beg to move:

"That the grant under Demand No.44 be reduced by Rs.100."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

Working of Textile Commissioners office on the policy of supplying yarn.

Shri Pendem Vasudev (Gajwel): I beg to move:

"That the grant under Demand No.44 be reduced by Rs.1100."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.
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The Hon. Finance Minister, Government of India, informed the House that the total demands for grants for the year 1954-55 amounted to Rs. 230,000,000.

In reply to a question asked by Shri. Gopinath Ganguly, the Finance Minister stated that, in order to meet the increased demands for grants, the Government had decided to increase the budgetary estimates for the year 1954-55 by Rs. 1,000,000. He further stated that the Government had taken all necessary steps to ensure that the increased demands for grants were met in a systematic and orderly manner.

Shri. Gopinath Ganguly, in his reply, thanked the Finance Minister for the information given.

P. P. 2
22nd March, 1954

**General Budget—Demands for Grants**

The question is:

"That the grant under Demand No.44 be reduced by Rs. 100."

The motion was negatived.

Shri Gopidi Ganga Reddy: I demand a division, Sir.

The House then divided.

**Working of the Textile Commissioner's Office on the policy of supplying yarn**

Mr Speaker: The question is:

"That the grant under Demand No.44 be reduced by Rs 100."

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 25,000 under Demand No. 44 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.
Shri V. D. Deshpande: I beg to move:

“That the grant under Demand No. 95 be reduced by Rs 100.”

Mr Speaker: Motion moved.

This major head is intended for recording transactions of the Civil Supplies Department in connection with the purchase and sale of foodgrains. The entire is required to be met from the sale proceeds of foodgrains. . . .
हम हिंदुस्तान के इलाके स्टेट्स के बनेट देखते हैं कि वहाँ पर जब विधानसभा देश किये जाते हैं तब बूक के उभर तफसीलार हाँड़ा के सामने रखे जाते हैं। हाँड़ा यहाँ भी भीतर दो साल में कुछ रिपोर्ट और तफसीलार हाँड़ा के सामने आये, लेकिन जिस साल तो कुछ भी तफसीलार हाँड़ा सामने नहीं रखे गये। जिस साल दस्तावेज हाँड़े मिनिस्टरों ने रेडियोपर भाषण दिये और बूकों बताया कि हमारे हर विपालित में कपा काम किया है लेकिन वह बहुत सकता है। तफसीलार हाँड़ा के सामने आया जबरदस्त था।

स्टेट्स के पेज नंबर 325 पर बताया गया है कि जिस तरह सेंट्रल ड्रेइंग विपालित में के तौर पर रखे तो आया बनेट इसे पेश किया जाता है और बूक के पूरे तफसीलार अलग तौर पर नहीं हाँड़ा के सामने रखे जाते हैं। हाँड़ा यहाँ भी भारी टी. डी. विपालित स्टेट्स का तौर पर विपालित है। जिस दिन जिसका बनेट यदि हाँड़ा के सामने आया तो रखा जाय तो बहुत अच्छा होगा।

बाज में नेता जोशी नेता बड़ा हुआ है कि जिस तरह सेंट्रल ड्रेइंग विपालित में के तौर पर रखे तो आया बनेट इसे पेश किया जाता है और बूक के पूरे तफसीलार अलग तौर पर नहीं हाँड़ा के सामने रखे जाते हैं। भारी टी. डी. विपालित स्टेट्स का तौर पर विपालित है। चीफ मिनिस्टर अपनी तकरीब के बजाय है कि हम लोग छोटी छोटी बातों से ज्यादा व्याप्त होते हैं। आपस में फरार होने होनी चाहिए। जिस बात को हम भी समझते हैं अंग्रेज़ जब आपकी तरफ से बढ़े बढ़े आयाम के दिशे रखे मानी जाती है और तफसीलार नहीं दिये जाते तो फिर हम का कर सकते हैं। यह जो आयाम भारी सामने आये जा रहे हैं और बूक की जा रही है बूकों में ही तफसीलार देना नामुमकिन नहीं है।

"बाज में नेता जोशी नेता बड़ा हुआ है कि जिस तरह सेंट्रल ड्रेइंग विपालित में के तौर पर रखे तो आया बनेट इसे पेश किया जाता है और बूक के पूरे तफसीलार अलग तौर पर नहीं हाँड़ा के सामने रखे जाते हैं। जरूरी तरह के काम तो नहीं किये जा रहे हैं। भारी आपांक मुख्य होते हैं। जब भी हाँड़े सामने आये तो आया बनेट इसे व्याप्त होते हैं। जब नेता जोशी नेता बड़ा हुआ है कि उदास में फिर व्यवहार और गोलमाल है।"
General Budget — Demands for Grants

बिस पर कब्ज़े बांध किया जा रहा है। बिस बजट में २० जवाबदार बनकर दिये गए हैं। बिस अधि-कू. श्री-म. श्री. को लाख जश्न है यह हावुस के सामने आना जश्न है। वर्मी भी करी बांध करने वाला है जिस तरह से हावुस नहीं होता जैसा ही यह अवस्था है। बिस बजट के व्यवस्था कार्यक्रम के हावुस के सामने आना जश्न है।

अब में दंड चीज़ हावुस के सामने रखता बाहर है कि जो स्टैंड द्वारा विपादकांक है उनका जो फायदा होता है बुसम से कम से कम जो कोट विनियम गृह (Low - income Groups) है बुसम विनियम नियमी बांधते और यह जो रकम है बुसम से दूर नियम जिसमें नियमी बांधते। और किसी नेता की विवाद करने के लिए यह यथार्थ रूप से नियमी बांधते हैं। यथार्थता की तरह के से में यह अधिक बाहर बाहर है और अनोखे की नियमी बांधते हो जाते हैं।

बुसम ही कम दामों पर बनाने बनाने पड़े बैंक नीचे न आना बांधते। बिस बजट की तरह वही के नियम देवेट गारंटी वाणिज्य (Garanteed Prices) के बावजूद की बांधते। वितरण तरह अभियंता में गारंटी वाणिज्य दीये जाते हैं। यदि अनोखे के दाम के बावजूद ही भुई रोस्फुर हुई हो तब खुशी बने बैंक नीचे और गारंटी वाणिज्य में रखरखाव है यथार्थता के से में यह दो भांति को हो जाते हैं। बिस्व से यह नियमी बांधते की बांधते कुछ से भी बांधते हैं। बिस्व से यह नियमी बांधते की बांधते कुछ से भी बांधते हैं। बिस्व से यह नियमी बांधते की बांधते कुछ से भी बांधते हैं।

अर्थ जो करौंगारी बढ़ रही है बुसम का भी वितरण करने जश्न है। कुर्सी मिति के बदले होगी और मैले सुना है कि बौवर बी दी परी जी को बंद करने के बारें में ज्ञापन का रहा है। बिस्सिस के बी तरह के से में यह हुई हो तब बैंक नीचे बैंक नीचे बांधते। बिस्सिस के मैले बावजूद बांधते। बिस्सिस के मैले बावजूद बांधते। बिस्सिस के मैले बावजूद बांधते।

बौवर बी के बावजूद है कि हावुस के सामने बांधते। बौवर बी के बावजूद है कि हावुस के सामने बांधते। बौवर बी के बावजूद है कि हावुस के सामने बांधते। बौवर बी के बावजूद है कि हावुस के सामने बांधते।
Capital Expenditure outside the Revenue Account

Capital outlay on State Schemes of State Trading

General Budget—Demands for Grants

22nd March, 1954

Capital outlay on State Schemes of State Trading.

(1) The following items have been included for the year ending March 31, 1954:

- Capital Expenditure outside the Revenue Account:
  - Capital outlay on State Schemes of State Trading

The above items have been included in the budget for the year ending March 31, 1954.
General Budget—Demands for Grants
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Humaar kaatukar, kheem pashina bhaagkar, jo kaam karte hain, sukhi kader, aapke, kairi, bhagiyam.

Sukhi pashina bhaagna, jissana mukh Nahin hai, lekin jissi kaam se, kuch mukh bhaagta hai, sukh samadhi hai.

Jaise baapche, abhi bhatao, pashina bhaagta hai, jab kuch bhojubhagi hi, tab, kichhe, dhoot, bhuje, dhoom ke, phaye, yeh sakte hain, ki yeh kuch kairi bhagti hai, sukh kuch hai, kuch kairi bhagti hai.

Bhagg na, yeh kuch, kairi bhagti hai.

Aap yad abhi, purchase, aise, diye, ibaadat, kuch bhagta hai, sukh samadhi bhavat, aapne, nazar, ye laaye, swaak, phaye, yeh kuch, bhavat, tab, kuch, phaye, yeh swaadhi bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Ume, to jin, bhagwaan, purn, ho, kuch, kuch, bhavat, maham, dino, ki, kuch, bhavat, samadhi, dino, hai.

Aap yad abhi, purchase, purchase, diye, ibaadat, kuch, bhavat, kuch, kairi, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

(Sell was rung)

Aap yad abhi, purchase, kuch, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Issi yad, achhe, purchase, aaye, swasadhi, bhavat, kuch, kuch, kairi, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Jaise, yad, abhi, purchase, kuch, kuch, kairi, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Aap yad abhi, purchase, aise, diye, ibaadat, kuch bhavat, sukh samadhi bhavat, aapne, nazar, ye laaye, swaak, phaye, yeh kuch, bhavat, tab, kuch, phaye, yeh swaadhi bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Ume, to jin, bhagwaan, purn, ho, kuch, kuch, bhavat, maham, dino, ki, kuch, bhavat, samadhi, dino, hai.

(Sell was rung)

Aap yad abhi, purchase, kuch, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Issi yad, achhe, purchase, aaye, swasadhi, bhavat, kuch, kuch, kairi, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Jaise, yad, abhi, purchase, kuch, kuch, kairi, bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Aap yad abhi, purchase, aise, diye, ibaadat, kuch bhavat, sukh samadhi bhavat, aapne, nazar, ye laaye, swaak, phaye, yeh kuch, bhavat, tab, kuch, phaye, yeh swaadhi bhavat, dene, ki, kuch, bhavat, nahin hai.

Ume, to jin, bhagwaan, purn, ho, kuch, kuch, bhavat, maham, dino, ki, kuch, bhavat, samadhi, dino, hai.

(Sell was rung)
3rd May 1954

Suspense personal deposit account

Purchase of grain

Suspend personal deposit account
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Ministry of Agriculture:—The present position of the Ministry is that the Government has

Suspended the personal deposit account

Purchase of grain

Ministry of Finance:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain
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Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Agriculture:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Finance:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Agriculture:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Finance:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Agriculture:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Finance:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Agriculture:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:

Resumption of grain

Ministry of Finance:

Suspend personal deposit account

Chief of Staff:
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The question is: "That the grant under Demand No. 95 be reduced by Rs. 100"

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,84,16,000 under Demand No. 95 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of May 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: Let us now adjourn till Half past Five of the Clock.

The House then adjourned for recess till Half Past Five of the Clock.

The House reassembled after recess at Half Past Five of the Clock.

[Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair]

The question is: "That the grant under Demand No. 95 be reduced by Rs. 100"

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,84,16,000 under Demand No. 95 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: Let us now adjourn till Half past Five of the Clock.

The House then adjourned for recess till Half Past Five of the Clock.

The House reassembled after recess at Half Past Five of the Clock.

[Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair]
Art. 202 (2) The estimates of expenditure embodied in the annual financial statement shall show separately:

(a) The sums required to meet the expenditure described by this Constitution as expenditure charged upon the Consolidated Fund of the State; and

(b) The sums required to meet other expenditure proposed to be made from the Consolidated Fund of the State; and shall distinguish expenditure on revenue account from other expenditure.

Art. 208 (3): No Demand for a grant shall be made except on the recommendation of the Governor.

Rule 169 (2): Each demand shall contain, first a statement of the total grant proposed, and a statement of the detailed estimates under each grant divided into items.
Rule 169 (3) Subject to the provisions of sub-rule (1) and (2) of this Rule, the Budget shall be presented in such form as the Finance Minister may consider best fitted for its consideration by the Assembly.

The demands for grants—

40. In the Budget, all demands for grants are presented in a form as the Finance Minister may consider best fitted for its consideration by the Assembly.

Subject to the provisions of sub-rule (1) and (2) of this Rule, the Budget shall be presented in such form as the Finance Minister may consider best fitted for its consideration by the Assembly.
When a demand for any part relates to any new scheme or revision of scales of pay or allowances or the creation of new appointments all material details of such a scheme or revision or appointment shall save in special circumstances be supplied to all members at least three clear days before the demand is made.
२२ जर्नट, १९५४ — General Budget—Demands for Grants

श्री वि. के. कोर्टर के छेल (Shell) के माने तो बिलकुल साफ है कि पेश करना चाहिए, लेकिन बुझके साथ बोल नहीं विन स्पेशल सरकारसंस्थान (Shell save in special circumstances) अधिकार छोड़ है।

श्री बढ़ी. डी. देशपांडे का का सरकारसंस्थान से?

श्री वि. के. कोर्टर के छेल (Budget speech) में अच्छी तरह से बताया गया है। विन फ्रेम के लिये कुछ रकम स्पेशल भी अधिक नहीं गई है। विन फ्रेमों पर स्वतंत्र तोर पर किस तरह पेशा जाने जायेगा यह बाज नहीं बताया जा सकता।

श्री बढ़ी. डी. देशपांडे का अथवा महोदय, मैंने बहुत गौर से ऑफिसर फायरान्स मिनिस्टर का भाषण सुना। लेकिन में अनुक्रम पूरे में मुलायम मंत्र न हो सका। यह बात हुम्हाने हासुक्त के सामने लौट जाये फिर यह रखि और लौटे राष्ट्र भी रखि थी कि हुम्हाने बोलकर नहीं किये जाते हैं जब कि अभिरती बताया १९५९ के तत्कालीन ऩेय लाभाजीन नहीं। विसिसंस्थान में मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि हमारी हासुक्त हेंविप्परत बोलकर हैं। हमारा फायरान्स डिपार्टमेंट भी हेंविप्परत बोलकर है। मैंने दिन बार विस्तार को सामने रखा था कि बोलकर बताया में देने बाह्यी हेंकिसमी फायरान्स डिपार्टमेंट नें कुछ व्याप्त विवादत निकाया। जब कोई भो आयुत बताया में लाभ जाता है तो बुझके बोलकर देना जरूरी है। कहता जाता हूँ कि कोई स्पेशल सरकारसंस्थान हो सकते हैं लेकिन में चाहता हूँ कि विसिसड़ कोई स्पेशल सरकारसंस्थान नहीं हैं। यथा फायरान्स मिनिस्टर आयें नहीं यथा कोई स्पेशल सरकारसंस्थान नहीं हैं। विद रूप १५० को फार्मों करता है तो यह बो ५ लाख का मद बुझके बोलकर जाना चाहिए। तो हमारे साथों में जो मान भारी बुझुंसे ने ५ लाख विनधार कर किसके बारे में दोहरा जाना चाहिए।

इस चीज यह है कि १६५ में को कम्पनिसवान बताया है बुझुत विहार से ५९ पूरे के बारे में कुछ भी बोलकर नहीं बताया था है। इस से २५१ लाख का बायरण बताया गया है। अभी तरह तुमेदरा फ्राहेक जो बोलकर है बुझके भी कम विवाहित करने की जरूरत भी लेकिन बोलकर नहीं किया गया। विस तरह २७६, और ७५ बिन्दु दोनों को सब विवाहित नहीं किया गया। विस शरीर यह विवाह हासुक्त के सामने नहीं आ सकती है। १२ और ६२ यह विवाह सब विवाहित की गयी है, विसिस्तर यह हासुक्त के सामने आ सकती हैं, लेकिन बाकी विवाह जबतक सब विवाहित नहीं होती है विवाह के सामने नहीं आ सकती।

विवाह नंबर ५२ जो कम्पनियों फ्राहेक के बारे में है और विहार ५२। लाख से व्यायाम दूरे मानी जा रही है, बुझके बारे में यह बुझ का रहा है कि बुझके यह नहीं स्कीम स्पेशल हैं, और अक्षमन स्कीम नहीं हैं। विसिसंस्थान विवाह से विकल्प बाह्यी हेंकिसमी सब विवाहित करना मुक्त नहीं है। विसिसंस्थान में विकल्प दिन जाना चाहिए कि जो स्कीम स्पेशल नहीं करता है बुझके विवाहित भी हमारे दामन आना बहुत है। कहा जाता है कि यह कोई नहीं स्कीम नहीं है। विसिसंस्थान में यह बुझ है कि कम्पनियों आये जो बुझके बाद जबतक में विवाहित बताये विवाह बाहर है। यदि यह पहले विवाह दिन जाने तो बुझ हुपर होती है।
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The Finance Department is showing discredit to the House by (Subdivide...)

...showing discredit to the House. It is not appropriate to show disrespect to the House. The Finance Department should be more careful in their presentation.

It is unfortunate that...
Mr. Speaker, Sir, on behalf of the Staff that as long as the details are not given, we are unable to participate in the discussion of these demands for grants. We take this matter seriously and wish to...
inform the house that we are not going to tolerate this indifferent attitude of the Government towards the opposition and in this way insult it. To express our feelings, we have decided not to participate in this debate as long as the details are not given to us.

(The members of the P.D.F. led by Shri V. D. Deshpande then left the House).

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The hon. Minister may move his demands for grants.

Demand No. 32—Agriculture—Rs. 72,85,420.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 72,85,420 under Demand No. 32 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

Demand No. 49—Food Administration—Rs. 10,00,000

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,00,000 under Demand No. 49 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.
Demand No. 70—Contribution to Agricultural Improvement Funds—Rs. 3,02,000.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,02,000 under Demand No. 70 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

Demand No. 78—Development under Thungabhadra Project—Rs. 21,43,000.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 21,43,000 under Demand No. 78 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

Demand No. 88—Community Development Projects—Rs. 81,41,000.

Dr. Chenna Reddy: I beg to move:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 81,41,000 under Demand No. 88 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

As there are no Members to move the cut motions tabled by them the hon. Minister may speak on his demands.
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(1) G.M.F. Campaign

(2) Surplus Countries

(3) Agricultural Improvement

(4) Import

(5) Deficit State

(6) Offtake

(7) Adopt

(8) Dividend

(9) Country

(10) Stage

(11) Food Grains

(12) Crop

General Electric
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In this document, the text is in English and appears to be discussing various schemes and targets related to agriculture and budgetary demands. The text is dense and technical, likely discussing the sugar industry, increased acreage targets, production targets, and other agricultural schemes. The document is a part of a larger budgetary discussion, possibly related to grants and financial allocations for agricultural improvement.
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Experimental farms

(Experimental farms) (Technical Experts)

(Bull donors)
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"Manure minded" (Ingredients) - Ammonium sulphate (Oil cake)

Across the table - Regular battles (Nitrogen contents)
Technical advice (Farmers Union)
Negotiations (Uniform rate)

Super phosphate, mixing charges, cooperatives, lint system, simplify, harvest, iron, zinc sheets.

Co-operation, arrangement.
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Loan

- No profit no loss

Tractors

- Offer

Weeder

Spacing

Cost of production

Deficit Budget

Allot.
Help those people who can help themselves

As in the Budget—Demands for Grants

Some grants have been made to those who can help themselves, but there are also those who cannot do so. These are often the aged or those who are physically unable to work. The Government is trying to help them by providing pension and medical relief.

Session

Details

Approach

Development

Allot

Worship

(22nd March, 1954)
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Partial text input is not visible due to the page dimensions and image quality. The text appears to be in English and references budget demands. The content is not fully legible due to the image quality, but it mentions "Lapse" and "Voluntary co-operation."
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To the Prime Minister.

Sir,

With reference to the letter of the 19th instant, I have the honour to submit the Estimates for the financial year 1954-55 for the Administration of the Ministry of Education, comprising grants-in-aid to universities, grants to institutions of higher education, subsidies to institutions of technical education, grants to cultural and other institutions, and grants to educational institutions abroad.

The budget for the Ministry of Education, which is the largest in the country, is based on the principle of supporting education at all levels and ensuring equal opportunities for all individuals. The budget allocates funds for the development of educational institutions, the provision of scholarships, and the expansion of the educational system.

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

Minister of Education.
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As the Governor-General is required to be in character of a Living (Hard and Fast Rule) -

Religious book

Tie down (Requirements)

Financial resources

Fullest utilisation

Steps (T. B. Sanatorium)

Execute

Minor irrigation

Food problems

Veterinary

Co-operation
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Women Social Organisers

Geographical position

Southern zone

Centralised

(Sharing)
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Tussle (Harmonious relations) —

Implement (Country Needs Implement)

Requirements (Mobilisations Invaluable work)

Apoor (Popular)

Implement (Country Needs Implement)

Requirements (Mobilisations Invaluable work)
Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 72,85,420 under Demand No. 32 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

Demand No. 49—Loss on Food administration—Rs. 10,00,000
"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,000,00 under Demand No. 49 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.

**Demand No. 70—Agricultural Lands—Rs.3,02,000**

*Mr. Deputy Speaker*: The question is:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,02,000 under Demand No. 70 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.

**Demand No. 78 — Development under Tungabhadra Project—Rs. 21,43,000**

*Mr. Deputy Speaker*: The question is:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 21,43,000 under Demand No 78 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.

**Demand No. 88—Community Projects—Rs. 81,41,000**

*Mr. Deputy Speaker*: The question is:

"That a sum not exceeding Rs. 81,41,000 under Demand No 88 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending 31st day of March, 1955. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh."

The motion was adopted.

The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on Tuesday, the 28th March, 1954.