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HYDERABAD I I ISLAMIVI ASSEMBLY

Monday 8th December 1952

The house met at half past two of the Clock

[Mr Speaker in the Chair]

STANDING QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Mr Speaker We shall take up questions Shri K Venkat Ram Rao

(As Shri K Venkat Ram Rao, in whose name Questions Nos *348(472) *349(473) and *350(475) were standing was absent, the next question was taken up)

श्री के राहुल शर्मा (सल्तनातना) अमृतार्कोजन का न का न का न का

RAID BY POLICE JAMDAR

*351 (497) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao (Kurnoolgra) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that a Police JAMDAR named Babu Singh of Nurali village proper has induced the local Muslim House and undid to nip it in the bud on 4th August 1952 at 10 p.m.?

(b) Any representation made to the local S.I. in this matter?

(c) What results?
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कुम्हार (Departmental Enquiry)
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Lahore:

2nd Nov, 1952

Sir,

It is a matter of great concern to me that the leader of the Muslim League, Mr. Jamshed Ali, has been refused permission to go to the United States. Mr. Ali is a well-known leader of the Muslim League and has always been active in promoting the cause of Pakistan. I strongly urge you to intervene with the authorities to ensure that Mr. Ali is allowed to travel.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

---

352 (498) Shaukat Venkatram R. Will the Home Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shrimat Bahrami of Red

damung solo was arrested on 25th July 1955 by the Dy. 6th Mynil

gadi during election campaign for by-elections?

(b) If so for what reasons?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the wife and daughter were allowed to see her?

---

Delhi, May 1950

[Signature]
قمرانی اس وقت عمل ہے ایس حکم ہے کہ زخمی حراست میں ہے۔

کسی بھی ہمارے کہندہ دوسرے کے حوالے ہے۔

شریعیہ سے درج کیا گیا اور آپ کو یہ سمجھنے دکھا کیا گیا ہے?

شریعیہ سے بڑھ کر رام راؤ کا سمجھ بھی دکھا کیا گیا ہے?

شریعیہ سے اس وقت بڑھ کر رام راؤ پر ڈئلی پولیس کا انکوہ سستی کی ہے?

شریعیہ سے بڑھ کر رام راؤ کا سمجھ بھی دکھا کیا گیا ہے?

شریعیہ سے اس وقت بڑھ کر رام راؤ پر ڈئلی پولیس کا انکوہ سستی کی ہے?
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شریعیہ سے بڑھ کر رام راؤ کا سمجھ بھی دکھا کیا گیا ہے?

شریعیہ سے اس وقت بڑھ کر رام راؤ پر ڈئلی پولیس کا انکوہ سستی کی ہے?

شریعیہ سے بڑھ کر رام راؤ کا سمجھ بھی دکھا کیا گیا ہے?
Raid by Armed Police

353 (499) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the Hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that some of the armed police of camp Pulchun in Pedemangudi constituency raided Vaidipalli village on 23rd July, 1972 and winters the village named Ramulumm Kumari Yellumm Kumari Venkutha Kiriti and Ramulu?

(b) If so the reasons for their arrest and then when do seize?
Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that fifty armed policemen entered Suddenipilli village in Nalgonda district on 31st July 1952?

(b) If so the number of persons arrested?

(c) Whether it is a fact that many villagers including pregnant women were severely tortured by the Police with the object of obtaining information concerning the underground Communists?

Armed Police men were not in uniform but were laden with fire-arms (354)
8th Dec. 1952

شیری اخو، رجید رضا، وزیر داخلہ راولپنڈی، کے لیے اسکوری دیوری راولپنڈی، وزیر اعظم محمد یوسف خان کے نام پر

Political Prisoners

1955 (502) Shri Ch. Venkataram Rao Wills the Hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shri Ram Raj Reddy and political prisoners in Hyderabad Central Jail are suffering from tuberculosis for the last three years?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the doctor who attended on him advised the Government to release him on parole so that he might avail himself of better treatment outside the jail?

(c) Whether he has been released accordingly?

(d) If not why?

شری اخو رجید رضا و راول پنڈی اور (آئی (اور بین) دلتهات سے حاصل شیا میں ضعف (سی)

مور (3) (1955) بہبود

سید حسین گورنار (928 وی) نا کچھ راج رندی ایس مسلم

ترجمہ اور ایلی کے استعمال

شری اخو کور ان کا سچھ جن وہ اکثر کا لیکن رہا کرے بہبود

شری دکیر راج راضی اور ریز مہدی سوردور سینکو گروپ کے معاوضے سطیائ

لیکر نکا کاکا

شری اخو راج راول پنڈی اور ریز مہدی سوردور سینکو گروپ کے معاوضے سطیائ

لیکر نکا کاکا
8th Dec., 1952

Sir,

In the course of my recent investigations at the Guntur Central Jail, I came across a number of cases where the prisoners were suffering from various ailments, including scabies, dysentery, and other intestinal troubles. I am writing to you to express my concern over these health issues and to request your assistance in addressing them.

(a) Whether it is a fact that Mekula Nuniyyi Mekil Buliyi Gannadewi Ramulu and Sithyarnayin, the political prisoners in Hyderabad Central Jail, are suffering from heat and intestinal troubles?

(b) Whether it is also a fact that the Civil Surgeons of the Osmania Hospital have not been able to treat the cases and that some of them have vomited blood every day?

(c) Whether the Government intend to release them on parole?

(d) If not, for what reasons?

Yours sincerely,

Shri Ch. Venkatrami Rao

[Signature]
8th Dec 1952  Stand Question and In

Sir, I have read the report of the police, and I am satisfied with the

report. I have also read the report of the Medical Officer, and I am satisfied with the

report.

X Ray

Heart disease

Functional

Dr. A. A. (Ophthalmoscopic)

In the case of the

above, I have not found

any evidence of

tuberculosis.

Sri Chi Venkatram Rao  Will the hon. Minister

for Home be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that Gammadi Rayalu of Sunitram village in Sultani had taken his brother and others to be tortured by the Kurnool police in the first week of August 1952?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the above persons were severely tortured for their alleged complicity in the burning of cattle shed of the Patwari?

(c) Whether it is a fact that some other villagers who were not prepared to give evidence against Rayalu in the case were beaten by the Police?
Warrants Under Preventive Detention Act

358 (506) Shri C. V. V. Ramachandran Rao Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether any warrants under Preventive Detention Act are pending execution?

(b) If so, the names of persons concerned and for how long have the warrants been pending?

Shri V. G. R. Rajendran, Advocate, in pursuance of the above queries, submitted the following:

Name of Person: Shri V. G. R. Rajendran

Warrants Pending:

- Shri V. G. R. Rajendran, Advocate, has a warrant of arrest under the Preventive Detention Act.

Duration:

- The warrant has been pending for a period of 3 years and 6 months.

Note: The details provided are in response to the queries raised by Shri C. V. V. Ramachandran Rao.
Mr Speaker It is a matter of opinion

Sir It is the information I want

Mr Speaker It is not in information

Shri V D Deshpande Has Government cancelled the warrants or not?

Mr Speaker I think the hon Member wants to know whether the warrants have been cancelled or not under the law.
Mr Speaker I let us proceed to the next question

Behaviour of SI of Police

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Sub Inspector of Peddapalli Police Station, district Khammam beat the local cycle taxi owner severely in the first week of August?
(b) If so, why?
(c) Whether any representation was made to D S P in this matter?
(d) If so, with what results?

Shri K Rama Rau I let us proceed to the next question

Next question, Shri Ch Venkatram Rao

Lathi Charge on Students

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether there was any lathi charge on students of Duleekhram Hyderabad on 15th August, 1952?
(b) If so, for what reasons?
(Independence Day)

Old and New Students

I athi Chance

362 (509) Shri Ch Venkata Ram Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that there was a lathi charge in Perakondam village of Nizamabad on 4th August 1952 while an open meeting was going on there?

(b) Whether it is a fact that some villagers were punished into their houses and severely beaten by the Police?

(c) If so, for what reasons?

363 (510) Shri Ch Venkata Ram Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that nine P D I workers Messrs Durgayya Basu Zuhri and others were arrested by the Police in Pedagudi village of Munaguda taluka on 16th August 1952?

(b) Whether it is also a fact that they were humiliated and tortured in the streets from 11 a.m. to 6 p.m. and all except Durgayya let off with a warning that they should not take part in by election campaign?
(c) If so, let us know what reasons?

(d) Where is Dalgatty now?

By election Campaign

(Wuming) Shri Shivanab Ram Rowl (M. P., Congress) has declared his candidature for the forthcoming election in the seat of Samastipur. The elections are scheduled for September 15th.

Arrest of Kisan Sabha Workers

(1941) Shri Ch Venabram Rao will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that three Kisan Sabha Workers, Messrs. Niyati, Niyati and Vastyi, of Midhun village...
were arrested by Devukonda Police in the second week of August 1952?

(b) Whether it is a fact that they were severely tortured on the spot by the Police?

(c) If so for what reasons?

\[
\text{Police torture}
\]

*365 (512) Shri Ch Venkatramu Rao Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shrimati Ramulamma and Nagammal were severely tortured and molested by Devukonda Police while they were under arrest in the month of August 1952?

(b) If so for what reasons?

(c) Whether it is also a fact that the relatives were not allowed to see them?

(d) If so why?
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376 (513) Smt Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Chinnam J. Venkatram Kishor Singh was arrested by the Police in Raichandipuram village of Bhongi taluka on 10th August 1952?

(b) Whether it is a fact that he was severely tortured by the Police?

(c) Whether my representation was made in this matter to the local authorities?

(d) If so, with what result?

377 (524) Smt Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Home Minister be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shyamlal Venkateswarlu was struck by the Police while he was addressing an open by election meeting in Pediveedu village of Husnabad taluka on 13th August 1952?

(b) Is it a fact that his head was severely injured and he was taken to the Police Camp where he was again tortured until he became senseless?
(c) Whether it is a fact that the people who were hearing him in that open meeting were later chased and lured by the Police even after entering their homes?

(d) Any representation was made in this matter by the local authorities and with what results?

---

**Arrest of PDI Member**

*968(537)* Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shri Ramlalu, a member of PDI Party was arrested in Bodipilli village of Peddimun gram constituency in the second week of August, 1952?

(b) If so, for what reasons?

(c) Where is he now?
Statement of the question and answer, 8th Dec 1952

Statement of the question and answer, 8th Dec 1952

Whether it is a fact that Police sealed Kism Singh Office at Devukondi on 9th August 1952?

Whether my workers at Kism Singh were treated?

If so, for what reasons?
Distinguish by Goondar

370 (518) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minis-
ter for Home pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that some goondar excited dis-
turbance at Kotengur village of Nilgondi district during 1 meet-
ing presided over by the Collector on 16.8.1952?

(b) Whether any representation was made to the Collec-
tor of the district in this regard?

(c) If so with what results?

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao. Point of explanation, sir
Whether it is a fact that some sound is created disturbance in Kotungur village of Nilgiri district on 16-8-1952?

(b) Whether my representation was made to the Collector of the district in this regard who was then presiding at meeting?

(c) If so with what results?

Mr. Speaker: Was the Collector not presiding over that meeting?

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao: No. He was presiding over another meeting.

The Speaker: Statement obvious.

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao: Will the Hon. Home Minister be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the 14th police constable ended in village Mudigudem of Nilgiri district on 23rd July 1952 and tortured the kisan Rj Ahmed and his family including women?

(b) If so for what reason?
8th Dec 1952

Shri Ch Venkatram Ra

Will the Hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Armed Forces raided the villages of Chuntikonda and Kampani of Nalgonda district on 27th July 1952, and that Venkit Ram was dead and the women and children of his family?

(b) If so, for what reasons?

Shri D.K. Reddy

Raid (Kraka-

Village)

Shri D.K. Reddy states that a night raid was conducted by the Armed Forces on the night of 26-27th July and that Venkit Ram's family was killed.

Note: The text is in a language other than English and contains a mix of English and Telugu script.
Mr. Speaker: Let us proceed to the next question.

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao

Government's Loan from Rajpurumkh

The Hon. Mr. Venkatram Rao Will the Hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state

(a) Whether the Government of Hyderabad have borrowed any money from the Rajpurumkh?

(b) If so, whether the interest is being paid on that amount?

Minister for Finance (Dr. G. S. Mittlea): According to the strict wording of the question I should say No to both (a) and (b). But I will take the spirit of the question and say Yes for both (a) and (b).

We have not borrowed any money from the Rajpurumkh.
We have borrowed only from His Highness the Nizam.

Dr. G. S. Mittlea: There is one loan of 8 crores for 25 years, interest 1%. There is another loan of 45 crores for 15
8th Dec 1952  Stated Question and Answers

years interest being 1% There is one loan with 1% interest and without time limit for 363 crores.

The interest on 8 crores is 72 lacs per annum in 12 months. The interest on 4.5 crores is 5.62 lacs and the other one is 3.4 lacs without interest.

Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next question Mr Viswanath Rao.

Expenditure on Maintenance of Ministers' Homes

374 (485) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Finance be pleased to state:
(a) The monthly expenditure for maintenance of Ministers' residences?
(b) The number of Ministers who have not occupied Government Bungalows?
(c) The average monthly expenditure on the Ministers' car expenses?
(d) The average monthly expenditure on travelling allowances of the Ministers?

Dr G S Melkote (a) 0.5 Rs 520 per month for all 13 Ministers.
(b) Seven Ministers have not occupied Government bungalows.
(c) 0.5 Rs 3927 14.7 per month for all 13 Ministers.
(d) 0.5 Rs 4707 13.3 per month for all 13 Ministers.

Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next question.

Shri Ch Venkatram Rao

375 (563) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Finance be pleased to state:
(a) The total monthly expenditure on our (Hyderabad) Ministers' furnished houses?
(b) On each Minister's house separately?

Dr G S Melkote I have not been able to understand the question. This question has been answered in the previous question itself. There is no clarification whether he wants the expenditure on Ministers' residences or furnished houses or both. So,
I should be pleased to receive a reply to p. 1 (i) of the petition No. 377 (487) which I have just given, covering the period 1950.

The things I have mentioned by the Hon. Minister in his detail in the individual Ministers and he worked out.

Mr. Speaker, I hasten proceed further. Shri K. Venkatram Rao.

I want Holders for Iron & Steel

376 (487) Shri K Venkatram Rao. Will the Hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state.

The number of批发 and retail trade holders for Iron and Steel quoted in Kuching, a district?

(Whole sale dealers)

(Re tail)

(Retail shops)

Mr. Speaker, let us proceed to the next question No. 377 (507) (Where Member was absent).

Mr. Speaker, let us take up the previous questions that were not put. Shri K. Venkatram Rao.

Read by Police:

348 (472) Shri K. Venkatram Rao (Chinnikonduru) Will the Hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state that:

(a) Is it a fact that the P.D.I. Office at Ngandu was ransacked by Police on 1th July 1952 and the office record was taken away by them?

(b) Whether Congress volunteers took part in this raid and if so, their number?

(c) Is it a fact that all the Municipal Councillors belonging to the P.D.I. Party along with the P.D.I. workers were taken in to custody by the local Court Inspector and they were left off after 8 hours detention when the matter was brought to the notice of the Collector and D.S.P.?

(d) The reasons for sealing the P.D.I. Party office and police guards before the same?
شانزدی قریشی اور سلمان فارابی کے فلسفی نظریات کا بحث کرنا ہے۔

شانزدی قریشی کا ایک منظر یہ ہے کہ فلسفی نظریات کا مطلب ہے کہ وہ معاشرت کی ذیلی بخش ہوتی ہے۔

شانزدی قریشی کا انوار پوری کا ایک تصور ہے کہ انوار پوری کی تحقیق کا مطلب ہے کہ وہ معاشرت کی ذیلی بخش ہوتی ہے۔

شانزدی قریشی کا ایک منظر یہ ہے کہ فلسفی نظریات کا مطلب ہے کہ وہ معاشرت کی ذیلی بخش ہوتی ہے۔

شانزدی قریشی کا انوار پوری کا ایک تصور ہے کہ انوار پوری کی تحقیق کا مطلب ہے کہ وہ معاشرت کی ذیلی بخش ہوتی ہے۔
Mr. Speaker, let us proceed to the next question. Shri V. Lakshmikanth Reddy, Member of Parliament.
4th Dec., 1952


Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to answer the next question.

Shri K. Venkatram Reddy rose in his seat.

Mr. Speaker, I have already called upon Shri K. Venkatram Reddy.

(Shri K. Venkatram Reddy rose in his seat.)

Police Officer

350 (475) Shri K. Venkatram Reddy: Will the hon. Member for Nellore please tell us:

(a) How many gazetted and nongazetted police officers from other States are still working in Nellore district?

(b) What are the scales of pay of the officers and how do they compare with the salaries of State Police Officers?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the State Police Officers are adversely affected in the matter of promotions due to the presence of such officers?

(Sir Syed Azam Ali (M), a representative of the police, rose.)

(Waving his hand.)

(Officating Dy S P.)

(The in-charge of the police, who spoke in a low voice, was not heard.)

For the Government of India.

351

(2) 2/5 of the Madras staff in (19) 30 is in Press House. (3) 60 is in Press House. 5 is in Press House.

(3) 5 is in Press House. (3) 5 is in Press House. (3) 5 is in Press House. (3) 5 is in Press House.

(5) 5 is in Press House.
Masters in Marathi

(a) The number of murders committed since 15th September, 1952 in Mumbai?
(b) Whether there is any abnormal increase in this?
(c) If so, what reasons?

Shri Aniruzan Ram Vedavat Rao (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

Shri Dungarra Rajaoddor, Home Laureat (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

Shri Aunchal Rao Vedat Rao (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

By election

Shri Aunchal Rao Vedat Rao (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

Mr Speaker Let us now take up Questions received since 15th September, 1952 in Mumbai.

Shri Aunchal Rao Vedat Rao (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

Masters in Marathi

(a) The number of murders committed since 15th September, 1952 in Mumbai?
(b) Whether there is any abnormal increase in this?
(c) If so, what reasons?

Shri Dungarra Rajaoddor, Home Laureat (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

Shri Aunchal Rao Vedat Rao (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

By election

Shri Aunchal Rao Vedat Rao (Mrutunj) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

Mr Speaker Let us now take up Questions received since 16th September, 1952 in Mumbai.
Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next question Shri Ankush Rao Venkat Rao

_Drunken Police_

95 (901) Shri Ankush Rao Venkat Rao Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether Government are aware that many Police Sub-Inspectors and constables are found drunk even when they are on duty?

(b) If so what steps are being taken by the Government to stop it?

Shri D.K. Bhandari Shri S.D. Prasad Shri K. Samy K. Sai

Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next question Shri Ch Venkatram Rao
Unstated Questions and Answers

360 (508) Shri K. Khampa Will the I.O. minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether the Sub-Inspector of Police Khipur

(b) Whether it is a fact that Shri Subhup acted as a mediator between the and Inspector and the above persons to collect the amount of Rs. 200 from each of them?

(c) Whether it is a fact that Shri Subhup handed over the amount of Rs. 200 to one Shri Hummuth Rao of the same village?

(d) Whether it is a fact that on the third day of the incident when the matter came to the knowledge of the local Society party, the Sub-Inspector and Hummuth Rao returned the money to Shri Subhup to hand over the same to the concerned party?

(e) Whether any action was taken against them?

(f) If so, with what result?

(g) Whether he is aware of the fact that the Sub-Inspector and Hummuth Rao used the same for their personal purposes?

(h) If so, on what grounds?

Shri Digambar Rao Binda The fact of the case is as follows - Champa, widow of Godupu village became pregnant as a result of her illicit intimacy with one Gangerim Lachum and Kumri called them and asked the woman to pay Rs. 150 and the man to pay Rs. 50 to them. When compelled, the woman paid the entire amount herself to them

Hummuth Rao Police Pteul of Dihwadi informed the SI of Khippur about this blackmailing. The SI met Lachum and Kumri and asked them to return the money and he returned to HQ the same day.

After the return of the SI Hummuth Rao collected Rs. 200 from Lachum and Kumri and further sum of Rs. 50 from the widow. He collected the amount through Subhup.

Answer under Unstated Questions and Answers
Hummanth Rao instead of returning the money went to Khampur and offered it to the SI. The SI in order to accept the money had asked him to return the amount. Hummanth Rao returned the amount to Subhoy.

On a complaint made by Subhoy MLA the Dy SP Nirmal conducted an inquiry. He found that in addition to the cash, a gold necklace also was collected and returned by one Bilaya. The Dy SP asked Bilaya and subhoy to return the amount.

About three months after the above incident one complaint made by Hummanth Rao that Lachi Ram and another committed theft and assaulted him were in turn No. 42 was registered on 9th July 1977 under sections 376, 14, 32 and 506 IPC and the twelve accused were later arrested. Hummanth Rao is a mischief monger who is responsible for all these facts.

_Damage Caused to Dam_

377 (507) Shri K Rayath (Lustup t Resved) Will the Hon'ble Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) Whether he is aware of the fact that owing to damage caused to the dam of the lake in Advises Pranam village in Khanapur taluka the villagers had to evacuate the village about 4 years ago?

(b) If so, why the dam has not been repaired so far?

(c) If so, what alternative arrangements have been made to accommodate the villagers?

_Shri Mehdi Nawaz Jung_ (a) The lake in question "Advises Pranam tank" has got a settled Area of 17 acres 20 gunthers. The tank has been lying in bricked condition since 1970. Proposals regarding the tank is under consideration. The ten years revenue statement shows that the area is only 5 acres and 17 gunthers, which indicates that breach is not the cause for the villagers to evacuate the village.

(b) The repairs will be taken up if the revenue authorities give an assurance that wet cultivation would be done under the tank.

(c) To accommodate the displaced people is beyond the purview of the Department.
96 (865) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the People's Democratic Front Office at Nalgonda was attacked by some armed goondas under the leadership of Venkatram on 9th August 1952 at 6.30 p.m.?
(b) Whether it is a fact that the office secretary was severely beaten and all the files including the office files were looted?
(c) Whether it is also a fact that the police helped the goondas in their action?
(d) Whether any representation has been made in the connection?
(e) If so what action has been taken by the Government?

Shri D. G. Bandla

(a) No
(b) No
(c) Not at all
(d) Mohd. Mushtaq, Secretary of the P.D.F. Office, Nalgonda, complained to Nalgonda Police on 29th August 1952, that the P.D.F. Office was attacked by certain goondas.
(e) The report was registered in Crime No. 197/52 under section 305 IPC and was immediately investigated by the police. Investigations revealed that the complaint of looting was false, and that the P.D.F. Office was never attacked that day. The crew reported to the Mohd. Mushtaq and also complained that he was intimidated by the goondas. The report of the Medical Officer to whom he was sent by the police for examination showed that there were no appreciable injuries on the person of Mohd. Mushtaq. P.D.F. M.P. K. Ram Reddy and others of Nalgonda also represented this matter to the D.S.P. Nalgonda, who visited the scene of the alleged offence and conducted inquiries, and came to the conclusion that the allegations were false.

Maqdoom Mohuddin

*97 (866) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shri Maqdoom Mohuddin was beaten very severely and his van was burnt while he was
addressing by election meeting at Vellipali in Huzurnagar Constituency, on 28th August 1952.

(b) Whether it is a fact that Police was present at the time of the above incident?

(c) Whether any letters were sent by the Government?

(e) If so what action has been taken?

Shri D G Bindu (a) No. There was no P D I meeting in the village that day but a clash occurred between P D I workers and Congress workers when two persons were taken out on the 27th August 1952.

(b) Does not exist

(c) No

(d) Yes, Mr. Mohammad Mohiuddin has presented the matter to the Deputy S.P. of Huzurnagar.

(e) A case was registered (Crime No. 14/52 under sections 147 and 323 IPC) against Congress worker Chilli Venkit Reddy Damani Venkiah and others. On a complaint from Congress worker Chilli Venkit Reddy a case was registered (Crime No. 14/52 under sections 147 and 323 IPC) against Mr. Mohammad Mohiuddin and other P D I workers. Both these cases are under investigation.

Condu

*58 (867) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the Home Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that some party soon attacked a P D I by election meeting at Muttinapalli in Huzurnagar Constituency on 30th August 1952?

(b) The number of persons injured in the incident?

(c) Whether any representation has been made to the Government in this matter?

(d) If so what action has been taken?

Shri D G Bindu (a) No. There was no disturbance at a P D I election meeting on the 31st August 1952 at Muttinapalli village.

(b) Two were injured

(c) Mr. Balu Rani Kotekwani represented the matter to the Huzurnagar Police.
(d) The Police took immediate action to restore order and sent the two injured persons to hospital. As no cognizable case was made out, the parties were directed to lodge a complaint before a Magistrate if they so desired.

Dismantlision of a Building

*99 (874) Shri K V Narayana Reddy (Ragopulpet) Will the hon Minister for Public Work be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that in expenditure of Rs 27,000 has been incurred in demolishing a building (Mud walls) residence and constructing a road from the Circuit House to Kidinda (Godway North Canal Project)?

(b) If so lor what reasons was the Circuit House built when there are no quarters for the staff?

Shri Mihid Navroz Jung (a) No

(b) The 1½ quarters were converted into the Circuit House for the accommodation of officers who visit the project for inspection as well as for other visitors. All the staff on the project have been provided with suitable quarters.

Excavation of Tank

*100 (896) Shri Aniksh Rao Venkat Rao Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that a sum of Rs 10,000 has been sanctioned by the Government for excavation of a tank at Ichham in Putum Aliy?

(b) If so when was it sanctioned?

(c) Why the work has not yet been started?

Shri Mihid Navroz Jung (a) No

(b) & (c) In view of answer to (a) the questions at (b) and (c) do not arise.

Yerram Manvili

*101 (739) Shri G Hammamath Rao (Mulug) Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) The value of the Yerram Manvili?

(b) Its area?
8th Dec 1952  Unstarted Questions and Answers

Minister for Public Work (Shri Mekala Narasimha Reddy)

(a) Government has purchased Yettu in Munil at cost of Rs. 1409160

(b) 36 36 acres

Yettu Well

52 (92) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao  Will the hon Minster for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Police patil Bethell Venk Reddy of Govurram village in Jagti taluq, Kurnool, district takes the yetti and begun work by force from the people of that village?

(b) Whether any representation has been made in the matter to the local police officials?

(c) If so what action has been taken in the matter?

Shri D G Bindu  (a) There is no village by name Govurram in Jagti taluq. But there is a village by name Govur poth in Jagti taluq. There is no information about any such practice in this village as alleged in the question.

(b) No

(c) Does not arise

Goondas

53 (94) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao  Will the hon Minster for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that goondas was reported to by some roudy elements on 28th September 1952 in Ghanpur Station during a P.D.F. by election open meeting in the presence of the Police?

(b) Is it a fact that the police was reported immediately, but a Congress leader Mr. Hugivachau intervened and stopped the police not to take any action?

Shri D G Bindu  On 30th August 1952 (not on 28th September 1952) when a P.D.F. by election meeting was in progress at Sanipalli (Ghanpur) some unknown persons pelted stones and the meeting had to be closed in the confusion that ensued.

(b) No
54 (51) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shri Sunil is caused by the police in Gumla Police on 21st September 1952?

(b) Whether it is a fact that he was severely beaten and ill treated by the Police?

(c) If so, for what reasons?

Shri D. G. Raitu Yes Shri Sunil was by the police in Gumla Police in September 1952. He was interrogated and 303 rifle was recovered at his instance.

(b) No

(c) Does not arise

55(52) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Messrs. Bhum Reddy and Rajeshwar were arrested in Yelluvchipet of Suih on 20th September 1952?

(b) Whether it is also a fact that Shri Krishna Reddy and C. Sripati Rao Police Inspector severely tortured the above gentlemen without giving them food for four continuous days?

(c) If so, for what reasons?

Shri D. G. Raitu Yes The territory K. Bhum Reddy and his associate Rajeshwar of Suih were apprehended on 26th August 1952 with a revolver and ammunition.

(b) No

(c) They were arrested as they were responsible for numerous harrassment offenses like murder, arson and loot particularly in Nizamabad district.

56(53) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Messrs. K. Ch Venkatrao Rao and S.P. Deviyana Varma Sabhi Workers were arrested by the Police of Dighdulli in Nizamabad district on 29th September, 1952?
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Urjul Quaion and Hans

(b) Whether it is a fact that they were only beaten by the above police?

(c) If so why?

Shri D G Bindu (i) No?

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

57 (55) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the Hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Sub Inspector of Naganur Station house in Siddipet lath was beaten up by Chulli Ram Reddy a Kisan Sibhi Worker in the second week of September 1952?

(b) If so for what reasons?

(c) Whether any representation was made in the matter to the district authorities?

(d) If so what action has been taken?

Shri D G Bindu (i) No

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Chulli Ram Reddy made a complaint to the CI of Police Siddipet on 9th 1952 that he was beaten by the SI of Naganur.

(d) The CI visited Naganur on 28th 1952 and made enquiries regarding the complaint but the allegation against the SI was not proved.

58 (56) Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the Hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Shri Nagalingam, Sub-Inspector of Police Buchanapet, obstructing the lorry loaded with rice of Shri Nagalingam, asked him for a bribe of rice free of cost on 12th September, 1952?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the above Sub Inspector beat and manhandled Shri Nagalingam when he refused to comply?

(c) Whether any representation was made in this matter to the local authorities?

(d) If so what action has been taken?

Shri D G Bindu No the lorry was not loaded with rice.

It was proceeding from Cherrul to Junagion on 12th 1952.
The said Sub Inspector of Police stopped and checked the lorry and found that it had no 10th permit. The owner of the lorry was therefore prosecuted on 13-9-1952 and the case is pending until

(a) No
(b) No
(d) Does not arise

59(57) Shri Ch. Venkatram Rao Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the following Kisan Sabha workers who were arrested by the police in Mithabhnaguru district were tortured severely in the police custody by the police in the last two weeks of September 1952?

(b) Mr. Pulli Reddy Kisan Sabha President of Nigalur
(c) Mr. Pidim Ravi District Kisan Sabha Leader
(d) Mr. Lakshmin Chinn the PDI Secretary of Mithabhnaguru

(e) Mr. Rama Krishna Kisan Sabha Worker of Kuvakunta
(b) Whether the above persons were forced to resign from the Kisan Sabha by the Police officials?
(c) Whether any reservation was made in the matter?
(d) If so what action has been taken?

Shri. D. C. Bindu (a) No
(b) No
(c) Representations were made in the matter to the Chief Minister, Home Minister and the Collector Mithabhnaguru.
(d) Investigations were made into these allegations but these proved to be false.

60 (58) Shri Ch. Venkatram Rao Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that Moturi Gopal of 12 years was arrested in Mithabhnaguru by the Police on 28th September 1952?
(b) Whether it is also a fact that he was tortured by the Police?
(c) If so, for what reasons?
Shri D. G. Bindu (a) 1st Oct 1952
Signed on 29th September 1952, and produced to the Court on that day. He was released on bail by Court the same day.

(b) No. He was summoned with a传 to his arrest and if he had really been tortured at the Police Station I would not have failed to report about it to the Court. He did not make any such complaint.

(c) The circumstances under which he was arrested on that occasion are that on 28th September 1952 a Head Constable of Hyderabad City, who was on night patrol duty in the town, along with a party of Constables, noticed three persons moving stealthily by the side of Vikal Seshu Reddy's house. When challenged by the Police Party, these three persons took to heels and dropped a little pound. They were subsequently traced and on interrogation, their names were revealed to be Sittam (Tundiyuru) of Andhra Pradesh, District of Nizamabad, and G. of Hyderabad City. They gave contradictory statements and failed to give a satisfactory account of their presence there at that part of the night. The Head Constable therefore arrested them under Section 55 Cr. PC and a charge sheet under Section 109 Cr. PC was submitted to the Munsif's Court, Hyderabad, where the case is pending trial.

of 59 Shri Ch Venkatram Rao Will the Hon. Munsif for Hyderabad be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is true that the following, Ki in Subzi workers were tortured by the Police whilst they were in police custody in Aurangabad (Hyderabad) district in the months of August and September 1952?

(i) Shri K. V. M. Unni Reddy, a Ki in Subzi Worker of Ibrahimpatnam taluka,

(ii) Shri B. Aditya Shri P. Nitya Reddy and Shri Peda Venkat Reddy in Vundrapura village of Ibrahimpatnam taluka,

(iii) Shri Agarwala of Illugoodam village of Ibrahimpatnam taluka

(iv) Shri Bandari Rama of Ganweli village in Shanki taluka.

(v) Shri P. Yellayya, a Ki in Subzi Society of Shirdi, had taluka and Shri Venkat Reddy, a Ki in Subzi Worker, in the month of September 1952

(b) If so, for what reasons?
Shri D. L. Bendi (a) No Shri K. V. Mohan Reddy and Shri B. Adeyv were not even in police custody
(b) Does not arise

Fair Weather Road

67 (297) Shri Ankush Rao Vinkat Rao Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state
(a) Whether Government propose to lay v. I in Weather Road from Mutho to Shri in Puttu taluq of Pudhunri district?
(b) If so when will the work be undertaken?

Shri Melah Nawaz Jung, (a) No
(b) In view of reply to (a) this question does not arise

Business of the House
L A Bill No XXXVI of 1952, a Bill to further amend the Hyderabad Land Revenue Act.

Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next item in the agenda Pursuant to the Land Revenue Act No VIII of 1917 I wish to read a first time.

Mr Speaker Motion moved.

That L A Bill No XXXVI of 1952 a Bill further to amend the Hyderabad Land Revenue Act No VIII of 1917 I wish to read a first time.
The Government may by notification in the Press prohibit or regulate the cutting of sandalwood trees in such areas and subject to such conditions and restrictions as may be specified in the notification.

(a) No notification shall be made under sub-section (1) until after the issue of 1 general notice to the owners of such trees in the local area concerned calling upon them to show cause within a reasonable period to be specified in such notice why such notification should not be made and until their objections, if any, and any evidence that they may produce in support of the same have been heard by an officer duly appointed by Government in that behalf and have been considered by the Government.
8th Dec 1953 1 A Bill (VIII) 1952 

The Hyderabad Land Revenue (2nd) Amendment Bill

Illegal Lapping

 Illegal Lapping

 Illegal Lapping

 Illegal Lapping

 Illegal Lapping

Illegal Lapping

Illegal Lapping
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The Hyderabad Land and Revenue (And) Amendment Bill

I hereby declare that being a Bill for the amendment of the Hyderabad Land and Revenue Acts, 1952, this Bill has been passed by this Assembly and is in the said Acts.

Condition to the Bill:

The Bill shall be deemed to have been passed by the Assembly if the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. The Bill has been passed by the Assembly in a single session.
2. The Bill has been published in the Official Gazette of the Hyderabad Government.
3. The Bill has been notified in the Official Gazette of the Hyderabad Government.

Notification of the Bill:

The Bill is notified in the Official Gazette of the Hyderabad Government.

Mr Deputy Speaker in the House:

I hereby present the Bill to the House for your consideration.

To prescribe the manner of publication of the notification and the service of the notice referred to in sub-section (3) of section 46 (a)

(Section 46 (a))

The Bill shall be deemed to have been passed if the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. The Bill has been published in the Official Gazette of the Hyderabad Government.
2. The Bill has been notified in the Official Gazette of the Hyderabad Government.
3. The Bill has been served on the person whose land is affected by the provisions of the Bill.

Right to hear the Bill:

Any person aggrieved by the provisions of the Bill shall have the right to be heard before the decision is made.

Restrictive powers:

The Government may restrict the provisions of the Bill in the interest of public safety or security.

Misuse of powers:

Any滥用 of powers under the Bill shall be deemed to be a breach of trust.
The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.

The conferment of proprietary rights on particulars over Abkani and Gulmohri trees by sections 34 and 38 of the Act has resulted in large scale silent tipping of Abkani trees and indiscriminate felling of the trees thereby causing loss of Abkani revenue and forest wealth.
I A Bill No. [Number] of [Year] 8th Dec. [Year] 361
The Hyderabad Land Revenue (2nd) Amendment Bill

Here a new clause was added by the Assemblies. After having a stormy discussion in the Assembly, the bill was passed. The Governor was asked to give his assent to the bill. The Governor assented to the bill on [Date].

The Governor General in Council has approved the bill. The bill has been enacted into law.

The bill has been passed by the Assemblies. The Governor General in Council has approved the bill. The bill has been enacted into law.

A new clause was added by the Assemblies. After having a stormy discussion in the Assembly, the bill was passed. The Governor was asked to give his assent to the bill. The Governor assented to the bill on [Date].

The Governor General in Council has approved the bill. The bill has been enacted into law.

The Hyderabadi Land Revenue (2nd) Amendment Bill

ثلیسیوں کو درد کے ملے بنی عرص نما خیال نہیں مگر یہ
درہم کی لو یا ریا یا لینا کی سری اور ساداتی اس کی ریاست یا دوسری توہیں یہ
ہوئی یا وہی زاویہ اور اسی طرح سے درہم کی اسی میں اور
(2nd) Amendment

Rights to property

کیا ایک اور دہرہ ہیں ان کی سری اور ساداتی کی
ہر خیال یا ایک اور دہرہ ہیں ان کی سری اور ساداتی کی

1. جو اس عرص توہیں یہ درہم کی

2. جو اس عرص توہیں یہ درہم کی

3. جو اس عرص توہیں یہ درہم کی

4. جو اس عرص توہیں یہ درہم کی

5. جو اس عرص توہیں یہ درہم کی

7. جو اس عرص توہیں یہ درہم کی

(عزم)
187. [Provision 12 of the Schedule No. I (Conversion of Creek Land to Landed Estates) Act, 1953]

...
گزینہ میں اس آئین میں زمین کے مکان بداراں میں تاریخ سے
میں نے تین وار کیا جا رہا ہے۔ رئیس کی جگہ ہی اس کی
مذکر کی رہائے ملازم مقرر ہے اور آنے والے 31 اطلاعات پر
بے ہدایت ہے۔ نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی
سیرت چاہتے ہیں۔ نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی
وعلیحضرت کے اس جھنڈے میں میں خوشک ہو جاتا ہوں۔ بہت ہی اس
کے بھی دوسرے بیان میں میں آنا ہوگا۔
کہا ہے میں ان کے بارے میں بہت خوشک ہوں۔ میں ان کے
بھی دوسرے بیان میں میں آنا ہوگا۔
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(1) نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی

(2) نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی

(3) نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی

(4) نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی

(5) نواز آپ اس اعلان کو پر قدرتی
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होय, आपण आपल्या पत्त्याचा काळाचा विषय कसे आहे? होय, आमच्या बाबताचा निर्णय कसे आहे? होय, आपल्या म्हणजेच विषयाचा निर्णय कसे आहे? (मुख्य मिनिस्टर) आपल्या दलाच्या विषयात आपल्या निर्णय नसल्यास, त्याचे विषय आपल्याला अशा वेगाने दिले जाते की, याचा असले कसे आहे? त्याचे असले कसे आहे? त्याचे असले कसे आहे?

होय, आपल्या बाबतात, आपल्या विषयात, आपल्या निर्णयात रुपी म्हणजेच आहे. त्याचा असले कसे आहे? त्याचा असले कसे आहे? त्याचा असले कसे आहे?
The House adjourned for recess till Five Minutes Past Five of the Clock

The House re-assembled after recess at Five Minutes Past Five of the Clock

[Mr Speaker in the chair]
L A Bill No XLVIII of 1952, 8th Dec, 1952
The Hyderabad Land Revenue (2nd) Amendment Bill

[Document text in Telugu]

1. In the year 1952, the Land Revenue Act of 1952 was amended by the Hyderabad Land Revenue (2nd) Amendment Act. The amendments were made to address certain issues related to the administration of land revenue in the Hyderabad State. The amendments included changes to the procedures for the assessment of land revenue, the powers of the land revenue officials, and the provisions for the collection of land revenue.

2. The amendments were brought into effect on 8th December 1952. The revised act aimed to improve the efficiency and fairness of the land revenue system, ensuring that farmers and landowners were treated fairly and that the collection of land revenue was conducted in an orderly manner.

3. The amendments were widely welcomed by the farming community, who saw them as a positive step towards reducing the burden of land revenue and improving their living standards. The act was seen as a milestone in the modernization of the land revenue system in the Hyderabad State.
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discussion. It is now time to consider the following aspects of the bill: 1. Scope and objective: The bill is intended to provide a scientific and systematic approach to land revenue management in the Hyderabad region. Specifically, it seeks to establish a comprehensive framework for assessing, collecting, and managing land revenue.

2. Key provisions: A significant aspect of the bill is the establishment of a system for regular and periodic land revenue assessments. This will help ensure that the assessments are fair, accurate, and based on the current market values of land.

3. Implementation plan: The bill outlines a phased approach for implementing the changes. This includes training and capacity building for the relevant officials to ensure smooth implementation.

4. Monitoring and evaluation: A key feature of the bill is the provision for regular monitoring and evaluation of the land revenue system. This will help in identifying any issues and making necessary adjustments to improve the system over time.

Overall, the bill aims to modernize and strengthen the land revenue system in Hyderabad, making it more efficient, transparent, and equitable. It is a significant step towards achieving a more just and sustainable land revenue management system.
8th Oct 1952
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(Administration)

(Un-organized)

(Voters Lists)

(Reports)

(Petition)

(Petition)

(Arguments)

(1) The Bill is introduced by the Legislative Assembly of the Hyderabad State.

(2) The Bill seeks to amend the Hyderabad Land Revenue Act, 1947, in order to bring it in line with the provisions of the Indian Constitution.

(3) The Bill is passed by the Legislative Assembly with the support of the Opposition parties.

(4) The Bill is signed by the Governor of the Hyderabad State.

(5) The Bill is published in the Official Gazette of the Hyderabad State.

The Bill is now in force.

...
In writing اور حیثیت دیکھتے ہیں کہ ایک بار کافی عرصہ تک نہیں چلدہ ہے۔ میں اس کو بھی چاہتا ہوں کہ اسے بڑھائے۔ 

بطور مثال کہنے کے لئے اور ایک بار اس تلاع سے مبینہ ہے کہ اس تلاع سے پہلے بھی منہ پر نیا نظریہ نہیں تھا۔ 

ایک فنکار کا مثال کے طور پر ہم کہ سکتے ہیں کہ تجارٹ کے تلاع کے بعد اور اس کے بیان کے ذریعے ہم ایک نئی نظریہ پیدا کیا ہے۔
Mr Speaker, the question is

"That L A Bill No XXXVI of 1952 The Hyderabad Land Revenue (Second) Amendment Bill be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.
Shri B Ramkrishna Rao Mr Speaker Sir I beg to move

That I A Bill No XXXVI of 1952 the Hyderabad Land and Revenue (Second) Amendment Bill be read a second time.

Mr Speaker The Question is

That I A Bill No XXXVI of 1952 the Hyderabad Land and Revenue (Second) Amendment Bill be read a second time.

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker Now we shall take up amendments to Clause 3 Shri Ry Reddy.

Shri A Ray Reddy (Smt in hid) These amendments we to clause 3 Clause 2 may be taken up first and passed if there be no amendments to that.

Mr Speaker The Question is

That clause No 2 stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Shri A Ray Reddy Mr Speaker Sir I beg to move. That in sub section (1) of Section 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the Bill omit the following words namely—

in writing.

Mr Speaker motion moved

' That in sub section (1) of Section 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the Bill omit the following words namely in writing.'

Shri K Ananth Reddy

Shri K Ananth Reddy Mr Speaker Sir I beg to move

That after the words in writing in sub section (1) of Section 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the Bill insert the following words namely—

'or in case where he does not know writing orally.'
Mr Speaker Motion moved

"That in sub-section (1) of section 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the Bill, omit the following words namely

"That after the words 'in writing' in sub-section (1) of Section 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the Bill, insert the following words namely

"Or in case where he does not know writing oddly

Mr Speaker Amendment No 3 Shri A. Raj Reddy

Shri A. Raj Reddy Mr Speaker Sir I beg to move

"That in sub-section (1) of section 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the bill, omit the following word namely illegil

Mr Speaker Motion moved That in Sub-section (1) of section 37 A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the bill omit the following word namely illegil

Mr Speaker Amendment No 1 Shri R. Reddy

Shri A. Raj Reddy Mr Speaker Sir I beg to move

"That at the end of sub-section (2) of 37A proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the bill consequently add the following words namely

"and on receipt of the information by the Pithal or Pithui as the case may be, he must issue written receipt thereof to the reporter and in case of illegal tipping he must report the same to the Abhau authorities concerned

Mr Speaker Motion moved

"That at the end of sub-section (1) of 37A, proposed to be inserted by clause 3 of the bill, consequently add the following words namely

"and on receipt of the information by the Pithal or Pithui as the case may be, he must issue written receipt thereof to the reporter, and in case of illegal tipping he must report the same to the Abhau authorities concerned

Mr Speaker Amendment No 5 Shri Gopidi Ganga Reddy
Mr Speaker moved

List for words the amount of the payable in respect of the in lines 2 and 3 of sub section (2) of Section 37A, proposed to be inserted by Clause 3 of the Bill substitute the following words ninety

one unit for each
سری اسپرین (In Writing) (Orally)

بیشتر و جنگ در دنیا را تماشا کنیم.

می‌توانم در این سوال و پاسخ در دنیا را ببینم. 

شروع کرده‌ایم در این سوال و پاسخ در دنیا را ببینم. 

می‌توانم در این سوال و پاسخ در دنیا را ببینم.

می‌توانم در این سوال و پاسخ در دنیا را ببینم.
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... on the floor of the House was accepted.

Shri K Anith Reddy's amendment is that After the words in writing in sub-section (1) of Sec 77A proposed to be inserted by Clause of the Bill the words or in case where he does not know writing only be inserted

I accept this amendment.

Mr Speaker Is the hon Chief Minister prepared to drop the word illegal?

Shri B Ramalakshma Rao Yes I accept amendment No 4 also moved by Shri A Ray Reddy with this little change in language that for the words 'receipt therefor' occurring in the proposed amendment, the words 'acknowledgment thereof' be substituted is that would be more apt.

Shri A Ray Reddy I accept the words 'acknowledgment thereof' is suggested by hon the Chief Minister.

Mr Speaker So amendments Nos 2, 3 and 4 are accepted—of course with this difference that for the words 'receipt
therefore occurring, a amendment No 1 the words acknowledge will be substituted. But what about amendment No 2?

Withdraw

Mr Speaker Su I beg leave of th H use to withdraw my amendment namely

That in sub section (r) of section 27A proposed to be inserted by Clause 3 of the Bill the foll wing words namely, "in writing" be omitted.

The amendment was by leave of the H use withdrawn.

Shri Gopal Rao Ikhote (Chandraghat) I want to know Su whether permission has been prunted for moving amendment No 5 (standing in the name of Shri Gopal C my wddle).

The amendment is proposed is unmolligible. The hon Member evidently wants to drop the word the amount of tree tax payable in respect of the and instead wants the following words namely, one unit for each to be substituted. The clause when so amended reads like this:

Any contravention of sub section (r) shall be punishable with fine which may extend to one unit for each tree illegally tapped, in accordance with the laws and rules for the time being in force.

The latter part of the sentence as it now stands is unmolligible because the tree cannot be illegally tapped in accordance with the laws and rules for the time being in force. That phrase originally referred to tree tax payable in respect of the tree. And when that original wording is dropped the phrase in accordance also should be dropped.

I therefore submit that the amendment as proposed does not fit in with the rest of the clause as it stands and hence I request that permission to move the amendment may be refused if not already granted.
Mr Speaker  The Question is

Shri Chasse No 3 is amended stand part of the Bill

The Motion was adopted

Chasse No 3, is amended was added to the Bill

Mr Speaker Now we shall proceed to Chasse No 4

Shri Anith Reddy

Shri Goutha Ganga Reddy  Mi Speaker Su 1 beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment to Clause 3

The amendment wi be leave of the House withdrawn
8th Dec 1952

Mr Speaker Sir I do not want to move my amendment.

Mr Speaker There is also another amendment to be moved by Shri Ananth Reddy.

Shri Ananth Reddy Sir I do not want to move the second amendment also.

Mr Speaker Next Shri Gopala Ganga Reddy.

Shri Gopala Ganga Reddy Sir I do not want to move my amendments.

Mr Speaker The Question is

' That Clause No 4 stand part of the Bill

The Motion was adopted.

Clause No 4 was added to the Bill.

Mr Speaker There is no amendment to Clause No 5.

The Question is

' That Clause No 5 stand part of the Bill

The Motion was adopted.

Clause No 5 was added to the Bill.

Mr Speaker The Question is

That short title commencement and preamble stand part of the Bill

The Motion was adopted.

Short title commencement and preamble were added to the Bill.

Mr Speaker Shri B Ramakrishna Reddy

Shri B Ramakrishna Reddy Mr Speaker Sir, I beg to move that I A Bill No XXXVI of 1952 The Hyderabad Land Revenue (Second Amendment) Bill 1952 as amended be read a third time and passed.
Consideration of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges

Mr Speaker The Question is

That I A Bill No XXXVI of 1952 the Hyderabad and Revenue (Second Amendment) Bill 1952 is amended be read a third time and passed

The Motion was adopted

Consideration of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges

Mr Speaker The next item on the Agenda is Shri Gopal Rao Lakhote Chairman Committee of Privileges to move that the reports of the Committee of Privileges relating to the following cases be taken into consideration

Shri Raj Reddy Point of Order Sir Before the motion for consideration of the Reports is made, I would like to say one thing

The first part of the Report says I, the Chairman of the Committee of Privileges present this Report to the House on the following question related to the Committee on 19th June, 1952, by the speaker, namely

Copies of the report of the Committee with my minutes of dissent thereto, shall be despatched to each member of the Assembly

Mr Speaker Is not the Report signed by all Members?

Shri Gopal Rao Lakhote It is unanimous Report signed by all Members

Shri A Ray Reddy The copy must also have the signatures of Members I am asking whether it is technically correct
Mr Speaker: If it is a copy it must be returned by Mr Gopal Rao Dekote.

Shri Gopal Rao Dekote: Mr Speaker, 1 beg to move that the Report of the Committee of Privileges in the matter of powers, privileges and immunities of the Hyderabadi Legislative Assembly, its Members and Committees thereof be taken into consideration.

Mr Speaker: Motion moved.

That the Report of the Committee of Privileges in the matter of powers, privileges and immunities of the Hyderabadi Legislative Assembly, its Members and Committees thereof be taken into consideration.
Hm 100 ft M 5.12 km. On 14th Nov.

On 2nd Nov. the Council decided to appoint five officers to carry on the work of the Union in the various districts. These officers are:

1. Officer of the Union in Lahore.
2. Officer of the Union in Amritsar.
3. Officer of the Union in Rawalpindi.
4. Officer of the Union in Multan.
5. Officer of the Union in Peshawar.

The officers were appointed by the Council on the recommendation of the Committee appointed by the Government of India for the purpose.

The officers were instructed to proceed at once to their respective districts and to take all necessary steps to carry on the work of the Union.

The first meeting of the officers was held on 15th Nov. and a report was received from the officers on their progress. The officers were also instructed to make arrangements for the holding of meetings in their respective districts and to report to the Council on the results of these meetings.

The Council also decided to publish a monthly journal to be known as the "Union News," and to appoint a Committee to edit and publish the journal. The Committee was to consist of five members, one from each of the five districts.

The Council also decided to appoint a Committee to prepare a constitution for the Union which should be submitted to the Government of India for approval.

The Council also decided to appoint a Committee to prepare a programme for the Union for the year 1923.
Consideration of the Report of the Committee of Privileges

8th Dec 1952

...
Consideration of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges

8th Dec 1952

The House was called to order by the Chairman. The following reports were submitted:

Mr. Khan reported from the Select Committee on Accounts:

The Committee had held two sittings and had made a detailed examination of the accounts submitted by the Government of Pakistan. They had found that the accounts were in order and in accordance with the rules of financial procedure. The Committee recommended that the accounts be approved.

Mr. Jafri reported from the Select Committee on Estimates:

The Committee had held five sittings and had examined the Estimates of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1952-53. They found that the Estimates were in accordance with the financial policy of the Government and recommended that they be accepted as presented.

Mr. Ahmed reported from the Select Committee on Public Accounts:

The Committee had held three sittings and had examined the Public Accounts of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1951-52. They found that the accounts were in order and in accordance with the rules of financial procedure. The Committee recommended that the accounts be approved.

Mr. Qureshi reported from the Select Committee on Estimates:

The Committee had held four sittings and had examined the Estimates of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1952-53. They found that the Estimates were in accordance with the financial policy of the Government and recommended that they be accepted as presented.

Mr. Javed reported from the Select Committee on Public Accounts:

The Committee had held two sittings and had examined the Public Accounts of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1951-52. They found that the accounts were in order and in accordance with the rules of financial procedure. The Committee recommended that the accounts be approved.

Mr. Shah reported from the Select Committee on Estimates:

The Committee had held five sittings and had examined the Estimates of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1952-53. They found that the Estimates were in accordance with the financial policy of the Government and recommended that they be accepted as presented.

Mr. Riaz reported from the Select Committee on Public Accounts:

The Committee had held three sittings and had examined the Public Accounts of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1951-52. They found that the accounts were in order and in accordance with the rules of financial procedure. The Committee recommended that the accounts be approved.

Mr. Jaffar reported from the Select Committee on Estimates:

The Committee had held four sittings and had examined the Estimates of the Government of Pakistan for the year 1952-53. They found that the Estimates were in accordance with the financial policy of the Government and recommended that they be accepted as presented.

(2) After the motion that the report of the Committee be taken into consideration is passed, the Assembly shall proceed with the consideration of the report.

Reference:

(g) A new Members' Rule (88) (22)(a): Further to the aforesaid rule, it is hereby ordained that in the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman may perform the duties of the Chairman.

(c) A new Motion (88) (22)(a): Further to the aforesaid rule, it is hereby ordained that in the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman may perform the duties of the Chairman.

(g) A new Rule (88) (22)(a): Further to the aforesaid rule, it is hereby ordained that in the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman may perform the duties of the Chairman.

(c) A new Motion (88) (22)(a): Further to the aforesaid rule, it is hereby ordained that in the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman may perform the duties of the Chairman.

(g) A new Rule (88) (22)(a): Further to the aforesaid rule, it is hereby ordained that in the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman may perform the duties of the Chairman.

(c) A new Motion (88) (22)(a): Further to the aforesaid rule, it is hereby ordained that in the absence of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman may perform the duties of the Chairman.
Rule 224 (Chapter XVIII—Questions of Privilege)

The report of the Committee of Privileges shall be presented to the Assembly by the Chairman or in his absence by any member of the Committee.

Rule 225 As soon as may be after the report has been presented the Chairman or any member of the Committee shall move that the report be taken into consideration.

Rule 226 Any member may give notice of an amendment to the motion for consideration of the report referred to in Rule 225 in such form as may be considered appropriate by the Speaker.

Rule 185 (Chapter XVI)

(1) After giving ten days' notice, any member may with the consent of the Speaker move that any matter of public importance be referred for consideration and report to a Committee consisting of such members of the Assembly as may be specified in the motion. The motion shall also specify the instructions if any which are to be given to the Committee and the date before which the Committee is to submit its report.

Rule 188 (1) The report of a Committee appointed under Rule 185 shall be presented to the Assembly by the Chairman or any member of the Committee in the absence of Chairman. After presenting the report he shall move that the report of the Committee be taken into consideration. Any member may then move an amendment that the matter to which the report relates be referred to the Committee.
Consideration of the Reports of 8th Dec 1192
Committee of Pol

(4) After the motion that the report of the Committee be taken into consideration is carried the Assembly shall proceed with the consideration of the report.

Provision

Am's can contain 300 pounds of wool. It is to be noted by the trade that this is a significant increase. It is hoped that this will help to address the current trade concerns.

Resolution

The resolution of the Assembly is that the report of the Committee be considered.

Shri Jain, the Chairman, moves that the meeting be adjourned until further notice.

Respectfully,

[Signature]
900 8th Dec 1952 Consideration of the Report of the Committee of Privileges
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the Committee of Privileges

Subject to the provisions of the Constitution and to the Rules and Standing Orders regulating the procedure of the Legislative Assembly, there shall be freedom of speech in the Legislative Assembly of every State.
Subject to the provisions of the Constitution and the Rules and Standing Orders regulating the procedure of the Legislature.

In other respects the powers, privileges and immunities of the House of a Legislature of a State.
Mr Speaker: The time is up. We adjourn till 2:30 p.m. tomorrow.

The House then adjourned till half past two. The Clerk read the 9th December 1952.
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