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THE HYDERABAD LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Thursday, the 8th July 1902

(TWENTY SECOND DAY OF THE SECOND SESSION)

The Assembly met at Two of the Cloci

[Mr Speaker in the Chair]

Stated Questions and Answers

Mr Speaker Let us take up questions

Distribution of Lands among Harijans

"280 Shri J B Muthyal Rao (Secunderabad Reserved) Will the hon. Minister for Revenue (Chief Minister) be pleased to state

(1) Whether any land has been distributed among Harijans?

(2) If so, how many acres have been distributed so far?

(3) Whether there is any target for distribution of lands for Harijans in 1952-53?

(4) Whether any assistance in the shape of supply of seeds, tools and bulls for cultivating the land is given to them?
Depressed Class Scheme
Supply of seeds
Rural welfare fund

May I know the basis of this distribution of land? Is it to ameliorate the conditions of Harijans or is it a countermeasure against the un
social elements?

Measure ( Anti social element )
Preference ( Backward classes )
(Surplus land)
Charity begins at home
W Speake  How is it relevant?

Procedure  (Short Procedure)

Shri M Buchah (Sipur)  Is it true that land that have been collected under Bhodhan were distributed among the Hariyans only?
Referring to the recent General elections

Shri L K Shroff (Ranchi) Are these Harijans being issued Certificate of Pattas of Lands that are granted to their

Shri B Ramkrishna Rao Certainly

Shri S Bhagat Singh
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General elections (Political)

Value

Shri L K Shroff (Ranchi) Are these Harijans being issued Certificate of Pattas of Lands that are granted to their

Shri B Ram krishna Rao Certainly
Laughtei

Markazi M. K. S. D. N. S.
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Forest Department
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2. In how many cases punishment was recommended by the Tribunal?

3. What action has been taken by the Government in such cases?

Shri L K Shroff, Raipur
Are the cases referred to the Tribunal only for their opinion or for a decision?
Enquiry

Regular Court

Inquiry Tribunal
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Regard to the Resolution of the Cabinet

A. R. C. R. M.

We have received your report on the matter of the adjustment of the lease of the land belonging to the Government of India in the State of XX.

We would like to inform you that our decision on this matter is as follows:

1. The lease of the land will be adjusted according to the provisions of the Lease Adjustment Act.

2. The compensation payable to the lessee will be calculated based on the market value of the land as of the date of the decision.

3. The lessee will be required to pay any outstanding dues before the adjustment is made.

We request that you take these points into consideration and proceed with the adjustment of the lease.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]

[Date]
Whether there is any proposal before the Government to change the Taluq Headquarters from Kodangal to Kosgi in Gulbarga District?

Shri G. Rayaram

Will the hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state:

Points

Communication facilities

Condition

Representation

Change in Taluq Headquarters

248
Starred Questions and Answers

9th July 1912

271 Shri Vishwas Rao Patil (Parenda) Will the hon. Minister for Revenue (Chief Minister) be pleased to State

(1) Whether there was any representation made by the people of Bhoom taluq to change the taluq Headquarters from Bhoom to Wasti?

(2) If so, what action has been taken by Government in the matter?
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Boundary Allotment Committee

Advantages WaterSupply

Heads of the Departments

Factual

1878
272 Sh. Vishwas Rao Patil Will the Hon. In
for Revenue (Chief Minister) be pleased to state

(1) Whether it is a fact that Paurani in the Camarhbad District is a famine area?

(2) If so is there any scheme under consideration of the Government to give relief to the people?

کہا جا رہا ہے کہ جہاں یہ مطابق ہے وہ میں بھی میں نہیں براہ میں ہے (Famine area)

کہا جا رہا ہے کہ اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی اس میں بھی
Complaints against Minor Police Officers

Shri Mamichand Pahade (Phulmuni) Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to State

(1) Whether in Aurangabad district any complaints have been received by the District Superintendent of Police and other higher officers from the public against the police Sub Inspectors and lower officers for corruption and malpractices?

(2) If so what is the number of such complaints between February 1951 and the end of 1952?

(3) Whether all the complaints have been investigated?

(4) How many of them have been found to be genuine?

(5) What action has been taken in such cases?

(6) Whether the complainants have been informed of the disposal of their applications?
215 Shri Nare da; Will the Ion Miniter for Home be pleased to state

(1) Whether files relating to Shri Lak Ali's secret departure from Hyderabad were lost?

(2) If so whether any investigation was made in connection with the loss?

(3) If so what is the result?
(4) What was the case against those noble officers?

Cases of Corruption

216 Shri Narendra ji Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

How many cases of corruption are pending and how many have been disposed of during this year?

364 cases of corruption have been disposed of by the Anti-corruption Branch.

Cases of Corruption

Tribunal
8th July 1952
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Mr. Advani: Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state what action the Government have taken against those who have been responsible for inefficient investigation in Andalamma's Rape Case?

Mr. Speaker: We shall proceed to the next question.

Shri Narendraji:

Andalamma's Rape Case

'219 Shri Narendraji Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state what action the Government have taken against those who have been responsible for inefficient investigation in Andalamma's Rape Case?'
Mr. Speaker: Let us proceed to the next question.

Shri J B Muthyal Rao.

Recruitment of Sub-Inspectors of Police.

281. Shri J B, Muthyal Rao: Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

1. The number of Sub-Inspectors of Police recruited during 1951-52?

2. Whether and, if so, how many non-mulikies are recruited?

3. Whether there is any proposal to recruit only mulikies in view of the growing unemployment in the State?

4. Whether there is any reservation for Harijan candidates?

5. Whether any concession in the matter of minimum qualifications and other requirements is shown to Harijan candidates?
Apply

Serious Cần bị cấm ở Sở seri và dollar không

Serious kẹo dự trữ ở seri và dollar không

Chance

Serious kẹo dự trữ ở seri và dollar không

Serious kẹo dự trữ ở seri và dollar không

Serious kẹo dự trữ ở seri và dollar không

Serious kẹo dự trữ ở seri và dollar không

Serious kẹo dự trữ ở seri và dollar không

Select on Board
Shri M S Rajalingam Is it not advisable that some out of domicile declaration as in other States be put aside in our State and the system of issuing mulki certificates done away with?

Mr Speaker Let us proceed to the next question

Shri K V Naiyan Reddy

Annual Increments of Temporary Government Servants

256 Shri K V Naiyan Reddy (Rajgopalpet) Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state

(1) Whether it is a fact that Shri Asodomal B M and H B Biryani who are in the grade of Rs 700 1,500 as Sup erintendents of Jails are allowed to draw their annual
increments in spite of temporary nature of their appointments, while Mr. Shahabuddin Ahmed who is also in the same grade is not allowed to draw his increment.

(2) If so, for what reasons?

Contracts and Terms

In the light of the above, it is requested that an Order be issued fixing the period of the Contract to extend to the 31st March 1953, as proposed by Mr. Shahabuddin Ahmed.

(3) This request is made with the view to avoid any inconvenience to the Department.
1889

Unstarred Questions & Answers.

C.I.B. Blocks.

1258 Shri K. V. Narayan Reddy: Will the hon. Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state:

(1) Whether the City Improvement Board blocks are rented out to gazetted or non-gazetted officers?

(2) Whether any particular category of blocks are allotted to gazetted officers?

(3) Whether it is a fact that many gazetted officers are occupying the blocks intended for non-gazetted officers, such as Messrs. S. Satyanarayana, Deputy Secretary, Finance, A. L. Kanta Rao, Assistant Secretary, Finance in Mallepalli blocks and Shri Asad, Registrar, Public Works Department, Shri Hafeez Mohammed Siddique, Assistant Secretary, Finance in Nampalli blocks?

(4) Whether it is a fact that the allotment of houses has not been made according to the serial order of the applications received but on the basis of influence and pressure brought upon the department?

(5) Whether any preference is being given to the Revenue officials in the allotment of Lingampalli special blocks?

(6) What measures are being taken by Government in respect of vacant blocks?

The Minister for Local Self-Government (Shri Anna Rao Ganamukha):—(1) City Improvement Board houses are rented out, among others, both to Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Officers.

(2) Gazetted Officers are generally allotted the special class houses which carry a rent of Rs. 85 p.m.

(3) Some City Improvement Board houses intended for the lower income groups are occupied by Gazetted Officers. The Officers named are staying in such houses. It must be pointed out however, that when these officers were allotted these houses they were non-gazetted officers.

Starred Questions No 268, 269-A, which were not answered in the House have been printed under the Heading Unstarred Questions and Answers.
Business of the House

Mr. Speaker: Now, we shall proceed to the next business.

According to Rule 184 of the Provisional Rules, a member presenting a petition shall confine himself to a statement in the following form:

"I present a petition signed by .......... petitioners, regarding .........."

No debate shall be permitted on such statement.

Shri G. Raja Ram: Speaker, Sir, The sudden withdrawal of food subsidy which was given by the Central Government to the various States led to ..........

Mr. Speaker: The hon. Member need not read the whole petition, or what is the object of the petition. That is why I read out Rule 184.

Shri G. Raja Ram: Speaker, Sir, I present this petition.

Mr. Speaker: If the hon. Member is not ready, he may present it tomorrow. It may be done even tomorrow.

Shri G. Raja Ram: All right, Sir.
Discussion on Non-Official Resolution No 1

The Minister for Labour, Information, Planning and Rehabilitation. (Shri V. B. Raju): Speaker, Sir, we have been discussing on the resolution moved by the hon. Member from Ippaguda pertaining to labour matter. I had patiently and interestingly heard the several members touching the several problems affecting labour.

Firstly, I was anxious to know the objective of the very resolution. What was it that prompted the mover to bring in a resolution of this nature? Even supposing there is a laudable objective, I would like to know whether it is necessary at all to move the resolution in the form in which it has been moved here and whether it was an appropriate time to bring in such a resolution. Also, I tried to find out the ways and means to implement the operative portion of the resolution, if at all it is adopted.

After having carefully examined the speeches made by the mover and other hon. Members, I came to the conclusion that there has been a lack of appreciation of what exactly has been done and is being done for labour by the several State Governments of India, including Hyderabad. The lack of information probably, or at least the lack of inclination to understand what exactly is being done, might have compelled the several hon. Members to consider the point in such a drastic manner as they did. I would, in a nutshell, like to state before the House that much water has flown under the bridge in regard to the labour matters.

India has reached that level where it could be said that it was a progressive nation, particularly in matters of Labour legislation. The problem facing the country as well as the Government in labour matters is to get full implementation of the legislation. It is not mere legislation that has to be brought but it should be an endeavour and an effort on the part of all the political parties and the Government to find out ways and means for full implementation.

Particularly taking up the item of wages, I can point out that these following enactments are already there: (1) The Payment of Wages Act, 1936, (2) The Minimum Wages Act, 1948, (3) The Hyderabad Public Works Contractors Labour Regulation, (4) The Fair Wages Bill, which is under consideration of the Parliament. These enactments
8th July, 1952.

Discussion on Non Official Resolution No. 1

Governing the wage conditions of labour. What can exactly be legislated, and I hope that is the opinion of the mover too, is not the fixation of the wages as such but the constitution of a wage-fixation machinery. Wages cannot be fixed for ever. Wages depend upon the cost of living, the fluctuations of the market, the slump and also the boom period. So what exactly can be done in securing a real wage, a minimum wage or a basic wage is through the constituting of a wage-fixing machinery which should be very mobile, active, elastic, and within the easy reach of the normal worker. That is all what can be done and what has been done in the rest of the World. The Minimum Wages Act of 1948, does provide such a machinery.

When it is said about basic wage, I hope the idea in the mind of the mover is in relation to dearness allowance. The correct one should be the minimum wage. Basic wage and dearness allowance these two terms unfortunately happen to occur in India. In the rest of the world, there is nothing as dearness allowance. Wages are stepped up as cost of living goes up. It is unfortunately in India alone that wages have been split up as basic wage and dearness allowance and I believe that the mover of the Resolution must have necessarily meant minimum wage. When he said of basic wage, he must have kept in mind that basic wage should be either in relation to dearness allowance or including the dearness allowance. If I take it as exclusive of dearness allowance I will have to say this much; that the fixation of a basic wage started in India with the Central Pay Commission. The Central Pay Commission fixed Rs. 30 as the basic wage when the cost of living index figure was at 160. Keeping that in, view in Hyderabad, the Committee that was constituted for the Factory (Labour) Investigation, in which I was also a member representing the labour—fixed Rs. 26 as the basic wage taking the pre-war cost of living. If Hyderabad could implement that recommendation regarding basic wage the Government thought that it should start with the Government itself, because Government is considered to be a model employer. The Government, when once it accepted the recommendation, had not only implemented it with regard to the factory workers—the investigation had taken place for factory workers—but also in respect of all unskilled manual workers i.e., (non-factory labour) in Government Departments. Thus stop, the Government of Hyderabad has taken
forward, over and above what the Rege Committee recommended. So Rs. 25 has come to stay as the basic wage in Hyderabad and many big factories have also adopted this recommendation. Only such factories and such institutions which are not really profit-making or commercial institutions or industrial institutions—they might not have adopted. But as I have already said, the Minimum Wages Act provides the scope for constituting a machinery for fixing a wage which is much more than the wage that could be fixed by law. When once that wage is fixed, all sanctions of the Government are there; it becomes law and it has got to be implemented.

When the basic wage is being discussed, only the class of workers that the mover must have had in mind is the least skilled class of the so-called unskilled workers. Basic wage or minimum wage is always related to the least skilled worker or unskilled worker and where there is a bargaining capacity, where the workers form into an organisation which is effective—the problem of basic wage does not arise.

In the West, in industrially well-advanced countries, it is considered that the fixation of minimum wage or a basic wage does arise where there is no labour organisation—where the labourers are not so organised to effectively demand their requirements, so to say, among the sweated labour. For the information of the House, I can mention the several categories of industries that are to be covered by this Minimum Wages fixation:

Employment in woollen, carpet making; Employment in any rice, flour and dall mills; employment in any tobacco manufacturing concern, oil mills; Local Government authority; construction or building operations; stone-breaking or stone-crushing; lace manufacture; men workers; motor transport; tanning and leather manufacture; and also in such other industries as the Government feels should be covered by the Minimum Wages Act even though there is no labour organisation. The Minimum Wages Act has provided the necessary machinery for fixing up minimum wages from time to time for the sweated labour, i.e., unorganised labour.

Therefore, when the hon. member pretty well knows about it, and I am sure he knows about it, there is no purpose in asking for any further legislation to be brought in.
this regard. Moreover, the Fair Wages Bill, which is before the Parliament is something like a consolidating Bill, which supersedes even this Minimum Wages Act and a more important machinery is provided therein. So, the workers, whether they are in the factory or according to the second schedule of the Minimum Wages Act, the agricultural workers, unorganised workers, whether they are in the field or in the factory—they are provided with sufficient wage-fixing machinery in the Minimum Wages Act. Why wages cannot be arbitrarily fixed by law, i.e., by the legislature sitting in this House and fixing a wage outright—is for the simple reason that, as I have already said, wages fluctuate according to the cost of living and market prices. There is also need for this, that the Government and the society must aim at a living wage for the labourer. ‘Living Wage,’ If I could define—like democracy which now-a-days is classified as Parliamentary democracy, formal democracy and Peoples’ democracy, the word ‘wages’ also needs proper definition—represents the standard of living, which provides not merely for a bare physical subsistence but for the maintenance of health and decency and a measure of comfort and some insurance against more important misfortunes. Living wage is our objective. It does not cover merely bread and cloth; it covers, as I have said, some insurance against more important misfortunes. So to say, it is giving a security to the family of the worker that the worker with his family can lead such a life which would really give him happiness. This should be the objective which the Government or the society or the political parties or the workers themselves should aspire for. But to start with, it is the minimum wage. The minimum wage, as defined, should provide not merely for the bare subsistence of life, but for the preservation of the efficiency of the worker by providing for some measure of education, medical requirements and amenities. This is the definition or the interpretation given for a minimum wage. Now, the fair wage is said to be that which swings between the minimum wage and the living wage, or the floor will be the minimum relates to the ruling wage in the vicinity where better organisation of workers could secure. Whenever we speak of a fair wage for a group of workers in a particular industry, the fair wage will be the one that would be compared with a better factory or with a better organisation of labour. Therefore, when such is the interpretation and the definition about wages, the only process would be the constituting of
machinery which would from time to time examine and
then step up the wages if the cost of living goes up and if
the industry has the capacity to pay. But the fact is of
that industry would then living wage. So judged from all these a good appearance that he
machinery that is been provided under the Minimum wages
Act would satisfy the workers or security of a living wage.
So after hearing me I hope the mover of the resolution will
change his opinion and feel that no less than 10 would be neces-
Sary the matter of fixation of wages.

Then as I have already mentioned in Hyderabad have
incorporated a clause in the Contrary Regulation that the
fact that the labour working under the Contract should have
a fair wage and unless the contractor agrees to provide in
the fair wage the agreement will not be complete. So the
labour which was very much unorganised under these con-
tract has been given this benefit after this clause has been
introduced.

Then the second schedule in the Minimum Wages Act
provides machinery for fixing up wages for the agricultural
labour. Many hon. Members have dealt with that point.
The Hyderabad Government has already notified wages in
the first schedule for two industries viz. Beedi industry and
leather manufacturing industry. Committees have been set
up for other industries. The process is in two stages one by
notification in the Gazette securing the opinion, writing for
two months and then notifying it as the wages that have to
be adopted. The other method is constituting a committee
getting the report of the Committee and then publishing it.
As I said in two industries wages have been notified and in
other industries committees have been set up. The time for
the implementation of the Act or for constituting certain
machinery for fixing wages to agricultural workers is up to
the end of December 1953. For that purpose as I have
already said, the Government is going to constitute a
Committee and be the initial stages only a limited area will
be selected. These are grave problems—I hope the hon.
Members would realise—in the implementation of the
Minimum Wages Act for agricultural labour. Nobody in
this House is against securing the living wage for the agricu-
lar workers. Prosperity in rural areas alone will
bring the proper place for India in this world and the rural
India is so backward that everyone in this House desires
that at the earliest the standard of living must be raised in the rural areas. To achieve this, there needs to be a cautious approach. In my estimate, there are more than 16 lakhs of agricultural workers in the State. The word ‘labour’ or the word ‘worker’ has become so elastic today that even an hon. Member in this House can claim himself to be a worker. First, we started with the factory workers; then the white-collared employees have been brought into the fold; then it has been extended to the unorganized agricultural workers. Then there are the domestic servants and we will have to tackle all these free workers, the craftsmen in the villages. There is their problem. So, the word ‘worker’ covers a wide field and it is not a few thousands or a few lakhs that need to be protected if we can make a bold step to estimate the cost of a social security scheme. It amounts to almost for the whole society; excepting a few parasites being left out and almost all this sort of society will be covered by the scheme. So, whenever we take the name of the worker, whenever we take the name of labour, let us remember that we are not taking the particular section; we shall take it that we are taking the very society. So, whenever we think of any scheme, it should be an all-comprehensive scheme, because the very purpose or the very definition of a worker that has been construed—I mean the meaning of the word ‘worker’ at the moment—is that it covers a very wide number. So, when we talk about the agricultural workers, when we have been thinking of bringing in very radical reforms in matters of tenancy, and when we have got in our view of bringing down the holding to the barest minimum, and when we want to completely do away with absentee landlordism, we must be very careful to examine this legislation and we should see that we will not be opposed by the very cultivator himself, from the petty peasant himself, because even if one worker is employed the cultivator becomes an employer; he has to maintain registers; he has to keep to all the formalities in the Act; and the complaint will then be that the Inspectors of the Labour Department are harassing the cultivators. The boot will be on the other leg and this will be the fate of things. So, when we talk about the implementation of the Minimum Wages Act to agricultural workers, we should be very realistic and we should have success in the initial stages, and we should first of all select for experiment places where there is major farming. That
is why I and the other day that the Government is thinking of introducing and implementing the Minimum Wage Act for agricultural workers in a District where there would not be a famine where there would be sufficient water supply where there is more yield, and where the cultivator would be able to pay a living wage. That District at the moment is Nizamabad. Some picture has been given in this respect by an hon. Member—probably from Nizam—about the pitiable and deplorable condition of the agricultural worker. There is no use simply depicting pictures. I believe the hon. Member has not visited the coal mines. I would request him to visit coal mines, to go to the place where exactly coal is taken out, and he would feel that the surface workers are much better off than the workers in the pit. Like that we have lakhs of people in our country who are miserably placed, but we should not simply take out such instances and generalize when we talk about matters which affect the Finances, which affect the very structure of the society. So, mere elucidation or mere magnifying of particular instances or a particular situation will not take us anywhere. The Government is more anxious that at the earliest the earnings of the lowest paid worker in this country should be brought to the level which the Rege Committee has recommended. This assurance must be quite sufficient to the hon. Members in the Opposition that they need not press for any further legislation in the matter of fixation of wages and in the resolution itself there is no other method mentioned. I do not find any other method excepting constituting the wage-fixing machinery and get the wages fixed. When this is going to be done—that needs to be done—and the Government has committed itself to do it there is no purpose in accepting the resolution and it will be too redundant. That is why I appeal to the hon. Member, who moved the resolution should omit that much portion in the resolution.

Then, coming to the next item, the whole labour resolution may be grouped up into three factors or into these subjects—wages, welfare and the privileges. These are the three things that are covered by the various sections of this resolution, and wages really are the pivot around which all the labour problems move. We have tried to assess the number of disputes also that come for adjudication, and it is found that nearly 40 per cent. of the disputes pertain to wages. So, wage is an important factor, and in almost all the adjudication awards, whenever an award was given in
relation to wage, or basic wage, or dearness allowances, specifically it has been said that the capacity of the industry should be kept in view. Of course there are other things too. An industry which cannot pay a basic wage to the worker does not deserve to exist and has no right to exist. In a country like Australia, one of the adjudicators has said that it is better to dissolve such industries and employ those workers in better-organized industries. So, it is true that an industry which cannot provide for the basic necessities of life of the worker cannot be called an industrial unit and it has no place. We do agree, but at the same time we have to examine our industrial development, how it started, in what condition it is now, and in what condition it will be after five years.

The unfortunate factor in the situation is that those industries in Hyderabad have sprung up in war-time entirely for the purpose of making profits and the type of people who have handled these industries could at the most be called 'financiers' rather than 'industrialists.' People who did not work from the very inception of their life in a industrial unit and whose parents did not know the A, B, C, of industry and who out of certain conditions came into the field, what more could they do, when the profits ceased to come into their pockets? They began to evince no interest in the industry and today the problem is not a labour problem. I have no labour problem as such. I have got an industrial problem. Industry has wrongly been understood as meaning capital alone. Industry means capital plus labour plus consumer plus producer of raw materials. So, whenever all of us talk about industry, we should be very careful to see that we protect all these interests. Capital may be the private capital or it may be provided by the State. It may be State capitalism or individual capitalism. Hence when we talk about several amenities to workers, that is, over and above the bare minimum, we should keep the capacity of the industry in view. I should say, the industry in Hyderabad to-day is at cross-roads and we are faced with the problem of closure of industries. Everybody says, 'Why should not the Government take up the industry and run?' But, as a shadow of the policy or a new process of the industrial policy—or something like that, the hon. Minister for Industries in one of the answers to the questions said—and even an hon. Member from the Opposition too in his speech agreed—that 'we should allow private sector.' As such, asking the Government to take every industry—even a Beedi Kharkhana or a Tanning Institute—does not, I mean
to try to understand. If they are basic industries or industries which are to the essential necessities of life, I can understand. In Hyderabad industries like umbrella making, sanatoriums, and all such things we provide and if the Government has to run these industries, by providing the capital, I cannot imagine how far it will be feasible. After all, they are using about 300, 400, 500 labourers and if these industries are to be run by the Government, establishment of the Government, I do not think it will be a success. If the Government is to do anything better, if Government has to run these industries, it must have sufficient elbow room or some such thing which will ultimately in the economy. People may say that the Government should run these industries, but I have said more than once it is the question of providing capital for them. People might say that we should go and bring money from somewhere. For the sake of argument, it is all right, but practically it is not the way to suggest. I must say there is no scope to find money with the Government at the moment for feeding these industries. Every mill owner today wants that the Government should look after the labour and control the price of raw materials and take away all taxation on the goods and then we say he will be in a position to manage. If we ask anybody to run an industry, to start a new industry, these are the conditions that are always being imposed—whether be an old industrialist or a new industrialist. So what I mean to say is, this type of psychology existing in the minds of the industrialists is also the type of instruction or the type of guidance that the workers receive to day from the different political parties or Trade Unions must be removed. The first and foremost thing on which our workers and the Factory need to be educated is to how respect for democracy and to give democracy at any cost. In the name of great political outlook going and splitting labour ranks will not help the Society in the long range of life. What ever political outlook a Trade Unionist may have, very first duty of his is to preach to the worker the respect for democracy and the sacrifice that he has got to make for the preservation of democracy. Instead of doing so, if attempts are made to divide the workers on the political label—if I may call so for a moment—and weaken their unity—their collective bargaining strength—and then complaints are made to the Government that the Management is
intransigent, that will not carry us very far. I would very plainly ask in this House: 'What is it that the party-in-power has secured as co-operation from the other political parties during these three or four years in placing the working class on the right path and in increasing production?' Instead of actually getting any co-operation, the party-in-power had to face all sorts of impediments. More magnification of small instances have been there. Here, I can quote an incident: In one of the industrial units where there had been strike for a long time and where the Government had made tremendous efforts to bring in conciliation, at last a way-out was found to make the workers resume duty because the workers had suffered for more than a month by not getting their wages. But, the leaders spoke to the workers accusing the Government that it is 'anti-national', 'anti-workers' and so on. The very same leader came to me and went to the extent of begging me to help them to come out of this confusion. This cannot be tolerated. I have got all sympathy—Government have got all sympathy for the workers and the Government will go to any extent in providing for the workers a living, but, the Government will not tolerate such a leadership for the workers to-day. If the industries in Hyderabad are going down, it is not the workers who are responsible for that. I would never admit that the Indian worker produces less than any worker outside. I would not place this on his incapacity—nor even on the incapacity of the Management. The Management, I know, cannot get against the Government or against the peoples’ interests. They are placed in a very delicate position and they do realise it. They have got to adjust according to the times; otherwise, they will have no place in the Society. It is the leadership, it is the ‘political leadership’, if I may call so, that is misleading the workers, dividing them and ruining the industry as a whole to-day. I would like to place before the House in very clear terms that when the Government of Hyderabad were facing a terrific confusion, they did not get any help;—let alone getting help from the other political parties in industrial matters—they always had trouble and had to adjust themselves and come down to any level with reluctance. Still, the Government of Hyderabad can congratulate itself that it has maintained industrial peace and the production has gone up. The workers’ leadership has condemned the Industrial Disputes Act; but, that is the Act which, in my honest opinion, has brought the most help to the workers. People have misunderstood that Act,
They thought that the Tribunal that is constituted under the Act will be confined to the letter of law. But, that is not the case. I can quote here many authorities—the authority of the Federal Court; the High Court of Bombay; the High Court of Bengal etc—to show that every matter pertaining to labour could be covered by the Tribunal. It can create a new law. Every matter from the wage to bonus, to profit-sharing—all these matters have been touched by the Tribunals. Hence I repeat that is the Act which has raised the basic wage; that is the Act which has secured as a convention the sharing of profit through bonus; and that is the Act which has protected the workers from retrenchment. I am sure, if a proper use is made of that Act, then the worker will have nothing to grumble, but, the leadership always gives a wrong picture of the Act. As I have already mentioned, the hon. Member from the Opposition who was the mover of the Resolution complained that by declaring certain industrial units or certain industries as essential services or public utility concerns, the Government was taking away the fundamental right of the workers. But, I pointed out on another occasion it is not so; it is not only regarding strike, it is against lock-out also. Why should the worker suspect that the Government is implementing this Act against strike? Not in the least. Workers have their right, as in the case of divorce, we can take that example—if some time is allowed a solution or a correct approach by the workers and by the Management can be found which will avoid the catastrophe. I would like that hereafter that strike is used as a threat and not as a weapon.

The hon. Mover of the Resolution has given a story; but it is an incorrect conclusion and a wrong story. He started well but he concluded wrongly—the story of the snake, its biting and the Guru advising it not to bite. But when the snake suffered at the hands of miscreants and when the Guru came and asked the snake ‘Why are you so weak’? the snake said: ‘by following your advice.’ Then the Guru said: “What a foolish fellow are you? I asked you not to bite, but did I ask you not to hiss?” That is the philosophy. So to say, strike must be a threat; the threat of strike must get the rights but not the very process of strike. If you have struck work, there is nothing left and the industry is paralysed. If the leadership is going to secure rights and privileges to the workers after they struck work for 48 days,
where is the greatness of the leadership and what is the greatness of the leadership. The workers got for themselves, they sacrificed their wages and got it. What is the credit for leadership then? I would therefore say that with the unity, with the strength and with the integrity of the labour organisation, the leadership, with the mere threat of strike, should secure rights and privileges for the workers. That must be the technique in labour problems.

I wanted to take one hour only and so I have to complete my speech within ten or fifteen minutes. I would therefore like to touch a few other points that the mover has made in his resolution.

On the question of Welfare, I have already said on some other occasion that welfare should be sought in addition to the provision that is being made according to the Factory Act. Welfare covers steps like Provident Fund, Medical Benefit, Maternity Benefit, Workmen’s Compensation and all these things. There are seven enactments in this regard and for the information of the House, I am reading them out:

The Factories Act of 1948; The Industrial Employment Standing Orders Act; The Workmen’s Compensation Act; the Hyderabad Maternity Benefit Act; The Employees State Insurance Act; The Provident Fund Act of 1952; and The Hyderabad Shops and Establishments Act of 1951

These are the seven enactments that govern the welfare of the workers. I do not know what more enactments the hon. Mover has in mind. I searched to find out whether I could bring in new enactments for the welfare of the labour. The State Employees’ Insurance Act is there and its implementation is at present humble and full implementation is possible when our national wealth grows through further industrialisation. This is not impossible and we will be able to have it.

In respect of leave, according to the provision in the Shops and Establishments Act of Hyderabad, 12 days leave with pay (privilege leave), 12 days as casual leave and 12 days as sick leave and 7 days as festival leave are provided. The hon. Member wanted one month leave with pay. If I am correct, in one factory, the casual leave given to the workers is 21 days. But I wish to tell the House that matter of fixation of leave should be on an All-India basis. It is
better that we leave it to the Adjudicator whenever a dispute arises in a matter of that kind, for the simple reason that we are all committed to increased production.

When we are committed to increased production, when it is a national emergency, every section in the society must sacrifice and every section in the society must work hard. My complaint is that the Government servants are working less number of hours. I wish to see the day when the factory workers and Government servants work for the same number of hours. I would have certainly welcomed such a complaint from the mover of the resolution that the Government servants are working for only 5 to 5½ hours and why they should not be made to work 8 hours a day. But instead of such a complaint, when, in a national emergency, there should be more production, asking for more leave, without taking into consideration what has already been provided as casual leave, sick leave and festival leave, passes beyond my comprehension.

I want to compare and contrast our conditions with those obtaining in the other parts of the World. I could give you some information re France. One day for each month's work subject to a maximum of 15 days (1952 and 1944—revised enactment). I can give the particulars obtaining in some other country too, say Yugoslavia; 14 days longer holidays may be given to some categories of workers, namely, intellectual and manual workers engaged in strenuous work. I am giving these particulars from the industrial awards analysis of the Labour Bureau. I am coming to the most important country in the world—from the point of view of the mover of the resolution—U.S.S.R. (1986). There persons below 18 years are given 24 days leave and persons employed above that age festival leave of 8 days. From the particulars furnished above, I request the hon. Members of the House to judge where we stand. Let me, Sir, reiterate that when it is a matter of increased production and when our industry is faced with a grave peril, should we ask for more leave or should we ask for more work is the problem; and I leave this problem to the mover of the Resolution to decide.

Next, one of the hon. Members has raised a point about the maternity benefit—another amenity under welfare. The Hyderabad Government has recently amended the provision that the maternity benefit should be paid six weeks before and six weeks after confinement and that should
be quite sufficient as a benefit to the female workers in the factories.

Then, the State Employees' Insurance Act is scheduled, I could say at the moment tentatively, to be applied to the Hyderabad City or to be enforced in the Hyderabad City by April 1954. I would very much desire—the Government would very much like—that it should be enforced even earlier. But there are certain difficulties. Hospital accommodation has got to be arranged and housing also should be so planned so as to benefit the scheme much by enabling the workers to live together there. Besides this practical difficulty, there is also the financial difficulty on the part of the State Government to provide sufficient funds for building new hospitals. There is no purpose in asking for this particular enactment, since it is going to be enforced in the Hyderabad City. We wish we should be in a position to enforce this even much earlier, but I can assure the House on behalf of the Government that we make all efforts to get this Act enforced in the Hyderabad City as early as possible and this scheme would benefit 50,000 workers. This is a very important legislation.

Next is Provident Fund. The Compulsory Provident Fund Act has already been in force and a good number of workers are being benefitted. 22,000 workers are being benefitted in the Hyderabad State and 18 industrial units were covered; every year about 24 lakhs 67 thousand rupees (about 25 lakhs of rupees) would be pooled. The Compulsory Provident Fund Act is going to give that benefit after retirement which all like to give to the people when the worker retires in his old age.

Then comes the Hyderabad Shops and Establishments Act. It could be said that it is a progressive Act in relation to the same Act in the other States. The peculiar feature that has been provided in this enactment is the provision of gratuity and 15 days gratuity for every year of service for a discharged employee will be given. As a piece of information to the House, I may tell that the Government is trying to bring in an Amending Bill in this Session to provide gratuity for the retiring worker. The discharged worker is already provided and the retiring worker also will have it.

So, in this regard much headway has been made. But if with this small establishment, the Government in the Labour
Department has to look after all the measures and that too to the satisfaction of the opposition parties as well as the Opposition Members here, it is very difficult. The problem as I said is a problem of implementation.

Then the last item I would touch upon is about the privileges and rights of the workers through their organisations. Much has been said about recognition of Unions. The problem of recognition does arise only when there are more than one Union in a particular establishment. There should not be any difficulty for any Management to recognise the Workers' Unions if there is only one Union. I would strongly plead with the Managements to rise to the occasion and not create any obstacles in the progress of workers and also in recognising their organisations. But the real problem is when there are more than one Union. As the hon Member who is the mover of the resolution is aware, the amendment of Trade Union Act has provided the constitution of a labour court for getting these bona fide Unions recognised by the Managements. It has not been enforced as yet and as I said the Labour Relations Bill and the Trade Union Bill are already before the Parliament. In the Trade Union Bill it has already been provided for the constitution of labour courts. But now I see in the press that a questionnaire has been sent by the present hon Minister for Labour in the Centre for bringing in a consolidated and simplified legislation for Labour. I also saw in the press that these two Bills have lapsed, but in spite of that it is provided in the Trade Unions Act, and the Government of Hyderabad will see to it that if there is going to be any undue delay in the matter, other measures will be taken. I had the opportunity to suggest to the hon Member that if the respective Federations of Trade Unions would agree among themselves, they could take a secret ballot in every industry and could decide which Union should represent them for a particular year in a democratic form and the Government on their part will very gladly help them in that direction. But if through a statute compulsion is brought to bear on the industrialists to recognise a particular Union, then, I must say, the proper machinery will not be the Labour Department, but will be the Industrial Court. Provision has been made in the Trade Unions Act for the constitution of an Industrial Court and there is no harm in waiting for some more time for the Act to be enforced here.
I am sorry, I have taken such a long time and, perhaps, I could have said something more if I had more time. I do not want to waste the time of the House as my hon. colleague is whispering to me and I would very much like to impress upon and appeal to the Mover of the Resolution that when he has already got all these things, there is no purpose in insisting that this Resolution must be passed. Secondly, I would like to impress upon the hon. Member that the Government itself is more anxious than he to go to the rescue of the workers and there is no point in his saying that he wants something in the shape of a statute. These things are being done and the Central Government is taking sufficient trouble to provide enough facilities for the workers. The Government of Hyderabad is not in a position to do it in its own way as was visualised four or five years ago by some people. I do not think any hon. Member is looking at Hyderabad in this manner. Hyderabad is a unit in the greater Indian Union and has got to fall in line with other States and when I say about an Industry and when I speak about labour, there must be some sort of uniformity and it is very much necessary in the matter of labour for the simple reason that labour is organised nation wide. There are national federations. Hence I would appeal to the Mover of the Resolution not to press for the Resolution for being accepted or being voted. I can assume him that the spirit behind the resolution or the objectives for which he brought the resolution will be fulfilled in course of time through the process that is now in progress and he need not have any apprehensions in that respect. The doors of the Government are always open for the mature advice that may be tendered by the hon. Member, the Mover of the Resolution. Thank you.

(Cheers)

Mr Speaker Now, I shall put the Resolution

Shri V D Deshmunde Sir as the Mover of the Resolution, I wish to express a few things, but I shall take as little time as possible. Now, there are only three minutes left and I do not think I will be able to finish my speech within this time.

Mr Speaker The hon. Member may proceed. But he must bear in mind that the Minister in charge of the Department shall have the right of replying after the Mover of the
Resolution has spoken irrespective of the fact whether the Minister has already spoken or not. So it will mean another speech.

The House then adjourned for recess till Forty minutes past Four of the clock.

The House is assembled after recess at Forty Minutes Past Four of the Clock.

[Mr Speaker in the Chair]
also there. If this recommendatory resolution is taken in that spirit, I believe, it should be possible for him and for the Treasury Benches to accept this Resolution. I would repeat to the Treasury Benches that this is a recommendatory resolution and does not necessarily bind them in any way. In this connection, it has been made clear in this House that some legislation is going to come up in a consolidated form in the Centre. If that is so, I do not see any reason why a resolution of this recommendatory type should not be acceptable to the hon. Minister for Labour and the Treasury Benches.

As far as the question of minimum wages is concerned, it has been explained at length that certain recommendations of the Rege Committee have been tried to be implemented. I must re-state here that as they have no statutory force behind them, it has not been possible for the Labour Welfare Officers of the Labour Department to implement them. It has also been my sad experience, as I am concerned with the Labour Movement, that the Labour Officers themselves have found it very difficult to implement them, as one of the officers himself expressed it to me once: 'Why should Government have a provision of such recommendatory nature?' It has been said that all will depend upon the capacity of the industry concerned; but, in the same argument, the hon. Minister for Labour has also said that if an industry cannot pay the minimum wage to the worker, then it has no right to exist. In that, I am in full agreement with his opinion because, just as an industry which cannot pay for the raw material has no right to exist or cannot exist, similarly, an industry which cannot pay the basic or minimum wage to the labour has also no right to exist. It is, therefore, absolutely necessary that as an interim measure, some arrangement should be made whereby at least the recommendations of the Rege Committee could be implemented by force of law.

Shri V. B. Raju: Please make it clear whether you mean basic wage or minimum wage.

Shri V. D. Deshpande: I am referring to minimum wage. Hence what I say is that certain measures have to be taken immediately. It has been pointed out that the Minimum Wages Act is there; but, at the same time, it has also been pointed out that implementation requires a lot of time; it is a complicated Act; certain machinery has to be evolved; that machinery has to study all the aspects of different industries,
and that it will take long time and so on. I had made it clear before the House that earlier it was scheduled that the minimum wages should be fixed for the agricultural labourers by 1951 or 1952. But, it is now found that the House of People had postponed that date to 1953. Hence what I say is, when the House of People itself had to revise its earlier decision regarding the fixation of minimum wages, there is no better ground to believe that here we will be able to do it in the coming few months. I am sure, at least the coming two years will be required to fix up the minimum wages in different industries. In the meanwhile, something should be done—some minimum provision has to be made—and as an interim measure, if the Government thinks of bringing a Bill or some enactment, at least it should be made clear that the Rege Committee’s recommendations should be followed by the different factory owners. I see no reason why and how that will go against the perspective before the Centre.

The hon. Minister for Labour probably did not feel it necessary to deal with the question of dearness allowance and the amount of bonus—or if at all he did—he did it very cursorily. I made it clear to the House on a previous occasion that in the adjoining Provinces, the dearness allowance has been linked with the cost of living index. The hon. Minister for Labour made it clear some time back that the Government has set up a Committee in this respect. I believe, the Committee has sat thrice, but nothing has come out so far. The Resolution of the Government in this respect relating to the recommendations of the Rege Committee was published in the Press in 1950. Two years have passed since then and I am sorry to note that even now the Government has not been able to link up the dearness allowance with the cost of living index. In that respect also, I feel, the Labour Department of the Government has not been able to satisfy the legitimate demands of the workers.

As regards the question of bonus, I do not wish to dilate much. I had sufficiently pleaded that it should be possible to give to the workers compulsorily a certain share out of the profits so that they are partly compensated. I had also pleaded that the workers should be associated with the Managements so that the Managements will not be able to show false accounts and thereby say that they have no profits. In this respect also, I hope, that certain steps will be taken. Moreover, the hon. Minister for Labour pointed out that
the Industrial Tribunals have been laying down certain bases for that. In England, in its history of law-making, certain decisions were laid down by the Courts and later on, they were taken up in the form of laws. In India, because it did not go through that process, we have been having ready-made laws of procedure. But, I think, in labour matters, we are probably going through that process through which England passed. I am sure, it should be possible for our Government to study all these awards, come to some conclusion and lay down a basic policy regarding bonus and dearness allowance. In this respect too, certain legislation is necessary.

I now come to the last point of my recommendatory resolution. The hon. Minister for Labour said that we have got so many enactments; but the Unemployment Insurance Act it still there lying in our omnibus legislations. I feel, that should be given preference, and if the problem of unemployment has got to be solved, some statutory provision or relief should be there. Moreover, when we are talking of a welfare State, I think, we should be able to go in that direction. Therefore, I plead with the hon. Labour Minister that some steps should be taken. In cursorily reviewing all the different matters regarding wages and security, I must say, there is enough scope for legislation and certain steps should be taken without much delay.

Another point which was brought before the House relates to the way in which the Labour Movement is going on in the Hyderabat State. I do not wish to dilate on that point because I know, the House is waiting for some more important matters. Still, I may say, as far as the question of one Union for one Industry is concerned, most of us on this side of the House are in full agreement with the hon. Labour Minister. We do not want, there should be various Unions for one Industry and that the workers should be divided because of different political ideologies. We want that for one Industry there should be one Union where all the different political parties will be in a position to work. Therefore, if some step is taken or some such arrangement is evolved, as has been suggested by the hon. Labour Minister, I am sure, the different Federations of Labour in Hyderabat State will be in a position to accept some formula by mutual discussion and agreement. In this connection, I would remind him and the Treasury Benches that the Government of India had contemplated an amendment to the Indian Trade Unions Act,
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probably, in 1946 or 1947 It has been delayed for the last 5 years and even now, we do not see any possibility of its coming into force or being applied in the near future. Meanwhile, the question of recognition as pending and industrial disputes are coming. I am sure, it should be possible for us to have some interim legislation to solve our problems just as Bombay is having. I have no doubt that it is possible to solve this problem on legislative and other lines.

As regards leave, certain instances of Czechoslovakia and U S. S. R. were referred to. I do not know whether I am misinformed or the hon. Minister for Labour. Any way, as far as my knowledge goes, in U. S. R., the workers get one month’s leave with pay; not only pay, they get free pass—railway pass—and there are rest houses on sea shore and other places where they can have rest to recoup themselves so that when they go back, they are in a position to work better. Therefore, the matter of ‘One month’s leave with pay’ which was put by me in this Resolution, I think, is quite justified. As far as my knowledge goes, the casual leave and other leave given in a factory to the workers are without pay. The only leave with pay is for 15 days and that too with so many riders and so many conditions with the result that in practice it is found that the workers are not in a position to avail of that. In that respect, as well, some steps will have to be taken. If necessary steps are taken in that direction, I don’t think, they will come in conflict with the provisions of the Factory Act. We can change the Factory Act to the extent it gives more relief to the workers and there the Centre, in my view, does not come in the way. In that respect also, the State Government can move.

Having made these observations and with the little time at my disposal, I will again say that: Firstly, I do not lay much stress on legislation as such; but on both aspects; secondly, my resolution is recommendatory; thirdly, my Resolution does not bind the Government in a particular way; and lastly, most of the hon. Members in this House are in full agreement with the spirit of the Resolution. As such, I would plead with the House that this Resolution be accepted by all and, if possible, passed unanimously.

Shri M. S. Rajalingam: Sir, I want to know whether the hon. Member wants to have representatives of workers on
the Board of Directors so as to have a correct scrutiny of the accounts?

Shri V. D. Deshpande. Workers should be associated with the working of the industries. I cannot give any details as such. Moreover, I do not think, there is time.

Shri V. B. Raju. Mr. Speaker, Sir, I now feel that I did a mistake in not taking some more time for giving my reply earlier because I entirely relied upon the statement of the Hon. Member the other day that the Treasury Benches were taking more time and not allowing the other resolutions to come up. I took a tip from it and, therefore, I took as little time as possible in explaining a few points. Now that it appears that the mover of the resolution wants to settle the account, I would be compelled to take some more time of the House, with your permission.

Of course, I shall only start where the Hon. Member has left. He said that he was not stressing for new legislation, which is contradictory to his previous statement that there is more scope for legislation, and secondly he says that his resolution is merely recommendatory. We have heard sufficiently. The recommendation need not be through a resolution alone. We can take the speech of the Hon. Member in the House urging us to take other measures, and he should be satisfied with that. So, as it appears to me, the Hon. Member desires that it should be stamped that such a resolution has been passed by this august body, but I would like a more important step than this; I want a specific provision or a specific recommendation or a specific amendment which would improve the present legislation and the conditions of the workers. Government is prepared to go forward in all the way to accept such a specific amendment to any legislation that is at present existing. I have already made it clear that there is legislation on all labour matters. I would just read out the opinion of a particular High Court about the Industrial Tribunals:

"Adjudication does not in our opinion mean adjudication according to the strict law of master and servant. The award of the Tribunal may contain provisions of settlement of a dispute which no Court could order, if it was bound by ordinary law. The Tribunal is not fettered in any way by these limitations."
I do not think any section of the society has this licence this privilege. Any matter on the face of the earth pertaining to the labour can be taken to a Tribunal and that Tribunal gives an award and that award need not be bound by any law. When such a vast provision is made, why speak about fixation of wages and Rege Committee recommendations? I have already said that where there is a capacity for collective bargaining the wage is much more. I am happy that the hon. Member has corrected the basic wage as the minimum wage. If it was basic wage, I would say that every worker is getting Rs 26; even the agricultural worker is getting Rs 26, if you calculate. He is not satisfied with Rs 26; he has made it clear. But a minimum wage, as I have already said, is something more. I will read the Rege Committee's recommendations here, because he laid a lot of stress on it. After having recommended Rs 26 as the basic wage, the Committee further recommended that, to meet the increased cost of living index for full neutralisation Rs 52 dearness allowance should be paid. It was calculated that the cost of living is three times the level before the war, that is, 200% more. So, if basic wage is considered to be Rs 26 at pre-war level, to meet the increased cost of living for full neutralisation, Rs 52 must be paid and the Committee has considered that the industries in Hyderabad are not capable of paying Rs 52 as dearness allowance. It has recommended that 50% neutralisation can be made; hence Rs 26 dearness allowance was suggested. This is the recommendation of the Rege Committee:

“As we desire that the allowance proposed by us should be paid by as many employers as possible, we recommend that the increase in the cost of living should be neutralised at least to the extent of 50%. This would mean that, subject to the paying capacity, the lower paid worker in any concern would get Rs 26 dearness allowance in addition to Rs 26, the basic wage.”

What is in dispute in the Industrial disputes today is with regard to dearness allowance, and I have already pointed out that in any industrial unit, if any worker feels that the industrial unit is capable of paying full neutralisation or more than 50% neutralisation and if they are not getting it, a mere application to the Government is sufficient, and on that application Government is prepared to constitute a Tribunal, and as I said many of the matters should be left to the adjudication
authority and we do not want a binding legislation. The present wages are not real wages and I do not think that anywhere in India a legislation has been promoted to fix wages through a Statute. It is done through a machinery for fixing wages. So, does the hon. Member wish to say that this House should say in figures, "Rs. 26 as basic wage and Rs. 26 as dearness allowance"? Last year, workers in many industrial units have approached the Government in this connection and the guiding principle of the Government has been to refer the matter to the Tribunal. So, there is nothing that the Government could do in the matter except referring the matter to the Tribunal or constitute a Tribunal for adjudication. I do not want to make it a debate, but I want that they should be convinced about it. There is nothing more in this matter except to refer the matter to the Tribunal and get its award.

Then comes linking up of dearness allowance with the cost of living index. I do not know whether the hon. Member realises the implications of it. Even if it is done, it is by the award of Tribunals and not by the Legislature. This is dependent on two things. One is percentage of neutralisation and the other the category of industry. In Madras 63%, has been neutralised, in Ahmedabad 100% and in Bombay about 90%, and in particular industries it is not for all workers. It has been done in textile industries, because the industry cannot afford to pay. Supposing we ask a beedi factory or a button factory, which employs 10 or 12 people, to pay the full neutralisation of Rs. 52 plus Rs. 26 i.e., Rs. 78 to the lowest paid worker, can the hon. Member assure the Government that the industry would survive. Let me know practically what the Government can do in the matter. It is no use merely saying 'link up the D.A. with the cost of living index'. The cost of living index gives a trend in the cost of living. When Mr. Rege has prepared his report, he took the index figure of August 1949 as 158; when the report was actually submitted to the Government in January 1950, the index figure was 168; today the index figure is 165. I congratulate the people of Hyderabad and the Government of Hyderabad must congratulate itself that the trend is downward. This is a proof of how the economy of the State is existing. If there is the capacity for any industry to pay in full, let us refer the matter to a Tribunal, and if the Tribunal finds and gives an award that the particular industry is capable of paying in full then there is no difficulty. In this
respect, I need the co-operation of the workers’ representatives and managements to take up one industry first and fix up the D.A. after working it out then and there, and then go on to the other industries. Then the law that can be brought about is only to fix a machinery for this purpose, and I repeat that there is already that machinery.

About Bonus Bonus is being confused with profit sharing sometimes. Bonus should be related as a matter of fact to attendance, to efficiency, and to production. Profit sharing in many cases is linked with the dividend. That is the broad approach. Now a days there is misconception regarding bonus and profit-sharing. Bonus is now being paid, if a concern makes a profit, and a portion of the profit ranging from 10% to 30% or 40% is paid to the workers in the shape of one month’s wages or two months’ wages or according to the service conditions also, a worker with one year’s service getting less and in the same category of workers, a worker with 20 years service getting more. There are so many details in this respect. A uniform legislation cannot be brought about and for sometime move to come we have to depend upon the different awards of the various Tribunals in different industries. But still on that matter I can assure that if the workers feel that the industry has made a profit, Government is prepared to constitute a Tribunal and get the award, and we have to take advantage of the independent and judicious approach to these matters. In India, the labour matters are being given a lot of importance and we are on the correct path, and the labour is getting justice in the hands of the Tribunals.

About Unemployment Legislation, a lot of confusion is being made. The Government can create work and the Government can plan to create work; but in considering the question of providing every man with work, the peoples’ organisations should, in my honest opinion, come forward to help to place every man in the job he is fit to do.

I would like to ask what plan the Opposition parties have got to suggest for the solution of this unemployment or under employment. They, at best, can say that the Government should start more factories. There is already over-population on the land and if the population has to be diverted, it can be diverted into industry. If the present industrial potency cannot be preserved and cannot be protected, is there
any scope to take to further industrialisation? The opposition Members want that the State should take up these industries. I would like to ask them what consideration they have shown in their approach in treating these industries. I could say that some of the political leaders have created more trouble in the Government managed industries and I would say that the trouble is more today in the Government managed industries than elsewhere. I would like to ask what exactly can be done as long as the Opposition Members do not co operate with preserving at least the present capacity of the industries and I should say that if they pursue the same policy I am afraid the few industries that we have got today may experience a very bad time and the Government also may feel reluctant to take up new enterprises. I would only plead that the Opposition members and the Government representatives should tackle the unemployment problem as a national problem and not as a party problem and if we can put our heads together patiently and if we can endeavour to create work for the people there is much scope. Today our society needs a new type of organisation that is co operative organisation on the land as well as in industry.

There is much agitation that the cost of production of consumer goods was high today. I have already advised workers to form into a co-operative organisation in each industry. Why should those categories of workers who are having some surplus earnings not think of pooling their surplus earnings for expanding the industry for further employment to their fellow beings in the country? But the opposition Members make no effort in this direction. When there are surplus earnings in the hands of certain categories of workers in an industry, why should we beg the capitalists? Why should not the workers invest their surplus earnings in providing further employment to their fellow beings? Thus the Opposition would not like. I would like to ask the Opposition Members to tell me if they have made any honest—I do not like to use the word 'honest'—any strenuous effort to organise the workers on a co-operative basis and save a dying industry? It is no good saying there is unemployment on one side and on the other not protecting the industry. Thus policy would not be of any avail. So for the solution to unemployment—when I say 'unemployment', it is only in respect of skilled workers and educated people in the
urban areas and for workers in rural areas, I call it as underemployment—if this unemployment in the urban areas is to be solved every effort should be made to form worker's organization on a co-operative pattern and we should begin to produce under a tree—no matter—on the roadside, on the roadside platform—we have to begin to produce. If such a plan comes forward or if at least I could get an assurance from the Opposition Members that they would wholeheartedly co-operate with us on this national problem—not a party problem, I think the Government, the Party in power at the moment, will be able to do something for creating work for the people. But if one would think that the Government alone should provide employment for all these people—clerical jobs, etc., and that the Government employment alone should be the salvation, I think he is wrong. The capacity of the Government to employ further in the administrative structure has reached its peak stage and the only future is retrenchment. We have to release the land from over-population; we have to create new industries run by the co-operative organization of workers; we must relieve the State machinery from the burden of over clerical staff; and we must approach the problem as a notional problem. Does the hon. Member who moved the resolution believe in this? And can he promise this House that he would co-operate with the Government in taking to this enterprise?

Then there is only one thing, i.e., about leave. I do not want to go into controversies. Leave can be made as an industrial dispute and if more leave is needed, as I said, it depends upon the type of industry; and those industries, which are prosperous and which could afford to give the workers more leave, can grant further relief to the workers. In such cases, if there is any dispute coming, a tribunal will be constituted to decide these things. Let me in the end repeat what I said or reiterate what I said that there is already a machinery which is not profitably being used for the welfare of workers. On the question of leave, such material as is available with me relating to industrially well-advanced countries in the west, I have laid before the House.

If it is merely for political matters that this resolution has to be passed here, I have nothing to say; if, on the other hand, it is to serve as a sort of a resolution to tell the Government that it has failed to do something or has missed to do and that the Government could do much more by accepting
Discussion on Non-Official Resolutions Nos 2, 8, 16 and 24

I think the hon. Chief Minister has already agreed

Shri R. Ramakrishna Rao. I have agreed with the suggestion made by the Leader of the Opposition Party

Shri V D Deshpande. I thank him

Shri V B Rayu. No apprehension hereafter.

Shri G. Hanumanth Rao. Speaker, Sir, I would like to know whether the voting will be taken simultaneously.

Mr. Speaker. One after the other.
Discussion on Von Official

Resolution No 28 16 & 24

On page 17 Sub Clause 5 of Section 73 of the Provisional Rules of the Hyderabad Legislative Assembly is to follow:

Subject to the provisions of sub-rule (2) of this Rule, resolutions of which notice has not been given 15 days before the date appointed for the Session of the Assembly, shall be entered on the supplementary agenda in the order in which they are admitted by the Speaker.
9th July 1952

Discussion on Non Official Resolution Nos 28, 10 & 24

Serai Seri B. R. A. S. Eaker (Ank Akash) of the Official Agenda (it is an American Lucknow Bank) of Agreements.

Admit (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.

Admit (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.

Mr. A. E. M. A. S. (Ank Akash) Bank (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.

Mr. A. E. M. A. S. (Ank Akash) Bank (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.

Mr. A. E. M. A. S. (Ank Akash) Bank (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.

Mr. A. E. M. A. S. (Ank Akash) Bank (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.

Mr. A. E. M. A. S. (Ank Akash) Bank (Mr. R. W. S. A. N. S. Bank (Ank Akash) in their section. The discussion on the above two resolutions, which have been talked about, may lead to a discussion on the official resolutions.
"The Speaker shall have power to regulate the conduct of business in the Assembly in all matters not provided for in the Constitution or the Rules."

Shri B Ramakrishna Rao, Ml Speaker, Sir, Rule 78 of the Provisional Rules has been referred to and sub-rule (5) has been relied upon.

'Subject to the provisions of sub-rule (2) of this Rule, resolutions of which notice has not been given 15 clear days before the date appointed for the session of the Assembly, shall be entered on the supplementary agenda in the order in which they are admitted by the Speaker.'

That has been done. After that has been done, there is a proviso to sub-rule (5) which has not been read.

Provided that the Speaker, with the consent of the Minister in charge of the Department concerned, may allow a resolution to be entered on the principal agenda with shorter notice than 15 days and without its being included in the ballot as provided in sub-rule (4)."
Read with this proviso, this resolution has been properly entered in the supplementary agenda which was before the House and no objection or exception could be taken to its having been put on the supplementary agenda.

After that is clear, sub-rule (6) has got to be looked into.

(6) No member shall be entitled to move a resolution of which notice has been given under sub-rule (5) of this Rule.

Just as this Resolution of the hon Mem ber for one of the Constituencies in Hyderabad—

until every member has had an opportunity of moving a resolution for which he has obtained priority in the ballot.

Resolution No. 2 has obtained priority in the ballot—there was in fact no ballot and that makes the priority case much weaker. If this Resolution had obtained priority in the ballot then there was something to be said. But otherwise, even if taking it for granted that the resolution has obtained priority then the only limitation that is placed is that Resolution No. 2 which stands as No. 2 Resolution shall be moved first. Until every member has had an opportunity of moving a resolution for which he has obtained priority in the ballot, no Member shall move a second resolution of which notice has been given under sub-rule (5) until every Member who has obtained priority in the ballot has had an opportunity of moving a second resolution and every Member entitled to move a first resolution under sub-rule (5) has had an opportunity of doing so. The result of sub-rule (6) is that until the three resolutions which stand in their serial order, namely, 2, 8, 16, have been moved the 4th resolution which stands in the serial order No. 24 cannot be moved. That is the only limitation which I think Section 73 imposes. Barring this there is absolutely no other limitation. That is why Resolution No. 2 has to be moved first and the last resolution to be moved would be serial No. 24. After all these resolutions are moved, there will be discussion and voting, if necessary and they will be in the same order of priority as they stand in the list. Thus, the position is abundantly clear, and I do not see any reason why we should spend our time in discussing about this technicality.
Mr Speaker: The hon Member has already spoken.

Dr M. Channa Reddy: Sir, I feel that Resolution No. 24 also stands on the same footing as that of other Resolutions as far as priority is concerned. Therefore, I would request that Resolution No 24 be admitted and the ballot drawn, and then discussion be taken up in the order of priority.

Shri J. K. Praneshacharya (Tandur-Serum): Sir, I would draw your attention to Rule No. 76 of the Provisional Rules which reads as follows:

“When a resolution has been moved and voted upon in the Assembly, no resolution or amendment of a resolution raising substantially the same question shall be moved within one year from the date of such motion.”

If the argument of the Leader of the Opposition is accepted, it amounts to nullification of all the other resolutions that are put before the House. Hence I would submit, Sir, that all such resolutions which are in the same category or on the same subject should be taken up at the same time.

(Shri M. S Rajalingam rose in the seat).

Mr. Speaker: No discussion please.

Shri M. S. Rajalingam: No discussion, Sir. But I am suggesting a way-out (LAUGHTER).

From the text of the resolutions, one thing is quite clear, viz., three resolutions are against handing over the control of the University to the Centre, and one resolution contrary to that. I feel, it will be better if all the three resolutions could, by mutual agreement, be framed into one. By that, much labour can be saved and it will also be easier. (Interuption: Then it will mean two resolutions).

shall be entered on the supplementary agenda.”
In the order in which they are admitted by the Speaker:

Rule 11 (7) ‘Notwithstanding anything contained in sub rules (2) to (6) of this Rule or in Rule 78 the Speaker may on any date allotted for private members business give priority to any item of such business at the request of the member in charge’

That he can give priority to any item of such business at the request of the member in charge

M: Speaker In the first place let the hon Member move his resolution

Shri G Hanumanth Rao Sir I beg to move the following resolution

This Assembly is of the opinion that the decision of the Government of India namely— to convert the Osман University into a Central one with Hindi as its principal medium of instruction with the declared view to develop it as a national language in the South and to promote closer cultural relations between the South and the North— and take it over without ascertaining the will of the people is
undemocratic and detrimental to the interest of the people in Hyderabad inasmuch as,

(i) that State has only one University built at the cost of more than four crores of rupees, and it is unprecedented that in such a case the people are deprived of it,

(ii) it is wrong to make Hindi as University medium of instruction in Hyderabad simply for propagating Hindi when Hindi is not the language of any section of the people,

(iii) it would amount to the suppression of the growth of the regional languages, antagonise the people against Hindi and create strains in the national life unhelpful to the development of National Unity,

(iv) the problem of Urdu and the three Regional Languages, Telugu, Marathi and Kannarese, as mediums of instruction has to be solved by adequately subsidising the University,

and therefore, it calls upon the Government of India to abandon the proposed scheme."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That this Assembly is of the opinion that the decision of the Government of India namely— to convert the Osmania University into a Central one with Hindi as its principal medium of instruction with the declared view to develop it as a National language in the South and to promote closer cultural relations between the South and the North’,—and take it over without ascertaining the will of the people is undemocratic and detrimental to the interest of the people in Hyderabad inasmuch as,

(i) that State has only one University built at the cost of more than four crores of rupees, and it is unprecedented that in such a case the people are deprived of it,

(ii) It is wrong to make Hindi as University medium of instruction in Hyderabad simply for propagating Hindi when Hindi is not the language of any section of the people,

(iii) it would amount to the suppression of the growth of the regional languages, antagonise the people against Hindi and create strains in the national life unhelpful to the development of National Unity,

(iv) the problem of Urdu and the three Regional Languages, Telugu, Marathi, and Kannarese, as mediums of
instruction has to be solved by adequately subsidising the
University,
and, therefore, it calls upon the Government of India to
abandon the proposed scheme”

Mr. Speaker: Now, Shri K. V. Narayana Reddy.

Shri K. V. Narayana Reddy: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg
to move the following Resolution.—

“That this Assembly disapproves of the decision of
the Government of India to take over the control of the
Osmania University and to convert it into a Hindi one for the
express purpose of propagating Hindi in the South and promot-
ing a closer cultural relations between the South and the
North, inasmuch as:

(a) this decision was taken by the Government of
India in contravention of all canons of democratic practice
without ascertaining the popular will in respect thereof and
giving opportunity to the people of Hyderabad to express
their views thereon;

(b) it would result:

(i) in depriving the people of Hyderabad of their only
University built and developed at the immense
cost of some 150 million rupees and at tremen-
dous sacrifice on the part of the people who
paid with their blood for its growth,

(ii) in hampering the growth of the regional
languages since there is little prospect of their
introduction as the media of instruction in the
highest stages of education, and

(iii) in continuing the step-motherly treatment that
they have all along been subject to;

(c) it would strengthen the hands of the anti-Hindi
agitators who would exploit the situation for their own
antisocial interest by inciting the popular sentiment
against this unpopular step by the Government of India;

(d) the declared laudable purposes that motivated this
decision of the Government of India, could be fulfilled without
resorting to this extreme step by opening parallel classes in
the University with Hindi as the medium of instruction and
by opening new Hindi colleges or converting some of the existing ones into Hindi institutions,

(e) the interest of the Government of India in propagation and development of Hindi in the South could be given effect to by subsidising or financing the Hindi institutions and schemes for the realisation thereof;

and strongly dissuades the Government of India from the proposed implementation of its decision."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

"That this Assembly disapproves of the decision of the Government of India to take over the control of the O'mania University and to convert it into a Hindi one for the express purpose of propagating Hindi in the South and promoting a closer cultural relations between the South and the North in as much as:

(a) this decision was taken by the Government of India in contravention of all canons of democratic practice without ascertaining the popular will in respect thereof and giving opportunity to the people of Hyderabad to express their views thereon;

(b) it would result—

(i) in depriving the people of Hyderabad of their only University built and developed at the immense cost of some 150 million rupees and at tremendous sacrifice on the part of the people who paid with their blood for its growth,

(ii) in hampering the growth of the regional languages since there is little prospect of their introduction as the media of instruction in the highest stages of education, and

(iii) in continuing the step-motherly treatment that they have all along been subject to;

(c) it would strengthen the hands of the anti-Hindi agitators who would exploit the situation for their own anti-national interest by inciting the popular sentiment against this unpopular step by the Government of India;

(d) the declared laudable purposes that motivated this decision of the Government of India, could be fulfilled without resorting to this extreme step by opening parallel classes in the University with Hindi as the medium of
instruction and by opening new Hindi colleges or converting some of the existing ones into Hindi institutions;

(e) the interest of the Government of India in the propagation and development of Hindi in the South could be given effect to by subsidising or financing the Hindi institutions and schemes for the realisation therefor; and strongly dissuades the Government of India from the proposed implementation of its decision.”

Mr. Speaker: Shri G. Rajaram.

Shri G. Rajaram: Sir, I beg to move the following Resolution:

“That this Assembly is of opinion that ‘the decision of the Government of India to convert the Osmania University into a Central one with Hindi as medium of instruction with the view to develop Hindi as the national language in the South and thereby to unite the North to the South in language’ when the consensus of public opinion is against it, is dictatorial and hasty. It will antagonise those for whose benefit it is being done, when this action is being taken much against their will, because:

(a) the State has only one University which was built with the money of the people of the State, costing more than four crores of rupees, thus it will put them in financial difficulty, if they wanted to have a University of the State;

(b) the growth of Hindi in the South can be assured by making it a compulsory subject in the Osmania University; it is not necessary for the Centre to take it much against the will of the people;

(c) while this anxiety that the University should be taken over by the Centre, and making Hindi as medium of instruction, is a clear indication, that the regional languages of the State will get a step-motherly treatment, detrimental to their growth, and therefore, it calls upon the Government of India to abandon their ex-parte decision.”

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:

“That this Assembly is of opinion that ‘the decision of the Government of India to convert the Osmania University into a Central one with Hindi as medium of instruction with the view to develop Hindi as the National language in the South and thereby to unite the North to the South in language’
when the consensus of public opinion is against it, is dictatorial and hasty. It will sti
motise those for whose benefit it is being done, when this action is being taken much against
then will, because:

(a) the State has only one University which was built
with the money of the people of the State, costing more than
4 crores of rupees; thus it will put them in financial dif-

(b) the growth of Hindi in the South can be assured
by making it a compulsory subject in the Osmania Univer-
sity; it is not necessary for the Centre to take it much against
the will of the people;

c) while this anxiety that the University should be
taken over by the Centre, and making Hindi as Medium of
instruction, is a clear indication, that the regional languages
of the State will not a step-motherly treatment, detrimental
to their growth, and therefore, it calls upon the Govern-
ment of India to abandon their c-oparte decision."

Mr. Speaker: Shri Gopalrao Ekbole.

Shri Gopalrao Ekbole: Sir, I beg to move the following
Resolution:—

"That this Assembly while appreciating the object of
the Government of India in proposing to reconstitute the
Osmania University into a Central University, is of the opi-

ion that it should be clearly held down that any change in
the medium of instruction should not impair the high edu-
cational standards, which the University should maintain.
The first purpose of the University should be to maintain
these high educational standards and the change-over to the
medium of Hindi should be brought about, always keeping
in view the maintenance of these standards. For this pur-
pose, English should continue to be used as the medium for
such subjects as cannot be taught adequately for lack of
suitable books, till such time as satisfactory arrangements
can be made for the use of Hindi as a medium for these
subjects. Further, that the regional languages, namely
Telugu, Marathi, and Karmada should be given every en-
couragement by the University.

The progressive introduction of Hindi as a medium of
instruction should be co-ordinated with the educational
developments taking place in the other Universities of India, so that the Osmania University should be able to maintain its high standards and be able to deal with the other Universities in India and abroad on a reciprocal basis.

The colleges in Hyderabad, with regional or other languages as their media of instruction, should find no difficulty in affiliating themselves to the University and steps should be taken to coordinate their systems of education with that of the University.

While the administrative and financial implications of the change-over are being examined by the Committee appointed for this purpose, a second Committee consisting of educational experts should be appointed to consider and advise on the educational matters referred to in this Resolution and others pertaining to the proposed reconstitution. This Assembly, therefore, urges upon the Government of India to appoint in consultation with the Hyderabad Government, such a Committee of educational experts.

This Assembly further urges upon the Government of India that appropriate provision should be made for securing effective representation to the people of the State on the academic and administrative bodies of the University."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

"That this Assembly while appreciating the object of the Government of India in proposing to reconstitute the Osmania University into a Central University, is of the opinion that it should be clearly laid down that any change in the medium of instruction should not impair the high educational standards which the University should maintain. The first purpose of the University should be to maintain these high educational standards and the change-over to the medium of Hindi should be brought about, always keeping in view the maintenance of these standards. For this purpose, English should continue to be used as the medium for such subjects as cannot be taught adequately for lack of suitable books, till such time as satisfactory arrangements can be made for the use of Hindi as a medium for these subjects. Further, that the regional languages, namely Telugu, Marathi, and Kannada should be given every encouragement by the University."
The progress in the introduction of Hindi as a medium of instruction should be coordinated with the educational developments taking place in the other Universities of India so that the Osmania University should be able to maintain its high standards and be able to deal with the other Universities in India on a reciprocal basis.

The colleges in Hyderabad with regional or other languages as the medium of instruction should find no difficulty in affiliating themselves to the University and steps should be taken to coordinate the systems of education with that of the University.

While the administrative and financial implications of the changeover are being examined by the Committee appointed for the purpose, a second Committee consisting of educational experts should be invited to consider and advise on the educational matters included in this Resolution and others pertaining to the proposed reconstitution. Thus Assembly therefore urges upon the Government of India to appoint in consultation with the Hyderabad Government such a Committee of educational experts.

This Assembly further urges upon the Government of India that appropriate provision should be made for securing effective representation to the people of the State on the academic and administrative bodies of the University.
Like many changes that took place in other Indian universities, we expected that our Osmania University would also effect an appreciable change in its old policies and practices. But, we are grieved to note that no distinct change has taken place in its outlook and on the other hand, much criticism is levelled against the University both by the public and the Educationalists. The Andhra Saraswat Parishat demanded an open enquiry into the affairs of the Osmania University and in that regard submitted a memorandum to Dr. Jagendra Prasad, President of the Indian Republic. Urdu is continued and maintained under the garb of Hindu and quite against the will of the students who are the future citizens of India. Regional languages are completely overlooked and prominence is given to the so-called popular Hindustani.
The reform of Osmania University has been agitating the minds of the people for a long time. Any attempt now to take away the University from the control of the people's representatives will have serious repercussions and a campaign will certainly be launched on behalf of the people to protect their interests till a decision is taken by the new State Legislature that will come into existence within a week.

Ultimate decision of national language—Ali Yavat

The University was the property of the people who had built and developed it by tax payers' money. Any decision
regarding its future should be taken after ascertaining people's opinion. He assured that the Peoples Democratic Front would move the matter both in the Assembly and Parliament.

"Sentinal 25 2-52"

Madappati Hanumanth Rao appealed to the Centre and State Government not to give effect to the proposal to transfer the Osmania University to the Central Government for making it a Hindi University for the South.

"Dr G S Melkote (the present Finance Minister) and J H Subbayya M P, have protested against the proposal of the Central Government to take over the Osmania University and convert it into a Hindi University."

(Daily News 1-8-52)

[MR DEPUTY SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR]
Dr. Rajendra Prasad laid emphasis on national education through medium of regional language. Instruction through unfamiliar language proved burdensome to students who could not develop their latent faculties and Aryan culture.

"It is disappointing that the Government of India instead of understanding and fulfilling Hyderabad's aspirations had decided to found a Hindi University without giving the people a clear picture about the place of the Regional languages. If the Central Government wants to have Hindi as the medium of instruction in the Osmania University, I want to know for whose benefit such a step is being taken since Hindi is not a language of Hyderabad. The Government of India should make a difference between the national language and the State language. English was the State language during the British regime. The idea that Hindi should replace English in all places where the latter was used, is not quite advisable. India is a Federation union of States and the importance of Regional languages cannot be underestimated. The regional languages should replace English up to a certain level."

(Vice-President) 8

"Institution of higher learning, controlled and managed by Government agencies, act like mercenaries, promote the political purpose of the State, make them acceptable to an increasing number of their populations and supply them with the weapons they need, the trend towards Governmental domination of the educational process. The respect in which the Universities of Great Britain are held is due to the freedom from Governmental interference which they enjoy constitutionally and actually. Our Universities must be released from the democratic community, it is essential that their study should be through the instrumentality of the regional language. Education in the regional language will not only be necessary for their provincial activities, it will enable them to enrich their literature and to develop their culture. Educated naturally in the regional language, they ought to achieve higher standards of learning and thought,
and should be able to give a powerful stimulus to research and extension of the boundaries of their knowledge'

(He was the Director of Political Science)

"The Central Government will be taking too much of risk by its proposal to make Hindi the medium of instruction in the Osmansia University. Hindi is not better fitted to be the medium than any of the languages spoken by the people of Hyderabad. If it is conceded that from a strictly educational standpoint the mother tongue is the ideal medium of instruction the regional languages must be used as the media of instruction in the Osmansia University."

"Hyderabad Bulletin 27th May, 1952"

So far as general studies are concerned, it was never my intention to suggest that there should be any failing in the standard of English taught. One hundred and fifty years of intimate contact has made English an integral part of our educational system and this cannot be changed without injury to the cause of one of the major languages of the world and Indians can neglect its study only at the risk of loss to themselves. I am convinced that in future as well, the standards of teaching English should be maintained at as high a level as possible but the medium of instruction must from the very nature of the case be the mother tongue."

(The Hindu)

"It is surprising that when even in the matter of replacing English by Hindi as the official language so much precaution is taken, such a vast apparatus of enquiry is provided for and laid down in the Constitution and so many limitations have been imposed there should be found men in high authority desirous of forcing Hindi on the people of the South in
contravention of the provisions of the Constitution. Taking into account the tone and spirit I have no doubt that it is meaningless on the part of the Union Government to take over the Osmania University and still more meaningless is to take it over for propagating Hindi

(The Hindu 15th June 1962)

Pirapala Reddy MIA one of the founders of the Andhra Mahsa Sabha expressed his opinion stating that the Central Government's decision in respect of the Osmania University was not only resented by the opposition but also by many Congress Members of the Assembly. He hoped that should the question come up before the Assembly for discussion the Congress should not issue whip as this was an educational matter.

(The Hindu 14th May, 1962)
1140 8th July 1932 Discussion on Non Official Resolution Nos 2816 & 24

లాంపు మిత్ర మిన్నతము చేసి తెరి ఆనా నంది మలిగి పోవాయి

డా. నానూ సంభాషణ చేసిన విషయాలను తెలియతోంది కాక అతడు తన సంభాషణ ప్రకారం భావించాడు. నాను అందాన్నే తప్పనించలేదు చిత్రము (Whip) ని చేసివట ఆమె సంభాషణ (Voting) తెలియతోంది అంటే అంటే అంటే నాను నిలిచాడు.

ఆ ప్రాణంలో మూడు విషయాలు దొరికుండా ఉన్నాయి

1. స్థాయిభోగ ప్రాచుండ (Control) ప్రాచు ప్రాచు (స్థాయిభోగ ప్రాచు ప్రాచు)
2. వర్షానామా (Rainfall) మనం తెలియాలేదు (అంటే వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది)
3. నాను నిలిచాడు వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అంటే నాను నిలిచాడు అంటే నాను నిలిచాడు

చాలా ప్రత్యేకత ఉన్న వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అనే విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు (Control) ప్రాచు ప్రాచు అనే పదను తెలియతోంది. స్థాయిభోగ ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది. వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అనే విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది.

పిన్చి చాలా ప్రత్యేకత ఉన్న వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అనే విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది. స్థాయిభోగ ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది. వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అనే విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది.

పిన్చి చాలా ప్రత్యేకత ఉన్న వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అనే విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది. స్థాయిభోగ ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది. వర్షా కాడు ఎందుకు ఉంది అనే విషయం ప్రాచు ప్రాచు యొక్క విషయం తెలియతోంది.
Discussion on Non Official 8th July, 1052
Resolution Nos 2, 8, 16 & 24

The Senate (Senate) is a statutory body. If a resolution is to be introduced, it must be placed before the Senate (Autonomous body) or a committee thereof (Clique). The resolution should be in writing. The resolution is subject to debate. The Clique (Clique) may take a decision to put the resolution for a vote. The resolution is then voted on. The resolution is then passed if a majority of votes are in favor. The resolution is then referred to the Committee.
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(Refer) the following articles, that they may be read or referred to:

The following articles have been referred to:

1. The First Resolution
2. The Second Resolution
3. The Third Resolution
4. The Fourth Resolution

The discussion on these resolutions is now in progress.

Adjourned.
Discussion on Non Official Resolution Nos 2 & 16 & 24
8th July 1952

Proposed by Mr. G. Desai, supported by Mr. W. M. Boril.

Mr. G. Desai proposed that the minutes of the meeting be read and discussed.

Mr. W. M. Boril supported the proposal.

Mr. Desai moved the resolution, seconded by Mr. Boril. The resolution was carried.

The House then adjourned till Two of the clock on Wednesday the 9th July 1952.