THE HYDERABAD LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Thu sla the 26th day of March 1958

The House met at 11 o’clock

[M Speaker in the Chair]

Starred Questions and Answers

Mr Speaker We shall now take up the questions

Aided Schools

*203 (38) Sh M Bu l al (S pur) Will the hon Minister for I & E comment be pleased to state

(a) The total number of Aided Middle Schools in the State?

(b) The total expenditure incurred on the Aided Middle School at Bellampalli?

(c) The total expenditure borne by Government and by the authorities of the College respectively?

She Devi Sabha (Shri Sabha)

(b) The Government of India has decided to aid Middle Schools (Aided Middle Schools) in the State. The total number of such schools is 92 as of 1957. However, the total expenditure on these schools is Rs 1,48,88,888.

(b) The total expenditure on these schools is Rs 8,88,888. The Government has borne Rs 1,48,88,888 of this expenditure.

She Devi Sabha — the completely nominated committee (Nominated Committee) has not met since the last meeting (Management) has received Rs 98,88,888.
20th March 1972

Starred Questions & Answers

(a) Whether any trained matriculates or trained middle passed teachers are working in the Education Department with false certificates?

(b) Whether the cases of false certificates were brought to the notice of Inspector of Schools Kurnool?

(c) If so then number?

(d) What action the Government have taken in such cases?

Shri Ch. Vinkabolu Rao (Kurnool) Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) Whether any trained matriculates or trained middle passed teachers are working in the Education Department with false certificates?

(b) Whether the cases of false certificates were brought to the notice of Inspector of Schools Kurnool?

(c) If so then number?

(d) What action the Government have taken in such cases?

Shri Vinkabolu Rao

(a) Whether any trained matriculates or trained middle passed teachers are working in the Education Department with false certificates?

(b) Whether the cases of false certificates were brought to the notice of Inspector of Schools Kurnool?

(c) If so then number?

(d) What action the Government have taken in such cases?

Shri Vinkabolu Rao

(a) Whether any trained matriculates or trained middle passed teachers are working in the Education Department with false certificates?

(b) Whether the cases of false certificates were brought to the notice of Inspector of Schools Kurnool?

(c) If so then number?

(d) What action the Government have taken in such cases?
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**Number of Vacancies**

211 (881) Shri L.K. Shroff (Raichur) Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) The number of vacancies of graduates teachers during 1952-56?

(b) The reasons for not filling the above vacancies so far?

(c) Whether it is a fact that some graduates who were selected by the D.P.I. in July last were not posted in spite of the vacancies?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Vacancies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1974 28th March 1958 Starred Questions & Answers**

भाषा सम्बन्ध

| श्री महापूर्व | 2 | 1 |
| शिक्षा प्रश्न | 1 | 1 |
| माध्यमी स्कूल | 199 | 196 | 18 | 1 |
| रूपवर्धन स्कूल | 7 |
| बालसर्वितोष स्कूल | 17 | 17 |
| प्रमुख विदेश स्कूल | 22 | 12 |

| जुलै | 199 | 196 | 18 | 12 |
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Mr. Shri L K Shroff Is it the considered opinion of the Government that the retrenched personnel from the Customs Department and the Civil Services Department who have put in 10 or 20 years of service should be taken by the Education Department?

Mr. Shri L K Shroff —We are afraid we have no authority to confirm that the personnel who have been retrenched will be taken into the Education Department.

Mr. Shri L K Shroff The Hon. Minister has just now said that 2 retrenched personnel have been taken into the Education Department.

Mrs. Head Mistress —A T B Pati

*222 (968) Shri Vishwas Rao Patil (Pandharpur) Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Head Mistress of Government Girls' Middle School of Osmanabad is suffering from T.B.?
28th March 1959

Started Questions & Answers

(l) Whether she is considered to be fit to discharge the duties of her post?

*218 (402) Shri G. Hanumanth Rao (Mulugu) Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the teachers of Andhra Vignana Vaidhami Middle School Bachannapeta are not receiving their salaries regular since October 1951?

(b) Whether any representation has been made in this regard?

(c) What action has been taken thereon?

*219 (422) Shri K. Dinesh Prasad (Andhra) What is the status of the school which is situated in the village of Devanpally, district of Karimnagar?

Elsewhere in the state, the teachers at the school in Bachannapeta are in receipt of their salaries regularly. However, in the case of the school in Devanpally, the situation is different. The school is in a state of disrepair and the infrastructure is in need of urgent attention. It is imperative that the government takes immediate action to ensure the proper functioning of the school and the welfare of its students.

*220 (425) Shri G. Hanumanth Rao (Mulugu) Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

Whether the government has made any provision for the modernization of the school facilities in Devanpally?

The government has made provisions for the modernization of the school facilities in Devanpally. The necessary funds have been allocated for the purpose, and the work is expected to be completed within the next two months.

*221 (426) Shri K. Dinesh Prasad (Andhra) What is the status of the school in Devanpally, district of Karimnagar?

The government has taken steps to improve the infrastructure of the school in Devanpally. The necessary funds have been released, and the work is underway. It is expected that the school will be fully functional within the next six months.

*222 (427) Shri G. Hanumanth Rao (Mulugu) Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

Whether the government has made any provision for the modernization of the school facilities in Devanpally?

The government has made provisions for the modernization of the school facilities in Devanpally. The necessary funds have been allocated for the purpose, and the work is expected to be completed within the next two months.
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The Starred Question (Complaint) has not been answered yet.

Sir, why have you left the question unanswered?

The Starred Question (Audited Account) has not been answered.

Sir, why have you left the question unanswered?

The Starred Question (Question) has not been answered.

The Starred Question (General) has not been answered.

The number of untrained and non-matriculate teachers working in the schools of Adilabad district?
If so the reasons for employing unqualified personnel?

The relevant section—खर्चादायक म बनर्जी (Untrained) और ताल महिला की (Non-Mahila) की गायक बिस्म प्रसार है।

| प्रमुखता | १४ |
| हिम्मत ग्राहित | ७ |
| सहायकमुख | १७५ |
| बात खानिकाफ़ी | १२९ |
| पूरा | ५६ |
| कुल | १७५ |

यह बात करनी चाहिए कि ब्याहार तर ब्याहार प्रूर्ता ने रख गई है, विराजमान यह बात कि ब्याहाराकार लोग नहीं निर्माण की। अब हो ब्याहारवाली का अधिकार लोगों को बिस्म हिराउट म नहीं जरूरित किया जा रहा है।

Maldikatics श्री शाही हरिय एस वॉलीस (Maldikatics) 

को दर्शावा ये बस वोरी ही किया आते और करना नहीं है।

बार ब्याहार ब्याहार —गर्दन अरेक दीन (Vacancies) होती है वही दोस्रों आदेशी निम्नाति

Non Qualified श्री शाही हरिय का कार्य कार्यालय (Non Qualified) 

को जान करें लोक और वक्रण जी (Grooves) ९

श्री देवी का श्रीमान श्री हरीय बाद बादायम ले (Non Qualified)

बार ब्याहार ब्याहार —मिल दर्शा नहीं किया जाता है। अम्भवा हो दुरास पुरातन लोग

बार ब्याहार ब्याहार है और दोसरा ब्रज जाता है बुलाकर जाते पर अम्भवा बादायम लोगों को किया बना रहा है। निहाल निहाल बाद बाद की वाहूँ हुई बुलाकर नहीं निम्नाति नहीं तकता है।

BELLAVASTA PALACE

215 (12) Shri G. Ilummanth Rao Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state

(a) The rent collected on Bellavasta Palace since the Police Action?

(b) The expenditure incurred on Bellavasta Palace since the Police Action?

The Minister for Finance and Statistics (Dr. G. S. Melkote)—

(a) Nil.
Shri Maqium Mohtuddin (Huzurnagar) — Who lives in Bellavasta Palace?

Dr G S Meikote The Prince of Berar

Dr G S Meikote Till 1951 no rent was collected. We have decided to collect at Rs 8500 a month including furniture rent from 1951.

Dr G S Meikote This matter was under discussion then we have decided to collect. We will certainly collect.

Dr G S Meikote This question does not arise. I just now said that till 1951 no rent was collected. It was only after the Democratic Government came to power that it decided to collect. We want to collect it with retrospective effect at Rs 8500 a month.

Dr G S Meikote Each case will be decided on its own merits.

Dr G S Meikote The Prince of Berar

Dr G S Meikote The Prince of Berar
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Dr Melkote: I have already said that the expenditure was Rs 79,754.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: Was it incurred after the Police Action? I have got figures with me. But that is much more than what the Hon'ble Minister has stated now.

Dr G S Melkote: Yes, it was spent after the Police Action.

Dr G S Melkote: For the upkeep of Belavasta Palace there is an annual grant of Rs 82,500. There are certain allied buildings attached to the Palace. That is also under the maintenance of the Government. During October, 1949 to March 1950 we have spent 10 thousand rupees on the upkeep of the Palace and Rs 2,610 on the allied buildings.
in 1950 51 we spent Rs 21 710 on the Palace and Rs 2 084 on the allied buildings. In 1951 52 we spent Rs 29 181 on the Palace and on the allied buildings Rs 1 840. In 1952 53 we spent Rs 10 043 on the palace and Rs 1 611 on the allied buildings. Thus the total comes to Rs 79 754. All this come within the sum allotted for maintenance viz Rs 82 500 per annum.

Dr G S Melkote I have just now given the amounts spent on the attached buildings of Bellavista Palace.

Mr Speaker How does this question arise?

(Laughter)

DALITI JATIYA CONFERENCE

*216 (406) Shri M. Buchah Will the hon Minister for Finance be pleased to state

(a) Whether the Government has contributed any amount to Social Service Department for the Dalith Jatiya Conference held at Hyderabad during January 1958?

(b) If so, what is the total amount?

Dr G S Melkote (a) Yes

(b) Rs 10 000

Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next question

INDUSTRIAL TRUST FUND

*217 (407) Shri Gopal Rao Chhota (Chanderghat) Will the hon Minister for Finance be pleased to state

(a) The total amount under the Industrial Trust Fund?
(b) The amount of loans advanced so far?
(c) The names of persons or concerns to whom loans have been advanced?
(d) The amount of loan advanced to Numal Toys?
(e) The amount Mrs. Hyder is drawing from the account of Numal Toys and why?

Dr. G. S. Meiklote: This question has been referred to me by mistake. The concerned Minister will answer it.

This page contains a table with additional details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Srl No</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount of loan (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bone &amp; Bony Products Ltd</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial No</td>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>IG Rs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vegetable pro Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Naquin Lithy Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Iraga Tools Co. ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TBy Clay W &amp; S Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TBy Glass Works Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>VJ y Carboroid Co. Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S P Oil Chem &amp; All Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sheen Paper Mills Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>HYL Lax tab &amp; sols Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HYL Asherton Co. &amp; P Ltd</td>
<td>000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Serif gone to D.Z. Akhouri 1 in 6ml plakahi 6 7 in 7*?

*Main ko main kya kah kar hai?


28th March 1958  

प्रस्तुत क्षेत्र के लिए स्पष्ट तय नहीं है।

एक निम्नलिखित विषय के संबंध में जल्द ही दर्ज की जाएगी।

विनिधान का संबंध हमें सुनाने के लिए अभी तक संभव नहीं।

प्रश्न के साथ अपनी रोचकता के साथ ही दर्ज की जाएगी।

बाँध का संबंध हमें सुनाने के लिए अभी तक संभव नहीं।

कृपया बांध का संबंध हमें सुनाने के लिए अभी तक संभव नहीं।

कृपया बांध का संबंध हमें सुनाने के लिए अभी तक संभव नहीं।
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रो विनायकराज विवादारा —विक्रेता साह श्री नारायण वर्मा है और तीत का उपयोग विया गया है।

रो सिंह सिंह राव र जब्बा श्री हरिद्वार श्री नारायण है न है न है?

रो विनायकराज विवादारा —परमेश्वर के वारेन्द्र दी पुष्कर मालम नहीं है अक्षय प्रति नसे के तो सोमवारी है। आलुत बहली है।

*218 (408) Shri Gopal Rao Ekbole Will the hon Minister for Commerce & Industries be pleased to state

(a) Whether any report regarding the Industrial Trust Fund has been published?

(b) Whether the same has been made available to the public?

(c) If not for what reasons?

रो विनायकराज विवादारा (शे) जनरल अभियोजनक के साथ यह रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत है और (शी) कि निर्माण बाजार और यह (शी) यह संपादन पत्र नहीं होता।

रो सोयार विवादारा —जनरल अभियोजनक के रिपोर्ट के साथ भारती दी बहर का यदि हितों का युक्ता प्रस्तुता है। भारती सिंह का संग्रहेत्र भारती को मिला सकता है।

रो विनायकराज विवादारा — अब के दास हैं विद और धर्म का।

Advisory Committee of Industrial Trust Fund

*219 (409) Shri Gopal Rao Ekbole Will the hon Minister for Finance be pleased to state

(a) The names of the Members on the Advisory Committee of the Industrial Trust Fund?

(b) The number of meetings held during 1959?

(c) The number of cases in which the advice was accepted?

रो विनायकराज विवादारा —

(शे) बाह्री दी बहर का युक्ता विद श्री दुत्सी (Trustees) हैं। विदार राजीव भारतीय, विद श्री गन्घु श्री के दीन निर्माण तथा भारतीय स्वायत्त कंगारी विदार विदार के दो विद श्री दुत्सी हैं। विदार राजीव भारती बोर्ड है विदार विदार लिया बोर्ड का विद श्री हरिद्वार विदार के घर बाहर नियुक्त्त दो दृष्टि हैं श्रीके नाम है। एक उच्चारण पिंटी ही गावी गावी भी दी विदारिता।
Mr Speaker — It is a matter of opinion

DELAY IN PAYMENT

*220 (808) Shri Shrihars Will the hon. Minister for Rural Reconstruction be pleased to state

(a) Whether he is aware that the grain merchants in Adilabad market do not pay the Aditdars for the day of the bidding but they have to wait for a week or two for the payment?

(b) If so whether the Government will take steps for ensuring the speedy payment?
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Mrs. -

The Government propose to hold elections to the district at Kalur instead of at Madhura in spite of the representations made by the share holders to the President and the Registrar against the above proposal?

(a) Whether the Government will pass orders to the President to hold elections at Madhura?

(Share Holders)
28th March 1953

Starred Questions and Answers

MARKET COMMITTEE OF PUNA

*222 (19th) Shri Bhagwan Rao Boralkar (Basmath General) Will the hon. Minister for Rural Reconstruction be pleased to state

(a) The number of meetings held by the Market Committee of Puna in 1952-53?

(b) Whether it is a fact that complaints against some merchants have not been looked into for the last three months?

(c) If so, what action do the Government intend taking in the matter?

(d) Whether the Chairman of Puna Market Committee attends every meeting in time?

GRAIN BANKS

*228 (45th) Shri Bhagwan Rao Boralkar Will the hon. Minister for Rural Reconstruction be pleased to state

(a) The number of grain banks in Basmath and Paibhami tehsils of Paibhami district?

(b) The total quantity of grain stored in the godowns of aforesaid banks during the early period of the year?

(c) Whether any illegal use of the stock by some persons was detected?

(d) If so, the names of the persons and the action taken against them?
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The purpose of Palm Gur Scheme?

The number of Palm gur Centres opened by the State Government under this scheme and what are their achievements so far?

The expenditure incurred so far and the strength of staff working under this scheme?

Palm Gur Scheme

(a) Shri M Buchah Will the hon. Minister for Commerce and Industries be pleased to state

(b) The purpose of Palm Gur Scheme?

(c) The number of Palm gur Centres opened by the State Government under this scheme and what are their achievements so far?

(d) The expenditure incurred so far and the strength of staff working under this scheme?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
26th March 1983

स्ट्राइड व्यवस्था तथा उपायों के प्रयोग की तारीख तथा जिक्र नहीं ।

भी विभागाध्यक्ष विभागाध्यक्ष —विभागाध्यक्ष विभागाध्यक्ष नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —यह वायुयोग यथा करते हुए मानवाधिकारी के लिए विभागाध्यक्ष का नहीं है ?

भी विभागाध्यक्ष विभागाध्यक्ष —भी दो यह स्वीकृत हो गया है। आपको गलत होने वाले कोई निर्देशिका (Guidelines) सार्वजनिक को मान्य नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —विभागाध्यक्ष विभागाध्यक्ष नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —यह स्वीकृत हो गया है। आपको मान्यता नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —यह स्वीकृत हो गया है। आपको मान्यता नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —यह स्वीकृत हो गया है। आपको मान्यता नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —यह स्वीकृत हो गया है। आपको मान्यता नहीं हो रही है।

भी अध्याय क्रम —यह स्वीकृत हो गया है। आपको मान्यता नहीं हो रही है।
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Mr. Speaker  Let us proceed to the next question Shri K Venkiah.

Institution of Warangal District

225 (447) Shri K Venkiah  Will the hon. Minister for Commerce and Industries be pleased to state

(a) Whether the Inspector of Warangal district visited Madhnat taluk during 1952?

(b) If so, the names of the villages he visited?

(c) The number of cases of keeping false weights and measures detected by him and the punishment awarded to the defaulters?

Shri Venkiah —

(a) The Vizagapatam District officer visited the Warangal district during 1952.

(b) He visited the villages of Kallangut, Maddanath, and Pongal.

(c) 53 cases were detected and 30 persons were punished.

Further demands for Grants

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao  Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs 8 48 000 under Demand No 58 Miscellaneous be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Mr. Speaker  Motion moved

That a sum not exceeding Rs 8 48 000 under Demand No 58 Miscellaneous be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.
1402 20th March 1958 Supplementary Demand for Grants

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Sir I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,18,28,000 under Demand No 58 Miscellaneous be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker Motion moved

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,18,28,000 under Demand No 58 Miscellaneous be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Sir I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 42,88,000 under Demand No 58 Miscellaneous be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker The Motion moved

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 42,88,000 under Demand No 58 Miscellaneous be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker These demands will be taken up for discussion on the 80th Members' table cut motions if any by the 28th

Supplementary Demands for Grants

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Sir I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,90,000 under Supplementary Demand No 2 (Land Revenue 7) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh
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Mr Speaker Motion moved

That a sum not exceeding Rs 4,90,000 under Supplementary Demand No 2 (Land Revenue) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958 The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Sir I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs 1,99,922 under Supplementary Demand No 7 (25 General Administration) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958 The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker Motion moved

That a sum not exceeding Rs 1,99,922 under Supplementary Demand No 7 (25 General Administration) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958 The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Sir I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs 10,08,000 under Supplementary Demand No 12 (54 famine) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958 The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker Motion moved

That a sum not exceeding Rs 10,08,000 under Supplementary Demand No 12 (54 famine) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March, 1958 The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Sir I beg to move

That a sum not exceeding Rs 2,98,000 under Supplementary Demand No 18 (54 A Territorial and Political
Supplementary Demands for Grants

Pensions) be granted to the Raja Ramchandra to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1953. The Demand has the recommendation of the Raja Ramchandra.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

That a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,98,000 under Supplementary Demand No. 18 (Si A. Territorial and Political Pensions) be granted to the Raja Ramchandra to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1953. The Demand has the recommendation of the Raja Ramchandra.

Shri B. Hanakrishna Rao: With your permission, I beg to move the other three supplementary demands.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: I want clarification on one point. Under Demand No. 7 there is an amount of Rs. 16,018 under charged account. Is not that demand going to be moved?

Shri B. Hanakrishna Rao: I shall make the position clear. I have just now moved demands in respect of three items. These three items were shown in the statement of the Budget as charged items, that is, payment to Jagirdars and payment to J.L. II the Nizam in lieu of his Soof-e-Khans. These three further demands were included in the charged items. As I submitted the other day in the House, it has now been found after examination that these items cannot be included among the charged items and they should therefore be put before the Assembly as votable items for demand. That is why I put these further items as further demands before the House on 12th February 1953. Now I am repeating the same items as supplementary demands as votable items for the year 1952-53. This House will remember that in 1952-53 these items were shown as charged items and the budget was passed by the Assembly accordingly. But now when the legal position has been made clear, these items that were in the budget estimates of 1952-53 shown as charged items have got to be included among votable items by way of supplementary demands and we have to forgo the items as charged items. It is only a change of charged items into votable items. Now that the legal position has been made clear, it has become necessary that the Government should withdraw sanction of these items as already given by the House treating them as charged items. Now, they have to be shown and are being shown as votable items and are placed before the House.
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for them sanction in order to regularise the procedure. That is also the desire of the Accountant General because the Constitution as it stands having become clear he cannot allow the irregularity to proceed. That is why I am moving these supplementary demands.

Shri V D Deshpande Ptnt of Information Sub I want to know whether the amount of one crore and odd under these items was paid without sanction of the House if the amount was paid and if it was not sanctioned by the House how is it that the amount was paid and how is it that the Government can seek sanction now. As it now appears the amount has been spent and the House did not record sanction to it. What is the legal position and who is responsible?

Mr Speaker. It was sanctioned by the House probably under wrong impression. Is it not so?

Shri V D Deshpande No. It was not put before us.

Mr Speaker. It was sanctioned as a charged item.

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao. Those items were shown in the budget estimates as charged items and when those budget estimates were placed before the Assembly they were sanctioned as such. But in the light of the legal position now made clear the two items have to be shown separately. The expenditure does not come under charged items. Last year these items were shown as charged items and the House passed the budget estimates as shown in the budget. Whether it was done rightly or wrongly I need not say. Perhaps an objection was raised but that objection was rejected to by me. I said that they had been rightly placed as charged items. That is what my information was and that is how I thought myself. But the subject was later on examined and it is now found that those items would not legitimately come under charged items and that they should be included as the votable items. When the legal position is clear the Government as in duty bound has to ask for vote of the House on those demands and to remove them from the list of charged items. We are doing it this year by moving for further demands. For the last year though the said items were sanctioned and the amounts were spent the matter has got to be regularised in order that the accounts might be quite clear. That is why I withdraw them from the charged items and place them before the House for regularisation by voting them as supplementary demands. It is quite in order.
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have ascertained the legal position and taken legal opinion
also the rig of us which sought is quite in accordance with the
practice

Shri V. D. Deshpande  If these items were granted last
year and if they have to be granted now then it amounts to
repeating the same items (i.e. two). Unless the amount
previously sanctioned is surrendered I am afraid, we cannot
sanction now.

Shri B. Ramakrishna Rao  The position is quite clear.
I just now said that we surrender the amount as charged
item in order to regularise

Mr. Speaker  Yes now the hon. Chief Minister can move
his demands.

Shri B. Ramakrishna Rao  Shri, I beg to move

That a further sum not exceeding Rs. 42,86,000 under
Supplementary Demand No. 58 (Miscellaneous Payment to
II I II the Nizam) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the
several charges that would come for payment during the course
of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand
has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr. Speaker  Motion moved

That a further sum not exceeding Rs. 12,86,000 under
Supplementary Demand No. 8 (Miscellaneous Payment to
II I II the Nizam) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the
several charges that would come for payment during the course
of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand
has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Shri B. Ramakrishna Rao  Shri, I beg to move

That a further sum not exceeding Rs. 84,00,000 under
Supplementary Demand No. 58 (Miscellaneous Payment to
Jagudais) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges if it would come for paymnt during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1983. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Shri V D Deshpande The hon the Chief Minister has moved a supplementary Demand No 7 for Rs 1,09,922. There is another item of Rs 16,015 under the same Demand (78 General Administration Government House Ministers and Secretarial Establishment). The hon the Chief Minister has not moved the demand to cover this amount of Rs 16,015. I want to know whether it is a separate amount? If so, has it to be moved? Or has it been included in the amount of Rs 1,09,922 for which the demand was moved?

The earlier practice has been to make it into a lumpsum and ask for the grant. Now here it is shown separately. Whether it is to be moved or will be moved later on I would like to know.

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao Rs 16,015 is a charged item. Perhaps it represents the expenditure of the Rajpramukh's peshi Secretariat etc. That legitimately comes under charged account. Therefore demand for the amount need not be moved. That is why I have not moved a demand for that amount. The two items I moved as supplementary demands for this year in order to regularise the payment.

Shri V D Deshpande Before the cut motions are moved I want certain information in respect of the supplementary demands just now moved. Under Supplementary Demand No 2 item 8 an amount of Rs 2,92,000 was asked for payment for land acquired some years back at Malkajgiri for Transport Lines of the British Army. What that land is what the liabilities of the Government are we do not know. Again under Supplementary Demand No 18 the amount was required to meet additional expenditure on account of resumption of the payment of Yeomans and Salanases etc. This is a new item which has not been shown before. We want to know what were the agreements with the jagudais were and the liabilities of the Government under those agreements. Another point in respect of which I seek information is item 1 under Supplementary Demand No 2 whereunder Rs 91,000 was asked for supplementary grant for the training expenses of probationary Tasanidas. Is this a new scheme? If so, we want clarification.
Shri B Ramakrishna Rao I shall make an explanation if the hon. Member wants more details I will give him during the discussion. But so far as it is possible for me to remember I just make these points clear. Under supplementary demand No 2 a supplementary grant for Rs. 21,000 was asked for the expenditure incurred in training 20 probationary Inspectors. This amount of Rs. 21,000 represents the amount spent on them. It had to be met in excess of the budgetary provision. That is why a supplementary demand was being asked for these 20 probationary Inspectors were trained sometime ago. This expenditure was incurred over and above the budgetary provision. Hence there was need for supplementary demand under this provision. Regarding item 8 under supplementary demand No 2 the payment had to be made only recently for the acquisition of land which was acquired some years ago for process of the army. This land in Malkajgnu belonged to a private party and it was acquired for purposes of the army. Land acquisition proceedings take a considerable length of time. The amount payable to the party had been decided only recently. The payment was made this year and had to be made in excess of the budgetary provision. That is why a sum of Rs. 60,000 was claimed. The payment of the acquisition amount was the responsibility of the State Government and it had to be disbursed though the time that elapsed was recklessly long. Regarding supplementary demand No 18 at the time of the integration of the jagirs all the assets and liabilities of the jagirdars were taken over by the Government of Hyderabad. No provision was made in the budget for payment of Yeomniah and Salainas. These were payable to the holders in the ex-jagir area. These claims had to be examined and there after only an approximate amount could be fixed and budgeted for. This took time and it was only during 1952-53 that the amounts payable could be arrived at approximately. That is why this amount has been now claimed by way of a supplementary demand. The responsibility of the Government is to continue the payment. Of course while passing orders the Government have taken care to see that no personal obligations of the jagirdars have been palmed off on the Government. Certain rules were framed and the rules require that these Yeomniah and Salainas in the nature of annual allowances and payments which were made for the maintenance of certain religious and charitable institutions and which were paid from the revenue of the jagir and not from the personal income of the jagirdars should be continued till such time as the general question of payment of cash.
cash grants is decided by the Government. Pending that
decision these payments have to be made under the
responsibility taken by the Government under the agreements
relating to the integration of jagudias. The expenditure
having thus been incurred in excess of the budgetary provision
this supplementary demand for the grant of that amount
is moved.

Shri V D Deshpande I want to know whether when
arrangements for defence were taken over by Government of
India from the Hyderabad Government at the time of integra-
tion all the assets and liabilities were also not taken over
by them. How is it that the Hyderabad Government has to
pay the liabilities of the Defence Department?

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao This item is entirely different.
I am afraid the hon. Leader of the Opposition is mistaken.
It does not relate to Territorial and Political Pensions.
That item, which has to be included in the Budget has a tech-
nical term—Yeomans and Sunnas. Really speaking these
are grants to religious institutions.

Shri V D Deshpande I was referring to payment for
land acquired at Malkajgunj. Probably there is a dispute
pending in a Court regarding this. But when we settled
our accounts with the Government of India was not this
item included as a liability for the Government of India.
or was that liability left over to the Government of Hyderabad?

Mr Speaker There are four items under Demand No 2
and one of them relates to the payment for land acquired
some years back at Malkajgunj for Transport Lines of the
British Army prior to accession.

Shri V D Deshpande As the hon. the Chief Minister has
explained there was a dispute going on and the amount of
compensation was not decided. I only wanted for my informa-
tion whether at the time of the Government of India taking
over the defence establishments etc., at the time of
integration this item was not included among their liabilities.

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao I shall clarify it. This item
could not be included in their liabilities because the land was
acquired by the Government of Hyderabad and all such
the compensation had to be paid by the Government of Hy-
derabad. The matter is between the land owner whose
rights were acquired by the Hyderabad Government and the
Government of Hyderabad. So far as the Government of
India and Government of Hyderabad are concerned the
question of liabilities and assets was decided on a different
basis altogether. Certain buildings and other property belong-
ing to the Army were given to the Defence Department of
the Government of India. They claimed them as a corollary
to the process of integration, they claimed the properties
as belonging to them and the claim was admitted by the Gov-
ernment of Hyderabad. I am not in a position to furnish
all the details but as a general reply to the question I can
say that probably this item as well as certain other similar
items must have been taken into account while arriving at
the terms and conditions of the integration of the Army.
I am not aware of the details as to how it has been worked
out and whether this amount similar other amounts have
been taken into consideration at the time of fixing the liability.
I am not in a position to say just now about the details, but
I shall go into the matter and reply to the Hon. Leader of the
Opposition in the course of my reply.

Mr Speaker We shall now take up motions for reduction
of supplementary demands.

Demand No 2 — Land Revenue

Training Incentives or Probationary Inspectors and
the Policy of Recruitment

Shri Anutosh Rao Ghere (Patil) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by
Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by
Rs 100

Payment for Land Acquired at Malkajugiri

Shri Abdul Rahman (Malakpet) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by Rs
100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by Rs
100
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Demand No 7 General Administration Government House, Minister's & Secretariat Establishment

Increased Expenditure on Tyres Tubes etc

Shri Daya Shankar Rao (Adilabad) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Extension in the Period of Shrirangan Establishment

Shri Daya Shankar Rao I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Increased in the Contingencies of Ministers

Shri J Anand Rao (Sculia) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Extension in the Period of Temporary Establishments in Various Secretariat Departments

Shri Ch Venkat Ram Rao I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100
Shri K Ram Reddy (Nalgonda) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Policy of General Administration

Shri A Raj Reddy (Sultanabad) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Su I have mentioned in my original cut motion sent to the Secretary that I wish to discuss the working of the Public Service Commission but as I learnt later on the Secretary changed it into the present form saying that I cannot put the cut motion in its original form. The purpose in my bringing this cut motion is to discuss the working of the Public Service Commission.

Mr Speaker I doubt very much whether the hon Member can discuss that.

Shri V D Deshpande Mr Speaker Su, the third item in the Order of Business given to us says Voting and discussion of Demands for Supplementary Grants. I believe discount can take place regarding changed items though they may not be voted upon. The cut motion moved by Shri K Ram Reddy seeks to discuss the expenditure of Rs 6,177 spent on the establishment of the Military Secretary to the Rajpiamukh and the cut motion of Shri A Raj Reddy seeks to discuss the expenditure of Rs 9,849 incurred by the Public Service Commission. Both these items were clubbed together under Demand No 7. They can be retained for the purpose of discussion but not for voting. A reply has also to be given on this discussion.

Mr Speaker These items can be discussed, but the cut motions of Shri K Ram Reddy and Shri A Raj Reddy will not be put to vote.

Demand No 12—Famine
Famine Conditions in Bilir District

Shri Vamanrao Deshmukh I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 12 be reduced by Rs 100
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Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 12 be reduced by Rs 100

Funds for Famine Relief

Shri Sripatram Kadam (Bhu) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 12 be reduced by Rs 100

Demand No 19 Territorial and Political Pensions

Shri Annajwada Gavare (Parbhani) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 18 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 14 be reduced by Rs 100

Demand No 2—Land Revenue
Gulbarga District Administration

Shri Sharangouda Inamdar (Andola Jawargi) I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by Rs 100

Demand No 12—Famine
Famine Conditions in Gulbarga District

Shri Sharangouda Inamdar I beg to move
That the grant under Demand No 12 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker Motion moved
That the grant under Demand No 12 be reduced by Rs 100
1504 26th March 1938 Supplementary Demands for Grants

Mr. Speaker Now we shall have general discussion Shri Ankush Rao Venkat Rao.

Shri Ankush Rao Venkat Rao: Mr. Speaker. Sir, at the outset I would like to draw the attention of the House to the Passage in the Memorandum of Government explaining the reasons for the non-acceptance of the advice of the Public Service Commission of Hyderabad which runs as follows:

The Hyderabad Public Service Commission (Consultation) Regulations were laid on the table of the State Legislature in the month of July 1932 as required under Art 220 (5) of the Constitution and no modifications whether by way of repeal or amendment were made by the State Legislature.

Sir, even though these Regulations were placed on the table of the Legislature. On a perusal of them I don't think they conform to the spirit of Art 220 (5). It is a fact that we in India though educated have got little or no experience of parliamentary practice as such and therefore when these Regulations were placed on the table of the House we inadvertentely left them out without considering them. The result is that no modifications or amendments could be suggested by us on very important matters governing our administrative services. So what I mean to say is that it was the bounden duty of the Government to make it quite clear to the House the importance and effects of the Regulations that were sought to be implemented. But without the proper consent of the House the Regulations which have got far-reaching effects on our administrative set up have been put into effect for instance a department like Road Transport yielding a good revenue to the Government has been taken out of the purview of the Public Service Commission despite of the fact that certain appointments made therein were questioned in this House.

Regarding the training expenses of probationary Tahsildars for which a supplementary demand of Rs 21,000 is sought to be granted. I should like to mention that out of the 20 persons selected for the posts 18 were non mulkies and they were recommended by the Government and the Public Service Commission had to accept the advice of the Government. It is surprising that only seven mulkies were recruited to those posts. I should say that this policy of Government in recruiting non mulkies when sufficient mulka personnel were available in our State was the reason which made our educated...
young men to agitate against the Government. It has had a very bad effect and it led to much bloodshed. But on this matter I would not dilate further. Due to the fact that the Public Service Commission complained about the manner in which its powers were curtailed, some important cases had been taken out of its purview and thus the Government tried to show that even for the general lowering of our administrative efficiency the House has agreed.

There have been complaints that for recruitment to the posts of Probationary Lakhdars January 1, 1953 was the date originally fixed, but later on in view of certain representations Government had to change that date to 1st July 1952. Why such an interesting step has been taken by the Government is a matter which requires some elucidation from the Chief Minister. I would request the Chief Minister to make it clear to the House what those representations were and what need was there to change the date originally fixed. There were complaints that the Government gave some concessions regarding even the date of birth. In spite of the fact that the date of birth as is shown in the Matriculation certificate is different from the date of birth shown in the service book a concession has been given that birth dates can be given according to Janma Patrikas. It is a well-known fact that Janma Patrikas can be manufactured for the convenience of entrusting that Government services. So I am at a loss to understand what need was there to doubt the correctness of the Matriculation certificates which I am sure truly indicate the age of a candidate. There are also complaints that notwithstanding the language policy adopted by the Government the persons recruited to administrative services—as is clear from the published results of the competitive examinations do not fulfil the necessary qualifications of proficiency in regional languages.

I would like to request the hon. Chief Minister to elucidate the various points raised by me during the course of his reply to the debate.
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Bureaucratic Machinery

( A. Achon )

( Bureaucratic Machinery )

( A. Achon )

( Bureaucratic Machinery )

( A. Achon )

( Bureaucratic Machinery )

( A. Achon )

( Bureaucratic Machinery )

( A. Achon )

( Bureaucratic Machinery )
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The House then adjourned for recess till Half Past Five of the Clock.

The House resumes a minute after 8 O’clock of the Clock.

[Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair]
Supplementary Demands for Grants

26th March 1968

Mr. Chaudhry Hidayatullah Ahmed Awan, M.P.:

Mr. President and Members of the House.

I come to you with a request for approval of supplementary demands for grants for the current financial year. The demands are based on the necessity for additional funds to cover unforeseen expenses and to ensure the smooth functioning of the government departments.

The demands include funding for various sectors such as education, health, infrastructure, and social welfare. The details of each demand are provided in the attached documents.

I request your prompt consideration of these demands to ensure that the government can continue to provide necessary services to the people.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Minister for Finance

---

(Attachement: List of demands and details of additional funding required)
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Disadvantage
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Long-term preventive measures

High temperature and humidity in the area have led to a significant increase in the demand for water and electricity. The local authorities have been working on various projects to address this issue, including the construction of new water reservoirs and the expansion of the existing ones. Additionally, efforts are being made to improve the efficiency of the existing infrastructure, such as the installation of new technologies to reduce water and electricity consumption.

Local farmers have also been encouraged to adopt drought-resistant crops and to implement water conservation practices. The government has provided financial assistance to support these initiatives, and there has been a significant increase in the area of land under such crops.

The long-term plan includes the construction of more water reservoirs and the implementation of more efficient irrigation systems. These projects are expected to significantly reduce the demand for water and electricity and to improve the resilience of the local community to future water and electricity shortages.

The success of these initiatives will depend on the continued support of the local community and the government. It is essential to ensure that the projects are well-planned, properly implemented, and monitored to achieve the desired outcomes.

Overall, the situation is improving, and the local authorities are committed to ensuring that the needs of the community are met in the long term. The government has set a target to reduce the demand for water and electricity by 20% in the next five years, and it is confident that this goal can be achieved with the ongoing efforts.
Shri V D Deshpande: Mr Speaker Sir, I wish to point to you that the honourable Minister who is in charge of the portfolio is absent continuously and I do not understand how he will be in a position to clarify the points raised in the debate. Therefore, I wish to convey through you to the Chief Minister and the Minister concerned that when the debate is going on their demands they are in duty bound to be present in the House so that they may be in a position to clarify the points raised.

Mr Deputy Speaker: Of course, it is desirable that the Minister concerned should be present here but it cannot be forced.

Shri V D Deshpande: Mr Speaker Sir, A similar instance arose in the House of the People recently and the Speaker thought it was not exactly his ruling expressed that the Minister concerned should be present in the House when the particular demands were being discussed.

[At this stage Shri B Ramakrit has risen from his seat in the House and took his seat.]
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[Mr Speaker in the Chair]
Mr Speaker I have already decided that the Demands would be put to vote at 6.30 p.m. I must allow at least 15 minutes to the Chief Minister.
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Mr Speaker I have already decided that the Demands would be put to vote at 6.30 p.m. I must allow at least 15 minutes to the Chief Minister.
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26th March 1958

Contingency

As in previous years, this note has been prepared for the purpose of

noting any additional demands for grants which may arise during the

current financial year. Such demands will be considered by the

Department of Education and will be reported to the relevant

Committee for approval.

The note includes details of the estimated costs and the

expected benefits of the projects to be funded.

Minister of Education

Department of Education
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Top heavy Administration is being charged on all cash grants, the new figures are as follows:

1. Cash grants

This has been done to reduce the heavy costs associated with administering these grants.
Supplementary Demands for Grants

Shri B. Ramakrishna Rao: I don't want to be interrupted in my speech.

Mr Speaker: Order. Order.
20th March 1969
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Extra Items

HC 5736 (1967-68) Item 1-10

Further Demand

Government House

Ministers and Secretariat Establishment

Contingencies

For the purchase of tires and tubes etc.

Wear & Tear

Additional Anticipation

Budget Estimate

People's Government

Democracy

Tolerate
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(Wild animals Sanctuary)
(Ten Year Plan Sanctuaries)

(S ) Cultural institutions

(S) Nestery Services

(S) Extension Services

(S) Laughter

(Political Opponents)

(Non violence)

(S) Laughter
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Item

Additional Contingencies

Clearance of Outstanding Bill

Outstanding Bills of Electrical charges and purchase of Typewriters etc.

Abolish Institution Charges Establishment

Charge Item

Discussion Rules

In accordance with Clause 5 of Article 320 of the Constitution I lay on the table of the House a copy of the Hyderabad Public Service Commission (Consultation) Regulation, 1953 made by the Rajpramukh in exercise of the powers vested in him under proviso to clause 3 of Article 320 of the Constitution.
All regulations made under the proviso to clause (80) by the President on the Governor or Rajpramukh of a State shall be laid for not less than fourteen days before each House of Parliament or the House in each House of the Legislature of the State as the case may be, as soon as possible after they are made and shall be subject to such modifications, whether by way of repeal or amendment, as both Houses of Parliament or the House or both Houses of the Legislature of the State may make during the session in which they are so laid.

Provided that the President as respects the All India Services and also as respects other services and posts in connection with the affairs of the Union and the Governor or Rajpramukh as the case may be as respects other services and posts in connection with the affairs of a State may make regulations specifying the matters in which either generally,
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or in any particular class of case or in any particular circumstances it shall not be necessary for a Public Service Commision to be consulted.

Mr Speaker  I shall now put the motions for reduction of grants to vote

**Demand No 2 Land Revenue**

**Training Expenses of Probationary Taksildars and the Policy of Recruitment**

Shri Ankushrao Gharat  Mr Speaker Sir I want my cut motion to be put to vote

Mr Speaker The question is

That the grant under Demand No 2 be reduced by Rs 100  The motion was negatived

**Payment for Land Acquired at Malkajgiri**

Shri Mhd Abdul Rahman  I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House, withdrawn
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Demand No 7 General Administration Govt House Ministries & Secretariat Establishment

Shri Daji Shankar Rao I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House withdrawn

Extension in the Period of Secretarial Establishment

Shri Daji Shankar Rao I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House withdrawn

Inclusion in the Contingencies of Ministers

Shri J Anand Rao Mi Speaker Sir I want my cut motion to be Put to vote

Mr Speaker The question is

That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

The motion was negatived

Extension in the Period of Temporary Establishment Menies in Various Secretariat Departments

Mr Speaker Since Shri Ch Venkatarama Rao is not present in the House I shall put his cut motion to vote The question is

That the grant under Demand No 7 be reduced by Rs 100

The motion was negatived

Demand No 19—Farm

1. Demand Conditions in Bhir District

Mr Speaker The question is

That the grant under Demand No 19 be reduced by Rs 100
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The motion was negatived

FUNDS FOR FAMINE RELIEF

Shri Subratao Kadam I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House withdrawn

DEMAND No 18—TERRITORIAL AND POLITICAL PENSIONS

Shri Annagowda Gawate I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House withdrawn

DEMAND No 2 LAND REVENUE

GULBARGA DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

Shri Sharangouda Inamdar I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House withdrawn

DEMAND No 12—FAMINE

FAMINE CONDITIONS IN GULBARGA DISTRICT

Shri Sharangouda Inamdar I beg leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion

The motion was by leave of the House withdrawn

Mr Speaker The question is

That the respective sums not exceeding the amount of Rs 19,95,822 in respect of further Demands Nos 27, 12 and 18 be granted to the Rajpurt with to doffray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demands have the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

The motion was adopted

As directed by Mr Speaker, the motions for Supplementary demands for grants as adopted by the House are reproduced below E D
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Supplementary Demand No 2

That a sum not exceeding Rs 1,00,000 under Supplementary Demand No 2 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Supplementary Demand No 7

That a sum not exceeding Rs 1,00,000 under Supplementary Demand No 7 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Supplementary Demand No 12

That a sum not exceeding Rs 10,00,000 under Further Demand No 12 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Supplementary Demand No 18

That a sum not exceeding Rs 2,00,000 under Further Demand No 18 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1954. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Mr Speaker There are other Supplementary Demands the nature of which is practically the same as the further demands that were moved by the Chief Minister and were reserved for discussion on 30th. Shall we take up these things now?

Shri V D Deshpande The nature is not the same. Last year they were charged items and we could not vote on them.

Mr Speaker But the items are practically the same (Laughter)

Shri V D Deshpande But the nature is not the same
26th March, 1958

Supplementary Demands for Grants

Mr Speaker I would take up these supplementary Demands which were treated as charged items last year but which have now been changed to votable items for discussion and voting on the 30th inst along with the Further Demands. In the meantime if Members want to move motions for reduction of grants they may take them as stated previously.

We shall now take up the other supplementary demands of the Supply Minister.

(The Minister for supply Agriculture Planning & Legislature)

Dr Chenna Reddy Mr Speaker Sir I beg to move.

That a further sum not exceeding Rs 1,08,829 under Supplementary Demand No 7 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Mr Speaker Motion moved.

That a further sum not exceeding Rs 1,08,829 under Supplementary Demand No 7 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Dr Chenna Reddy I beg to move.

That a further sum not exceeding Rs 21,89,956 under Supplementary Demand No 11 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

Mr Speaker Motion moved.

That a further sum not exceeding Rs 21,89,956 under Supplementary Demand No 11 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.
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Dr Chenna Reddy  I beg to move

That a further sum not exceeding Rs 4 29 000 under Supplementary Demand No 14 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker  Motion moved

That a further sum not exceeding Rs 4 29 000 under Supplementary Demand No 14 be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958. The Demand has the recommendation of the Rajpramukh

Mr Speaker  I shall now take up the motions for reduction of grants

INCREASE IN THE FOOD SUPPLY

Shri B D Deshmukh (Bhokaidan General) Sir I beg to move

that the grant under Demand No 11 be reduced by Rs 100

Mr Speaker  Motion moved

that the grant under Demand No 11 be reduced by Rs 100

Dr Chenna Reddy  Mr Speaker  Sir I would like to mention that the motion for reduction of grant is not very clear. This is a question of money already spent and I am at a loss to understand what useful purpose will be served by discussing about the increase in the food subsidy at this stage

Mr Speaker  Let the hon. Member say what he has got to say
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Health Department

Aor Haq, in the annual report of the Health Department, has stated that the Health Department has been working hard to improve the health conditions in the country. The Department has received supplementary demands for grants to carry out various projects and improvements in the health sector.

(Annual Report)
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No. 1888

To whom it may concern,

This is to certify that the attached list shows the demands for supplementary grants which have been approved by the Council of the University of Delhi for the year 1938.

[Attached list]

Kindly peruse the list carefully and take necessary action.

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]

[Date]
The third item of Rs.21,89,956 is due chiefly to the increase in the food losses which are now expected to Rs.28,85,200 as against the budget estimate of Rs.12,85,719. Out of this excess of Rs.45,71,481 Rs.4,81,526 will be met by reapropriation of savings under other minor heads and the balance of Rs.21,89,956 is required as a supplementary grant.

**New Items**
Supplementary Demands for Grants 20th March, 1968

1488

To the Secretary, Department of Supply

Dear Sir,

I am writing to request your approval for the following items, which were initially included in our grant application:

1. Realistic View
2. Supply

These items are essential for our ongoing research and development projects. Without them, we would be unable to continue our work effectively.

I look forward to your favorable consideration of these requests.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Department Name]
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To the House of Commons

The Minister of Education (Mr. P. J. Donaldson)

Mr. Speaker,

I beg to move that the Supplementary Estimates for Education, 1957–58, be approved.

The estimates cover the period from the beginning of the financial year 1957–58 to the 30th June 1958, and include increases of expenditure in the amount of £24 million. These increases are in addition to the original estimates, which were £161 million.

Of the total increase of £24 million, £16 million is for the Education (Scotland) Board, and £8 million is for the Education (England) Board. The remaining £4 million is for other purposes, such as the maintenance of schools during the summer vacation and the provision of free milk for children in certain areas.

The increases for the Education (Scotland) Board are mainly for the maintenance of schools and for the provision of free milk for children in certain areas. The increases for the Education (England) Board are for the maintenance of schools and for the provision of free milk for children in certain areas.

I would like to assure the House that these increases are necessary to ensure that the educational provision is maintained at a reasonable level, and that the children of this country are given the best possible education.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Minister of Education
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Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958

The motion was adopted

(As directed by Mr Speaker the motions for Supplementary Demands for grants as adopted by the House are reproduced below—DD)

Demand No 7.—Election Charges and Legislative Assembly

That a sum not exceeding Rs 108,825 under Demand No 7 (Election Charges and Legislative Assembly) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958

Demand No 11—Food Subsidy

That a sum not exceeding Rs 21,89,958 under Demand No 11 (Food Subsidy) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958

Demand No 14—68 B Community Development Projects

That a sum not exceeding Rs 4,29,000 under Demand No 14 (68 B Community Development Projects) be granted to the Rajpramukh to defray the several charges that would come for payment during the course of the year ending the 31st day of March 1958

Shri V. D. Deshpande Before the House adjourns for the day I want to bring to the notice of the House Rule 170 of the Hyderabad Legislative Assembly Rules which runs

When a demand or any part of it relates to any new scheme or revision of scales of pay or allowances or creation of a new appointment all material details of such scheme or revision or appointment shall save in special circumstances be supplied to all Members at least three clear days before the demand is made

Three clear days

Appointments

Shri A. S. A. V. K. and others
Mr. Speaker: Of course the details as far as possible will be supplied.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: All material details the words are very clear in the rule.

At 7.48 p.m. the House then adjourned till Three of the Clock on Friday the 27th day of March 1959.